SUPPORTING STATEMENT FOR
THE INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENTS
IN THE BERYLLIUM STANDARD FOR
GENERAL INDUSTRY (29 CFR 1910.1024), CONSTRUCTION
(29 CFR 1926.1124), AND MARITIME (29 CFR 1915.1024)<sup>1</sup>
OMB CONTROL NO. 1218-0267 (April 2020)

This ICR seeks to extend authorization for this collection without change.

#### **A. JUSTIFICATION**

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information.

The main objective of the Occupational Safety and Health Act ("OSH Act" or "Act") is to "assure so far as possible every working man and woman in the Nation safe and healthful working conditions and to preserve our human resources" (29 U.S.C. 651(a)). To achieve this objective, the OSH Act specifically authorizes "the development and promulgation of occupational safety and health standards" (29 U.S.C. 651(b)(9)). The Act further states that "[t]he Secretary . . . shall . . . prescribe such rules and regulations as [he/she] may deem necessary to carry out [his/her] responsibilities under this Act, including rules and regulations dealing with the inspection of an employer's establishment" (29 U.S.C. 657(g)(2)).

To protect worker health, the OSH Act authorizes the Secretary of Labor (Secretary) to develop standards that provide for "monitoring or measuring employee exposure" to occupational hazards and "prescribe the type and frequency of medical examinations or other tests which shall be made available, by the employer or at [the employer's] cost, to employees exposed to such hazards in order to most effectively determine whether the health of such employees is adversely affected by such exposure" (29 U.S.C. 655(b)(7)). Moreover, the Act directs the Secretary to "issue regulations requiring employers to maintain accurate records of employee exposures to potentially toxic materials or harmful physical agents which are required to be monitored or measured," and further requires that such regulations provide "for each employee or former employee to have access to such records as will indicate [the employee's] own exposure to toxic materials or harmful physical agents" as appropriate (29 U.S.C. 657(c)(3)). In addition, the OSH Act mandates that "[e]ach employer shall make, keep and preserve, and make available to the Secretary . . . such records regarding [his/her] activities relating to this Act as the Secretary . . . may prescribe by regulation as necessary or appropriate for the enforcement of this Act or for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The purpose of this Supporting Statement is to analyze and describe the burden hours and costs associated with provisions of the final standard that contain collections of information (paperwork) requirements; this Supporting Statement does not provide information or guidance on how to comply with, or how to enforce, these provisions.

developing information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational accidents and illnesses" (29 U.S.C. 657(c)(1)).

Section 6(b)(7) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. 655(b)(7), further specifies that "[a]ny standard promulgated under this subsection shall prescribe the use of labels or other appropriate forms of warning as are necessary to insure that employees are apprised of all hazards to which they are exposed, relevant symptoms and appropriate emergency treatment, and proper conditions and precautions of safe use or exposure."

Under the authority granted by the OSH Act, the Secretary, through the Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA" or "the Agency is issuing a final substance-specific standard for general industry, shipyards, and construction regulating occupational exposure to beryllium and beryllium compounds (29 CFR 1910.1024, 29 CFR 1915.1024, and 29 CFR 1926.1124, respectively).

OSHA has determined that employees exposed to beryllium at the previous permissible exposure limits face a significant risk of material impairment to their health.

Items 2 and 12 below list and describe the specific information collection requirements of the final standard.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

The final standard contains collections of information as follows:

§1910.1024 (d) -- §1915.1024(d) -- §1926.1124 (d) Exposure Assessment

§1910.1024 (d)(2) -- §1915.1024(d)(2) -- §1926.1124 (d)(2) Performance Option.

(2) <u>Performance option</u>. The employer must assess the 8-hour TWA exposure and the 15-minute short-term exposure for each employee on the basis of any combination of air monitoring data and objective data sufficient to accurately characterize airborne exposure to beryllium.

*Purpose:* The availability of exposure data enables physicians or other licensed healthcare professionals (PLHCPs) performing medical examinations on exposed workers to be informed of the extent of an employee's occupational exposures. Under the performance option, the employer has the option to use any combination of objective data and air monitoring data. Employers do not have to conduct initial exposure monitoring if they rely on objective data that would satisfy the exposure assessment requirements contained in this standard. OSHA takes the burden for the collection of information under the scheduled option paragraph (d)(3).

§1910.1024 (d)(3), §1915.1024(d)(3), §1926.1124 (d)(3)(i), (ii) & (iii) Scheduled Monitoring Option.

*Initial Monitoring -* §1910.1024 (*d*)(3)(*i*), (*ii*) & (*iii*) --§1915.1024(*d*)(3)(*i*), (*ii*) & (*iii*)--§1926.1124 (*d*)(3)(*i*), (*ii*) & (*iii*).

- (i) The employer must perform initial monitoring to assess the 8-hour TWA exposure for each employee on the basis of one or more personal breathing zone air samples that reflect the airborne exposure of employees on each shift, for each job classification, and in each work area.
- (ii) The employer must perform initial monitoring to assess the short-term exposure from 15-minute personal breathing zone air samples measured in operations that are likely to produce airborne exposure above the STEL for each work shift, for each job classification, and in each work area.
- (iii) Where several employees perform the same tasks on the same shift and in the same work area, the employer may sample a representative fraction of these employees in order to meet the requirements of paragraph (d)(3). In representative sampling, the employer must sample the employee(s) expected to have the highest airborne exposure to beryllium.

*Purpose*: The purpose of initial exposure assessment is to determine the extent and degree of beryllium exposure at the worksite; identification and prevention of employee overexposure; identification of the sources of exposure to beryllium; collection of exposure data so that the employer can select the proper control methods to be used; and evaluation of the effectiveness of those selected methods. Exposure assessment enables employers to meet their legal obligation to ensure that their employees are not exposed to beryllium in excess of the permissible exposure limits and to notify employees of their exposure levels, including any overexposures as required by section 8(c)(3) of the Act (29 U.S.C. § 657(c)(3)). In addition, the availability of exposure data enables physicians or other licensed healthcare professionals (PLHCPs) performing medical examinations to be informed of the extent of an employee's occupational exposures.

*Periodic Monitoring:* §1910.1024 (d)(3)(v), (vi), (vii) & (viii)--§1915.1024(d)(3) (v), (vi), (vii) & (viii) -- §1926.1124 (d)(3)(v), (vi), (vii) & (viii).

- (v) Where the most recent exposure monitoring indicates that airborne exposure is at or above the action level but at or below the TWA PEL, the employer must repeat such monitoring within six months of the most recent monitoring.
- (vi) Where the most recent exposure monitoring indicates that airborne exposure is above the TWA PEL, the employer must repeat such monitoring within three months of the most recent 8-hour TWA exposure monitoring.
- (vii) Where the most recent (non-initial) exposure monitoring indicates that airborne exposure is below the action level, the employer must repeat such monitoring within six months of the most recent monitoring until two consecutive measurements, taken seven or more days apart, are below the action level, at which time the employer may discontinue 8-hour TWA exposure

monitoring for those employees whose exposure is represented by such monitoring, except as otherwise provided in paragraph (d)(4) of this standard.

(viii) Where the most recent exposure monitoring indicates that airborne exposure is above the STEL, the employer must repeat such monitoring within three months of the most recent short-term exposure monitoring until two consecutive measurements, taken seven or more days apart, are below the STEL, at which time the employer may discontinue short-term exposure monitoring for those employees whose exposure is represented by such monitoring, except as otherwise provided in paragraph (d)(4) of this standard.

*Purpose:* OSHA recognizes that exposures in the workplace may fluctuate. Where initial exposure monitoring demonstrates exposures at or above the action level and at or below the TWA PEL, periodic monitoring helps employers identify changes in the workplace and ensure that workers do not experience exposures that are higher than expected, and facilitates the identification and use of additional control measures where necessary. In addition, periodic monitoring reminds workers and employers of the continued need to protect against the hazards associated with beryllium exposure.

#### §1910.1024 (d)(4) -- §1915.1024(d)(4)--§1926.1124 (d)(4) Reassessment of Exposure.

(4) The employer must reassess airborne exposure whenever a change in the production, process, control equipment, personnel, or work practices may reasonably be expected to result in new or additional airborne exposure at or above the action level or STEL, or when the employer has any reason to believe that new or additional airborne exposure at or above the action level or STEL has occurred.

*Purpose:* The additional assessments required under this subsection are necessary to ensure that the exposure monitoring accurately represents existing exposure conditions. The exposure information gained from such assessments will enable the employer to take appropriate action to protect exposed workers. On the other hand, additional monitoring is not required simply because a change occurs, if the change could not reasonably be expected to result in new or additional exposures to beryllium.

# §1910.1024 (d)(6)(i) & (ii) --§1915.1024 (d)(6)(i) & (ii) -- §1926.1124 (d)(6)(i) & (ii) Employee Notification of Assessment Results.

- (i) Within 15 working days after completing an exposure assessment in accordance with paragraph (d) of this standard, the employer must notify each employee whose airborne exposure is represented by the assessment of the results of that assessment individually in writing or post the results in an appropriate location that is accessible to each of these employees.
- (ii) Whenever an exposure assessment indicates that airborne exposure is above the TWA PEL or STEL, the employer must describe in the written notification the corrective action being taken to reduce airborne exposure to or below the exposure limit(s) exceeded where feasible corrective

action exists but had not been implemented when the monitoring was conducted.

*Purpose:* Notifying workers of their exposures allows them to know if the employer is required to make medical surveillance available to them and can permit and encourage them to be more proactive in working safely to control their own exposures through better work practices and more active participation in safety programs. The time allowed for notification is consistent with the harmonized notification times established for a number of health standards applicable to general industry, construction, and maritime.

# §1910.1024 (e)(2)(i) & (ii) Demarcation of Beryllium Work Areas and Regulated Areas -- §1915.1024 (e)(2) Regulated Areas -- §1926.1124 (e)(2); Competent Person

#### General Industry:

- (2) <u>Demarcation</u>. (i) The employer must identify each beryllium work area through signs or any other methods that adequately establish and inform each employee of the boundaries of each beryllium work area.
- (ii) The employer must identify each regulated area in accordance with paragraph (m)(2) of this standard.

#### Shipyards:

(2) <u>Demarcation</u>. The employer must identify each regulated area in accordance with paragraph (m)(2) of this standard.

#### Construction:

- (e) Competent Person. Wherever employees are, or can reasonably be expected to be, exposed to airborne beryllium at levels above the TWA PEL or STEL, the employer must designate a competent person to
- (2) Implement the written exposure control plan under paragraph (f) of the standard.

*Purpose:* The purpose of a beryllium work area is to inform employees of where a beryllium process or operation can potentially result in airborne exposure, regardless of the exposure level, or dermal contact. The purpose of a regulated area is to ensure that the employer makes workers aware of the presence of beryllium at levels above the PEL, to limit exposure to as few workers as possible, and to remind employees that personal protective equipment (PPE) is required. Establishing a regulated area or written exposure control plan can help to minimize exposure to workers not directly involved in operations that generate beryllium.

OSHA is not taking burden hours or costs for the regulated-areas provisions under Items 12 and 13 of this Supporting Statement. The information collections associated with the beryllium work

area requirement are performance oriented because the employer may choose how to establish boundaries for each beryllium work area and restrict access.

For the general industry and shipyards standards, paragraph (m)(2) includes a requirement to post a sign regarding the hazards in regulated areas and is addressed with the discussion of paragraph (m) later in this section.

§1910.1024 (f)(1)(i), (ii), &(iii) -- §1915.1024 (f)1)(i),(ii), & (iii) -- §1926.1124 (f)(1)(i),(ii), & (iii) -- Methods of Compliance -- Written Exposure Control Plan.

#### General Industry:

- (i) The employer must establish, implement, and maintain a written exposure control plan, which must contain:
  - (A) A list of operations and job titles reasonably expected to involve airborne exposure to or dermal contact with beryllium;
  - (B) A list of operations and job titles reasonably expected to involve airborne exposure at or above the action level;
  - (C) A list of operations and job titles reasonably expected to involve airborne exposure above the TWA PEL or STEL;
  - (D) Procedures for minimizing cross-contamination, including preventing the transfer of beryllium between surfaces, equipment, clothing, materials, and articles within beryllium work areas;
  - (E) Procedures for keeping surfaces as free as practicable of beryllium;
  - (F) Procedures for minimizing the migration of beryllium from beryllium work areas to other locations within or outside the workplace;
  - (G) A list of engineering controls, work practices, and respiratory protection required by paragraph (f)(2) of this standard;
  - (H) A list of personal protective clothing and equipment required by paragraph (h) of this standard; and
  - (I) Procedures for removing, laundering, storing, cleaning, repairing, and disposing of beryllium-contaminated personal protective clothing and equipment, including respirators.
- (ii) The employer must review and evaluate the effectiveness of each written exposure control plan at least annually and update it, as necessary, when:
  - (A) Any change in production processes, materials, equipment, personnel, work practices, or control methods results, or can reasonably be expected to result, in new or additional airborne exposure to beryllium;
  - (B) The employer becomes aware that an employee has a beryllium-related health effect or shows signs or symptoms associated with airborne exposure to or dermal contact with beryllium; or
  - (C) The employer has any reason to believe that new or additional airborne exposure is occurring or will occur.

