U.S. PURCHASERS' QUESTIONNAIRE

SOFTWOOD LUMBER PRODUCTS FROM CANADA

This questionnaire must be received by the Commission by July 26, 2017 See last page for filing instructions.

The information called for in this questionnaire is for use by the United States International Trade Commission in connection with its countervailing duty and antidumping investigations concerning softwood lumber from Canada (Inv. Nos. 701-TA-566 and 731-TA-1342 (Final)). The information requested in the questionnaire is requested under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII. This report is mandatory and failure to reply as directed can result in a subpoena or other order to compel the submission of records or information in your possession (19 U.S.C. § 1333(a)). Further information on this questionnaire can be obtained from Andrew Knipe (202-205-2390, andrew.knipe@usitc.gov).

Name of firm _____

	State 7 in Code
	State Zip Code
Website Has your firm purchas any time since January	sed softwood lumber (as defined on next page) from <u>any</u> source (domestic or foreign) at y 1, 2014?
NO (Sign th	ne certification below and promptly return only this page of the questionnaire to the Commission
YES (Compl	lete all parts of the questionnaire, and return the entire questionnaire to the Commission)
following link: http	s://dropbox.usitc.gov/oinv/. (PIN: SWLBR)
ge and belief and under	CERTIFICATION herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and correct to the rstand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification by the Con also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract personnel
ge and belief and undering this certification I action provided in this question on the same or state of the control of the co	herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and correct to the rstand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification by the Conalso grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract personnel estionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury proceedings of
ge and belief and undering this certification I action provided in this question on the same or state of the control of the co	herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and correct to the rstand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification by the Consist grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract personnel estionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury proceedings assimilar merchandise. The that information submitted in response to this request for information and throws may be disclosed to and used: (i) by the Commission, its employees and Offices, maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including unternal employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. I understant in the contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. I understant in the contract personnel is solely for cybersecurity purposes.
ge and belief and undering this certification I action provided in this question on the same or state of the same	herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and correct to the restand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification by the Consist grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract personner estionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury proceedings as similar merchandise. The secondary of the commission in the commission and three secondary of the commission in the commission in the commission in the commission in the commission including until the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including until the commission i

PART I.—GENERAL INFORMATION

Background.--This proceeding was instituted in response to a petition filed on November 25, 2016, by *The Committee Overseeing Action for Lumber International Trade Investigations or Negotiations*. Countervailing and antidumping duties may be assessed on the subject imports as a result of these proceedings if the Commission makes an affirmative determination of injury, threat, or material retardation, and if the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Commerce") makes an affirmative determination of subsidization and/or dumping. Questionnaires and other information pertinent to this proceeding are available at

https://www.usitc.gov/investigations/701731/2017/softwood lumber canada/final.htm

Softwood lumber covered by these investigations is defined as follows: (1) coniferous wood, sawn, or chipped lengthwise, sliced or peeled, whether or not planed, sanded or finger-jointed, of a thickness exceeding six millimeters; (2) coniferous wood siding, flooring, and other coniferous wood (other than moldings and dowel rods), including strips and friezes for parquet flooring, that is continuously shaped (tongued, grooved, rebated, chamfered, V-jointed, beaded, molded, rounded) along any of its edges, ends, or faces, whether or not planed, whether or not sanded, or whether or not end-jointed; (3) coniferous drilled and notched lumber and angle cut lumber; (4) coniferous lumber stacked on edge and fastened together with nails, whether or not with plywood sheathing; and (5) Components or parts of semi-finished or unassembled finished products made from subject merchandise that would otherwise meet the definition of the scope above are within the scope of these investigations. Products conforming to the scope that may be classified by U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("Customs") as stringers, radius cut box-spring-frame components, fence pickets, truss components, pallet components, and door and window frame parts, are included within the scope of these investigations.

