Attachment B13 – PMHCA Program Implementation Survey Pilot Test Results

Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) Evaluation of the Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCHB) Pediatric Mental Health Care Access (PMHCA) and Screening and Treatment for Maternal Depression and Related Behavioral Disorders (MDRBD) Programs Project

Note: The instruments included in this OMB package have been revised and incorporate the pilot test results. The question numbers correspond with the instruments pilot tested.

| Time to complete: • 12 minutes • 14 minutes General Comment: I think the questions are clearly written. In some cases, I think concepts may need to be defined. General Comment: Overall the questions were clear and in a logical format. The choices provided were also clear and easy to understand. Prior to the questions, on page 2 of the survey, the final paragraph discusses confidentiality. As I read it from the viewpoint of a Project Director, I wondered if HRSA would see my responses or only JBS. Perhaps that could be made clearer. Format: The directions about when to skip questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart were a little confusing to me. If I have two | Feedback | Changes Made to Instrument |
|---|---|---|
| • 12 minutes • 14 minutes General Comment: I think the questions are clearly written. In some cases, I think concepts may need to be defined. General Comment: Overall the questions were clear and in a logical format. The choices provided were also clear and easy to understand. Prior to the questions, on page 2 of the survey, the final paragraph discusses confidentiality. As I read it from the viewpoint of a Project Director, I wondered if HRSA would see my responses or only JBS. Perhaps that could be made clearer. Format: The directions about when to skip questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles, in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | |
| Reviewed all instruments for language related to concepts and added clarifying language, as appropriate. General Comment: Overall the questions were clear and in a logical format. The choices provided were also clear and easy to understand. Prior to the questions, on page 2 of the survey, the final paragraph discusses confidentiality. As I read it from the viewpoint of a Project Director, I wondered if HRSA would see my responses or only JBS. Perhaps that could be made clearer. Format: The directions about when to skip questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart Reviewed all instruments for language apapropriate. Added clarifying language. | | |
| clearly written. In some cases, I think concepts may need to be defined. General Comment: Overall the questions were clear and in a logical format. The choices provided were also clear and easy to understand. Prior to the questions, on page 2 of the survey, the final paragraph discusses confidentiality. As I read it from the viewpoint of a Project Director, I wondered if HRSA would see my responses or only JBS. Perhaps that could be made clearer. Format: The directions about when to skip questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart to concepts and added clarifying language. Added clarifying language. | • 14 minutes | <u> </u> |
| may need to be defined. General Comment: Overall the questions were clear and in a logical format. The choices provided were also clear and easy to understand. Prior to the questions, on page 2 of the survey, the final paragraph discusses confidentiality. As I read it from the viewpoint of a Project Director, I wondered if HRSA would see my responses or only JBS. Perhaps that could be made clearer. Format: The directions about when to skip questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart Added clarifying language. | General Comment: I think the questions are | Reviewed all instruments for language related |
| Ceneral Comment: Overall the questions were clear and in a logical format. The choices provided were also clear and easy to understand. Prior to the questions, on page 2 of the survey, the final paragraph discusses confidentiality. As I read it from the viewpoint of a Project Director, I wondered if HRSA would see my responses or only JBS. Perhaps that could be made clearer. Format: The directions about when to skip questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Added clarifying language. Added clarifying language. Added clarifying language. Added clarifying language. | clearly written. In some cases, I think concepts | to concepts and added clarifying language, as |
| clear and in a logical format. The choices provided were also clear and easy to understand. Prior to the questions, on page 2 of the survey, the final paragraph discusses confidentiality. As I read it from the viewpoint of a Project Director, I wondered if HRSA would see my responses or only JBS. Perhaps that could be made clearer. Format: The directions about when to skip questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. An example has been added to the question for | may need to be defined. | appropriate. |
| provided were also clear and easy to understand. Prior to the questions, on page 2 of the survey, the final paragraph discusses confidentiality. As I read it from the viewpoint of a Project Director, I wondered if HRSA would see my responses or only JBS. Perhaps that could be made clearer. Format: The directions about when to skip questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | Added clarifying language. |
| understand. Prior to the questions, on page 2 of the survey, the final paragraph discusses confidentiality. As I read it from the viewpoint of a Project Director, I wondered if HRSA would see my responses or only JBS. Perhaps that could be made clearer. Format: The directions about when to skip questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | |
| the survey, the final paragraph discusses confidentiality. As I read it from the viewpoint of a Project Director, I wondered if HRSA would see my responses or only JBS. Perhaps that could be made clearer. Format: The directions about when to skip questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | |
| confidentiality. As I read it from the viewpoint of a Project Director, I wondered if HRSA would see my responses or only JBS. Perhaps that could be made clearer. Format: The directions about when to skip questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | 1 10 | |
| of a Project Director, I wondered if HRSA would see my responses or only JBS. Perhaps that could be made clearer. Format: The directions about when to skip questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | |
| would see my responses or only JBS. Perhaps that could be made clearer. Format: The directions about when to skip questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. An example has been added to the question for | 1 | |
| that could be made clearer. Format: The directions about when to skip questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart No change made. Responses will show as filled when a response is selected. No change made. Responses will show as filled when a response is selected. No change made. Responses will show as filled when a response is selected. No change made. Responses will show as filled when a response is selected. | | |
| Format: The directions about when to skip questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart No change made. Responses will show as filled when a response is selected. No change made. Responses will show as filled when a response is selected. No change made. Responses will show as filled when a response is selected. No change made. Responses will show as filled when a response is selected. No change made. Responses will show as filled when a response is selected. No change made. Responses will show as filled when a response is selected. No change made. Responses will show as filled when a response is selected. No change made. Responses will show as filled when a response is selected. No change made. Responses will show as filled when a response is selected. | | |
| questions are very clear. The questions have been broken down into manageable pieces. Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. An example has been added to the question for | | |
| Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. An example has been added to the question for | | N/A—no changes required. |
| Format: The format was clear, logical and easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. An example has been added to the question for | | |
| easy to follow. Providing responses in the charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. An example has been added to the question for | | |
| charts worked well. My only concern is how answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. An example has been added to the question for | | |
| answers will be marked. Will there be a fillable box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | when a response is selected. |
| box that will be marked with an X when the respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | |
| respondent clicks an answer? Or will the format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | |
| format remain as it is now with the little circles in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | |
| in front of each response? When I completed the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | |
| the survey putting an X in front of the little circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | |
| circles, it messed up the formatting a bit and didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | |
| didn't look clean. Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | |
| Question 4: I think the table format works well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear.N/A—no changes required.Question 4: This question and related chartAn example has been added to the question for | | |
| well. I think the breakdown in number and FTE is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | N/A no changes required |
| is clear. Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | 1V/A—no changes required. |
| Question 4: This question and related chart An example has been added to the question for | | |
| | | An example has been added to the question for |
| were a mare communing to me, if i mave two claimeation, | <u>-</u> | |
| psychiatrists, one at 1 FTE and one at .5 FTE | | Clui i i Cutio i i. |