(iii) The employer must make a copy of the written exposure control plan accessible to each employee who is, or can reasonably be expected to be, exposed to airborne beryllium in accordance with OSHA's Access to Employee Exposure and Medical Records (Records Access) standard (29 CFR 1910.1020(e)).

#### Shipyards:

- (i) The employer must establish, implement, and maintain a written exposure control plan, which must contain:
  - (A) A list of operations and job titles reasonably expected to involve airborne exposure to or dermal contact with beryllium;
  - (B) A list of operations and job titles reasonably expected to involve airborne exposure at or above the action level;
  - (C) A list of operations and job titles reasonably expected to involve airborne exposure above the TWA PEL or STEL;
  - (D) Procedures for minimizing cross-contamination;
  - (E) Procedures for minimizing the migration of beryllium within or to locations outside the workplace;
  - (F) A list of engineering controls, work practices, and respiratory protection required by paragraph (f)(2) of this standard;
  - (G) A list of personal protective clothing and equipment required by paragraph (h) of this standard; and
  - (H) Procedures for removing, laundering, storing, cleaning, repairing, and disposing of beryllium-contaminated personal protective clothing and equipment, including respirators.
- (ii) The employer must review and evaluate the effectiveness of each written exposure control plan at least annually and update it, as necessary, when:
  - (A) Any change in production processes, materials, equipment, personnel, work practices, or control methods results, or can reasonably be expected to result, in new or additional airborne exposure to beryllium;
  - (B) The employer becomes aware that an employee has a beryllium-related health effect or shows signs or symptoms associated with airborne exposure to or dermal contact with beryllium; or
  - (C) The employer has any reason to believe that new or additional airborne exposure is occurring or will occur.
- (iii) The employer must make a copy of the written exposure control plan accessible to each employee who is or can reasonably be expected to be exposed to airborne beryllium in accordance with OSHA's Access to Employee Exposure and Medical Records (Records Access) standard (29 CFR 1910.1020(e)).

#### Construction:

(1) Written exposure control plan.

- (i) The employer must establish, implement, and maintain a written exposure control plan, which must contain:
  - (A) A list of operations and job titles reasonably expected to involve airborne exposure to or dermal contact with beryllium;
  - (B) A list of operations and job titles reasonably expected to involve airborne exposure at or above the action level;
  - (C) A list of operations and job titles reasonably expected to involve airborne exposure above the TWA PEL or STEL;
  - (D) Procedures for minimizing cross-contamination;
  - (E) Procedures for minimizing the migration of beryllium within or to locations outside the workplace;
  - (F) A list of engineering controls, work practices, and respiratory protection required by paragraph (f)(2) of this standard;
  - (G) A list of personal protective clothing and equipment required by paragraph (h) of this standard;
  - (H) Procedures for removing, laundering, storing, cleaning, repairing, and disposing of beryllium-contaminated personal protective clothing and equipment, including respirators; and
  - (I) Procedures used to restrict access to work areas when airborne exposures are, or can reasonably be expected to be, above the TWA PEL or STEL, to minimize the number of employees exposed to airborne beryllium and their level of exposure, including exposures generated by other employers or sole proprietors.
- (ii) The employer must review and evaluate the effectiveness of each written exposure control plan at least annually and update it, as necessary, when:
  - (A) Any change in production processes, materials, equipment, personnel, work practices, or control methods results, or can reasonably be expected to result, in new or additional airborne exposure to beryllium;
  - (B) The employer becomes aware that an employee has a beryllium-related health effect or shows signs or symptoms associated with airborne exposure to or dermal contact with beryllium; or
  - (C) The employer has any reason to believe that new or additional airborne exposure is occurring or will occur.
- (iii) The employer must make a copy of the written exposure control plan accessible to each employee who is, or can reasonably be expected to be, exposed to airborne beryllium in accordance with OSHA's Access to Employee Exposure and Medical Records (Records Access) standard (29 CFR 1910.1020(e)).

*Purpose:* The purpose of the written exposure control plan is to help reduce skin contact with beryllium, which can lead to beryllium sensitization, and airborne exposure, which can lead to beryllium sensitization, CBD, and lung cancer, by restricting access to work areas, where

necessary, to limit exposures and cross contamination.

# §1910.1024 (g)(2) -- §1915.1024 (g) -- §1926.1124 (g)-- Respiratory protection program.

Where this standard requires an employer to provide respiratory protection, the selection and use of such respiratory protection must be in accordance with the Respiratory Protection standard (29 CFR 1910.134).

<u>Purpose</u>: The purpose of this requirement is to ensure that employers establish a standardized procedure for selecting, using, and maintaining respirators for each workplace that requires respirator use. Developing written procedures ensures that employers implement the required respirator program in an effective and reliable manner that addresses the unique characteristics (including chemical hazards) of the workplace.

# \$1910.1024 (h)(2)(v) --\$1915.1024 (h)(2)(v) --\$1926.1124 (h)(2)(v)\* -- Personal Protective Clothing and Equipment -- Removal and Storage.

- (v) When personal protective clothing or equipment required by this standard is removed from the workplace for laundering, cleaning, maintenance or disposal, the employer must ensure that personal protective clothing and equipment are stored and transported in sealed bags or other closed containers that are impermeable and are labeled in accordance with paragraph (m)(3) of this standard and the HCS (29 CFR 1910.1200).
  - \* The construction text in  $\S1926.1124(h)(2)(v)$  is identical except that it refers to paragraph (m)(2) instead of (m)(3).

<u>Purpose</u>: This provision is intended reduce exposure to beryllium for employees handling beryllium-contaminated materials by requiring these materials to be stored in sealed bags or other closed containers, and by informing those handling the containers that they contain beryllium through labels describing the potentially harmful effects of beryllium exposure.

OSHA has determined the labeling requirements in paragraph (m)(3) are not collections of information since the standard provides specific language is for the labels. Information originally supplied by the Federal government to employers for the purpose of disclosing information to employees is excluded from the definition of a "collection of information." (5 CFR 1320.3(c)(2), "Controlling paperwork burden on the public").

# §1910.1024 (h)(3)(iii) --§1915.1024 (h)(3)(iii) --§1926.1124 (h)(3)(iii) --\_Personal Protective Clothing and Equipment -- Cleaning and Replacement.

(3)(iii) The employer must inform in writing the persons or the business entities who launder, clean or repair the personal protective clothing or equipment required by this standard of the potentially harmful effects of airborne exposure to and dermal contact with beryllium and that the personal protective clothing and equipment must be handled in accordance with this standard.

<u>Purpose</u>: This provision is intended reduce exposure to beryllium for employees handling beryllium-contaminated materials by providing employers and employees handling these materials the information necessary to protect employees from beryllium exposure.

§1910.1024 (j)(3)(i), (ii) -- §1915.1024 (j)(3) -- §1926.1124 (j)(3) — Housekeeping — Disposal.

General Industry:

- (3) <u>Disposal</u>. The employer must ensure that:
- (i) Materials designated for disposal that contain or are contaminated with beryllium are disposed of in sealed, impermeable enclosures, such as bags or containers, that are labeled in accordance with paragraph (m)(3) of this standard; and
- (ii) Materials designated for recycling that contain or are contaminated with beryllium are cleaned to be as free as practicable of surface beryllium contamination and labeled in accordance with paragraph (m)(3) of this standard, or placed in sealed, impermeable enclosures, such as bags or containers, that are labeled in accordance with paragraph (m)(3) of this standard.

Shipyards and Construction\*:

- (3) <u>Disposal</u>. When the employer transfers materials containing beryllium to another party for use or disposal, the employer must provide the recipient with a copy of the warning described in paragraph (m)(3) of this standard.
  - \* The construction text in §1926.1124(j)(3)is identical except that it refers to paragraph (m)(2) instead of (m)(3).

<u>Purpose</u>: This provision is intended to reduce exposure to beryllium for employees handling beryllium-contaminated materials by requiring these materials to be stored in sealed containers, and warn employers and employees handling the containers of the potentially harmful effects of the beryllium inside those containers to facilitate proper handling and disposal of that material.

OSHA is not taking burden hours or costs for the housekeeping provisions under Items 12 or 13 of this Supporting Statement. Because paragraph (m)(3) of the general industry and shipyard standards, and paragraph (m)(2) of the construction standard, provide specific language for the required warning, they are not collections of information under 5 CFR 1320.3(c)(2) ("Controlling paperwork burden on the public") and the Agency is exempted from taking burden hours and costs of this provision.

§1910.1024 (k)(1), (2), and (3) -- §1915.1024 (k)(1), (2), and (3) -- §1926.1124 (k)(1), (2), and (3) -- Medical Surveillance.

(1) General.

**April 30, 2020** 

- (i) The employer must make medical surveillance required by this paragraph available at no cost to the employee, and at a reasonable time and place, to each employee:
  - (A) Who is or is reasonably expected to be exposed at or above the action level for more than 30 days per year;
  - (B) Who shows signs or symptoms of CBD or other beryllium-related health effects;
  - (C) Who is exposed to beryllium during an emergency; or
  - (D) Whose most recent written medical opinion required by paragraph (k)(6) or (k)(7) recommends periodic medical surveillance.
- (ii) The employer must ensure that all medical examinations and procedures required by this standard are performed by, or under the direction of, a licensed physician.

#### (2) Frequency.

The employer must provide a medical examination:

- (i) Within 30 days after determining that:
  - (A) An employee meets the criteria of paragraph (k)(1)(i)(A), unless the employee has received a medical examination, provided in accordance with this standard, within the last two years; or
  - (B) An employee meets the criteria of paragraph (k)(1)(i)(B) or (C).
- (ii) At least every two years thereafter for each employee who continues to meet the criteria of paragraph (k)(1)(i)(A), (B), or (D) of this standard.
- (iii) At the termination of employment for each employee who meets any of the criteria of paragraph (k)(1)(i) of this standard at the time the employee's employment terminates, unless an examination has been provided in accordance with this standard during the six months prior to the date of termination.

#### (3) Contents of examination.

- (i) The employer must ensure that the PLHCP conducting the examination advises the employee of the risks and benefits of participating in the medical surveillance program and the employee's right to opt out of any or all parts of the medical examination.
- (ii) The employer must ensure that the employee is offered a medical examination that includes:
  - (A) A medical and work history, with emphasis on past and present airborne exposure to or dermal contact with beryllium, smoking history, and any history of respiratory system dysfunction;
  - (B) A physical examination with emphasis on the respiratory system;
  - (C) A physical examination for skin rashes;
  - (D) Pulmonary function tests, performed in accordance with the guidelines established by the American Thoracic Society including forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV<sub>1</sub>);

- (E) A standardized BeLPT or equivalent test, upon the first examination and at least every two years thereafter, unless the employee is confirmed positive. If the results of the BeLPT are other than normal, a follow-up BeLPT must be offered within 30 days, unless the employee has been confirmed positive. Samples must be analyzed in a laboratory certified under the College of American Pathologists/Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) guidelines to perform the BeLPT.
- (F) A low dose computed tomography (LDCT) scan, when recommended by the PLHCP after considering the employee's history of exposure to beryllium along with other risk factors, such as smoking history, family medical history, sex, age, and presence of existing lung disease; and
- (G) Any other test deemed appropriate by the PLHCP.

*Purpose:* The initial medical examination serves to identify workers who have beryllium-related medical diseases or other health problems that additional beryllium exposure may exacerbate. The final requirement that employers offer employees a medical examination within 30 days after determining that the employee is or is reasonably expected to be exposed at or above the action level for more than 30 days a year would help employers determine if an employee will be able to work in the job involving beryllium exposure without increased risk of adverse health effects.

The general purposes of the medical surveillance provisions for beryllium include: to determine, when reasonably possible, if an individual worker is at increased risk for adverse health effects with continued exposure to beryllium; to identify beryllium-related adverse health effects for the purpose of taking appropriate intervention measures; and to determine workers' fitness to use personal protective equipment, such as respirators.

The requirement is consistent with Section 6(b)(7) of the OSH Act (29 U.S.C. 655(b)(7)), which requires that, when appropriate, OSHA include medical surveillance programs in its standards to determine whether exposure to the hazard addressed by the final standard adversely affects the health of workers.

# §1910.1024 (k)(4)-- §1915.1024 (k)(4) -- §1926.1124 (k)(4)-- Medical Surveillance -- Information Provided to the PLHCP.

- (4)The employer must ensure that the examining PLHCP (and the agreed-upon CBD diagnostic center, if an evaluation is required under paragraph (k)(7) of this standard) has a copy of this standard and must provide the following information, if known:
- (i) A description of the employee's former and current duties that relate to the employee's airborne exposure to and dermal contact with beryllium;
- (ii) The employee's former and current levels of airborne exposure;
- (iii) A description of any personal protective clothing and equipment, including respirators, used by the employee, including when and for how long the employee has used that personal

protective clothing and equipment; and

(iv) Information from records of employment-related medical examinations previously provided to the employee, currently within the control of the employer, after obtaining written consent from the employee.