Softwood lumber products that are subject to these investigations are currently classifiable under the following ten-digit HTSUS subheadings: 4407.10.01.01; 4407.10.01.02; 4407.10.01.15; 4407.10.01.16; 4407.10.01.17; 4407.10.01.18; 4407.10.01.19; 4407.10.01.20; 4407.10.01.42; 4407.10.01.43; 4407.10.01.44; 4407.10.01.45; 4407.10.01.46; 4407.10.01.47; 4407.10.01.48; 4407.10.01.49; 4407.10.01.52; 4407.10.01.53; 4407.10.01.54; 4407.10.01.55; 4407.10.01.56; 4407.10.01.57; 4407.10.01.58; 4407.10.01.59; 4407.10.01.64; 4407.10.01.65; 4407.10.01.66; 4407.10.01.67; 4407.10.01.68; 4407.10.01.69; 4407.10.01.74; 4407.10.01.75; 4407.10.01.76; 4407.10.01.77; 4407.10.01.82; 4407.10.01.83; 4407.10.01.92; 4407.10.01.93; 4409.10.05.00; 4409.10.10.20; 4409.10.10.40; 4409.10.10.60; 4409.10.10.80; 4409.10.20.00; 4409.10.90.20; 4409.10.90.40; and 4418.90.25.00.

Subject merchandise may also be classified as stringers, square cut box-spring-frame components, fence pickets, truss components, pallet components, and door and window frame parts under the following ten-digit HTSUS subheadings: 4415.20.40.00; 4415.20.80.00; 4418.90.46.05; 4418.90.46.20; 4418.90.46.40; 4418.90.46.95; 4421.90.70.40; 4421.90.94.00; and 4421.90.97.80.

Reporting of information.--If information is not readily available from your records, provide carefully prepared estimates. If your firm is completing more than one questionnaire (i.e. a producer, importer, and/or purchaser questionnaire), you need not respond to duplicated questions.

PLEASE NOTE: If the January-June 2017 data (cells shaded a flesh color throughout this questionnaire) is not available when the questionnaire is due on **July 26, 2017**, please re-submit only the pages of the

questionnaire with the January-June 2017 when the data is available, but no later than **9:00am August 11, 2017**. Submissions prior to that date, if possible, are appreciated. Please re-submit the pages of the questionnaire with a cover letter indicating the submission is to provide the interim data and **DO NOT** make changes to any other part of the questionnaire, unless changes were requested by USITC staff. This does not extend the deadline for the rest of the questionnaire, which is July 26, 2017.

<u>Confidentiality</u>.--The commercial and financial data furnished in response to this questionnaire that reveal the individual operations of your firm will be treated as confidential by the Commission to the extent that such data are not otherwise available to the public and will not be disclosed except as may be required by law (see 19 U.S.C. § 1677f). Such confidential information will not be published in a manner that will reveal the individual operations of your firm; however, general characterizations of numerical business proprietary information (such as discussion of trends) will be treated as confidential business information only at the request of the submitter for good cause shown.

<u>Verification</u>.--The information submitted in this questionnaire is subject to audit and verification by the Commission. To facilitate possible verification of data, please keep all files, worksheets, and supporting documents used in the preparation of the questionnaire response. Please also retain a copy of the final document that you submit.

Release of information. -- The information provided by your firm in response to this questionnaire, as well as any other business proprietary information submitted by your firm to the Commission in connection with this proceeding, may become subject to, and released under, the administrative protective order provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1677f) and section 207.7 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.7). This means that certain lawyers and other authorized individuals may temporarily be given access to the information for use in connection with this proceeding or other import-injury proceedings conducted by the Commission on the same or similar merchandise; those individuals would be subject to severe penalties if the information were divulged to unauthorized individuals. In addition, if your firm is a U.S. producer, the information you provide on your production and imports of softwood lumber and your responses to the questions in Part I of the producer questionnaire will be provided to the U.S. Department of Commerce, upon its request, for use in connection with (and only in connection with) its requirement pursuant to section 702(c)(4)/732(c)(4) of the Act (19 U.S.C. § 1671a(c)(4)/1673a(c)(4)) to make a determination concerning the extent of industry support for the petition requesting this proceeding. Any information provided to Commerce will be transmitted under the confidentiality and release guidelines set forth above. Your responses to these questions constitute your consent that such information be provided to Commerce under the conditions described above.

I-1.	OMB statisticsPlease report the actual number of hours required and the cost to your firm of
	completing this questionnaire.

Hours	Dollars

The questions in this questionnaire have been reviewed with market participants to ensure that issues of concern are adequately addressed and that data requests are sufficient, meaningful, and as limited as possible. Public reporting burden for this questionnaire is estimated to average 25 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering data, and completing and reviewing the questionnaire.

We welcome comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate, suggestions for reducing the burden, and any suggestions for improving this questionnaire. Please attach such comments to your response or send to the Office of Investigations, USITC, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436.

	one establishment should combine the data for all establishments into a single report.
	by this questionnaire, if different from that listed on the cover page. Firms operating more than
I-2.	<u>Establishments covered</u> Provide the name and address of your U.S. establishment(s) covered

facilities.	
	firm owned, in whole or in part, by any other firm? YesList the following information.

