U.S. PURCHASERS' QUESTIONNAIRE

LARGE DIAMETER WELDED PIPE (LDWP) FROM CANADA, CHINA, GREECE, INDIA, KOREA, AND TURKEY

This questionnaire must be received by the Commission by <u>September 28, 2018</u>

See last page for filing instructions.

The information called for in this questionnaire is for use by the United States International Trade Commission in connection with its countervailing duty and antidumping duty investigations concerning large diameter welded pipe ("LDWP") from Canada, China, Greece, India, Korea, and Turkey (Inv. Nos. 701-TA-593-596 and 731-TA-1401-1406 (Final)). The information requested in the questionnaire is requested under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII. This report is mandatory and failure to reply as directed can result in a subpoena or other order to compel the submission of records or information in your possession (19 U.S.C. § 1333(a)). Further information on this questionnaire can be obtained from Aimee Larsen (202-205-3179, aimee.larsen@usitc.gov).

Name of firm _____

City	State Zip Code	
Website		
Has your firm purchas since January 1, 2015?	sed LDWP (as defined on next page) from <u>any</u> source (domestic or foreign) at ?	t any time
NO (Sign th	he certification below and promptly return only this page of the questionnaire to the	e Commission)
YES (Comple	lete all parts of the questionnaire, and return the entire questionnaire to the Commis	ssion)
•	ire via the U.S. International Trade Commission <i>Drop Box</i> by clicking os://dropbox.usitc.gov/oinv/. (PIN: PIPE)	
lge and belief and under ing this certification I a	CERTIFICATION herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and cornerstand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract estionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury proceeding injury proceed	by the Commission. E t personnel, to use th
lge and belief and undering this certification I attion provided in this quemission on the same or sundersigned, acknowledging or other proceedings el (a) for developing or and evaluations relatifx 3; or (ii) by U.S. govern	herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and cornerstand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract estionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury pro	n by the Commission. E t personnel, to use the oceedings conducted b on and throughout the and Offices, and contract al investigations, audit accluding under 5 U.S.
lge and belief and undering this certification I attion provided in this quemission on the same or sundersigned, acknowledging or other proceedings el (a) for developing or and evaluations relatifx 3; or (ii) by U.S. govern	herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and cornerstand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract estionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury prosimilar merchandise. The programs of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internation to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission in ternated employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purpose.	n by the Commission. E t personnel, to use the oceedings conducted b on and throughout the and Offices, and contract al investigations, audit accluding under 5 U.S.
lge and belief and under ing this certification I a tion provided in this que mission on the same or s ndersigned, acknowledg ing or other proceedings el (a) for developing or and evaluations relati ix 3; or (ii) by U.S. govern personnel will sign appr	herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and cornerstand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract estionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury prosimilar merchandise. The section of the commission is a submitted in response to this request for information as may be disclosed to and used: (i) by the Commission, its employees are maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission in the section of the commission in the complex contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purpose propriate nondisclosure agreements.	n by the Commission. E t personnel, to use the oceedings conducted b on and throughout the and Offices, and contract al investigations, audit accluding under 5 U.S.

PART I.—GENERAL INFORMATION

<u>Background</u>.-- This proceeding was instituted in response to a petition filed on January 17, 2018, by American Cast Iron Pipe Company (Birmingham, Alabama), Berg Steel Pipe Corp. (Panama City, Florida), Berg Spiral Pipe Corp. (Mobile, Alabama), Dura-Bond Industries, Inc. (Export, Pennsylvania), Skyline Steel (Newington, Virginia), and Stupp Corporation (Baton Rouge, Louisiana). Countervailing and/or antidumping duties may be assessed on the subject imports as a result of these proceedings if the Commission makes an affirmative determination of injury, threat, or material retardation, and if the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Commerce") makes an affirmative determination of subsidization and/or dumping. questionnaires and other information pertinent to this proceeding are available at

https://www.usitc.gov/investigations/701731/2018/large_diameter_welded_pipe_canada_china_greece/final.htm

Large diameter welded pipe (LDWP) covered by these investigations is welded carbon and alloy steel pipe (including stainless steel pipe), more than 406.4 mm (16 inches) in nominal outside diameter (large diameter welded pipe), regardless of wall thickness, length, surface finish, grade, end finish, or stenciling. Large diameter welded pipe may be used to transport oil, gas, slurry, steam, or other fluids, liquids, or gases. It may also be used for structural purposes, including, but not limited to, piling. Specifically, not included is large diameter welded pipe produced only to specifications of the American Water Works Association (AWWA) for water and sewage pipe.

Large diameter welded pipe used to transport oil, gas, or natural gas liquids is normally produced to the American Petroleum Institute (API) specification 5L. Large diameter welded pipe may also be produced to American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards A500, A252, or A53, or other relevant domestic specifications, grades and/or standards. Large diameter welded pipe can be produced to comparable foreign specifications, grades and/or standards or to proprietary specifications, grades and/or standards, or can be non-graded material. All pipe meeting the physical description set forth above is covered by the scope of this investigation, whether or not produced according to a particular standard.

Subject merchandise also includes large diameter welded pipe that has been further processed in a third country, including but not limited to coating, painting, notching, beveling, cutting, punching, welding, or any other processing that would not otherwise remove the merchandise from the scope of the investigation if performed in the country of manufacture of the in-scope large diameter welded pipe.

Excluded from the countervailing duty investigation against Turkey are any products covered by the existing countervailing duty order on welded line pipe from the Republic of Turkey. See Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Turkey: Countervailing Duty Order, 80 FR 75054 (December 1, 2015). Excluded from the antidumping duty investigations against Korea and Turkey are any products covered by the existing antidumping duty orders on welded line pipe from Korea and Turkey, respectively. See Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Korea and the Republic of Turkey: Antidumping Duty Orders, 80 FR 75056 (December 1, 2015). Also excluded from the antidumping duty investigation against Korea are any products covered by the existing antidumping order on welded ASTM A-312 stainless steel pipe from Korea. See Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe from South Korea: Antidumping Duty Order, 57 FR 62300 (December 30, 1992).