*Purpose:* This information will help the PLHCP and CBD diagnostic center evaluate employees' health as it relates to their assigned duties and fitness to use personal protective equipment, including respirators, when needed. Providing the PLHCP and CBD diagnostic center with exposure monitoring results, as required under paragraph (k)(4)(ii), will assist them in determining if an employee is likely to be at risk of adverse effects from airborne beryllium exposure at work. A well-documented exposure history would also assist the PLCHP in determining if a condition may be related to beryllium exposure.

§1910.1024 (k)(5)(i), (ii), & (iii) -- §1915.1024 (k)(5)(i), (ii), and (iii) -- §1926.1124 (k)(5)(i), (ii), and (iii) -- Medical Surveillance-- Licensed Physician's Written Medical Report for the Employee.

- (5) The employer must ensure that the employee receives a written medical report from the licensed physician within 45 days of the examination (including any follow-up BeLPT required under paragraph (k)(3)(ii)(E) of this standard) and that the PLHCP explains the results of the examination to the employee. The written medical report must contain:
- (i) A statement indicating the results of the medical examination, including the licensed physician's opinion as to whether the employee has
  - (A) Any detected medical condition, such as CBD or beryllium sensitization (i.e., the employee is confirmed positive, as defined in paragraph (b) of this standard), that may place the employee at increased risk from further airborne exposure, and
  - (B) Any medical conditions related to airborne exposure that require further evaluation or treatment.
- (ii) Any recommendations on:
  - (A) The employee's use of respirators, protective clothing, or equipment; or
  - (B) Limitations on the employee's airborne exposure to beryllium.
- (iii) If the employee is confirmed positive or diagnosed with CBD or if the licensed physician otherwise deems it appropriate, the written report must also contain a referral for an evaluation at a CBD diagnostic center.
- (iv) If the employee is confirmed positive or diagnosed with CBD the written report must also contain a recommendation for continued periodic medical surveillance.
- (v) If the employee is confirmed positive or diagnosed with CBD the written report must also contain a recommendation for medical removal from airborne exposure to beryllium, as described in paragraph (l).

Note: The preparation of the report is accounted for under Item 13, cost to the employer for an employee's medical examination.

*Purpose:* The rule requires that only the employee receive a written medical report that includes detailed medical information. By providing the licensed physician's written medical report to employees, those who might be at increased risk of health impairment from airborne beryllium exposure will be able to consider interventions (<u>i.e.</u>, health management strategies) with guidance from the licensed physician. Such strategies might include employment choices to limit airborne exposures or to use a respirator for additional protection.

The requirement for a written medical report ensures that the employee receives a record of all findings. Employees would also be able to provide the written medical report to future health care providers.

# §1910.1024 (k)(6) --§1915.1024 (k)(6) --§1926.1124 (k)(6) -- Medical Surveillance--\_ Licensed Physician's Written Medical Opinion for the Employer.

- (i) The employer must obtain a written medical opinion from the licensed physician within 45 days of the medical examination (including any follow-up BeLPT required under paragraph (k) (3)(ii)(E) of this standard). The written medical opinion must contain only the following:
  - (A) The date of the examination;
  - (B) A statement that the examination has met the requirements of this standard;
  - (C) Any recommended limitations on the employee's use of respirators, protective clothing, or equipment; and
  - (D) A statement that the PLHCP has explained the results of the medical examination to the employee, including any tests conducted, any medical conditions related to airborne exposure that require further evaluation or treatment, and any special provisions for use of personal protective clothing or equipment;
- (ii) If the employee provides written authorization, the written opinion must also contain any recommended limitations on the employee's airborne exposure to beryllium.
- (iii) If the employee is confirmed positive or diagnosed with CBD or if the licensed physician otherwise deems it appropriate, and the employee provides written authorization, the written opinion must also contain a referral for an evaluation at a CBD diagnostic center.
- (iv) If the employee is confirmed positive or diagnosed with CBD and the employee provides written authorization, the written opinion must also contain a recommendation for continued periodic medical surveillance.
- (v) If the employee is confirmed positive or diagnosed with CBD and the employee provides written authorization, the written opinion must also contain a recommendation for medical

removal from airborne exposure to beryllium, as described in paragraph (l).

(vi) The employer must ensure that each employee receives a copy of the written medical opinion described in paragraph (k)(6) of this standard within 45 days of any medical examination (including any follow-up BeLPT required under paragraph (k)(3)(ii)(E) of this standard) performed for that employee.

*Purpose:* In response to commenters' concerns about employee privacy, the written medical opinion in the final rule contains information that allows employers to protect employee health, such as recommended limitations on the employee's use of respirators, while at the same time protecting employee privacy as much as possible by allowing certain information to be included only with the employee's written authorization. The date of the examination and a statement about the examination meeting the requirements of this standard are also included to provide the employer with evidence that compliance with the medical surveillance requirements are current.

The employer must obtain the written opinion within 45 days of the examination; OSHA believes this requirement will provide the licensed physician sufficient time to receive and consider the results of any tests included in the examination, including a follow-up BeLPT, and allow the employer to take any necessary protective measures in a timely manner. The final requirement that the opinion be in written form would ensure that employers and workers have the benefit of this information. The final standard requires the employer to ensure that the worker gets a copy of the licensed physician's written medical opinion within 45 days of the medical examination. This will allow workers to present it as proof of a current medical examination to future employers.

# $\S1910.1024$ (k)(7) -- $\S1915.1024$ (k)(7) -- $\S1926.1124$ (k)(7) -- Medical Surveillance-- Referral to the CBD Diagnostic Center.

- (7) <u>CBD diagnostic center</u>. (i) The employer must provide an evaluation at no cost to the employee at a CBD diagnostic center that is mutually agreed upon by the employer and the employee. The examination must be provided within 30 days of:
  - (A) The employer's receipt of a physician's written medical opinion to the employer that recommends referral to a CBD diagnostic center; or
  - (B) The employee presenting to the employer a physician's written medical report indicating that the employee has been confirmed positive or diagnosed with CBD, or recommending referral to a CBD diagnostic center.
- (ii) The employer must ensure that the employee receives a written medical report from the CBD diagnostic center that contains all the information required in paragraph (k)(5)(i), (ii), (iv), and (v) and that the PLHCP explains the results of the examination to the employee within 30 days of the examination.
- (iii) The employer must obtain a written medical opinion from the CBD diagnostic center within 30 days of the medical examination. The written medical opinion must contain only the information in paragraphs (k)(6)(i), as applicable, unless the employee provides written

authorization to release additional information. If the employee provides written authorization, the written opinion must also contain the information from paragraphs (k)(6)(ii), (iv), and (v), if applicable.

(iv) The employer must ensure that each employee receives a copy of the written medical opinion from the CBD diagnostic center described in paragraph (k)(7) of this standard within 30 days of any medical examination performed for that employee.

*Purpose*: The referral to the CBD diagnostic center for additional medical examination serves to identify workers who have beryllium-related medical disorders or other health problems that could be exacerbated by additional beryllium exposure. The referral will also help to determine if that employee will be able to work in the job involving beryllium exposure without increased risk of adverse health effects.

The general purposes of the medical surveillance provisions for beryllium include: to determine, when reasonably possible, if an individual worker is at increased risk for adverse health effects with continued exposure to beryllium; to identify beryllium-related adverse health effects for the purpose of taking appropriate intervention measures; and to determine workers' fitness to use personal protective equipment, such as respirators.

The requirement is consistent with Section 6(b)(7) of the OSH Act (29 U.S.C. 655(b)(7)), which requires that, when appropriate, OSHA include medical surveillance programs in its standards to determine whether exposure to the hazard addressed by the final standard adversely affects the health of workers.

§1910.1024 (l)(1) and (l)(2) -- §1915.1024 (l)(1) and (l)(2) -- §1926.1124 (l)(1) and (l)(2) --

#### Medical removal.

- (1) An employee is eligible for medical removal, if the employee works in a job with airborne exposure at or above the action level and either:
  - (i) The employee provides the employer with:
    - (A) A written medical report indicating a confirmed positive finding or CBD diagnosis; or
    - (B) A written medical report recommending removal from airborne exposure to beryllium in accordance with paragraph (k)(5)(v) or (k)(7)(ii) of this

#### standard; or

- (ii) The employer receives a written medical opinion recommending removal from airborne exposure to beryllium in accordance with paragraph (k)(6)(v) or (k)(7)(iii) of this standard.
- (2) If an employee is eligible for medical removal, the employer must provide the employee with the employee's choice of:
  - (i) Removal as described in paragraph (l)(3) of this standard; or

(ii) Remaining in a job with airborne exposure at or above the action level, provided that the employer provides, and ensures that the employee uses, respiratory protection that complies with paragraph (g) of this standard whenever airborne exposures are at or above the action level.

*Purpose:* The purpose of these provisions is to provide an option for medical removal of workers in jobs with airborne exposure to beryllium at or above the action level and who are diagnosed with CBD, confirmed positive, or otherwise recommended for removal by a physician. The medical removal provision is structured to allow the employee to retain discretion over whether the employer is informed of the employee's illness or diagnosis, so the purpose of the requirement for the employer's receipt of documentation is to trigger the removal requirements while ensuring the employer has notice.

#### §1910.1024 (m)(1) -- §1915.1024 (m)(1) -- §1926.1124 (m) (1) -- Communication of hazards.

- **(1) General**. (i) Chemical manufacturers, importers, distributors, and employers must comply with all requirements of the HCS (29 CFR 1910.1200) for beryllium.
- (ii) Employers must include beryllium in the hazard communication program established to comply with the HCS. Employers must ensure that each employee has access to labels on containers of beryllium and to safety data sheets, and is trained in accordance with the requirements of the HCS (29 CFR 1910.1200) and paragraph (m)(4) of this standard.<sup>2</sup>

*Purpose:* The purpose of ensuring that each employee has training and access to labels and safety sheets is to enable workers and downstream employers to take the precautions necessary to implement special practices to prevent or reduce beryllium exposure. Requirements that employers provide training to workers, both in this provision and elsewhere such as in paragraph (m)(3), are not collections of information.

#### §1910.1024(m)(2) -- §1915.1024(m)(2) - Warning Signs

**(2) Warning signs.** (i) <u>Posting</u>. The employer must provide and display warning signs at each approach to a regulated area so that each employee is able to read and understand the signs and take necessary protective steps before entering the area.

#### (ii) Sign specification.

- (A) The employer must ensure that the warning signs required by paragraph (m)(2)(i) of this standard are legible and readily visible.
- (B) The employer must ensure each warning sign required by paragraph (m)(2)(i) of this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Agency accounts for the burden hours and costs associated with compliance with the HCS, such as the development of a hazard communication program, under the Information Collection Request (ICR) for the HCS. OMB Control No. 1218-0072.

standard bears the following legend:

#### **DANGER**

#### **REGULATED AREA**

#### **BERYLLIUM**

#### MAY CAUSE CANCER

#### CAUSES DAMAGE TO LUNGS

#### **AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL ONLY**

#### WEAR RESPIRATORY PROTECTION AND PROTECTIVE CLOTHING AND

#### **EQUIPMENT IN THIS AREA**

*Purpose*: Posting warning signs informs workers that they are entering a regulated area, and that they must have proper authorization before entering such an area. Warning signs allow workers and others to take the precautions necessary to avoid harmful beryllium exposures.

Under PRA, information originally supplied by the Federal government to the recipient for the purpose of disclosure to the public is not considered to be a collection of information (5 CFR 1320.3(c)(2)). The Agency had determined that §1915.1024(m)(2) warning signs is not a collection of information.

§1910.1024(m)(3) -- § 1915.1024(m)(3) -- § 1926.1124(m)(2) - Warning labels

<u>Warning labels</u>. Consistent with the HCS (29 CFR 1910.1200), the employer must label each bag and container of clothing, equipment, and materials contaminated with beryllium, and must, at a minimum, include the following on the label:

DANGER

**CONTAINS BERYLLIUM** 

**MAY CAUSE CANCER** 

**CAUSES DAMAGE TO LUNGS** 

AVOID CREATING DUST

DO NOT GET ON SKIN

*Purpose*: Warning labels inform workers and downstream employers of the hazards associated with beryllium, and that they may need to implement special practices to prevent or reduce beryllium exposure. Furthermore, the labels alert downstream employers that they may have an obligation to protect their workers under the standard.

As noted in  $\S1915.1024(m)(2)$ , information originally supplied by the Federal government to the recipient for the purpose of disclosure to the public is not considered to be a collection of information (5 CFR 1320.3(c)(2)). The Agency has determined 1910.1024(m)(3) --  $\S1915.1024(m)(3)$  --  $\S1926.1124(m)(2)$  — warning labels are not collections of information.