No Ye	sList the following information.	
Firm name	Country	Affiliation
produce softwood lum	oes your firm have any related firr ber? List the following information.	ns, either domestic or foreign, w

PART II.--PURCHASES

<u>Contact information</u>.--Please identify the responsible individual and the manner by which Commission staff may contact that individual regarding the confidential information submitted in this questionnaire.

Name	
Title	
Email	
Telephone	
Fax	

II-1. <u>Purchases.</u>--Report your firm's total U.S. purchases of softwood lumber. Please report separately for your purchases from U.S. producers and importers, and your imports for which your firm was the importer of record.

"Purchase" – A transaction to buy softwood lumber from a U.S. corporate entity such as a U.S. producer, a U.S. distributor, or a U.S. firm that has imported the product.

"Import" – A transaction to buy softwood lumber from a foreign supplier in which your firm is the importer of record.

Item	2014	2015	2016	Jan-June 2016	Jan-June 2017
	Quantity (in mbf)				
Purchases of softwood lumber produced in United States					
Canada					
All other countries:1					
Sources unknown					
Total purchases	0	0	0	0	0
¹ Please identify these countries:					

Item	2014	2015	2016	Jan-June 2016	Jan-June 2017
	Quantity (in mbf)				
Imports of softwood Iumber produced in Canada					
All other countries:1					
Sources unknown					
Total imports	0	0	0	0	0
¹ Please identify these countries:					

II-2. <u>Changes in purchasing patterns.</u>--Please indicate how the shares of your firm's purchases of softwood lumber from different sources have changed since January 1, 2014.

Source of purchases	Did not purchase	Decreased	Increased	Constant	Fluctuated	Explanation for trend
United States						
Canada						
All other countries						
Sources unknown						
Sources animown						

II-3.	<u>Purchases from one country only</u> If your firm has purchased softwood lumber from only one country, please explain the reasons for doing so.			
	,,, ,			

II-4. <u>Supplier identification.</u>--Please list your firm's <u>FIVE</u> largest suppliers for softwood lumber since January 1, 2014. Also, provide the share of the quantity of your firm's total purchases of softwood lumber that each of these suppliers accounted for in 2016.

No.	Supplier's name	City and state	Share of quantity of 2016 purchases
1			%
2			%
3			%
4			%
5			%

PART III.--MARKET CHARACTERISTICS AND PURCHASING PRACTICES

III-1.	Firm typeWhich of the following best describes your firm as a purchaser of softwood lumber
	(check all that apply)?

Distributor	Retailer	Other (e.g., home builder, lumber yard, pallet maker, treater, etc.)	Describe other

If your firm is a distributor of softwood lumber, please answer questions III-2 and III-3.

III-2.	<u>Competition for salesDoes your firm compete for sales to customers with the manufacturers</u>
	or importers from which your firm purchases softwood lumber?

No	Yes	If yes, please describe.

III-3.	<u>Types of customers.</u> What are the major types of consumers to which your firm sells softwood lumber?

If your firm is an end user of softwood lumber, please answer questions III-4 and III-5.

III-4. End uses.--

(a) List the top 3 products your firm makes using softwood lumber and estimate the percent of your <u>total production cost</u> that is accounted for by softwood lumber vs. other inputs (such as labor, energy, and other raw materials).

	Share of total cost in each produces ac				Total (should
Product(s) your firm produces	Softwood lumber		Other inputs		sum to 100.0% across)
	%	+	%	=	0.0 %
	%	+	%	=	0.0 %
	%	+	%	=	0.0 %

(b) List the top 3 end use appplications (e.g. interior wall framing, decking, etc.) by your firm or its customers using softwood lumber and estimate the percent of the <u>total</u> <u>production cost</u> that is accounted for by softwood lumber vs. other inputs (such as labor, energy, and other raw materials).

