

SUPPORTING STATEMENT A
FOR PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION
Generic Clearance for Improving Customer Experience
(OMB Circular A-11, Section 280 Implementation)

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a hard copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information, or you may provide a valid URL link or paste the applicable section. Specify the review type of the collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change). If revised, briefly specify the changes. If a rulemaking is involved, make note of the sections or changed sections, if applicable.

On September 11, 1993, President Clinton issued Executive Order 12862, “Setting Customer Service Standards” which clearly define his vision that the Federal agencies will put the people first. Executive Order 12862 directs Federal agencies to provide service to the public that matches or exceeds the best service available in the private sector. Section 1(b) of Executive Order 12862 requires government agencies to “survey customers to determine the kind and quality of services they want and their level of satisfaction with existing services” and Section 1(e) requires agencies “survey front-line employees on barriers to, and ideas for, matching the best in business.”

On March 30, 2016, President Obama established the Core Federal Services Council, which again emphasized the need to deliver world-class customer service to the American people. The Council, composed of the major high-volume, high-impact Federal programs that provide transactional services directly to the public, was encouraged to “improve the customer experience by using public and private sector management best practices, such as conducting self-assessments and journey mapping, collecting transactional feedback data, and sharing such data with frontline and other staff.” OMB Memorandum, M-16-08 *-Establishment of the Core Federal Services Council*. (3/30/2016)

In March 2018, the Administration of President Trump launched the President’s Management Agenda (PMA) and established new Cross-Agency Priority (CAP) Goals. Excellent service was established as a core component of the mission, service, stewardship model that frames the entire PMA, embedding a customer-focused approach in all of the PMA’s initiatives. This model was also included in the 2018 update of the Federal Performance Framework in OMB Circular A-11, ensuring ‘excellent service’ as a focus in future agency strategic planning efforts. The PMA included a CAP Goal on Improving Customer Experience with Federal Services, with a primary strategy to drive improvements within 25 of the nation’s highest impact programs. This effort is supported by an interagency team and guidance in OMB Circular A-11 requiring the collection of customer feedback data and increasing the use of industry best practices to conduct customer research. A copy of each pertinent referenced authority is attached.

These Presidential actions and requirements establish an ongoing process of collecting customer insights and using them to improve services. This new request will enable the U.S. Small Business Administration (hereafter “the Agency”) to act in accordance with OMB Circular A-11, Section 280 to ultimately transform the experience of the Agency’s customers by improving both efficiency and mission delivery, and increasing accountability by communicating about these efforts to the public.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

The Agency will collect, analyze, and interpret information gathered through this generic clearance to identify services’ accessibility, navigation, and use by customers, and make improvements in service delivery based on customer insights gathered through developing an understanding of the user experience interacting with Government.

For the purposes of this request, "customers" are individuals, businesses, and organizations that interact with a Federal Government agency or program, either directly or via a Federal contractor.

"Service delivery" or "services" refers to the multitude of diverse interactions between a customer and Federal agency such as applying for a benefit, contract, or loan, receiving a service such as healthcare or small business counseling, requesting a document such as a passport or social security card, complying with a rule or regulation such as filing taxes or declaring goods, utilizing resources such as a park or historical site, or seeking information such as public health or consumer protection notices.

Under this request for generic clearance, three types of activities will be conducted to generate customer insights:

Customer Research (User Persona and Journey Map Development): A critical first component of understanding customer experience is to develop customer personas and journey maps. This process enables the Agency to more deeply understand the customer segments they serve and to organize the processes customers interact with throughout their engagement with the Federal entity to accomplish a task or meet a need. In order to adequately capture the perspective of the customer and the barriers or supports that exist as they navigate these journeys, it is necessary to directly interact with customers rather than relying solely upon the Agency’s stated policy of how a process should work or employees’ interpretation of how services are delivered. This can occur through a variety of information collection mechanisms that include focus groups, individual in-person or phone interviews, individual intercept interviews at a service site, shadowing a user as they navigate a Federal service and documenting their reactions and frustrations, customer free-response comment cards, or informal small discussion groups.