The LDWP that is subject to this proceeding is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under statistical reporting numbers 7305.11.1030, 7305.11.1060, 7305.11.5000, 7305.12.1030, 7305.12.1060, 7305.12.5000, 7305.19.1030, 7305.19.1060, 7305.19.5000, 7305.31.4000, 7305.31.6010, 7305.31.6090, 7305.39.1000 and 7305.39.5000. While the HTSUS statistical reporting numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

<u>LDW line pipe</u>.--Large diameter welded pipe of a kind used for oil and gas pipelines and normally produced to the API specification 5L. Large diameter welded line pipe can also be produced to comparable domestic or foreign specifications or to proprietary grades.

<u>LDW structural pipe</u>.--Large diameter welded pipe normally produced to ASTM standards A500, A252, or A53. Large diameter welded structural pipe can also be produced to comparable domestic or foreign specifications or to proprietary grades, or be non-graded material.

<u>Purchaser</u>.--Any firm engaged, either directly or through a parent company or subsidiary, in purchasing LDWP from another firm that produces, imports, or otherwise distributes LDWP.

Reporting of information.--If information is not readily available from your records, provide carefully prepared estimates. If your firm is completing more than one questionnaire (i.e., a producer, importer, and/or purchaser questionnaire), you need not respond to duplicated questions.

<u>Confidentiality</u>.--The commercial and financial data furnished in response to this questionnaire that reveal the individual operations of your firm will be treated as confidential by the Commission to the extent that such data are not otherwise available to the public and will not be disclosed except as may be required by law (see 19 U.S.C. 1677f). Such confidential information will not be published in a manner that will reveal the individual operations of your firm; however, general characterizations of numerical business proprietary information (such as discussion of trends) will be treated as confidential business information only at the request of the submitter for good cause shown.

<u>Verification</u>.-- The information submitted in this questionnaire is subject to audit and verification by the Commission. To facilitate possible verification of data, please keep all files, worksheets, and supporting documents used in the preparation of the questionnaire response. Please also retain a copy of the final document that you submit.

<u>Release of information</u>.--The information provided by your firm in response to this questionnaire, as well as any other business proprietary information submitted by your firm to the Commission in connection with this proceeding, may become subject to, and released under, the administrative protective order provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1677f) and section 207.7 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.7). This means that certain lawyers and other authorized individuals may temporarily be given access to the information for use in connection with this proceeding or other importing proceedings conducted by the Commission on the same or similar merchandise; those individuals would be subject to severe penalties if the information were divulged to unauthorized individuals.

this questionnaire,	_	of your U.S. establishment(s) covered by er page. Firms operating more than one ments into a single response.
	each facility of a firm involved in the <u>purace</u> in conjunction with (whether or not ph	
OwnershipIs you	ır firm owned, in whole or in part, by a	ny other firm?
□ No □	YesList the following information.	
Firm name	Address	Extent of ownership (percent)
which import LDW	P into the United States or which expo	
•		related firms, either domestic or foreign, rt LDWP to the United States?
which import LDW	P into the United States or which expo	
which import LDW	P into the United States or which expo	rt LDWP to the United States?
which import LDW No Firm name Related producers produce LDWP?	YesList the following information. Country Does your firm have any related firm	Affiliation
which import LDW No Firm name Related producers	YesList the following information. Country	Affiliation
which import LDW No Firm name Related producers produce LDWP?	YesList the following information. Country Does your firm have any related firm	Affiliation
which import LDW No Firm name Related producers produce LDWP? No	YesList the following information. Country Does your firm have any related firm YesList the following information.	Affiliation as, either domestic or foreign, which

PART II.--PURCHASES

<u>Contact information.</u>--Please identify the responsible individual and the manner by which Commission staff may contact that individual regarding the confidential information submitted in this questionnaire.

Name	
Title	
Email	
Telephone	

[&]quot;Purchase" – Purchase from a U.S. entity such as a U.S. producer, a U.S. importer, or other U.S. firm.

II-1b. <u>LDW line pipe purchases and imports.</u>--Report your firm's domestic purchases and imports of LDW line pipe.

LDW Line Pipe

	2015	2016	2017	JanJune 2018
Item		Quantity (ir	short tons)	
Purchases and imports of LDW line pipe produced in— United States				
Canada				
China				
Greece				
India				
Korea Husteel or Hyundai 16" to 24" OD				
>"24 OD				
Other firms (including SeAH) 16" to 24" OD				
>"24 OD				
Turkey ¹				
All other countries ²				
Sources unknown				
Total purchases and/or imports	0	0	0	(

¹ All data reported for Turkey in all parts of question II-1 should exclude LDW line pipe that is between 16" and 24" in outside diameter. This merchandise is currently under both an existing antidumping duty order and an existing countervailing duty order.

[&]quot;Import" – Purchase directly from a foreign supplier and your firm is the importer of record.

² Please identify these countries:

II-1b. <u>LDW structural pipe purchases and imports.</u>—Report your firm's domestic purchases and imports of LDW structural pipe.

LDW Structural Pipe

	2015	2016	2017	JanJune 2018
ltem		Quantity (ir	short tons)	
Purchases and imports of LDW structural pipe produced in— United States				
Canada				
China				
Greece				
India				
Korea				
Turkey				
All other countries ¹				
Sources unknown				
Total purchases and/or imports	0	0	0	(
¹ Please identify these countries:		•	•	

II-1c. <u>Imports.</u>--Did your firm report imports of LDWP in tables II-1a or II-1b above (i.e., your firm has been the importer of record for LDWP since 2015)?

No	Yes	II COMPLETE AND DETUDY AND DESCRIPTION AND DES
		If yes <u>COMPLETE AND RETURN A U.S. IMPORTERS' QUESTIONNAIRE</u>

Please note: For the remainder of this questionnaire, if your response to any question differs with respect to LDW line pipe compared with LDW structural pipe, please note this in your response to that question, or in your response to question VII-1.