	Share of total cost in each produces ac		• • • • •		Total (should
End use(s) by your firm or its customers	Softwood lumber		Other inputs		sum to 100.0% across)
	%	+	%	Ш	0.0 %
	%	+	%	=	0.0 %
	%	+	%	=	0.0 %

III-5.	Demand	d for end	use pro	ducts					
	(a)			•	•			porating softwood lumber (such as nuary 1, 2014?	
		Increas	sed	No chang	ge De	crease	d	Fluctuated	
	(b)	Has this h	nad any	effect on y	your firm's (deman	d for s	oftwood lumber?	
		No	Yes				E	xplain	
III-6.	Substitu	utesCar	other	products b	e substitute	ed for so	oftwo	od lumber?	
		☐ No		YesPle	ease fill out	the tak	ole.		
			Fı	nd use in w	thich this			changes in the price of this substitu cted the price for softwood lumber	
	Substitu	ute		substitute		No	Yes	Explanation	
1.									
2.									
3.									
III-7.	outside	of the Un	ited Sta	ates (if kno	wn) has cha	nged s	ince Ja	er within the United States and anuary 1, 2014. Explain any trends changes in demand.	
	Market		verall crease	No change	Overall decrease		uate n no trend	Explanation and factors	
			-				_		
Wit	thin the L	J.S.		Ш		L			

ı	11	2	Durchacars'	Questionnaire	Softwood	lumba
ι	IJ`	`	PHICHASERS	Chiestionnaire	- Sottwood	IIImbei

III-8.		-		u or your customers ever specifically ord rother possible sources of supply?	er softwood lumber from
	No	Yes	If yes, ide	ntify the countries and explain.	
III-9.	percenta	ge of yo		omestic productPlease fill out the table al 2016 purchases of softwood lumber th ed States.	
					Estimated percentage of your firm's total 2016 purchases of softwood lumber
Purch	ases that o	did not r	equire dom	estic product	%
			-	w or regulation to be domestic product 'Buy American' provisions)	%
Purch	ases that v	were not		y law or regulation, but were required	%
Purch (explo		were req	uired to be	domestic product for other reasons	%
7	Fotal (shou	ld sum t	o 100.0%)		0.0 %
III-10.	(a) I	s the sof		per market subject to business cycles (oth or other conditions of competition disti	-
Check	call that ap	oply.		Please describe.	
	No			Skip to question III-11.	
	Yes-Bus seasona	-			
		er distin	ctive mpetition		

	III-10.	Conditions	of com	petition.	continued
--	---------	-------------------	--------	-----------	-----------

(b)	Have there been any changes in the business cycles or conditions of competition for
	softwood lumber since January 1, 2014?

No	Yes	If yes, describe.

III-11. <u>Decisions based on producer, country-of-origin, and species.</u>—How often does your firm, and if known, do your customers, make purchasing decisions involving softwood lumber based on the producer, country of origin, or species of softwood lumber?

	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Never	If at least sometimes, please explain, noting the reasons for your response.
		Decis	ion based on p	roducer	
Your firm					
Your customers					
		Decision	based on coun	try of ori	gin
Your firm					
Your customers					
		Decis	sions based on	species	
Your firm					
Your customers					

		D /	0	C = £4	
ι	J.S.	Purchasers	Questionnaire	- Sottwood	lumber

III-12.	Availability of supplyHas the availability of softwood lumber in the U.S. market changed since
	January 1, 2014?

Availa	ability ir	the U.S.	market	No	Yes			•	noting the countries ons for the changes.
U.Spı	roduced	product							
Canad	ian proc	luct							
Produc	ct from	all other	sources						
III-13.	softwo	od lumbo olled ord	er since Ja er entry,"	nuary declir	/ 1, 20: ning to	14 (ex acce _l	amples inclu ot new custo	de placin mers or r	ble to supply your firm with g customers on allocation or enew existing customers, timely shipment commitments,
	No	Yes	If yes, p	lease (descril	oe.			
III-14.			pecific pr ertain co				certain grad	es/types/	sizes of softwood lumber only
	No	Yes	If yes, p	lease i	identif	y the	countries ar	d the gra	de/type/size.
III-15.	Purcha	_	uency equently o	does y	our fir	m ma	ke purchases	s of softw	ood lumber (check one)?
	Daily	Weekly	Mont	thly	Quar	terly	Annually	Other	If other, specify
	(b)	Has this	purchasi	ng fre	quenc	y char	nged since Ja	nuary 1,	2014?
	No	Yes If	yes, plea	se des	cribe.				