# §1910.1024(m)(4)(iv) -- §1915.1024(m)(4)(iv) -- §1926.1124(m)(3)(iv) -- Employee Information

(iv) <u>Employee Information</u>. The employer must make a copy of this standard and its appendices readily available at no cost to each employee and designated employee representative(s).

*Purpose*: The purpose of the requirement to make a copy of the standard available to employees is to ensure that employees are aware of their employer's obligations under the standard and to assist employees in recognizing, and protecting against, the hazards of beryllium. OSHA considers the requirement to make a copy of the Standard and its appendices available to workers to be a public disclosure of information originally supplied by the Federal government to the employer for the purpose of disclosure to the public, and thus not a collection of information pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.3(c)(2). Therefore, OSHA is taking no burden hours or cost for this requirement.

§1910.1024 (n) -- §1915.1024 (n) -- §1926.1124 (n) -- Recordkeeping.

§1910.1024 (n)(1)(i), (ii), & (iii) --§1915.1024 (n)(1)(i), (ii), & (iii) -- §1926.1124 (n)(i), (ii), & (iii) -- Recordkeeping --Air Monitoring Data.

- (i) The employer must make and maintain a record of all exposure measurements taken to assess airborne exposure as prescribed in paragraph (d) of this standard.
- (ii) This record must include at least the following information:
  - (A) The date of measurement for each sample taken;
  - (B) The task that is being monitored;
  - (C) The sampling and analytical methods used and evidence of their accuracy;
  - (D) The number, duration, and results of samples taken;
  - (E) The type of personal protective clothing and equipment, including respirators, worn by monitored employees at the time of monitoring; and
  - (F) The name, social security number, and job classification of each employee represented by the monitoring, indicating which employees were actually monitored.

(iii) The employer must ensure that exposure records are maintained and made available in accordance with the Records Access standard (29 CFR 1910.1020).

# §1910.1024 (n)(2)(i), (ii), & (iii) -- §1915.1024 (n)(2)(i), (ii), & (iii) -- §1926.1124 (n)(2) (i), (ii),&(iii) -- Recordkeeping -- Objective Data.

- (i) Where an employer uses objective data to satisfy the exposure assessment requirements under paragraph (d)(2) of this standard, the employer must make and maintain a record of the objective data relied upon.
- (ii) This record must include at least the following information:
  - (A) The data relied upon;
  - (B) The beryllium-containing material in question;
  - (C) The source of the objective data;
  - (D) A description of the process, task, or activity on which the objective data were based; and
  - (E) Other data relevant to the process, task, activity, material, or airborne exposure on which the objective data were based.
- (iii) The employer must ensure that objective data are maintained and made available in accordance with the Records Access standard (29 CFR 1910.1020).

Purpose: These exposure records are necessary and appropriate for protection of worker health, enforcement of the final standard, and development of information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational illnesses. Also, the Agency and others can use the records to identify illnesses and deaths that may be attributable to beryllium exposure, evaluate compliance programs, and assess the efficacy of the final standard. Establishing and maintaining records of air-monitoring data permit employers, workers, OSHA, and other interested parties (i.e., industry trade associations and worker unions, or comparable organizations) to identify the levels, durations, and extent of beryllium exposure, determine if existing controls are protecting workers or whether additional controls are necessary to provide the required protection, and assess the relationship between beryllium exposure and the subsequent development of diseases. These records also allow OSHA to ascertain whether employers are complying with the final standard, thereby ensuring that workers are receiving adequate protection from beryllium exposure.

# §1910.1024 (n)(3) (i), (ii), & (iii) -- §1915.1024 (n)(3)(i),(ii),&(iii) -- §1926.1124 (n)(3) (i), (ii), & (iii)-- Recordkeeping-- Medical Surveillance.

- (i) The employer must make and maintain a record for each employee covered by medical surveillance under paragraph (k) of this standard.
- (ii) The record must include the following information about the employee:

- (A) Name, social security number, and job classification;
- (B) A copy of all licensed physicians' written medical opinions for each employee; and
- (C) A copy of the information provided to the PLHCP as required by paragraph (k) (4) of this standard.
- (iii) The employer must ensure that medical records are maintained made available in accordance with the Records Access standard (29 CFR 1910.1020).

*Purpose*: These medical-surveillance records, like exposure records, are necessary and appropriate for protection of worker health, enforcement of the Standards, and development of information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational illnesses. Worker access to medical-surveillance records helps protect workers because such records contribute to the evaluation of workers' health and enable workers and their healthcare providers to make informed health care decisions. Furthermore, the employer can evaluate medical-surveillance data they receive for indications that workplace conditions are associated with increased risk of beryllium-related illnesses, and take appropriate corrective actions. Finally, the Agency and others can use medical surveillance records to identify illnesses and deaths that may be attributable to respirable beryllium exposure, evaluate compliance programs, and assess the efficacy of the Standards.

The proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(7) of the OSH Act (29 U.S.C. 655(b)(7)), which requires that, when appropriate, OSHA include medical-surveillance programs in its standards to determine whether exposure to the hazard addressed by the standard adversely affects the health of workers.

# §1910.1024 (n)(4)(i) & (ii) -- §1915.1024 (n)(4)(i)&(ii) -- §1926.1124 (n)(4)(i) &(ii) -- Recordkeeping -- Training.

- (4) <u>Training</u>. (i) At the completion of any training required by this standard, the employer must prepare a record that indicates the name, social security number, and job classification of each employee trained, the date the training was completed, and the topic of the training.
- (ii) This record must be maintained for three years after the completion of training.

*Purpose:* The creation and maintenance of the training records will permit both OSHA and employers to ensure that required training has occurred on schedule.

# §1910.1024 (n)(5) -- §1915.1024 (n)(5) -- §1926.1124 (n)(5) -- Recordkeeping -- Employee Access to Records.

(5) <u>Access to records</u>. Upon request, the employer must make all records maintained as a requirement of this standard available for examination and copying to the Assistant Secretary, the Director, each employee, and each employee's designated representative(s) in accordance the Records Access standard (29 CFR 1910.1020).

*Purpose:* OSHA is requiring access to the records to ensure enforcement of the Standards and to assist employees and their representatives in the development of information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational illnesses.

§1910.1024 (n)(6) -- § 1915.1024 (n)(6) -- §1926.1124 (n)(6) -- Recordkeeping -- Transfer of Records.

(6) <u>Transfer of records</u>. The employer must comply with the requirements involving transfer of records set forth in the Records Access standard (29 CFR 1910.1020).

Paragraph (h) of § 1910.1020 requires employers who cease to do business to transfer medical and exposure-monitoring records to the successor employer, who then must receive and maintain the records. If no successor employer is available, the employer must, at least three months before ceasing business, notify current workers who have records of their right to access these records.

*Purpose*: OSHA considers the employer's transfer of records to a successor employer to be usual and customary communications during the transition from one employer to a successor employer, and is not taking any burden or cost for this provision in Item 12. In this regard, the employer would communicate the location of all records, including employee exposuremonitoring and medical records, at the facility to the successor employer during the transfer of business operations, as a matter of usual and customary business practice.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also, describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce the burden.

Employers may use improved information technology when establishing and maintaining the required records. The Agency wrote the paperwork requirements of the proposed standard in performance-oriented language, i.e., in terms of <a href="https://www.what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.com/what.co

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use of the purposes described in Item 2 above.

The information collection requirements of the proposed standard are specific to each employer and worker involved, and no other source or agency duplicates these requirements or can make the required information available to the Agency (i.e., the required information is available only from employers).

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden.

As part of the 2007 Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA) panel

process, the SBREFA Panel recommended that OSHA analyze a PEL-only standard as a regulatory alternative. The Panel also recommended that OSHA consider applying ancillary provisions of the proposed standard so as to minimize costs for small businesses where exposure levels are low (*Small Business Advisory Review (SBAR) Panel Report with Appendices A, B, and D. Final Version*<sup>3</sup>.) OSHA solicited public comments on all relevant issues, including health effects, risk assessment, significance of risk, technological and economic feasibility, and the provisions of the proposed regulatory text.

Medical surveillance was a subject of special concern to small entity representatives (SERs) during the SBREFA process, and the SBREFA Panel offered many comments and recommendations related to medical surveillance for OSHA's consideration. Table IX-30 of the Preamble to the Final Rule addresses the SBAR Panel recommendations and OSHA's response to those recommendations. OSHA seeks to ensure that the requirements of the final standard offer workers adequate medical surveillance while limiting the costs to employers.

6. Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

The information collection frequencies specified by the final standard are the minimum frequencies that the Agency believes are necessary to ensure that employers and OSHA can effectively monitor the exposure and health status of workers, thereby preventing serious illness or death resulting from hazardous exposure to beryllium.

- 7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:
  - · Requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;
  - · Requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;
  - Requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;
  - · Requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records, for more than three years;
  - · In connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results that can be generalized to the universe of study;
  - · Requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been approved by OMB;

 $<sup>^3 \, \</sup>underline{https://www.regulations.gov/searchResults?rpp=25\&po=0\&s=OSHA-H005C-2006-0870-0345\&fp=true\&ns=true$ 

- That includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or
- · Requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secret, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

Under paragraph (d)(6) of the final standard, employers must inform workers, in writing or by posting, of the exposure-assessment results no later than 15 working days after obtaining the results. If these results indicate that a worker's exposures are above the PEL, the notification must state what corrective actions the employer is taking to reduce the worker's exposure to or below the PEL.

The beryllium standard requires that exposure records, paragraph (n)(1)(iii), objective data, paragraph (n)(2)(iii), and medical records, paragraph (n)(3)(iii), be maintained pursuant to OSHA's Records Access standard (29 CFR 1910.1020). Under the records access standard, 29 CFR 1910.1020(d)(1)(i), the medical records for each employee must be preserved and maintained for at least the duration of employment plus 30 years, with certain exceptions (see 29 CFR 1910.1020(d)(1)(i)(A)-(C)). Similarly, under 29 CFR 1910.1020(d)(1)(ii) and (iii), employee exposure records, as well as analyses using exposure or medical records, must be preserved and maintained for 30 years.

8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the data and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency's notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every three years -- even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

The PRA defines a "collection of information" as "the obtaining, causing to be obtained, soliciting, or requiring the disclosure to third parties or the public, of facts or opinions by or for an agency, regardless of form or format[.]" (44 U.S.C. 3502(3)(A)). Federal agencies generally cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and the public is generally not required to respond to an information collection, unless it is approved by OMB under the PRA and displays a currently valid OMB control number (44 U.S.C. 3507). Also, notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of

information if the collection of information does not display a currently valid OMB control number (44 U.S.C. 3512).

This ICR is based on 2017 final rule for Beryllium which includes general industry, construction, and maritime. Subsequently, the agency has proposed revisions to the beryllium standards. OSHA proposed revisions to the beryllium general industry standard in December 2018 (83 FR 63746) titled "Occupational Exposure to Beryllium in General Industry," and to the beryllium construction and shipyard standards in October 2019 (84 FR 53902) titled "Occupational Exposure to Beryllium in Construction and Shipyard Sectors." The agency is planning to finalize the beryllium standards in two separate rulemakings in the coming months. The modification and update of these beryllium standards will clarify the provisions contained in the 2017 general industry standard and will better tailor the construction and shipyard standards to address the particular operations in these sectors involving exposure to beryllium. These two Beryllium finals contain information collection requirements that will have an impact on this ICR when they are published.

As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), OSHA published a notice in the Federal Register on February 3, 2020 (85 FR 5996) soliciting comments from the public and other interested parties on its extension of the information collection requirements contained in the Beryllium Standards for General Industry (29 CFR 1910.1024), Construction (29 CFR 1926.1124) AND Maritime (29 CFR 1915.1024). This notice is part of a preclearance consultation program intended to provide those interested parties the opportunity to comment on OSHA's request for an extension by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) of a previous approval of the information collection requirements found in the Beryllium Standards. The Agency did not receive any comments in response to this notice.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

The Agency will not provide payments or gifts to the respondents.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.

To ensure that the personal information contained in medical records required by the proposed standard remains confidential, the Agency developed and implemented 29 CFR 1913.10 ("Rules of Agency Practice and Procedure Concerning OSHA Access to Employee Medical Records") to regulate access to these records.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

Perceived questions of a sensitive nature may be included in medical questions posed by the PLHCP to properly diagnose the patient and make appropriate recommendations regarding further testing and the employee's occupational exposure to beryllium.

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:

Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.

- · If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens.
- Provide estimates of annualized costs to respondents for the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories.

#### **Burden Hour and Cost Determinations**

OSHA based the burden hour and cost determinations on the Final Economic Analysis ("FEA") for the Final Beryllium Standard rulemaking, which is available in the rulemaking docket.<sup>4</sup> The full FEA has been placed in OSHA rulemaking docket OSHA-H005C-2006-0870. This Supporting Statement provides a summary of the determinations made by the Agency for the burden hours, burden-hour cost, and capital (operation and maintenance) costs under Items 12 and 13 of this Supporting Statement. <sup>5</sup>

The final rule will affect employers and employees in many different industries across the economy. As described in Section IX.C and reported in Table IX-2 of the preamble, OSHA estimates that a total of 61,747 employees in 5,872 establishments are potentially at risk from exposure to beryllium (see Table III-13 in the FEA).