II-2. <u>Changes in purchasing patterns.</u>—Please indicate how the shares of your firm's purchases of LDWP from different sources have changed since January 1, 2015.

Source of purchases	Did not purchase	Decreased	Increased	Constant	Fluctuated	Explanation for trend
United States						
Canada						
China						
Greece						
India						
Korea						
Turkey						
All other countries						
Sources unknown						

II-3. <u>Country knowledge.</u>--Please indicate the countries of origin with which your firm has experience or information in the LDWP market.

United States	Canada	China	Greece	India
Korea	Turkey	Other countries	Other countries (specify)	

II-4. <u>Supplier identification.</u>--Please list your firm's <u>FIVE</u> largest suppliers for LDWP since January 1, 2015. Also, provide the share of the quantity of your firm's total purchases of LDWP that each of these suppliers accounted for in 2017.

No.	Supplier's name	City and state	Share of quantity of 2017 purchases
1			%
2			%
3			%
4			%
5			%

PART III.--MARKET CHARACTERISTICS AND PURCHASING PRACTICES

III-1.	Firm typeWhich of the following best describes your firm as a purchaser of LDWP (check all that
	apply)?

	Oil and gas	Other end	
Distributor	end user	user	Describe other

If your firm is a distributor of LDWP, please answer questions III-2 and III-3.

III-2.	Competition for salesDoes your firm compete for sales to customers with the manufacturers or
	importers from which your firm purchases LDWP?

No	Yes	If yes, please describe.

III-3. Types of customers.--What are the major types of consumers to which your firm sells LDWP?

Line pipe	
Structural pipe	

If your firm is an end user of LDWP, please answer questions III-4 and III-5.

III-4. <u>End uses.</u>--List the top 3 products your firm makes using LDWP and estimate the percent of your total production cost that is accounted for by LDWP and by other inputs (such as labor, energy, and other raw materials).

	Share of total cost in each of the product(s) your firm produces accounted for by			Total (should		
Product(s) your firm					sum to 100.0%	
produces	LDWP		Other inputs		across)	
	%	+	%	=	0.0 %	
	%	+	%	=	0.0 %	
	%	+	%	=	0.0 %	

III-5.	Demand for end-use	products

(a) Has the demand for your firm's final end-use products and applications incorporating LDWP changed since January 1, 2015?

Increased	No change	Decreased	Fluctuated

(b) Has this had any effect on your firm's demand for LDWP?

No	Yes	Explain

U.S. Purchasers' Questionnaire – Large Diameter Welded Pipe	U.S.	Purchasers'	Questionnaire -	Large Diameter	Welded Pir	oe (I
---	------	-------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	------------	-------

III-8. <u>Demand trends.</u>--Indicate how demand within the United States and outside of the United States (if known) for LDWP has changed since January 1, 2015. Explain any trends and describe the principal factors that have affected these changes in demand.

Market	Sector	Overall increase	No change	Overall decrease	Fluctuate with no clear trend	Explanation and factors
Within the United States	Oil and gas sector					
	Other sectors ¹					
Outside the	Oil and gas sector					
United States	Other sectors ¹					
¹ Please identify the sector(s):						

III-9.	Country preferencesDo you or your customers ever specifically order LDWP from one country in
	particular over other possible sources of supply?

No	Yes	If yes, identify the countries and explain.

III-10. <u>Importance of purchasing domestic product.</u>--Please fill out the table below, estimating the percentage of your firm's total 2017 purchases of LDWP that required LDWP produced in the United States.

	Estimated percentage of your firm's total 2017 purchases of LDWP
Purchases that did not require domestic product	%
Purchases that were required by law or regulation to be domestic product (e.g., government purchases under "Buy American" provisions)	%
Purchases that were not required by law or regulation, but were required by your customers to be domestic product	%
Purchases that were required to be domestic product for other reasons	
(explain:)	%
Total (should sum to 100.0%)	0.0 %

III-11.	Conditions	of com	petition

(a)	Is the LDWP market subject to business cycles (other than general economy-wide
	conditions) and/or other conditions of competition distinctive to LDWP?

со	nditions) an	d/or other condi	itions of co	mpetitio	on distinctive to LDWP?
Check all	that apply.		Please des	cribe.	
	No		Skip to que	stion II	I-12.
	'es-Business easonal bus	cycles (e.g. iness)			
	es-Other di onditions o	stinctive f competition			
	ve there be ice January 2		n the busin	ess cyc	les or conditions of competition for LDW
No	Yes	If yes, describe	2.		
(a) Are you	familiar wit		2 investiga	tion (eff	of competition. fect of imports on national security) or the
☐ No (skip to III-13	3) Tes	s (complete	part b)	
imposit		on imported ste		_	n in April 2017 or the subsequent ning in March 2018 impact the condition
			No	Yes	If yes, describe.
	ment of the on in April 2				
•		imported steel March 2018			

115	Durchacars'	Questionnaire -	Large Diameter	Wolded Dine (E)
u.s.	Purchasers	Ouestionnaire –	Large Diameter	weided Pibe (F)

	Alwa	ays Us	ually	Sometimes	Never	If at least sometimes, explain.			
Decision based on producer									
Your firm]							
Your custome	rs]							
			Decis	sion based on c	ountry of	origin			
Your firm]							
Your customer	·s _]							
m	ty in the U arket uced prode	No		Please explain, changes.	noting th	e countries and reasons for the			
Subject in	•								
	ct imports								
	steel and	cut-to-ler	ngth pl	ate impacted th		ity and countervailing duty orders ility of LDWP?			
No	Yes	If yes, pl	ease d	lescribe.					
since Janu entry," de	ary 1, 2015 clining to a	5 (examp accept ne	les inc w cust	lude placing cus comers or renew	tomers o	unable to supply your firm with LI n allocation or "controlled order customers, delivering less than the mmitments, etc.)?			
since Janu entry," de	ary 1, 2015 clining to a romised, b	5 (examp accept ne	les inc w cust ble to	lude placing cus comers or renew meet timely shi	tomers o	n allocation or "controlled order customers, delivering less than th			