U.S. Pu	rchaser	s' Ques	stionnaire - Softwood lumber Page 14
III-16.			uppliers contactedHow many suppliers does your firm generally contact before chase? Between and firms.
III-17.	<u>Suppli</u>	er nego	otiations
	(a)	-	our firm's purchases of softwood lumber usually involve negotiations between lier and purchaser?
	No	Yes	If yes, explain the factors your firm generally negotiates and note whether your firm quotes competing prices during negotiations.
	(b)		your firm issue requests for proposals or other written contract solicitations to liers of softwood lumber?
	No	Yes	If yes, how often do such requests for proposal or contract solicitations specify the species of softwood lumber?
			Always Frequently Sometimes Never
III-18.	<u>Chang</u>	<u>e in su</u> r	ppliersHas your firm changed suppliers since January 1, 2014?
	No	Yes	If yes, please list the supplier(s), whether the firm was added or dropped, and the reasons for the change.
III-19.			rsAre you aware of any new suppliers, either foreign or domestic, that have market since January 1, 2014?
	No	Yes	If yes, please identify the firms.

3.

III-20. <u>Supplier qualification</u>.--Do you require your suppliers to be or to become certified or qualified to sell softwood lumber to your firm?

If yes, provide the following information.

- The average number of days to qualify a new supplier.
- A general description of the certification or qualification process. Also, a brief description of the factors that you consider when qualifying a new supplier (e.g., quality of product, reliability of supplier, etc.). (If the process or number of days differs between suppliers, please describe).

No	Yes	Average number of days	Process and factors
III-21.	attem		January 1, 2014, have any domestic or foreign producers failed in their alify their softwood lumber with your firm or have any producers lost
No	Yes		tify these firms, the countries where they are located, and the failed the certification/qualification.
III-22.	consid specie	ders in deciding fror	s Please list, in order of their importance, the main factors your firm m whom to purchase softwood lumber (examples include availability, dit, contracts, price, quality, range of supplier's product line, traditional

Please list any other factors that are very important in your purchase decisions:

III-23. <u>Purchasing factors.</u>—Please rate the importance of the following factors in your firm's purchasing decisions for softwood lumber.

Factor	Very important	Somewhat important	Not important
Availability, Species			
Availability, All			
Delivery terms			
Delivery time			
Discounts offered			
Extension of credit			
Geographic proximity			
Grade			
Minimum quantity requirements			
Packaging			
Price			
Product consistency			
Product range			
Quality meets industry standards			
Quality exceeds industry standards			
Reliability of supply			
Strength rating			
Technical support/service			
Treatability			
U.S. transportation costs			

III-24. Species importance.--

(a) How aware are you and your customers of the species or species groups of the softwood lumber you purchase?

Your firm	Always 🗌	Frequently 🗌	Sometimes	Never
Your customers	Always 🗌	Frequently 🗌	Sometimes	Never

III-24. Species importance.--continued

(b) If you were to substitute species used for particular applications, would you need to change construction techniques or volumes of softwood lumber used?

Yes	No
If yes, please explain:	

(c) For each of the applications listed below, please indicate the frequency that you or your customers have used or are willing to substitute other species for the preferred species based on differences in price.

Please indicate A, F, S, N, or 0 in the table below:

A = always (preferred specie(s))

F = frequently

S = sometimes

N = never

0 = no familiarity or N/A

	Species used							
Application	SPF	Doug Fir	Hem-Fir	SYP	Cedar / Redwood	Other ¹		
Framing/wall studs								
Headers								
Floor joists								
Roof trusses								
Roof rafters								
Decks and deck structures								
Fencing								
Shipping/packing/ Industrial								
Other significant applications (specify) ¹								

Note: If you do not purchase softwood lumber for the specified application, please enter "0".

Additional comments:

¹ Please specify:

II- 2 5.	Quality characteristics.		s does your	firm conside	r when determi	ning the
	quality of softwood lum	ber?				
I-26.	Minimum qualityHow minimum quality specifi				•	neet
	Source	Always	Usually	Sometime	Rarely or never	Don't know
	United States					
	Canada					
	Other:		П			
	lumber that is offered a	Usually	Som	etimes	Never	
			[
II-28.	Changes in species base differences in prices am	-	en does you	ır firm chang	e species based	on
	Always	Frequently	Someti	mes	Never	
	•					
III- 2 9.	Choice of product not be source although a comp price, please explain you	parable product was a	available fro	m another c	ountry source a	

115	Durchacarc'	Questionnaire	- Softwood	lumhar
U.J.	ruitiaseis	Questionnane	- JUILWUUU	IUIIIDEI

III-30.	Price leadersPlease list the names of any firms you considered price leaders in the softwood
	lumber market since January 1, 2014.