For the sole purpose of calculating burden hours and costs under the Paperwork Reduction Act, this supporting statement has rounded certain numbers obtained from the FEA, as well at totals

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The rulemaking docket is available for public inspection and copying in the OSHA Docket Office and at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> (Docket Number: OSHA-H005C-2006-0870).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The FEA tables referenced in this Supporting Statement may be downloaded from www.regulations.gov.

stated in the supporting statement equations. Such presentation makes it easier for the public to read and validate the supporting statement's burden hour and cost estimates.

#### **Wage Rates**

In determining the wage rates, OSHA used the mean hourly wage rates from the *May 2018 National Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates*, and the added fringe benefits for the compensation rate from the *Employer Costs for Employee Compensation*, *December 2018*, released March 19, 2019, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), U.S. Department of Labor.

Table 1 – Estimated Wage Rates				
Occupation	SOC	Mean hourly wage	Fringe benefit	Loaded wage
Human Resources (HR) Manager	11-3121	60.91	31.4% (1.4577)	\$88.79
Supervisors of Production and Operating Workers	51-1011	30.93	31.4% (1.4577)	\$45.09
Production Worker	51-0000	18.84	31.4% (1.4577)	\$27.46
Clerical Worker	43-4071	16.25	31.4% (1.4577)	\$23.69

Paragraph (d) sets forth requirements for assessing employee exposures to beryllium. Paragraph (d)(1) requires employers to choose either the performance option in paragraph (d)(2) or the scheduled monitoring option in paragraph (d)(3). The collections of information and associated burden are therefore accounted for in paragraph (d)(2) and (d)(3).

#### §1910.1024 (d)(2) -- §1915.1024(d)(2) -- §1926.1124 (d)(2) Performance Option.

When the employer elects the performance option, the employer must assess the 8-hour TWA exposure and the 15-minute short-term exposure for each employee on the basis of any combination of air monitoring data and objective data sufficient to accurately characterize airborne exposure to beryllium. Employers do not have to conduct initial exposure monitoring if they relied on any combination of air monitoring and objective data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Source for wage rates: <a href="http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes">http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes</a> nat.htm#11-0000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Source for compensation rate: http://www.bls.gov/news.release/archives/ecec 09092015.pdf

OSHA has not taken any separate burden associated with the performance option, assuming for the purposes of this analysis that all employers would elect the more burdensome scheduled monitoring system. OSHA recognizes that the performance option is less burdensome and has thus overestimated the burden hours for the monitoring option under this approach.

# §1910.1024 (d)(3)(i), (ii)&(iii) --§1915.1024(d)(3) (i),(ii)&(iii)--§1926.1124 (d)(3) (i),(ii)&(iii) Scheduled Monitoring Option.

#### 1. Initial Monitoring

Final paragraph (d)(3)(i) requires the employer to perform initial monitoring to assess the 8-hour TWA exposure for each employee on the basis of one or more personal breathing zone air samples that reflect the airborne exposure of employees on each shift, for each job classification, and in each work area.

Final paragraph (d)(3)(ii) requires the employer to perform initial monitoring to assess the short-term exposure from 15-minute personal breathing zone air samples measured in operations that are likely to produce airborne exposure above the STEL for each work shift, for each job classification, and in each work area. Final paragraph (d)(3)(iii) allows the employer to sample a representative fraction of these employees in order to meet the requirements of paragraph (d)(3) of this standard where several employees perform the same tasks on the same shift and in the same work area. And, final paragraph (d)(3)(iv) allows the employer to discontinue monitoring for those employees whose airborne exposure is represented by such monitoring if initial monitoring indicates that airborne exposure is below the action level and at or below the STEL.

The total number of workers affected is  $61,747.^8$  Of these affected workers, there are 6,804 dental laboratory workers who will not sampled since laboratories will substitute to a different material in lieu of continuing to work with beryllium. Workers in abrasive blasting (in both construction and maritime) are estimated to be sampled 20 percent more to account for the failure rate. The number of abrasive blasting worker samples is 11,460 times 120 percent, or 13,752. Excluding the abrasive blasting workers and the substituting dental laboratory workers, the number of all other affected workers is 43,483. The number of workers that will be directly subjected to initial monitoring (14,309) is the number of affected workers in each NAICS, divided by 4 because there are 4 workers represented by each sample {[ $0+(11,460 \times 1.20)+43,483$ ]/4=14,309}. Each sampled production worker will incur 30 minutes (0.5 hours) of lost work time during air monitoring,  $\frac{9}{9}$  at an hourly wage rate of \$27.46. Baseline noncompliance with this requirement is estimated to be 96 percent. The burden hours and cost associated with these provisions are:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The total number of affected workers can be found in Table III-13 of the FEA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Although the samples are estimated to represent, on average, the exposures of four employees per sample, the sampling will typically be conducted in the direct breathing area of only a single employee per sample. Thus, the productivity loss would only affect one worker per sample.

**Burden hours**: 14,309 (samples) x 0.96 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.5 (hours of

Production Worker time) = **6,868 hours** 

**One-Time Cost**: 6,868 (hours)  $\times$  \$27.46 (Production Worker wage) = **\$188,595** 

#### 2. Periodic Exposure Monitoring

§1910.1024 (d)(3)(v),(vi),(vii) & (viii) --§1915.1024(d)(3) (v),(vi), (vii)&(viii) -- §1926.1124 (d)(3) (i),(ii)&(iii) Scheduled Monitoring Option.

Final paragraph (d)(3)(v) requires the employer to repeat such monitoring within six months of the most recent monitoring where the most recent exposure monitoring indicates that airborne exposure is at or above the action level but at or below the TWA PEL. Final paragraph (d)(3)(vi) requires the employer to repeat such monitoring within three months of the most recent 8-hour TWA exposure monitoring where the most recent exposure monitoring indicates that airborne exposure is above the TWA PEL. Final paragraph (d)(3)(vii) requires the employer must repeat such monitoring within six months of the most recent monitoring until two consecutive measurements, taken seven or more days apart, are below the action level, at which time the employer may discontinue 8-hour TWA exposure monitoring for those employees whose exposure is represented by such monitoring, except as otherwise provided in paragraph (d)(4) of this standard where the most recent (non-initial) exposure monitoring indicates that airborne exposure is below the action level. And, final paragraph (d)(3)(viii) requires the employer must repeat such monitoring within three months of the most recent short-term exposure monitoring until two consecutive measurements, taken seven or more days apart, are below the STEL, at which time the employer may discontinue short-term exposure monitoring for those employees whose exposure is represented by such monitoring, except as otherwise provided in paragraph (d)(4) of this standard where the most recent exposure monitoring indicates that airborne exposure is above the STEL.

# Between AL and PEL:

The Agency estimates that 8,667 workers will be subject to periodic exposure monitoring. This total includes workers over the AL (0.1  $\mu$ g/m³), workers between the AL and PEL (0.2  $\mu$ g/m³) that are sampled twice per year, and those workers over the PEL that are sampled four times per year.

There are 20,732<sup>10</sup> at-risk workers above the action level and below the PEL. The 5,877 workers in dental labs above the action level and below the PEL are excluded because sampling is not expected for these workers. The number of semi-annual exposure monitoring samples being taken is divided into two worker categories: abrasive blasters and others.

The number of semi-annual blasting exposure monitoring samples is calculated by taking the total number of blasting workers between AL and PEL (5,743), dividing it by four workers represented per sample, multiplying the samples by 120%, <sup>11</sup> and then multiplying by two per

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Source: Table III-13 of the FEA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The abrasive blasting workers sampling requires an additional 20% more samples to account for the high

year because this is done every six months. This amounts to 3,446 samples (5,743 AL to PEL /4 workers per area  $\times$  120%  $\times$  2 samples per year = 3,446).

The number of monitoring samples being taken for other workers is calculated by dividing the number of other workers (9,111) by four workers represented per sample, then multiplying the samples by two per year (4,556).

Total monitoring samples per year: 3,446 blasting samples + 4,556 other samples = 8,002 samples.

#### Above the PEL:

There are 1,056 workers above the PEL. The 434 workers in dental labs with exposures above the PEL are excluded because sampling is not expected for those workers. There are 217 blasting workers above the PEL. The total number of samples for blasting is calculated by taking the total number of blasting workers (217), dividing it by four workers per sample, multiplying it by 120%, and then multiplying by four times per year. This results in 260 samples per year.

Excluding blasters and dental workers, there are 406 other workers above the PEL, so additional samples would be required: 406 workers divided by four workers per sample, then multiplied by four times per year equals 406 samples.

The total number of samples required for workers exposed above the PEL, accounting for both groups, is 666 (260 samples plus 406 samples).

Therefore, the total number of samples for periodic exposure monitoring is the sum of the periodic samples between the action level and the PEL (8,002) and the samples above the PEL (666), or 8,667 (rounding to match FEA).

OSHA estimates that each employer will conduct periodic exposure monitoring (once every six months when initial or subsequent exposure monitoring reveals that worker exposures are at or above the action level, but at or below the PEL; and above the PEL. Each periodic exposure monitoring sample (8,667 samples) will result in 30 minutes (0.5 hours) of lost work time during air monitoring. Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 96 percent. The burden hours and cost associated with these provisions are:

**Burden hours:** 8,667 (samples)  $\times$  0.96 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.5 (hours of Production Worker time) = **4,160 hours** 

**Annual Cost**: 4,160 (hours)  $\times$  \$27.46 (Production Worker wage) = \$114,234

#### 3. Additional Exposure Monitoring

#### §1910.1024 (d)(4) -- § 1915.1024(d)(4)-- § 1926.1124(d)(4) Reassessment of Exposure.

The employer must reassess airborne exposure whenever a change in the production, process, control equipment, personnel, or work practices may reasonably be expected to result in new or

failure rate resulting from clogged sampling equipment.

additional airborne exposure at or above the action level or STEL, or when the employer has any reason to believe that new or additional airborne exposure at or above the action level or STEL has occurred.

Of the number of at risk workers subject to initial monitoring, 14,309 workers (see previous paragraph, Initial Monitoring), OSHA estimates 10% will require additional monitoring resulting from changes in the production process, materials, equipment, personnel, work practices, or control methods. Each worker will incur 30 minutes (0.5 hours) of lost work time during air monitoring. Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 96 percent. The burden hours and cost associated with these provisions are:

**Burden hours**:  $14,309 \times 10\%$  (workers sampled) x 1 (assessment per year) x 0.96 (non-compliance rate) x 0.5 (hours of Production Worker time) = 687 hours

**Cost**: 687 (hours) x \$27.46 (Production Worker wage) = \$18,865

#### 4. Employee Notification

§1910.1024 (d)(6)(i)&(ii) --§ 1915.1024 (d)(6)(i) & (ii) --§ 1926.11241926.1124 (d)(6)(i) & (ii) Employee Notification of Assessment Results.

Final paragraph (d)(6)(i) requires employers, within 15 working days after completing an exposure assessment in accordance with paragraph (d) of this standard, to notify each employee whose airborne exposure is represented by the assessment of the results of that assessment individually in writing or by posting the results in an appropriate location that is accessible to each of these employees.

Whenever an exposure assessment indicates that airborne exposure is above the TWA PEL or STEL, final paragraph(d)(6)(ii) requires the employer to describe in the written notification the corrective action being taken to reduce airborne exposure to or below the exposure limit(s) exceeded where feasible corrective action exists but had not been implemented when the monitoring was conducted.

These burden hours are included in the Human Resources Manager time under Item 12. 1. Recordkeeping §1910.1024 (n)(1). As a result, employee notification does not appear as a separate entry on *Table B. Summary of Burden Hours*, *Burden-Hour Cost and Capital Cost Under Item 12 of this Supporting Statement* 

#### A. Beryllium Work Areas and Regulated Areas

1. Written Exposure Control Plan (§§ 1910.1024(f)(1), (i), (ii), & (iii))

§1910.1024 (f)(1)(i), (ii), &(iii) --§1915.1024 (f)1)(i),(ii), & (iii) --§1926.1124(f)(1)(i),(ii), & (iii) -- Methods of Compliance --Written Exposure Control Plan.

Paragraph (f)(1) requires the employer to establish, implement, and maintain a written exposure control plan for beryllium work areas. The employer is required to review and evaluate the effectiveness of each written exposure control plan at least annually and update it as necessary. Also, the employer is require to make a copy of the written exposure control plan and make it accessible to each employee who is, or can reasonably be expected to be, exposed to airborne beryllium.