U.S. Pu	ırchasers	' Questio	nnaire -	- Large Diamet	er Welded Pi	ipe (F)		Page 15		
III-17.		ility of s country	_		-Are certain g	grades/types	/sizes of	LDWP only available fro		
	No	Yes	If yes	, please identi	fy the countr	ies and the	grade/ty	pe/size.		
III-18.	Purchasing frequency									
	(a)	How fre	quently	does your firm	make purch	ases of LDW	P (check	one)?		
		Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Quarterly	Annually	Other	If other, specify		
	(b)	Has this	purchas	ing frequency	changed sinc	e January 1,	2015?			
		No	Yes	If yes, please	describe.					
III-19.				ntactedHow ween ai		-	r firm gei	nerally contact before		
III-20.	<u>Supplier negotiations.</u> Do your firm's purchases of LDWP usually involve negotiations between supplier and purchaser?									
		No	Yes				-	egotiates and note ring negotiations.		
III-21.	<u>Change</u>	in suppl	iersHa	as your firm cha	anged suppli	ers since Jan	uary 1, 20	015?		
		No	Yes	dropped, and				irm was added or		

U.S. Pu	rchasers'	Questionr	naire – Lar	ge Diame	eter Welded Pipe (F)		Page 16				
III-22.		opliers Al ket since Ja			new suppliers, either fo	oreign or domes	stic, that have entered				
	No	Yes	If yes, ple	ase ident	ify the firms.						
III-23.	Supplier	qualificat	ion.—								
		Do you red firm?	quire your	suppliers	to be or to become cer	tified or qualifie	ed to sell LDWP to your				
	• 7 • 7	 If yes, provide the following information. The number of days to qualify a new supplier. A general description of the certification or qualification process. Also, a brief description of the factors that you consider when qualifying a new supplier (e.g., quality of product, reliability of supplier, etc.). 									
	No	Yes	Number of days	Process a	and factors						
	(b) I	(b) If you responded "Yes" to part (a), does the qualification process differ depending on the type of LDWP (line pipe versus structural), the grade of pipe ordered, or the nature of the project?									
		nt qualifica rocesses	ation No		lease explain, noting the ualification processes.	e reasons for th	ne different				
	Type of	LDWP									
	Grade o	f LDWP			, <u></u>						
	Nature (of project									
	(c) I			•	t (a), what does your fire tion process? Please che	•					
	Produc	cer's mill	Produ	ct itself	Upstream raw material producers	Other	If other, specify				
				\neg							

III-24.	Failure to certifySince January 1, 2015, have any domestic or foreign producers failed in their
	attempts to certify or qualify their LDWP with your firm or have any producers lost their approved
	status?

	No	If yes, please identify these firms, the countries where they are located, and the reasons why they failed the certification/qualification.
Line pipe		
Structural pipe		

III-25.	Major purchasing factorsPlease list, in order of their importance, the main factors your firm
	considers in deciding from whom to purchase LDWP (examples include availability, extension of
	credit, contracts, price, quality, range of supplier's product line, traditional supplier, etc.).

1.	
2.	
3.	
Ple	ease list any other factors that are very important in your purchase decisions:

III-26. Purchasing factors.--Please rate the importance of the following factors in your firm's purchasing decisions for LDWP ¹

Factor	Very Important	Somewhat important	Not important
Availability			
Availability of size 16"-24"			
Availability of size > 24"			
Delivery terms			
Delivery time			
Discounts offered			
Minimum quantity requirements			
Packaging			
Payment terms			
Price			
Product consistency			
Product range			
Producer available capacity			
Project specification			
Quality meets industry standards			
Quality exceeds industry standards			
Reliability of supply			
Technical support/service			
Total installation costs			
U.S. transportation costs			

Other:

Minimum qualityHow of specifications for your use			owing countries	s meet minim	um qualit
		1	1		г
Source	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Rarely or never	Don' know
United States					
Canada					
China					
Greece					
India					
Korea					

U.S. Pu	urchasers' Questionnaire – Large Diameter Welded Pipe (F) Page 20					
III-29.	<u>Frequency of decisions based on price</u> How often does your firm purchase the LDWP that is offered at the lowest price?					
	А	lways	Usually	Sometimes	Never	
III-30.	 Price leadersA price leader is defined as (1) one or more firms that initiate a price change, either upward or downward, that is followed by other firms, or (2) one or more firms that have a significant impact on prices. A price leader is not necessarily the lowest-priced supplier. Please list the names of any firms you considered price leaders in the LDWP market since January 1, 2015. 					
	Firm(s)	C	escribe how the firm(s) exh	ibited price leadership)	
III-31.	Raw mat		tsDo changes in raw mate ers?	rial costs affect your fir	m's price negotiations with	
	No	Yes	If yes, please explain.			
		103	yes, picase explain.			

III-32. Purchasing subject imports of LDW line pipe rather than domestic products.—

(a) Since January 2015, did your firm purchase imports of LDW line pipe from Canada, China, Greece, India, Korea, or Turkey instead of U.S.-produced LDW line pipe? Respond for each country.

LDW Line Pipe

Source	Yes (also respond to parts (b) and (c))	No (If "No" for all countries, skip to next question)
Canada		
China		
Greece		
India		
Korea		
Turkey		

(b) If you responded "Yes" to part (a), was the imported LDW line pipe priced lower than the domestic product?

LDW Line Pipe

Source	Yes	No
Canada		
China		
Greece		
India		
Korea		
Turkey		

III-32. Purchasing subject imports of LDW line pipe rather than domestic products.—Continued

LDW Line Pipe

(c) If you responded "Yes" to part (a), was price a primary reason for purchasing subject imports of LDW line pipe rather than domestic LDW line pipe?