A price leader is defined as (1) one or more firms that initiate a price change, either upward or downward, that is followed by other firms, or (2) one or more firms that have a significant impact on prices. A price leader is not necessarily the lowest-priced supplier.

Firm(s)	Describe how the firm(s) exhibited price leadership	

III-31. Purchasing subject imports rather than domestic products.--

(a) Since January 2014, did your firm purchase imports of softwood lumber from Canada instead of U.S.-produced softwood lumber?

	Yes	No
	(also respond to parts (b) and (c))	(If "No" skip to next question)
/b)	If	the important are direct from Council and a residual larger

(b) If you responded "Yes" to part (a), was the imported product from Canada priced lower than the domestic product?

Yes	No

(c) If you responded "Yes" to part (a), was price a primary reason for purchasing imports from Canada rather than domestic product?

Yes	If Yes, estimate the quantity of imports from Canada purchased instead of domestic product since January 2014 (in mbf)	No	If No, please indicate the reason your firm purchased imports from Canada instead of domestic product

III-32. U.S. producers and import competition.--

(a) Since January 1, 2014, in connection with a sale or offer to sell softwood lumber to your firm, did U.S. producers reduce their prices of domestically produced softwood lumber in order to compete with lower-priced imports of softwood lumber from Canada?

Yes	No	
(also respond to question part (b))	(If No, skip to next question)	Don't know

III-32. <u>U.S. producers and import competition</u>.--continued

(b) If your firm responded "yes", please provide an estimate of the reduction in U.S. producers' prices, and any additional explanations, such as timing (e.g., months/years), frequency of price reductions, or other market/competitive factors.

Estimated reduction in U.S. prices (percent)	Additional explanation, including such information as timing (e.g., months/years), frequency of price reductions, or other market/competitive factors
%	

III-33. Price trends.--How have the prices for softwood lumber changed since January 1, 2014?

Overall increase	No change	Overall decrease	Fluctuate with no clear trend	Explain why prices have changed this way

PART IV.—PRODUCT COMPARISONS

IV-1. <u>Country knowledge.</u>--Please indicate the countries of origin for softwood lumber for which your firm has actual marketing/pricing knowledge.

United States	Canada	Other countries	Other countries (specify)

IV-2. <u>Interchangeability</u>.--Is softwood lumber produced in the United States and in other countries interchangeable (*i.e.*, can they physically be used in the same applications)?

Please indicate A, F, S, N, or 0 in the table below:

- A = the products from a specified country-pair are *always* interchangeable
- F = the products are *frequently* interchangeable
- S = the products are *sometimes* interchangeable
- N = the products are *never* interchangeable
- 0 = *no familiarity* with products from a specified country-pair

Country-pair	Canada	Other countries	
United States			
Canada			
For any country-pair producing softwood lumber that is <i>sometimes</i> or <i>never</i> interchangeable, identify the country-pair and explain the factors that limit or preclude interchangeable use:			

IV-3. **Factors other than price.**--Are differences other than price (*e.g.*, quality, availability, transportation network, product range, technical support, *etc.*) between softwood lumber produced in the United States and in other countries a significant factor in your firm's purchases of the products?

Please indicate A, F, S, N, or 0 in the table below:

A = such differences are *always* significant

F = such differences are *frequently* significant

S = such differences are *sometimes* significant

N = such differences are *never* significant

0 = *no familiarity* with products from a specified country-pair

Country-pair	Canada	Other countries		
United States				
Canada				
For any country-pair for which factors other than price <i>always</i> or <i>frequently</i> are a significant factor in your firm's sales of softwood lumber, identify the country-pair and report the advantages or disadvantages imparted by such factors:				

IV-4. <u>Factor country comparisons.</u>--For the factors listed below, please rate how softwood lumber produced in each country you identified in your response to the first question in Part IV compares with softwood lumber produced in each of the other countries you identified.

If you are unfamiliar with the product from a particular country, please leave the boxes for those country comparisons blank.