Regardless of the format, the Agency will apply Human Centered Design (HCD) Discovery methods to generate personas and journey maps, ultimately identifying customer insights. HCD helps groups solve problems and seek solutions in a way that prioritizes customer needs. An approach to recruiting participants, resources for preparing and structuring interviews, and a consent form for interviewees can be found at <https://www.gsa.gov/cdnstatic/HCD-Discovery-Guide-Interagency-v12-1.pdf>. This document is also included in the package.

Insights documented, summarized, and presented in customer personas and journey maps can then be shared across the program, the Agency, other Federal, State, and Local government stakeholders and even with the public to validate and discuss common themes identified. These products can be used as “indicator lights” for where more rigorous qualitative and quantitative research can be conducted to improve Federal service delivery.

Publicly shared personas and journey maps will include language that qualifies their use (see question #16), and high-level, non-identifying descriptive statistics of the population(s) interviewed (ex. “25 Service members that transitioned to civilian employment within the last decade: 14 female, 11 male; 21 enlisted and 4 officers) to ensure that the perspective represented is understood. Quotes or insights will never be associated with an actual individual unless they have signed a release form (see the HCS Discovery Guide above for template) and this was included in the specific collection request.

Customer Feedback (Satisfaction Survey): Surveys to be considered under this generic clearance will be designed to improve customer service. In an effort to develop comparable, government-wide scores that will enable cross-agency or industry benchmarking (when relevant) and a general indication of an agency’s overall customer satisfaction, OMB Circular A-11, Section 280 requires high impact services to measure their touchpoint/transactional performance in as real-time manner as possible with respect to satisfaction and confidence/trust using the following questions, without modification. Responses will be assessed on a 5-point Likert scale (1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree)) when using OMB Circular A-11, Section 280 as the survey model. These questions were developed in consultation with leading organizations in customer experience both in the private sector and industry groups that study the most critical drivers of customer experience.

- 5 point Likert scale: *I am satisfied with the service I received from [Program/Service name].*
- 5 point Likert scale: *This interaction increased my confidence in [Program/Service name]. OR I trust [Agency/Program/Service name] to fulfill our country’s commitment to [relevant population].*
- Free response: *Any additional feedback on your scores above?*
- 5 point Likert scale: *My need was addressed OR My issue was resolved. OR I found what I was looking for.*
- 5 point Likert scale: *It was easy to complete what I needed to do.*

- 5 point Likert scale: *It took a reasonable amount of time to do what I needed to do.*
- 5 point Likert scale: *I was treated fairly.*
- 5 point Likert scale: *Employees I interacted with were helpful.*
- Free response: *Any additional feedback for [Program/Service name]?*

The Agency may add a few additional questions to those listed above to clarify type of service received, inquiry type, service center location, or other program-specific questions that can help program managers to filter and make use of the feedback data.

As part of the Customer Experience CAP goal's strategy to increase transparency to drive accountability, the feedback data collected is meant to be shared with the public. This collection is part of the government-wide effort to embed standardized customer metrics within high-impact programs to create government-wide performance dashboards. Data collected directly relating to Sec. 280 will be submitted by the Agency to OMB quarterly for updating of customer experience dashboards on performance.gov. Other collections may be published on the Agency's website in the form of reports or formative evaluation studies.

User Testing of Services and Digital Products: Agencies should continually review, update and refine their service delivery, including activities, communication materials, processes, supporting reference materials, and digital products associated with a Federal program. This often requires "field testing" program informational materials, process updates, forms, or digital products (such as websites or mobile applications) by interacting with past, existing, or future customers and soliciting feedback. These activities can include cognitive laboratory studies, such as those used to refine questions on a program form to ensure clarity; demo kiosks at a service center where customers can provide informal feedback while waiting for a service; or more formally scheduled in-person observation testing (e.g., website or software usability tests) and structured customer experience feedback surveys. These information collection activities are more specific than broad customer research and related to a particular artifact / product of a Federal program. As such, there will be a more structured interview / set of survey questions than more open-ended customer research. Findings from these activities are meant to support the design, implementation, and management of Federal program services, activities, and materials, including digital products, and may only be shared in an anonymized / in aggregate form and if a particular insight is useful to include as part of a customer persona, journey map, or common lesson learned for improving service delivery.