Source	Yes	If Yes, estimate the quantity of imports purchased instead of domestic product since January 2015 (in short tons)	No	If no, please indicate the reason your firm purchased imports instead of domestic product
Canada				
China				
Greece				
India				
Korea Husteel or Hyundai 16" to 24" OD				
>"24 OD Other firms (including SeAH) 16" to 24" OD				
>"24 OD	<u> </u>			
Turkey ¹				
¹ All data reported for Turkey in	n all parts	of guestion II-32 should exclude LDW lin	ne pipe that	is between 16" and 24" in outside

¹ All data reported for Turkey in all parts of question II-32 should exclude LDW line pipe that is between 16" and 24" in outside diameter. This merchandise is currently under both an existing antidumping duty order and an existing countervailing duty order.

III-33. Purchasing subject imports of LDW structural pipe rather than domestic products.—

(a) Since January 2015, did your firm purchase imports of LDW structural pipe from Canada, China, Greece, India, Korea, or Turkey instead of U.S.-produced LDW structural pipe? Respond for each country.

LDW Structural Pipe

Source	Yes (also respond to parts (b) and (c))	No (If "No" for all countries, skip to next question)
Canada		
China		
Greece		
India		
Korea		
Turkey		

(b) If you responded "Yes" to part (a), was the imported LDW structural pipe priced lower than the domestic product?

LDW Structural Pipe

Source	Yes	No
Canada		
China		
Greece		
India		
Korea		
Turkey		

III-33. Purchasing subject imports of LDW structural pipe rather than domestic products.—Continued

LDW Structural Pipe

(c) If you responded "Yes" to part (a), was price a primary reason for purchasing subject imports of LDW structural pipe rather than domestic LDW structural pipe?

Source	Yes	If Yes, estimate the quantity of imports purchased instead of domestic product since January 2015 (in short tons)	No	If no, please indicate the reason your firm purchased imports instead of domestic product
Canada				
China				
Greece				
India				
Korea				
Turkey				

III-34. U.S. producers and import competition (LDW line pipe).—

LDW Line Pipe

(a) Since January 1, 2015, in connection with a sale or offer to sell LDW line pipe to your firm, did U.S. producers reduce their prices of domestically produced LDW line pipe in order to compete with lower-priced imports of LDW line pipe from the subject countries? Respond for each country.

Source	Yes (also respond to question part (b))	No (If "No" for all countries, skip to next question)	Don't know
Canada			
China			
Greece			
India			
Korea			
Turkey			

(b) If your firm responded "yes" to any of the above countries, please provide an estimate of the reduction in U.S. producers' prices, and any additional explanations, such as timing (e.g., months/years), frequency of price reductions, or other market/competitive factors.

LDW Line Pipe

Source	Estimated reduction in U.S. prices (percent)	Additional explanation, including such information as timing (e.g., months/years), frequency of price reductions, or other market/competitive factors
Canada	%	
China	%	
Greece	%	
India	%	
Korea	%	
Turkey	%	

III-35. U.S. producers and import competition (LDW structural pipe).--

(a) Since January 1, 2015, in connection with a sale or offer to sell LDW structural pipe to your firm, did U.S. producers reduce their prices of domestically produced LDW structural pipe in order to compete with lower-priced imports of LDW structural pipe from the subject countries? Respond for each country.

LDW Structural Pipe

Source	Yes (also respond to question part (b))	No (If "No" for all countries, skip to next question)	Don't know
Canada			
China			
Greece			
India			
Korea			
Turkey			

(b) If your firm responded "yes" to any of the above countries, please provide an estimate of the reduction in U.S. producers' prices, and any additional explanations, such as timing (e.g., months/years), frequency of price reductions, or other market/competitive factors.

LDW Structural Pipe

Source	Estimated reduction in U.S. prices (percent)	Additional explanation, including such information as timing (e.g., months/years), frequency of price reductions, or other market/competitive factors
Canada	%	
China	%	
Greece	%	
India	%	
Korea	%	
Turkey	%	

PART IV.—PRODUCT COMPARISONS

IV-1. <u>Interchangeability</u>.--Is LDWP produced in the United States and in other countries interchangeable (i.e., can they physically be used in the same applications)?

Please indicate A, F, S, N, or 0 in the table below:

- A = the products from a specified country-pair are *always* interchangeable
- F = the products are *frequently* interchangeable
- S = the products are *sometimes* interchangeable
- N = the products are *never* interchangeable
- 0 = no familiarity with products from a specified country-pair

Country-pair	Canada	China	Greece	India	Korea	Turkey	Other countries
United States							
Canada							
China		\times					
Greece		\times	>				
India		\times		>			
Korea		\times			\times		
Turkey							

For any country-pair producing LDWP that is *sometimes* or *never* interchangeable, identify the country-pair and explain the factors that limit or preclude interchangeable use:

IV-2. <u>Factors other than price</u>.--Are differences other than price (e.g., quality, availability, transportation network, product range, technical support, *etc.*) between LDWP produced in the United States and in other countries a significant factor in your firm's purchases of the products?

Please indicate A, F, S, N, or 0 in the table below:

A = such differences are *always* significant

F = such differences are *frequently* significant

S = such differences are *sometimes* significant

N = such differences are *never* significant

0 = no familiarity with products from a specified country-pair

Country-pair	Canada	China	Greece	India	Korea	Turkey	Other countries
United States							
Canada							
China		\times					
Greece							
India		\times		\times			
Korea					>		
Turkey				>	>	\times	

For any country-pair for which factors other than price *always* or *frequently* are a significant factor in your firm's sales of LDWP, identify the country-pair and report the advantages or disadvantages imparted by such factors:

IV-3. <u>Factor country ratings.</u>--For the factors listed below, please rate how LDWP produced in each country compares with LDWP produced in each of the other countries you identified. If your response to any country comparison above differs with respect to LDW line pipe compared with LDW structural pipe, please explain below.