	<u>Un</u> co	oduct fr ited Sta mpared oduct fr Canada	tes to om	Un co pro	oduct fr ited Sta mpared oduct fr her cou	tes to om	<u>Canada</u>	oduct fr <u>a</u> compa oduct fr her cou	ared to om
Factor	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior
Availability, Species									
Availability, All									
Delivery terms									
Delivery time									
Discounts offered									
Extension of credit									
Geographic proximity									
Grade									
Minimum quantity requirements									
Packaging									
Price ¹									
Product consistency									
Product range									
Quality meets industry standards									
Quality exceeds industry standards									
Reliability of supply									
Strength rating									
Technical support/service									
Treatability									
U.S. transportation costs ¹									

¹ A rating of superior on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

PART V.--ALTERNATIVE PRODUCT INFORMATION

Further information on this part of the questionnaire can be obtained from Fred Ruggles (202-205-3187, fred.ruggles@usitc.gov).

Cedar/redwood lumber.-- All merchandise that matches the product description of "softwood lumber" provided on page 2 made from Western Red Cedar (Thuja plicata), Redwood (Sequoia sempervirens), Atlantic White Cedar (Chamaecyparis thyoides), Port Orford Cedar (Chamaecyparis lawsoniana), and Alaskan Yellow Cedar (Cupressus nootkatensis), and any other cedar tree.

All other softwood lumber.--All other merchandise that matches the product description of "softwood lumber" provided on page 2 less cedar/redwood lumber as described above.

Note.--The alternative product comparison question (i.e., IV-1 below) is being asked in multiple questionnaire types (U.S. producers', U.S. importers' and U.S. purchasers' questionnaires). If your firm is completing more than one of these three questionnaire types in relation to this proceeding, please respond to the alternative product comparisons question in only **one questionnaire type**. In general, your firm should make these comparisons in the questionnaire type that is most relevant to your firm's role in the market. In particular, if your firm is a Canadian-owned U.S. producer that imports softwood lumber from Canada respond to the comparisons in your U.S. importers' questionnaire. If your firm is a U.S.-owned U.S. producer with operations in Canada, please respond to these comparisons in the U.S. producers' questionnaire. Data tables, however, in the "alternative production information" section should be completed in all relevant questionnaire types.

- V-1. Comparability of cedar/redwood lumber and all other softwood lumber.—For each of the following, indicate whether cedar/redwood lumber and all other softwood lumber are: fully comparable or the same, *i.e.*, have no differentiation between them; mostly comparable or similar; somewhat comparable or similar; never or not-at-all comparable or similar; or no familiarity with products.
 - (a) <u>Characteristics and Uses</u>.--The differences and similarities in the physical characteristics and end uses. (Check only one).

Cedar/redwood lumber vs all other softwood lumber

	Mostly	Somewhat	Not at all	
Fully comparable	comparable	comparable	comparable	NA/no familiarity
Please provide a na characteristics and	arrative discussion fo uses:	or the comparability	ratings you provide	ed in terms of their

V-1.	Comparability	of cedar	/redwood	lumber and	all other	softwood	lumber.	Continued
------	---------------	----------	----------	------------	-----------	----------	---------	-----------

(b) <u>Interchangeability</u>.--The ability to use interchangeably in the same end use applications. (Check only one).

<u>Cedar/redwood lumber</u> vs <u>all other softwood lumber</u>

Fully	Mostly	Somewhat	Not at all	NA/no
interchangeable	interchangeable	interchangeable	interchangeable	familiarity
Please provide a na their <u>interchangeal</u>		or the comparability	ratings you provide	d in terms of
manufactu	red in the same faci , and using the same	uction processes, an lities, from the same e employees. (Check umber vs all other so	inputs, on the sam only one).	-
		Somewhat the	Not at all the	
Fully the same	Mostly the same	same	same	NA/no familiarity
Please provide a na manufacturing pro		or the comparability	ratings you provide	d in terms of their

V-1. Comparability of cedar/redwood lumber and all other softwood lumber.--Continued

(d) <u>Channels of distribution</u>.--Channels of distribution/market situation through which they are sold. (Check only one).

<u>Cedar/redwood lumber</u> vs <u>all other softwood lumber</u>

	Mostly	Somewhat	Not at all	
Fully comparable	comparable	comparable	comparable	NA/no familiarity
Please provide a na channels of distribu		or the comparability	ratings you provide	ed in terms of their
	in the market (e.g.,	ptions Perceptions sales/marketing pro umber vs all other s	actices). (Check only	=
	Mostly	Somewhat	Not at all	
Fully comparable	comparable	comparable	comparable	NA/no familiarity
Please provide a na customer and prod		or the comparability	ratings you provide	ed in terms of their

V-1.	Comparability	of cedar	/redwood	l lumber an	d all other	r softwood I	umberContinued
------	---------------	----------	----------	-------------	-------------	--------------	----------------