The Agency will only submit under this generic clearance if it meets the following conditions:

- The collections are voluntary;
- The collections are low-burden for respondents (based on considerations of total burden hours or burden-hours per respondent) and are low-cost for both the respondents and the Federal Government;

- The collections are non-controversial and do not raise issues of concern to other Federal agencies;
- Any collection is targeted to the solicitation of opinions from respondents who have experience with the program or may have experience with the program in the near future;
- Personally Identifiable Information (PII) is collected only to the extent necessary and is not retained;
- Information gathered is intended to be used for general service improvement and program management purposes;
- Upon agreement between OMB and the agency all or a subset of information may be released as part of A-11, Section 280 requirements on performance.gov. Summaries of customer research and user testing activities may also be included in public-facing agency publications.

This clearance will help the Agency to establish a process where customer experience is regularly monitored, measured, and better understood. The results will assist the Agency in the planning and decision-making processes to improve the quality of the Agency's products and services.

Results from feedback activities and surveys may be used to measure against established baseline standards and for measuring the Agency's progress toward defined goals.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision of adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration given to using technology to reduce burden.

There are neither legal nor technical obstacles to the use of technology in these information collection activities. The determination to use technology, and which technology to use, will be based on the type of information collected and the utility and the availability of specific technology to each respondent in a proposed customer research activity or feedback survey.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

Before undertaking any data collection effort under this clearance, the Agency will ensure that data does not already exist elsewhere (e.g., administrative data). The Agency will work to ensure the streamlining of all customer research and feedback surveys under and will also work to align existing customer feedback surveys and questions with the OMB Circular A-11, Section 280, where appropriate, as part of a coordinated Agency-wide customer program.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden. A small entity may be (1) a small business which is deemed to be one that is independently owned and operated and that is not dominant in its

field of operation; (2) a small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field; or (3) a small government jurisdiction, which is a government of a city, county, town, township, school district, or special district with a population of less than 50,000.

The information collected in these surveys will represent the minimum burden necessary to evaluate customer experience with the Agency's programs and processes. The Agency will minimize the burden on respondents by sampling as appropriate; asking for readily available information; using administrative data whenever available; and using short, easy-to-complete information collection instruments.

6. Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

Without regular mechanisms for collecting and generating customer insights, the Agency is not able to provide the public with the highest level of service. These activities will be coordinated to ensure that most individual respondents will not be asked to respond to more than one survey instrument per transaction or to participate in more than one qualitative feedback or testing activity.

7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:

- **requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;**
- **requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;**
- **requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;**
- **requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;**
- **in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results than can be generalized to the universe of study;**
- **requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;**
- **that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or that unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or**
- **requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.**

These surveys will be consistent with all the guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5, especially those provisions in paragraph (d)(2)(v), which require that a statistical survey be designed to produce results that can be generalized to the universe of study. There are no special circumstances that would cause this information collection to be conducted in an unusual or intrusive manner. All participation will be voluntary. Should the Agency need to deviate from the requirements outlined in 5 CFR 1320, individual justification will be provided to OMB on a case-by-case basis.

8. As applicable, state that the Department has published the 60 and 30 Federal Register notices as required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

The 60-day notice was published in the Federal Register on July 11, 2019, at 84 FR 33113.¹ There were no comments received.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees with meaningful justification.

The standard will be no payment or gift to respondents for participation. If any payments are proposed, the Agency will submit specific justification for each proposed use as part of the completed package submitted to OMB.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy. If personally identifiable information (PII) is being collected, a Privacy Act statement should be included on the instrument. Please provide a citation for the Systems of Record Notice and the date a Privacy Impact Assessment was completed as indicated on the IC Data Form. A confidentiality statement with a legal citation that authorizes the pledge of confidentiality should be provided.² If the collection is subject to the Privacy Act, the Privacy Act statement is deemed sufficient with respect to confidentiality. If there is no expectation of confidentiality, simply state that the Department makes no pledge about the confidentiality of the data.

¹ <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2019/07/11/2019-14749/agency-information-collection-activity-generic-information-collection-under-circular-a-11-section>

² Requests for this information are in accordance with the following ED and OMB policies: Privacy Act of 1974, OMB Circular A-108 – Privacy Act Implementation – Guidelines and Responsibilities, OMB Circular A-130 Appendix I – Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals, OMB M-03-22 – OMB Guidance for Implementing the Privacy Provisions of the E-Government Act of 2002, OMB M-06-15 – Safeguarding Personally Identifiable Information, OM:6-104 – Privacy Act of 1974 (Collection, Use and Protection of Personally Identifiable Information)

Activity and survey instructions will provide all necessary assurances of confidentiality to the respondents. Although there is no requirement for such an assurance in statute, the quality of this type of information requires respondent candor and anonymity.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. The justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

No questions will be asked that are of a personal or sensitive nature.