If you are unfamiliar with the product from a particular country, please leave the entire column for that country blank.

	<u>Uni</u> cor pro	Product from United States compared to product from Canada			Product from United States compared to product from China			Product from <u>United States</u> compared to product from <u>Greece</u>			tes to om
Factor	Superior	Comparable	Inferior		Superior	Comparable	Inferior		Superior	Comparable	Inferior
Availability											
Availability of size 16"-24"											
Availability of size > 24"											
Delivery terms											
Delivery time											
Discounts offered											
Minimum quantity requirements											
Packaging											
Payment terms											
Price ¹											
Product consistency											
Product range											
Producer available capacity											
Project specification											
Quality meets industry standards											
Quality exceeds industry standards											
Reliability of supply											
Technical support/service											
Total installation costs											
U.S. transportation costs ¹											

¹ A rating of superior on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

IV-3. **Factor country ratings.**—*Continued.*

If you are unfamiliar with the product from a particular country, please leave the entire column for that country blank.

	Product from United States compared to product from India				Product from <u>United States</u> compared to product from <u>Korea (Husteel or</u> <u>Hyundai)</u>			Product from <u>United States</u> compared to product from <u>Korea (Other firms</u> (including SeAH)			
Factor	Superior	Comparable	Inferior		Superior	Comparable	Inferior		Superior	Comparable	Inferior
Availability											
Availability of size 16"-24"											
Availability of size > 24"											
Delivery terms											
Delivery time											
Discounts offered											
Minimum quantity requirements											
Packaging											
Payment terms											
Price ¹											
Product consistency											
Product range											
Producer available capacity											
Project specification											
Quality meets industry standards											
Quality exceeds industry standards											
Reliability of supply											
Technical support/service											
Total installation costs											
U.S. transportation costs ¹											

¹ A rating of superior on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

IV-3. <u>Factor country ratings</u>.—*Continued*.

If you are unfamiliar with the product from a particular country, please leave the entire column for that country blank.

	<u>L</u>	Product fror United State ed to produ Turkey	<u>s</u>	<u>L</u> compar	Product from <u>United States</u> compared to product from <u>All other countries</u>			
Factor	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior		
Availability								
Availability of size 16"-24"								
Availability of size > 24"								
Delivery terms								
Delivery time								
Discounts offered								
Minimum quantity requirements								
Packaging								
Payment terms								
Price ¹								
Product consistency								
Product range								
Producer available capacity								
Project specification								
Quality meets industry standards								
Quality exceeds industry standards								
Reliability of supply								
Technical support/service								
Total installation costs								
U.S. transportation costs ¹								

¹ A rating of superior on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

U.S. Purchasers' Questionnaire – Large Diameter Welded Pipe	U.S.	. Purchasers'	Questionnaire -	Large Diameter	Welded Pipe	(F)
---	------	---------------	------------------------	----------------	-------------	-----

iv 5. i actor country ratings. continuct	IV-3.	Factor country	ratings.—Continued
--	-------	----------------	--------------------

If your response to any country comparison above differs with respect to LDW line pipe compared with LDW structural pipe, please explain below.

PA	RT	٠٧.	—В	ID	DA.	TΑ
----	----	-----	----	----	-----	----

No	Yes	If yes, please describe he question VI-1.	ow you invite bids on a	project. If no, ski	
Bid Opportu particular sa		ow often do you allow/requ nent?	uest sellers more than or	ne chance to bid	
Always		Frequently	Sometimes	Rarely/Neve	
L					
Г	_				
-		you permit your suppliers t	o respond to invitations	to bid with an of	
(a) Hov incluyou	v often do udes excep r specified	rtions to your product speci products?	fications or alternative I	DWP products in	
a) Hov incli you	v often do udes excep	tions to your product speci	•		
(a) Hovincle you Alw	v often do udes excep r specified vays	rtions to your product speci products?	Sometimes Lating bids that offer to s	DWP products in Rarely/Nev	
incluyou Alw [b) What come	v often do udes excep r specified vays at factors combination o	Frequently lo you consider when evalu	Sometimes Lating bids that offer to some same project?	Rarely/Nev	
(a) Hovincle you Alw (b) What com (c) Hovincle proj	v often do udes excep r specified vays at factors combination o	Frequently Io you consider when evaluf LDWP specifications for the	Sometimes Lating bids that offer to some same project?	Rarely/Nev	

V-5. <u>Bid data (LDW line pipe)</u>.—Please fill out the table below for each of your firm's five largest purchases of LDW line pipe since January 1, 2015 for which your firm received at least one bid from a supplier of domestic LDWP and at least one bid from a supplier of LDWP produced in Canada, China, Greece, India, Korea, or Turkey. If your firm's bid involved Korea, please report bids for Korea LDW line pipe from Husteel of Hyundai for 16" to 24" merchandise separately from all other LDW line pipe merchandise from Korea.

Please list all bids for each purchase. The bid amounts reported below should include amounts for any specified terms or conditions that were included in the requests for quotation (RFQs).

"LDW line pipe" -- Large diameter welded pipe used to transport oil, gas, or natural gas liquids is normally produced to the API specification 5L.

LDW line pipe 1st largest purchase

Basic description of bid requirements	Narrative
Bid end date	
Date of bid award	
Quantity(ies)	
Outer diameter size(s)	
API 5L grade(s)	
Manufacture type(s)	
Delivery location(s)	
Other	

	Bidding firm name	Country produced	Initial total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Final total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Winning bid (yes/no/ split)	Reason bid accepted or rejected
<u>1</u>						
<u>2</u>						
<u>3</u>						
<u>4</u>						
<u>5</u>						
<u>6</u>						
<u>7</u>						
<u>8</u>						
<u>9</u>						

V-5. **Bid data (LDW line pipe).**—Continued.