Price.--Whether prices are comparable or differ. (Check only one). (f)

Fully comparable	Mostly comparable	Somewha comparabl		Not at all comparable	NA/no familiarit
prices:					
Substitutes Can o	thar products be sub-	ctituted for c	odor/r	codwood lumbor?	
SubstitutesCan or	ther products be subs			edwood lumber?	
SubstitutesCan o	ther products be subs			edwood lumber?	
	YesPlease f	fill out the tak	ole. Have (changes in the pri	
	<u> </u>	fill out the tak	ole. Have (changes in the price for	
□ No	YesPlease f	fill out the tak	Have	changes in the price for	softwood lumber
□ No	YesPlease f	fill out the tak	Have	changes in the price for	softwood lumber?
□ No	YesPlease f	fill out the tak	Have	changes in the price for	ce of this substitu softwood lumber? planation

Market	Overall increase	No change	Overall decrease	Fluctuate with no clear trend	Explanation and factors
Within the U.S.					
Outside the U.S.					

V-4. <u>Interchangeability.--</u>Is cedar/redwood lumber produced in the United States and in other countries interchangeable (*i.e.*, can they physically be used in the same applications)?

Please indicate A, F, S, N, or 0 in the table below:

- A = the products from a specified country-pair are *always* interchangeable
- F = the products are *frequently* interchangeable
- S = the products are *sometimes* interchangeable
- N = the products are *never* interchangeable
- 0 = *no familiarity* with products from a specified country-pair

Country-pair	Canada	Other countries
United States		
Canada		
	pair producing cedar/redwood lumbe identify the country-pair and explain use:	

V-5. <u>Factors other than price</u>.--Are differences other than price (*e.g.*, quality, availability, transportation network, product range, technical support, *etc.*) between cedar/redwood lumber produced in the United States and in other countries a significant factor in your firm's purchases of the products?

Please indicate A, F, S, N, or 0 in the table below:

A = such differences are *always* significant

F = such differences are *frequently* significant

S = such differences are *sometimes* significant

N = such differences are *never* significant

0 = *no familiarity* with products from a specified country-pair

Country-pair	Canada	Other countries
United States		
Canada		
significant factor	pair for which factors other than price in your firm's sales of cedar/redwood dvantages or disadvantages imparted	l lumber, identify the country-pair

PART VI.--OTHER EXPLANATIONS

VI-1.	<u>Other explanations</u> If your firm would like to further explain a response to any question that did not provide a narrative response box, please note the question number and the explanation in the space provided below.

HOW TO FILE YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONSE

This questionnaire is available as a "fillable" form in MS Word format on the Commission's website at:

https://www.usitc.gov/investigations/701731/2017/softwood lumber canada/final.htm

Please do not attempt to modify the format or permissions of the questionnaire document. Please submit the completed questionnaire using one of the methods noted below. If your firm is unable to complete the MS Word questionnaire or cannot use one of the electronic methods of submission, please contact the Commission for further instructions.

• <u>Upload via Secure Drop Box</u>.—Upload the MS Word questionnaire along with a scanned copy of the signed certification page (page 1) through the Commission's secure upload facility:

Web address: https://dropbox.usitc.gov/oinv/ Pin: SWLBR

• E-mail.—E-mail the MS Word questionnaire to andrew.knipe@usitc.gov; include a scanned copy of the signed certification page (page 1). Submitters are strongly encouraged to encrypt nonpublic documents that are electronically transmitted to the Commission to protect your sensitive information from unauthorized disclosure. The USITC secure drop-box system and the Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) use Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) 140-2 cryptographic algorithms to encrypt data in transit. Submitting your nonpublic documents by a means that does not use these encryption algorithms (such as by email) may subject your firm's nonpublic information to unauthorized disclosure during transmission. If you choose a non-encrypted method of electronic transmission, the Commission warns you that the risk of such possible unauthorized disclosure is assumed by you and not by the Commission.

If your firm does not purchase this product, please fill out page 1, print, sign, and submit a scanned copy to the Commission.

<u>Parties to this proceeding</u>.—If your firm is a party to this proceeding, it is required to serve a copy of the completed questionnaire on parties to the proceeding that are subject to administrative protective order (see 19 CFR § 207.7). A list of such parties may be obtained from the Commission's Secretary (202-205-1803). A certificate of service must accompany the completed questionnaire your firm submits (see 19 CFR § 207.7). Service of the questionnaire must be made in paper form.