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:

- **Indicate the number of respondents by affected public type (federal government, individuals or households, private sector – businesses or other for-profit, private sector – not-for-profit institutions, farms, state, local or tribal governments), frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated, including identification of burden type: recordkeeping, reporting or third party disclosure. All narrative should be included in item 12. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.**
- **If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in the ROCIS IC Burden Analysis Table. (The table should at minimum include Respondent types, IC activity, Respondent and Responses, Hours/Response, and Total Hours)**
- **Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents of the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included in Item 14.**

A variety of instruments and platforms will be used to collect information from respondents. The annual burden hours requested (251,125) are based on the number of collections we expect to conduct over the requested period for this clearance.³

³ <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2019/07/11/2019-14749/agency-information-collection-activity-generic-information-collection-under-circular->

Customer Research: The respondent burden averages from five (5) minutes to one hundred and twenty (120) minutes for interviews or focus groups, depending on the individual activity. This estimation has been based on previous customer research activities conducted by Federal customer experience teams.

The Agency estimates that across all sub-components and services, there will be up to 500 individual interviews averaging 30 minutes each.

This clearance estimates that each member of a focus group is expected to spend an average of 1.5 hours per group. The Agency estimates that there will be 15 segmented focus groups held each year with an average of thirty participants per group for an average of 450 participants.

Customer Feedback (Satisfaction Survey): Industry best practice is to present every customer the opportunity to provide feedback at each instrumented touchpoint/transaction in a customer journey (ex. after submitting an application, completing a call at a call center, or visiting an in-person service center). The Agency will specify the total possible number of respondents based on estimated annual volume, but this information collection sets a ceiling estimate of 500,000 annually and the respondent burden averages 30 minutes.

Testing of Services and Digital Products: Simple user testing (15 minutes or less) can be useful for a variety of digital product and service initiatives across the Agency (ex. basic website design updates; communications revisions; development and refining of educational, outreach, and technical assistance materials and activities). Expecting each instance to have on average of 20 users, and 20 services/products tested each year, this clearance expects 400 respondents.

Additionally, more extensive cognitive laboratory or in-person observations can occur for newly launched products (such as mobile applications or re-designs of process steps) and for development and refining of existing materials and activities (educational, outreach, and technical assistance) requiring an average of 30 minutes per respondent. Expecting each instance to have an average of 20 users, and 10 new services/products tested each year across the Agency, this adds 200 respondents to the clearance. However, in-depth and extensive data collections with larger number of respondents (averaging 100 respondents per each) might be needed for the development and refining of the program services, materials and activities.

The Agency will keep track of the above activities in order to accurately update burden calculations year to year.

Table 1 – Estimated Annual Total Burden Hours to Respondents for Collections of Information				
Type of Information Collection	Estimated Number of Respondents	Number of responses per	Estimated Hours per response	Estimated Total Burden hours

a-11-section

		respondent		
Customer Interviews	500	1	30/60	250
Focus Groups	450	1	90/60	675
Feedback Survey	500,000	1	30/60	250,000
User Testing (Rapid Feedback)	400	1	15/60	100
User Testing (Deep Dive)	200	1	30/60	100
Total	501,550	na	na	251,125

The estimated annual costs to respondents for collections of information for this generic clearance is \$11,049,500. The cost estimate is based on the estimated total burden hours (Table 1) and an average hourly wage of \$44.00 for business and financial operations in Washington, DC in 2018 (Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS)).

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14.)

- **The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life); and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and acquiring and maintaining record storage facilities.**
- **If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.**
- **Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices. Also, these estimates should not include the hourly costs (i.e., the monetization of the hours) captured above in Item 12**

No costs for respondents are anticipated.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.

The Agency may incur costs in setting up focus group, small discussion, or testing environments to include such things as hiring contractors, facilitators or moderators, travel to customer sample locations, renting meeting space, in providing remuneration, etc. Costs will be determined on an individual project basis and will be included in the ICR provided to OMB for each project to be conducted.