<u>6</u>

<u>8</u>

LDW line pipe 2nd largest purchase

	Basic description of bid requiremen					Narra	tive
Bid	end date						
Da	te of bid award						
Qu	antity(ies)						
Ou	ter diameter size(s)						
AP	5L grade(s)						
Ma	nufacture type(s)						
De	livery location(s)						
Oth	ner						
	Bidding firm name	Counti produc	•	Initial total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Final total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Winning bid (Check if yes, including split/partial)	Reason bid accepted or rejected
<u>1</u>							
<u>2</u>							
<u>3</u>							
<u>4</u>							
_	1			1			I

V-5. **Bid data (LDW line pipe).**—Continued.

Basic description

LDW line pipe 3rd largest purchase

Narrative

of bid requirements			Narrative			
Bid end date						
Date of bid award						
Quantity(ies)						
Outer diameter size(s)						
API 5L grade(s)						
Manufacture type(s)						
Delivery location(s)						
Other						
	Bidding firm name	Country produced	Initial total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Final total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Winning bid (Check if yes, including split/partial)	Reason bid accepted or rejected
1						
<u>2</u>						
<u>3</u>						
<u>4</u>						
<u>5</u>						
<u>6</u>						
<u>7</u>						
<u>8</u>						
<u>9</u>						

V-5. **Bid data (LDW line pipe).**—Continued.

Basic description

LDW line pipe 4th largest purchase

of bid requirements			Narrative				
Bid	end date						
Da	te of bid award						
Qu	antity(ies)						
Ou	ter diameter size(s)						
AP	I 5L grade(s)						
Ma	nufacture type(s)						
De	livery location(s)						
Otl	ner						
		·					
	Bidding firm name	Country produce		Initial total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Final total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Winning bid (Check if yes, including split/partial)	
<u>1</u>							
<u>2</u>							
<u>3</u>							
<u>4</u>							
<u>5</u>							
<u>6</u>							
<u>7</u>							
<u>8</u>							
<u>9</u>							

V-5. **Bid data (LDW line pipe).**—Continued.

Basic description

<u>9</u>

LDW line pipe 5th largest purchase

Narrative

of bid requirements			Narrative					
Bid	l end date							
Daf	te of bid award							
Qu	antity(ies)							
Ou	ter diameter size(s)							
AP	I 5L grade(s)							
Ma	nufacture type(s)							
De	livery location(s)							
Oth	her							
		<u> </u>						
	Bidding firm name	Country		Final total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Winning bid (Check if yes, including split/partial)	Reason bid accepted or rejected		
<u>1</u>								
<u>2</u>								
<u>3</u>								
<u>4</u>								
<u>5</u>								
<u>6</u>								
<u>7</u>								
<u>8</u>								

V-6. <u>Bid data (LDW structural pipe).</u>--Please fill out the table below for each of your firm's five largest purchases of LDW structural pipe since January 1, 2015 for which your firm received at least one bid from a supplier of domestic LDWP and at least one bid from a supplier of LDWP produced in Canada, China, Greece, India, Korea, or Turkey.

Please list all bids for each purchase. The bid amounts reported below should include amounts for any specified terms or conditions that were included in the requests for quotation (RFQs).

"LDW structural pipe" -- Large diameter welded produced to ASTM standards A500, A252, or A53. Large diameter welded pipe can be produced to comparable foreign specifications or to proprietary grades, or non-graded material.

LDW structural pipe 1st largest purchase

Basic description of bid requirements	Narrative
Bid end date	
Date of bid award	
Quantity(ies)	
Outer diameter size(s)	
API 5L grade(s)	
Manufacture type(s)	
Delivery location(s)	
Other	

	Bidding firm name	Country produced	Initial total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Final total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Winning bid (Check if yes, including split/partial)	Reason bid accepted or rejected
<u>1</u>						
<u>2</u>						
<u>3</u>						
<u>4</u>						
<u>5</u>						
<u>6</u>						
<u>7</u>						
<u>8</u>						
9						

V-6. **Bid data (LDW structural pipe).**—Continued.

LDW structural pipe 2nd largest purchase

Basic description of bid requirements						Nar	rative
Bid	end date						
Dat	te of bid award						
Qu	antity(ies)						
Ou	ter diameter size(s)						
API	5L grade(s)						
Ma	nufacture type(s)						
Del	livery location(s)						
Oth	ner						
	Bidding firm name	Counti produc		Initial total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Final total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Winning bid (Check if yes, including split/partial)	Reason bid accepted or rejected
<u>1</u>	-						
<u>2</u>							
<u>3</u>							
<u>4</u>							
_	1			1			

V-6. **Bid data (LDW structural pipe).**—Continued.

LDW structural pipe 3rd largest purchase

Basic description of bid requirements	Narrative				
Bid end date					
Date of bid award					
Quantity(ies)					
Outer diameter size(s)					
API 5L grade(s)					
Manufacture type(s)					
Delivery location(s)					
Other					
Countr produce 1 2	•	Final total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Winning bid (Check if yes, including split/partial)	Reason bid accepted or rejected	
3					
4					

V-6. **Bid data (LDW structural pipe).**—Continued.

<u>9</u>

LDW structural pipe 4th largest purchase

Basic description of bid requirements				Narrative				
Bid	l end date							
Da	te of bid award							
Qu	antity(ies)							
Ou	ter diameter size(s)							
AP	I 5L grade(s)							
Ma	nufacture type(s)							
De	livery location(s)							
Otl	her							
		1						
	Didding from the state of the s	Country	•	Initial total delivered cost quote	Final total delivered cost quote	Winning bid (Check if yes, including		
<u>1</u>	Bidding firm name	produce	ea	(\$1,000s)	(\$1,000s)	split/partial)	Reason bid accepted or rejected	
<u>±</u> 2								
<u>=</u>								
4								
<u>5</u>								
-								

V-6. **Bid data (LDW structural pipe).**—Continued.