| Feedback | Changes Made to Instrument |
|--|---|
| can I insert two lines to explain this? Perhaps | |
| providing an example in the chart would help. | |
| Questions 11 and 12: For question 11 - you | Added clarifying language for CME/CE to |
| may want to spell out CME/CE credits. I am | facilitate readability. Open-ended response |
| wondering if you may want to provide some | option is already included. |
| examples for each of the options listed to help | |
| people think through the facilitators. I also | |
| wonder if it may be helpful to have an open- | |
| ended response category for folks to write | |
| down their own experience. | |
| Question 21: I'm not sure if brochures and | Response options requested by HRSA, as such |
| briefs should be grouped together or if posters | no change made. |
| and infographics should be grouped together. | |
| Also, do you want to find out which of the | |
| promotional materials was used with which | |
| audience. | |
| Question 25: You may want to define "formal | The word "formal" has been removed to avoid |
| plan" Are you actually getting at whether the | any confusion. |
| plan has been written down or something else? | |
| Question 29: The wording of this question was | This change has been made to facilitate |
| confusing to me and I had to reread it 2-3 times | readability. |
| to understand what was being asked. I think it | |
| might be clearer if you simply eliminate the | |
| word "needs" from the question. "Will your | |
| PMHCA program require any of the following | |
| evaluation capacity-building support or | |
| technical assistance in the upcoming year?" | |