There are 5,872 affected establishments. This is the total number of affected establishments minus the substituting dental labs (which have no program costs because they substitute away from beryllium). Table III-13 of the FEA, and specifically the column labeled "Affected Establishments [b]," shows this calculation (7,333 minus 1,278 minus 182 equals 5,872). The industry profile chapter of the FEA (chapter III) shows how the number of establishments was derived for each sector.

a. Developing and Implementing a Written Exposure Control Plan

Baseline Per-Establishment Costs:

OSHA estimates that 5,872 establishments are potentially at risk from exposure to beryllium. The Agency estimates a Human Resource Manager, earning an hourly wage of \$88.79, spends eight hours per establishment to develop and implement a written exposure control plan. Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 57 percent.

**Burden hours**: 5,872 (written plans)  $\times$  0.57 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  8 (hours of HR Manager time) = **26,776 hours** 

**One-Time Cost**:  $26,776 \text{ (hours)} \times \$88.79 \text{ (HR Manager wage)} = \$2,377,441$ 

Additional Costs based on Size of Establishment

The cost to develop a written exposure control plan would also vary with the number of employees, with larger establishments having higher costs than smaller establishments. Therefore OSHA has added additional burden and cost on a per-employee basis. OSHA estimates that there are a total of 54,943<sup>12</sup> workers at risk with a non-compliance rate of 62%. Managers are estimated to need 0.5 hours per employee to write the plan.

**Burden hours:** 54,943 (employees)  $\times$  0.62 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.5 (hours of HR Manager time) = **17,032 hours** 

**One time Cost:** 17,032 (hours)  $\times$  \$88.79 (HR Manager wage) = \$1,512,271

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>This is the number of at-risk workers (61,747), less the substituting dental labs (less the 5,954 and 851 for the two substituting dental labs NAICS), totaling 54,943. In Table III-13 in the FEA in the column labeled "Affected Employees [b]," it's the 61,747 in the bottom row. The industry profile chapter of the FEA (chapter III) goes through how all the numbers were derived for each sector.

#### b. Maintaining and Updating a Written Exposure Control Plan

The employer must maintain and update the exposure control plan when: any change in production processes, materials, equipment, personnel, work practices, or control methods results or can reasonably be expected to result in new or additional exposures to beryllium; an employee is confirmed positive, is diagnosed with CBD, or shows signs or symptoms associated with exposure; or the employer has any reason to believe that new or additional exposures are occurring or will occur.

Employers must make a copy of the written exposure control plan accessible to each employee who is or can reasonably be expected to be exposed to airborne beryllium in accordance with OSHA's Access to Employee Exposure and Medical Records (Records Access) standard (29 CFR 1910.1020(e)). Burden hours and costs for employers to allow employees access to the written exposure control plan are included in this item of the supporting statement under section D, Employee Access to Exposure Monitoring and Medical Records, subsection 2, Access to Records (§1910.1024(n)(6)).

OSHA estimates that there are a total of 54,943 employees at risk of exposures at or above the action level annually. Managers are estimated to need 12 minutes (0.2 hours) per affected employee per quarter, or 48 minutes ( $4 \times 12$ ) (0.8 hours) per affected employee per year to review and update the plan. Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 62 percent.

**Burden hours**: 54,943 (employees)  $\times$  0.62 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.8 (hours of HR

Manager time) = **27,252 hours** 

**Annual Cost**: 27,252 (hours)  $\times$  \$88.79 (HR Manager wage) = **\$2,419,705** 

#### 2. Respiratory Protection (g)

§1910.1024 (g)(2) -- §1915.1024 (g) -- §1926.1124 (g)-- Respiratory protection program.

Where this standard requires an employer to provide respiratory protection, the selection and use of such respiratory protection must be in accordance with the Respiratory Protection standard (29 CFR 1910.134).

Develop and Implement Written respiratory program

There is a cost per establishment to set up a written respirator program in accordance with the respiratory protection standard (29 CFR 1910.134). The respiratory protection standard requires written procedures for the proper selection, use, cleaning, storage, and maintenance of OSHA estimates that 465<sup>13</sup> establishments are potentially at risk from exposure to beryllium that could exceed the PEL. There are 465 establishments that will need to develop and implement a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Source: FEA spreadsheets, Tab "Rule". This number is derived from subtracting the number of substituting dental firms from the total number of firms with exposures above the PEL.

respirator program. It estimates that a Human Resource Manager, earning an hourly wage of \$88.79, would spend eight hours per establishment to develop and implement a written respirator program. Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 41 percent.

**Burden hours**: 465 (respirator program per establishment)  $\times$  0.41 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  8 (hours of HR Manager time) = **1,525 hours** 

**One-Time Cost**: 1,525 (hours)  $\times$  \$88.79 (HR Manager wage) = **\$135,405** 

*Updating and Maintaining:* 

There are 465 establishments that will need to update and maintain a respirator program. OSHA estimates that a Human Resource Manager, earning an hourly wage of \$88.79, would spend two hours per establishment to maintain and update a written respirator program. Baseline noncompliance with this requirement is estimated to be 41 percent.

**Burden hours**: 465 (respirator program per establishment)  $\times$  0.41 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  2 hours (HR Manager time) = **381 hours** 

**One-Time Cost**: 381 (hours)  $\times$  \$88.79 (HR Manager wage) = \$33,829

#### 3. Respirator Fit testing:

*In-House Fit Testing for the Employees* 

The employers will conduct respirator fit testing for the 650 workers<sup>14</sup> who will need to wear respirators. OSHA estimates that it will take 30 minutes (0.5 hours) for a worker to be fit-tested per respirator and 100% of the 650 workers will need to be fit tested.

**Burden hours**: 650 (employees)  $\times$  1 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.5 (hours of employee time) = **325 hours** 

**Annual Cost**: 325 (hours)  $\times$  \$27.46 (Employee wage) = **\$8,925** 

*In-House Fit Testing by Supervisors* 

The employers will conduct in-house fit testing for the 650 workers who will need to wear respirators. OSHA estimates that the in-house supervisors will administer the 650 fit-tests and it will take 30 minutes (0.5 hours) for a worker to be fit-tested per respirator and 100% of the 650 workers will need to be fit tested.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Source: Table V-14, Number of Workers needing Respirators and Respirator Costs, by sector and NACIS Industry, of the FEA.

**Burden hours**: 650 (Supervisor)  $\times$  1 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.5 (hours of Supervisor time) = **325 hours** 

**Annual Cost**:  $325 \text{ (hours)} \times $45.09 \text{ (Supervisor wage)} = $14,654$ 

4. Personal Protective Clothing and Equipment

§1910.1024 (h)(3)(iii) -- § 1915.1024 (h)(3)(iii) -- § 1926.1124 (h)(3)(iii) -- Personal Protective Clothing and Equipment -- Cleaning and Replacement.

Final paragraph (h)(3)(iii) requires the employer to inform in writing the persons or the business entities who launder, clean or repair the personal protective clothing or equipment required by this standard of the potentially harmful effects of airborne exposure to and dermal contact with beryllium and that the personal protective clothing and equipment must be handled in accordance with this standard.

The employer must inform in writing the persons or the business entities that launder, clean, or repair the personal protective clothing or equipment required by this standard of the potentially harmful effects of airborne exposure to beryllium and dermal contact with beryllium compounds, as well as how the personal protective clothing and equipment must be handled in accordance with this standard.

There are 5,872 establishments potentially at risk from exposure to beryllium that will need to send out clothing and equipment for laundering, cleaning, or repair. OSHA estimates that it will take approximately 10 minutes (0.17 hours) of a Clerical worker's time to inform the person or business in writing of the potentially harmful effects of the laundering, cleaning or repair of the clothing or equipment. Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 21 percent.

**Burden hours**: 5,872 (establishments)  $\times$  0.21 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.17 (hours of Clerical worker time) = **210 hours** 

**Annual Cost**: 210 (hours)  $\times$  \$23.69 (Clerical worker's wage) = \$4,975

#### 5. Medical Surveillance

§1910.1024 (k)(1), (2), and (3) -- § 1915.1024 (k)(1), (2), and (3)-- § 1926.1124 (k)(1), (2), and (3) -- Medical Surveillance.

Final paragraph (k)(1) requires the employer to make medical surveillance required by this paragraph available at no cost to the employee, and at a reasonable time and place, to each employee: (A) Who is or is reasonably expected to be exposed at or above the action level for more than 30 days per year; (B) Who shows signs or symptoms of CBD or other beryllium-related health effects; (C) Who is exposed to beryllium during an emergency; or (D) Whose most recent written medical opinion required by paragraph (k)(6) or (k)(7) recommends periodic medical surveillance.

Also, the employer must ensure that all medical examinations and procedures required by this standard are performed by, or under the direction of, a licensed physician.

In final paragraph (k)(2), the employer must provide a medical examination within 30 days of either: (A) An employee meets the criteria of paragraph (k)(1)(i)(A), unless the employee has received a medical examination, provided in accordance with this standard, within the last two years; or (B) An employee meets the criteria of paragraph (k)(1)(i)(B) or (C). And at least every two years thereafter for each employee who continues to meet the criteria of paragraph (k)(1)(i) (A),(B), or (D). Also, at the termination of employment for each employee who meets any of the criteria of paragraph (k)(1)(i) of this standard at the time the employee's employment terminates, unless an examination has been provided in accordance with this standard during the six months prior to the date of termination.

The number of workers subject to initial medical surveillance in the first year and periodic surveillance in each year includes both those who are over the AL and those showing signs and symptoms (of CBD or sensitization). There are 21,788 over the AL in all application groups, less 6,311 the dental labs. (21,788-6,311 = 15,477 over the AL).

The number of workers who receive medical surveillance solely due to signs and symptoms is the number of at-risk workers minus the number over the AL times the general 15 percent that are estimated to show signs and symptoms. Substituting dental labs are again excluded because workers would not be exposed to beryllium and would therefore not be eligible for medical surveillance. This is calculated as the 54,943 (see written exposure plan bullet above) – the 15,477 over the AL x 15 percent = 5,920 showing signs and symptoms. The number over the AL and the number showing signs and symptoms are summed to get the total: 15,477 + 5,920 = 21,397.

The medical surveillance for the number of exams is 21,397. OSHA estimated the examination<sup>15</sup> requires 125 minutes (or 2.08 hours) away from work for each employee each year to complete an initial medical examination. This includes time for traveling, a health history review, the physical exam, a beryllium lymphocyte proliferation test (BeLPT), the pulmonary function test, and employee time when the PLHCP explains the results of the medical examination to the employee. Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 55 percent. The burden hours and annual cost associated with these provisions are:

#### Annual Exams

**Burden hours**: 21,397 (examinations) x 0.55 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  2.08 (hours of Production Worker time) = **24,478 hours** 

**Annual Cost**: 24,478 hours  $\times$  \$27.46 (Production worker wage) = **\$672,166** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Final paragraph (k)(3) provides the content of examinations.

Note: The Agency estimates that 10 percent of the standard medical examinations will lead to further tests recommended by the PLHCP<sup>16</sup> and are included in the cost and time of the 21,397 employees.

Referral exams

§1910.1024 (k)(7) -- § 1915.1024 (k)(7) -- § 1926.1124 (k)(7)

The employer must provide an evaluation at no cost to the employee at a CBD diagnostic center that is mutually agreed upon by the employer and the employee. The examination must be provided within 30 days of; (A) The employer's receipt of a physician's written medical opinion to the employer that recommends referral to a CBD diagnostic center; or (B) The employee presenting to the employer a physician's written medical report indicating that the employee has been confirmed positive or diagnosed with CBD, or recommending referral to a CBD diagnostic center.

Referral exams to the CBD Diagnostic Center -- Traveling Workers

The estimated annual number of referrals to the CBD diagnostic center is 1,011. It will take 24 hours and 15 minutes for each employee to travel by plane to the center and complete the medical examination.

**Burden hours**: 1,011 referrals traveling x 1 (non-compliance) x 24.25 hours = 24,517 hours

**Cost**: 24,517 hours x \$27.46 (Production worker wage) = \$673,237

Referral exams to the CBD Diagnostic Center –Non-Traveling Workers

The estimated annual number of referrals exams to the CBD diagnostic center is 337. It will take 4 hours and 15 minutes for each employee to travel to the center in town and complete the medical examination.

**Burden hours:** 337 referrals non travel x 1(non-compliance) x 4.25 hours = 1,432 hours

**Cost**: 1,432 hours x \$27.46 (Production worker wage) = \$39,323

#### 6. Information Provided to the PLHCP

§1910.1024 (k)(4)-- § 1915.1024 (k)(4)--§ 1926.1124 (k)(4)-- Medical Surveillance-- Information Provided to the PLHCP.

(4)The employer must ensure that the examining PLHCP (and the agreed-upon CBD diagnostic center, if an evaluation is required under paragraph (k)(7) of this standard) has a copy of this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> These exposure monitoring unit costs are summarized in Table V-17 of the FEA.

standard and must provide the following information, if known:

- (i) A description of the employee's former and current duties that relate to the employee's airborne exposure to and dermal contact with beryllium;
- (ii) The employee's former and current levels of airborne exposure;
- (iii) A description of any personal protective clothing and equipment, including respirators, used by the employee, including when and for how long the employee has used that personal protective clothing and equipment; and
- (iv) Information from records of employment-related medical examinations previously provided to the employee, currently within the control of the employer, after obtaining written consent from the employee.