<u>9</u>

LDW structural pipe 5th largest purchase

Basic description of bid requirements			Narrative				
Bid	end date						
Da	te of bid award						
Qu	antity(ies)						
Ou	ter diameter size(s)						
AP	I 5L grade(s)						
Ma	nufacture type(s)						
De	livery location(s)						
Oth	ner						
	Bidding firm name	Countr	-	Initial total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Final total delivered cost quote (\$1,000s)	Winning bid (Check if yes, including split/partial)	Reason bid accepted or rejected
1	bidding in in name	product	<u>. u</u>	(71,0003)	(71,0003)		neason sid accepted of rejected
2							
<u>3</u>							
4							
<u>5</u>							
<u>6</u>							
-		<u> </u>					

PART VI.--ALTERNATIVE PRODUCT INFORMATION

- VI-1. <u>Comparability of certain types of LDWP.</u>--For each of the following indicate whether the products being compared are:
 - F: fully comparable or the same, *i.e.*, have no differentiation between them;
 - M: mostly comparable or similar;
 - S: somewhat comparable or similar;
 - N: never or not-at-all comparable or similar; or
 - 0: no familiarity with products.
 - (a) <u>Physical Characteristics and End Uses</u>.--The differences and similarities in the physical characteristics and end uses.

Product-pair	Comparison	Please provide a narrative discussion for the comparability ratings you provided in terms of their physical characteristics and uses:
LDW line pipe vs LDW structural pipe		

(b) <u>Interchangeability</u>.--The ability to substitute the products in the same application.

Product-pair	Comparison	Please provide a narrative discussion for the comparability ratings you provided in terms of their <u>interchangeability</u> :
LDW line pipe vs LDW structural pipe		

VI-1. Comparability of certain types of LDWP.--Continued

F: fully comparable or the same, i.e., have no differentiation between them;

M: mostly comparable or similar;

S: somewhat comparable or similar;

N: never or not-at-all comparable or similar; or

0: no familiarity with products.

(c) <u>Manufacturing facilities, production processes, and production employees</u>.--Whether manufactured in the same facilities, from the same inputs, on the same machinery and equipment, and using the same employees.

Product-pair	Comparison	Please provide a narrative discussion for the comparability ratings you provided in terms of their manufacturing facilities, production processes, and production employees:
LDW line pipe vs LDW structural pipe		

(d) <u>Channels of distribution</u>.--Channels of distribution/market situation through which the products are sold (i.e., sold direct to end users, through wholesaler/distributors, etc.).

Product-pair	Comparison	Please provide a narrative discussion for the comparability ratings you provided in terms of their channels of distribution:
LDW line pipe vs LDW structural pipe		

VI-1. Comparability of certain types of LDWP.--Continued

F: fully comparable or the same, i.e., have no differentiation between them;

M: mostly comparable or similar;

S: somewhat comparable or similar;

N: never or not-at-all comparable or similar; or

0: no familiarity with products.

(e) <u>Customer and producer perceptions</u>.--Perceptions as to the differences and/or similarities in the market (*e.g.*, sales/marketing practices).

Product-pair	Comparison	Please provide a narrative discussion for the comparability ratings you provided in terms of their customer and producer perceptions:
LDW line pipe vs LDW structural pipe		

(f) **Price**.--Whether prices are comparable or differ between the products.

Product-pair	Comparison	Please provide a narrative discussion for the comparability ratings you provided in terms of their <u>price</u> :
LDW line pipe vs LDW structural pipe		

PART VII.—ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

VII-1.	not provide a narrative response box, please note the question number and the explanation in the space provided below.				

VII-2. **OMB statistics.**--Please report the actual number of hours required and the cost to your firm of completing this questionnaire.

Hours	Dollars

The questions in this questionnaire have been reviewed with market participants to ensure that issues of concern are adequately addressed and that data requests are sufficient, meaningful, and as limited as possible. Public reporting burden for this questionnaire is estimated to average 25 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering data, and completing and reviewing the questionnaire.

We welcome comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate, suggestions for reducing the burden, and any suggestions for improving this questionnaire. Please attach such comments to your response or send to the Office of Investigations, USITC, 500 E St. SW, Washington, DC 20436.

HOW TO FILE YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONSE

This questionnaire is available as a "fillable" form in MS Word format on the Commission's website at:

https://www.usitc.gov/investigations/701731/2018/large diameter welded pipe canada china greece/final.htm

Please do not attempt to modify the format or permissions of the questionnaire document. Please submit the completed questionnaire using one of the methods noted below. If your firm is unable to complete the MS Word questionnaire or cannot use one of the electronic methods of submission, please contact the Commission for further instructions.

• <u>Upload via Secure Drop Box</u>.—Upload the MS Word questionnaire along with a scanned copy of the signed certification page (page 1) through the Commission's secure upload facility:

Web address: https://dropbox.usitc.gov/oinv/ Pin: PIPE

• E-mail.—E-mail the MS Word questionnaire to aimee.larsen@usitc.gov; include a scanned copy of the signed certification page (page 1). Submitters are strongly encouraged to encrypt nonpublic documents that are electronically transmitted to the Commission to protect your sensitive information from unauthorized disclosure. The USITC secure drop-box system and the Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) use Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) 140-2 cryptographic algorithms to encrypt data in transit. Submitting your nonpublic documents by a means that does not use these encryption algorithms (such as by email) may subject your firm's nonpublic information to unauthorized disclosure during transmission. If you choose a non-encrypted method of electronic transmission, the Commission warns you that the risk of such possible unauthorized disclosure is assumed by you and not by the Commission.

If your firm does not purchase this product, please fill out page 1, print, sign, and submit a scanned copy to the Commission.

<u>Parties to this proceeding</u>.—If your firm is a party to this proceeding, it is required to serve a copy of the completed questionnaire on parties to the proceeding that are subject to administrative protective order (see 19 CFR § 207.7). A list of such parties may be obtained from the Commission's Secretary (202-205-1803). A certificate of service must accompany the completed questionnaire your firm submits (see 19 CFR § 207.7). Service of the questionnaire must be made in paper form.