For the first data collection under this Improving Customer Experience Generic Clearance, the All Small Mentor-Protégé Program Evaluation project, the annualized cost to the Federal Government for data collection and reporting is \$64,864.40 (see Table 2). The cost for the Contractor to complete the study design, instrument design, data collection, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) supporting documentation, data analysis, and report writing is \$61,484. The estimated cost of federal employee oversight of the project is projected to be \$3,380.40. The estimate is based on one Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) GS-14, Step 1, with an hourly wage of \$56.34 and 60 hours of administrative oversight.

Table 2. Annualized Burden and Cost to Federal Government for Data Collection:			
<i>All Small Mentor-Protégé Program Evaluation Project</i>			
Estimates	SBA COR	SBA Contractor	Total
Total burden (in hours)	60		
Average hourly pay	\$56.34		
Total Cost (in USD)	\$3,380.40	\$61,484.00	64,864.40

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments. Generally, adjustments in burden result from re-estimating burden and/or from economic phenomenon outside of an agency's control (e.g., correcting a burden estimate or an organic increase in the size of the reporting universe). Program changes result from a deliberate action that materially changes a collection of information and generally are result of new statute or an agency action (e.g., changing a form, revising regulations, redefining the respondent universe, etc.). Burden changes should be disaggregated by type of change (i.e., adjustment, program change due to new statute, and/or program change due to agency discretion), type of collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change) and include totals for changes in burden hours, responses and costs (if applicable).

This is a new collection.

16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

No attempt will be made to generalize the findings from these three groups of activities to be nationally representative or statistically valid. They are meant to compliment and help to contextualize performance and evaluation data as part of a three-pronged approach to customer experience, performance, and formative evaluation ⁴).

Customer Research: Insights gleaned from qualitative customer research may be presented publicly in the format of a conceptual user persona or customer journey map. Customer research can take anywhere from 6 weeks for a short sprint to a full fiscal year, depending on the specific project. The Agency expects most journey mapping efforts to last approximately 6 months, with a user persona and journey maps ready for feedback (both from internal and external to government stakeholders) within one month of completing customer research.

Publicly available journey maps will include specific language to contextualize their use and will be included in specific requests. This language may include the following:

What should I know about journey maps?

Journey maps are living documents—continually refined and revisited. There is never a “final” version, and these maps are meant to serve as a summary of the voices of actual customers of U.S. Government services. A map may not precisely document the way a Government program is meant to be navigated, accessed, or used. It might not capture every government program or resource available to a customer segment. However, it is the product of a qualitative research approach to gather insights from customers’ actual experiences. These findings can help us to identify areas for high-impact improvements across delivery channels and organizational silos.

Customer Feedback: Once touchpoint surveys are implemented at transaction points along the customer’s journey interacting with Federal services, data from the A-11 Standard CX Feedback survey will be submitted to OMB quarterly for review and publication in a summary dashboard on performance.gov. Other collections will be published on the Agency’s website in the form of reports or formative evaluation studies.

This data may include:

- Specific transaction point at which the survey was administered
- Total volume of customers that interacted at this transaction point during the given quarter
- Total volume of customers that were presented the survey
- Total number of customers who completed the survey

⁴ https://ssir.org/articles/entry/time_for_a_three_legged_measurement_stool

- Mode(s) of collection (ex. online, over mobile, over the phone, paper form)
- Specific survey instrument that shows the Agency’s wording of standard A-11 CX Feedback survey
- Distribution of the responses across the 5-point Likert scale for each of the standard questions related to customer experience with the program and ideas for the program improvement
- Aggregate or descriptive statistics or qualitative analysis results

The purpose of collecting volume and response numbers is to share customer feedback measures in context of the response rate and total volume of responses to qualify interpretation of the CX feedback data.

Testing of Services and Digital Products: Similar to Customer Research, this can range from a short two-day rapid feedback from users within an Agile product development sprint or longer effort to gather more extensive feedback from multiple physical locations.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

The Agency will include the OMB Control Number and collection expiration date at any qualitative feedback or testing activity and on each survey.

18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in the Certification of Paperwork Reduction Act.

The Agency is not requesting an exception to the certification statement identified in Item 20, “Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions,” of OMB Form 83-I.