In the final standard, the employer must ensure that the examining PLHCP(and the agreed-upon CBD diagnostic center, if an evaluation is required under paragraph (k)(7) of this standard) has a copy of this standard and shall provide the following information, if known: a description of the employee's former and current duties that relate to the employee's occupational exposure; the employee's former and current levels of occupational exposure; a description of any personal protective clothing and equipment, including respirators, used by the employee, including when and for how long the employee has used that personal protective clothing and equipment; and information from records of employment-related medical examinations previously provided to the employee, currently within the control of the employer, after obtaining a medical release from the employee.

OSHA estimates that it will take 15 minutes (.25 hours) of a Supervisor's time to gather and provide the information needed for the PLHCP. Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 55 percent.

**Burden hours**: 21,397 (employees)  $\times$  0.55 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.25 (hours of Supervisor time) = **2.942 hours** 

**Annual Cost**: 2,942 (hours)  $\times$  \$45.09 (Supervisor wage) = \$132,655

7. Licensed Physician's Written Medical Opinion for the Employer

§1910.1024 (k)(6) -- § 1915.1024 (k)(6) -- § 1926.1124 (k)(6) -- Medical Surveillance--Licensed Physician's Written Medical Opinion for the Employer.

Final paragraph (k)(6) requires the employer to obtain a written medical opinion from the licensed physician within 45 days of the medical examination (including any follow-up BeLPT required under paragraph (k)(3)(ii)(E) of this standard).

There are 21,397 affected employees that the employer will need to provide a copy of the licensed physician's written opinion. OSHA estimates that it will take 5 minutes (0.08 hour) of a Supervisor's time to provide a copy of the information to the employee. Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 55 percent.

#### Supervisor:

**Burden hours**: 21,397 (employees)  $\times$  0.55 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.08 (hours of Supervisor time) = **941 hours** 

**Annual Cost**: 941 (hours)  $\times$  \$45.09 (Supervisor wage) = **\$42,430** 

#### 8. Medical Removal

§1910.1024 (l)(1) and (2) -- §1915.1024 (l)(1) and (2) -- §1926.1124 (l)(1) and (2) -- Medical removal.

- (1) An employee is eligible for medical removal, if the employee works in a job with airborne exposure at or above the action level and either:
- (i) The employee provides the employer with:
  - (A) A written medical report indicating a confirmed positive finding or CBD diagnosis; or
- (B) A written medical report recommending removal from airborne exposure to beryllium in accordance with paragraph (k)(5)(v) or (k)(7)(ii) of this standard; or
- (ii) The employer receives a written medical opinion recommending removal from airborne exposure to beryllium in accordance with paragraph (k)(6)(v) or (k)(7)(iii) of this standard.
- (2) If an employee is eligible for medical removal, the employer must provide the employee with the employee's choice of:
  - (i) Removal as described in paragraph (l)(3) of this standard; or
- (ii) Remaining in a job with airborne exposure at or above the action level, provided that the employer provides, and ensures that the employee uses, respiratory protection that complies with paragraph (g) of this standard whenever airborne exposures are at or above the action level.

In accordance with the spreadsheets for the FEA under the "Rule" tab of the Cost spreadsheet, there are 778 employees who will request medical removal because of a positive finding of CBD. OSHA estimates that it will take five minutes (0.08 hour) of a Supervisor's time to receive and process (including conveying the two options under (l)(2)) for each medical removal request. OSHA anticipates that this will typically involve the employee authorizing that the PLHCP share a recommendation for removal with the employer. Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 100 percent.

**Burden hours**: 778 employees x 1 (non-compliance) x 0.08 hours = 62 hours

**Cost**: 62 hours x \$45.09 (Supervisor wage) = \$2,796

#### B. Recordkeeping

1. Exposure Assessment.

§1910.1024 (n)(1)(i), (ii), & (iii) -- § 1915.1024 (n)(1)(i),(ii),&(iii) -- § 1926.1124 (n) (i), & (iii),&(iii)-- Recordkeeping -- Air Monitoring Data.

a. Initial Exposure Monitoring (paragraph (d)(2) of §1910.1024)

As determined under Initial Exposure Monitoring, paragraph (d)(2), the Agency estimates there are 14,309 exposure monitoring records being generated for this purpose. The Agency estimates that an HR manager will spend 15 minutes (0.25 hours) developing and maintaining records for employee records at a loaded hourly wage of \$70.44, which includes time to notify employees of the results of the exposure monitoring (typically accomplished by posting the results). <sup>17</sup> Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 96 percent. The burden hours and cost associated with these provisions are:

**Burden hours:** 14,309 (workers sampled)  $\times$  0.96 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.25 (hours of HR Manager time) = **3,434 hours** 

**One-Time Cost:** 3,434 (hours)  $\times$  \$88.79 (HR Manager wage) = \$304,905

b. Periodic Exposure Monitoring (paragraph (d)(3) of §1910.1024)

As determined under Periodic Exposure Monitoring, paragraph (d)(3), the Agency estimates there are is 8,667 periodic monitoring samples being developed.<sup>18</sup> The Agency estimates that an HR manager will spend 15 minutes (0.25 hours) developing and maintaining employee monitoring records at a loaded hourly wage of \$88.79, which includes time to notify employees of the results of the exposure monitoring (typically accomplished by posting the results). Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 96 percent. The burden hours and cost associated with these provisions are:

**Burden hours**: 8,667 (workers sampled per year)  $\times$  0.96 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.25 (hours of HR Manager time) = **2,080 hours** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Where exposures exceed the TWA PEL or STEL, the written exposure monitoring notification shall include suspected or known sources of exposure and the corrective action(s) the employer has taken or will take to reduce exposure to or below the PELs, and where feasible corrective action exists but had not been implemented when the monitoring was conducted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> As discussed earlier, OSHA has estimated burdens and costs for all affected employers to conduct initial exposure monitoring rather than relying on objective data. OSHA has therefore overestimated the burden and costs for employers to the extent that any employers have developed and maintained objective data that meet the proposed standard's requirements and do not need to conduct initial exposure monitoring or subsequent periodic monitoring.

**Annual Cost:** 2,080 (hours)  $\times$  \$88.79 (HR Manager wage) = **\$184,683** 

c. Additional Exposure Monitoring (paragraphs (d)(4) of § 1910.1024)

Of the number of at risk workers subject to initial monitoring, 14,309 workers (see previous paragraph, Initial Monitoring), OSHA estimates 10%, 1,431 workers, will require additional monitoring resulting from changes in the production process, materials, equipment, personnel, work practices, or control methods. The Agency estimates that an HR manager will spend 15 minutes (0.25 hours) maintaining the relevant records at a loaded hourly wage of \$88.79, which includes time to notify employees of the results of the exposure monitoring. Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 96 percent. The burden hours and cost associated with these provisions are:

**Burden hours**: 1,431 (workers sampled) (workers sampled per year)  $\times$  0.96 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.25 (hours of HR Manager time) = **343 hours** 

**Annual Cost:** 343 (hours)  $\times$  \$88.79 (HR Manager wage) = **\$30,455** 

#### 2. <u>Medical Surveillance</u>

1910.1024 (n)(3) (i), (ii), & (iii) --§ 1915.1024 (n)(3)(i),(ii),&(iii) --§ 1926.1124 (n)(3) (i),&(iii),- Recordkeeping-- Medical Surveillance.

In final paragraph (n)(3)(i), the employer must make and maintain a record for each employee covered by medical surveillance under paragraph (k) of this standard.

#### a. Human Resource manager

A Human Resource manager would expend 15 minutes (.25 hour) to read, copy the PLHCP's opinion, provide and discuss the opinion with the employee, and discuss any necessary post-exam consultation with the employee. The number of post-exam records is 21,397; also there are 1,338 referral exam records<sup>19</sup> for a total of 22,745. Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 96 percent.

**Burden hours**: 22,745(exam records)  $\times$  0.96 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.25 (hours of HR Manager time) = **5,459 hours** 

**Annual Cost**: 5,459 (hours) × \$88.79 (HR Manager wage) = **\$484,705** 

#### b. Clerical Worker Time

Each file would require 5 minutes (0.08 hours) of a clerical worker's time to generate and maintain. The total number of medical exam records per year is 22,745. Baseline non-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Under the Medical Surveillance section of Item 12, the Agency estimates there are 1,011 referral examinations where workers travel on average 12 hours to a CBD Diagnostic Center; and 337 referral examinations where employees travel on average 4.25 hours to the CBD Diagnostic center

compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 96 percent.

**Burden hours**: 22,745 (exam records)  $\times$  0.96 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.08 hours = **1,747 hours** 

**Annual Cost**: 1,747 hours  $\times$  \$23.69 (Clerical worker wage) = **\$41,386** 

#### 3. <u>Training</u>

§1910.1024 (n)(4)(i) & (ii) -- § 1915.1024 (n)(4)(i)&(ii) -- § 1926.1124 (n)(4) (i)&(ii)-- Recordkeeping -- Training.

Final paragraph (n)(4)(i) requires the employer to prepare a record of the training. This record must be maintained for three years after the completion of training.

OSHA estimates that there are 54,943 employees that will require a training record to be generated and maintained. A clerical worker will take 5 minutes (0.08 hours) to prepare and maintain these records for each employee receiving training. Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 57 percent.

**Burden hours**: 54,943 (training records)  $\times$  .57 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.08 (hours of Clerical worker time) = **2,505 hours** 

**Annual Cost**: 2,505 (hours) × \$23.69 (Clerical worker wage) = **\$59,343** 

#### 4. Fit Testing Records

Under the respiratory protection program, the employer must keep records of all respirator fit testing for every employee affected. OSHA estimates that there are 650 employees that will be fit tested for respirators. A clerical workers will have generate and maintain these records. It will take a clerical worker 5 minutes (0.08 hours) to prepare and maintain these records for each employee being tested. The baseline for non-compliance is estimated a100 percent.

**Burden hours**: 650 (fit testing records)  $\times$  1(non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.08 (hours of Clerical worker time) = **52 hours** 

**Cost**: 52 (hours) × \$23.69 (Clerical worker wage) = **\$1,232** 

#### C. Access to Records

#### 1. Employee Access to Written Exposure Control Plan 1910.1024(f)(iii)

The employer must make a copy of the exposure control plan accessible to each employee who is or can reasonably be expected to be exposed to airborne beryllium in accordance with OSHA's Access to Employee Exposure and Medical Records (Records Access) standard (29 CFR 1910.1020(e)).

OSHA estimates it takes 5 minutes (0.08 hours) of a clerical worker's time to make the exposure control plan accessible to the worker. OSHA estimates that approximately 5% of the 61,747 atrisk workers, or 3,087 workers, will request access to their records per year. Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 62 percent.

**Burden hours**: 3,087 (worker requests for medical documentation)  $\times$  0.62 (non-compliance rate) x 0.08 (hours of Clerical worker time) = **153 hours** 

**One-Time Cost**: 153 (hours)  $\times$  \$23.69 (Clerical worker wage) = **\$3,625** 

2. Employee Access to Exposure Monitoring and Medical Records

§1910.1024 (n)(5) -- § 1915.1024 (n)(5) -- § 1926.1124 (n) (5) -- Employee Access to Records.

Upon request, the employer must make all records maintained as a requirement of this standard available for examination and copying to the Assistant Secretary, the Director, each employee, and each employee's designated representative(s) in accordance the Records Access standard (29 CFR 1910.1020). Employers must maintain exposure records and make them available in accordance with 29 CFR 1910.1020.

OSHA estimates that approximately 5% of the 61,747 at-risk workers, or 3,087 workers, will request access to their records per year. OSHA estimates that it takes 5 minutes (0.08 hours) of a Clerical worker's time to disclose these records. Baseline non-compliance with this requirement is estimated to be 100 percent. The annual burden hours and cost for this task are estimated to be:

**Burden hours**: 3,087 (worker requests for medical documentation)  $\times$  1 (non-compliance rate)  $\times$  0.08 (hours of Clerical worker time) = **247 hours** 

**Annual Cost**: 247 (hours)  $\times$  \$23.69 (Clerical worker wage) = \$5,851

#### D. Rule Familiarization

The Agency expects that the employer will assign responsibility for investigating the details of the final rule, and for determining how to implement it, to one or more supervisors. OSHA assumes that the time supervisors will require for rule familiarization will be based on a number of factors, including establishment size. The agency estimates that supervisors in small establishments will require 4 hours to become familiar with the final rule, while supervisors in medium establishment will require 8 hours and those in large establishment will require 40 hours. OSHA's estimate of familiarization costs therefore reflects the total supervisor familiarization time (cost at a supervisory wage) for each covered employer, with the number of employees at each establishment also serving as a proxy to represent the diversity of beryllium activities. The estimates of the different sizes of establishments and the numbers of employees are explained in footnote 42 of FEA Chapter III (the total number of affected establishments is identified in Table III-13).

Small establishment (fewer than 20 employees)

**Burden hours**: 5,644 affected small establishments x 4 (hours of supervisor time) =

22,576 hours

**Cost**: 22,576 x \$45.09 (Supervisor wage) = **\$1,017,952** 

Medium establishment (20 to 499 employees)

**Burden hours**: 1,619 affected medium establishments x 8 (hours of supervisor time) =

12,952 hours

**Cost**:  $12,952 \times $45.09$  (Supervisor wage) = **\$584,006** 

Large establishment (500 or more employees)

**Burden hours**: 70 affected establishments in large establishments x 40 (hours of

supervisor time) = **2,800 hours** 

**Cost**: 2,800 x \$45.09 (Supervisor wage) = **\$126,252** 

April 30, 2020

Table B. Summary of Burden Hours, Burden-Hour Cost and Capital Cost Under Item 12 of this Supporting Statement

Table D. St	Table B. Summary of Burden Hours, Burden-Hour Cost and Ca				pitai Cost C	nuci ittii				ICIIC	
	Frequency	Frequency Basis	Respondent	Responses per Year	Non- Compliance Rate	Hours per Response	Hours per Year	Loaded Hourly Wage	Total Cost	Total Responses	
				А	b	С	d = a x b x c	е	f = d x e	g = a x b	
A. Exposure Monitor	A. Exposure Monitoring										
1. Performance Option											
Objective Data	Annual	Employee	Production Worker	0	0%	0.00	0	\$27.46	\$0	0	
2. Scheduled Monito	oring Option										
Initial	First Year	Employee	Production Worker	14,309	96%	0.50	6,868	\$27.46	\$188,595	13,737	
Periodic	Annual	Employee	Production Worker	8,667	96%	0.50	4,160	\$27.46	\$114,234	8,320	
Additional	Annual	Employee	Production Worker	1,431	96%	0.50	687	\$27.46	\$18,865	1,374	
B. Beryllium Work A	reas and Regu	lated Areas									
1. Written Exposure	Control Plan										
Develop Plan	First Year	Establishment	HR Manager	5,872	57%	8.00	26,776	\$88.79	\$2,377,441	3,347	
Develop Plan	First Year	Employee	HR Manager	54,943	62%	0.50	17,032	\$88.79	\$1,512,271	34,065	
Update Plan	Annual	Employee	HR Manager	54,943	62%	0.80	27,252	\$88.79	\$2,419,705	34,065	
2. Respirator Progra	m										
Develop Plan	First Year	Establishment	HR Manager	465	41%	8.00	1,525	\$88.79	\$135,405	191	
Update Plan	Annual	Establishment	HR Manager	465	41%	2.00	381	\$88.79	\$33,829	191	
3. Respirator Fit Test	ting										
Respirator Fit	Annual	Employee	Production Worker	650	100%	0.50	325	\$27.46	\$8,925	650	
Testing - Labor	Ailliuai	Employee	Froduction worker	030	100%	0.50	323	\$27.40	\$0,723	030	
Respirator Fit	Annual	Employee	Supervisor	650	100%	0.50	325	\$45.09	\$14,654	650	
Testing - Labor	Ailiuai	Lilipioyee	Supervisor	050	10070	0.50	323	Ψ-3.07	Ψ14,054	030	
4. PPE											
Notify Cleaners in Writing	Annual	Establishment	Clerical	5,872	21%	0.17	210	\$23.69	\$4,975	1,233	
5 Medical Surveillance											
Medical Exam - Initial and Annual	Annual	Employee	Production Worker	21,397	55%	2.08	24,478	\$27.46	\$672,166	11,768	

April 30, 2020

Table B. Summary of Burden Hours, Burden-Hour Cost and Capital Cost Under Item 12 of this Supporting Statement

Table B. Summary of Burden Hours, Burden-Hour Cost and Ca					pitai Cust C	nuci item	1 12 UI UI	12 of this Supporting States		
Frequ	Frequency	Basis	Respondent	Responses per Year	Non- Compliance Rate	Hours per Response	Hours per Year	Loaded Hourly Wage	Total Cost	Total Responses
				Α	b	С	d = a x b x c	е	f = d x e	g = a x b
Referral Exam - Travelling Workers	Annual	Employee	Production Worker	1,011	100%	24.25	24,517	\$27.46	\$673,237	1,011
Referral Exam - Non- Travelling Workers	Annual	Employee	Production Worker	337	100%	4.25	1,432	\$27.46	\$39,323	337
6. Information Provid	led to the PLH	CP								
Provide Information - Supervisor	Annual	Employee	Supervisor	21,397	55%	0.25	2,942	\$45.09	\$132,655	11,768
7. Licensed Physician	's Written Me	dical Opinion								
Process Information - Supervisor	Annual	Employee	Supervisor	21,397	55%	0.08	941	\$45.09	\$42,430	11,768
8. Medical Removal										
Medical Removal	First Year	Employee	Supervisor	778	100%	0.08	62	\$45.09	\$2,796	778
C. Recordkeeping								·		
1. Exposure Monitori	ng									
Initial	First Year	Employee	HR Manager	14,309	96%	0.25	3,434	\$88.79	\$304,904	13,737
Periodic	Annual	Employee	HR Manager	8,667	96%	0.25	2,080	\$88.79	\$184,683	8,320
Additional	Annual	Employee	HR Manager	1,431	96%	0.25	343	\$88.79	\$30,455	1,374
2. Medical Surveillan	2. Medical Surveillance									
Medical Surveillance - HR Manager	Annual	Employee	HR Manager	22,745	96%	0.25	5,459	\$88.79	\$484,705	21,835
Medical Surveillance - Clerical	Annual	Employee	Clerical	22,745	96%	0.08	1,747	\$23.69	\$41,386	21,835
3. Training										
Training	Annual	Employee	Clerical	54,943	57%	0.08	2,505	\$23.69	\$59,343	31,318
4. Respirator Fit Testing Records										
Respirator Fit Testing	Annual	Employee	Clerical	650	100%	0.08	52	\$23.69	\$1,232	650

April 30, 2020

Table B. Summary of Burden Hours, Burden-Hour Cost and Capital Cost Under Item 12 of this Supporting Statement

	Frequency	Basis	Respondent	Responses per Year	Non- Compliance Rate	Hours per Response	Hours per Year	Loaded Hourly Wage	Total Cost	Total Responses
				А	b	С	d = a x b x c	е	f = d x e	g = a x b
D. Employee Access t	o Exposure M	onitoring and M	1edical Records							
Written Exposure Control Plan	Annual	Employee	Clerical	3,087	62%	0.08	153	\$23.69	\$3,625	1,914
Access to Records	Annual	Employee	Clerical	3,087	100%	0.08	247	\$23.69	\$5,851	3,087
E. Rule Familiarizatio	n									
Small										
Establishments (< 20	First Year	Establishment	Supervisor	5,644	100%	4.00	22,576	\$45.09	\$1,017,952	5,644
Employees)										
Medium										
Establishments (20 -	First Year	Establishment	Supervisor	1,619	100%	8.00	12,952	\$45.09	\$584,006	1,619
499 Employees)										
Large										
Establishments (≥	First Year	Establishment	Supervisor	70	100%	40.00	2,800	\$45.09	\$126,252	70
500 Employees)										
Total										
First Year				98,009			94,025		\$6,249,622	73,188
Annual				255,572			100,236		\$4,986,263	173,468
Total				353,581			194,261		\$11,235,900	246,656

13.

14. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14.)

The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life) and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life on capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and record storage facilities.

If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of purchasing or contracting out information collections services should be part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.

Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government, or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.

#### 1. Exposure Monitoring

The Agency has taken a unit cost for analyzing the samples taken for exposure monitoring. This exposure monitoring requires that three samples be taken per worker: one time-weighted average (TWA) sample and two short-term exposure limit (STEL) samples. The costs differ for initial exposure monitoring (which is conducted by certified IH) and additional/periodic monitoring (which is conducted by a lower wage IH). The unit cost for an initial TWA sample is estimated to be \$583.27, which is the sum of the cost for contract industrial hygienist services (\$434.73) and the associated lab fees (\$148.54). The unit cost to obtain the two STEL samples is estimated to be \$1,166.54 (2 x (\$148.54 + \$434.73)) per sampled worker. The unit cost for additional/periodic TWA samples is estimated to be \$365.90, which is the sum of the cost for contract industrial hygienist services (\$217.37) and the associated lab fees (\$365.90). The unit cost to obtain additional/periodic STEL samples is estimated to be \$731.81 (2 x (\$148.54 + \$217.37)).

Table A shows the number of responses per year, non-compliance rate, and total cost associated with each type of exposure monitoring sample. The number of workers affected by the exposure

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> These exposure monitoring unit costs are summarized in Table V-16 of the FEA.

monitoring requirements varies, with 14,309 workers affected in the first year, 1,431 workers subject to periodic exposure monitoring, and 8,667 workers subject to additional exposure monitoring.<sup>21</sup> The total cost is calculated by multiplying the number of workers affected by the cost per sample by the non-compliance rate. First-year costs are then annualized, and the costs for each type of sample are summed to arrive at the total costs.

**Table A. Direct Costs of Exposure Monitoring** 

Table A. Direct Costs of Exposure Monitoring										
	Frequency	Responses per Year	Non- Compliance Rate	Non- Compliance Responses per year	Unit Cost	Total Cost				
Initial										
TWA Sample	First Year	14,309	96%	13,737	\$583.27	\$8,012,380				
2 STEL Samples	First Year	14,309	96%	13,737	\$1,166.54	\$16,024,760				
Subtotal	First Year	14,309		13,737		\$24,037,140				
Periodic										
TWA Sample	Annual	8,667	96%	8,320	\$365.90	\$3,044,288				
2 STEL Samples	Annual	8,667	96%	8,320	\$731.81	\$6,088,659				
Subtotal	Annual	8,667		8,320		\$9,132,947				
Additional										
TWA Sample	Annual	1,431	96%	1,374	\$365.90	\$502,747				
2 STEL Samples	Annual	1,431	96%	1,374	\$731.81	\$1,005,507				
Subtotal	Annual	1,431		1,374		\$1,508,254				
Total					\$34	,678,341				

#### 2. Initial Medical Examinations

The Agency assumes that employers will incur an initial medical cost for the exams associated with the medical surveillance provision of the rule. The initial medical cost associated with initial medical exams is \$558.84, which includes \$42.19 for gathering or updating work and medical history, \$126.56 for a full physical exam (encompassing both respiratory and skin requirements), \$59.31 for a pulmonary function test, \$309.08 for a BeLPT, and \$216.90 for all additional tests (collectively) that the PLHCP may recommend. For this last element, the Agency estimates that 10 percent of the standard medical examinations will lead to further tests recommended by the PLCHP. The cost for the PLHCP or other medical provider to provide a written medical report to the employee is included in the cost for the medical exams.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> The derivation of the number of affected workers described in Section 12. above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> These exposure monitoring unit costs are summarized in Table V-17 of the FEA.

The Agency estimates that 21,397 workers will be subject to annual medical surveillance,<sup>23</sup> and OSHA estimates a non-compliance rate of 0.96 (20,541 workers) so the total cost for these workers is \$11,479,132 (20,541 x \$558.84). The cost for the additional exams is included.

**Total Annual Cost: \$11,479,132** 

#### 3. Respirator Fit-Test Materials

The Agency estimates that it costs employers \$1.22 for respirator materials to fit-test each of the 650 employees. This cost was not significant enough for separate identification in the FEA, but is derived from the respirator fit-test materials costs identified in *the Quantitative Fit Testing Protocol: Amendment to the Final Rule on Respiratory Protection* NPRM Supporting Statement.<sup>24</sup>

Annual Cost: 650 (employees) x \$1.22 (cost for materials) = \$793

#### 4. Total Capital Costs

The total costs for this item are the sum of the costs for exposure monitoring and medical examinations.

**Total Cost:** \$34,678,341+ \$11,479,132 +\$793 = **\$46,158,266** 

15. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal Government. Also, provide a description Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal Government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies may also aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.

There are no costs to the Federal Government.

#### 16. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments.

The agency is requesting that the burden hours and estimated cost for maintenance and materials to remain the same.

17. For collection of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

OSHA will not publish the information collected under the final standard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> The derivation of the number of affected workers described in Section 12.A.5. above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> *Respiratory Protection QNFT NPRM* supporting statement, published Oct. 7, 2016, available at <a href="https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewDocument?ref">https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewDocument?ref</a> nbr=201511-1218-005, p. 27.

### 18. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

OSHA lists current valid control numbers in §§1910.8, 1915.8, 1917.4, 1918.4, and 1926.5 and publishes the expiration date in the Federal Register notice announcing OMB approval of the information collection requirement (see 5 CFR 1320.3(f)(3)). OSHA believes that this is the most appropriate and accurate mechanism to inform interested parties of these expiration dates.

#### 19. Explain each exception to the certification statement.

OSHA is not requesting an exception to the certification statement.

### B. COLLECTIONS OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS

This Supporting Statement does not contain any collection of information requirements that employ statistical methods.