Project Title I-864-005 REV								
60/30 day (re	60-day FRN	L	_					
FRN Citation (federalregister.gov link) 84 FR 55167					_			
Publish Dates	Publish Dates			10/15/2019 -				
	1		12/16/2019					
Date Comment Posted	Date Comment Received	Regulations.gov Comment ID	Comment Number	lssue Number	Matrix ID Number	Commenter	Comment	Final Agency Response

							The consent language on this form requires the sponsor to "authorize the Social Security Administration to release information about me in its records to USCIS and DOS." Isn't this exactly the type of consent SSA says it will not permit in SSA regulations at 400.100 "we will not honor a blanket consent for all information in a system of records or any other record consisting of a variety of data elements. We will disclose only the information you specify in the consent." The agency needs to come up with some more specific consent language if it is going to meet this requirement. And how are SSA, DHS and DOS going to share and use this information? The sponsor is giving DHS his or her SSN here, why would SSA need to disclose information to DHS and DOS? I ultimately support the idea of getting	
							is giving DHS his or her SSN here, why would SSA need	
							DHS and DOS? I ultimately	
							support the idea of getting better information on this	
							form about sponsors but	
							this consent just asks too	USCIS appreciates this comment. USCIS has revised
							much for sponsors to want	the consent language in the Declaration and
		<u>USCIS-2007-</u>					to participate in this	Certification parts of the forms to comply with
10/31/2019	10/30/2019	0029-0106	1	1	1.1	Anonymous	process.	applicable laws.

							The proposed I-864 and I- 864EZ forms will no longer have an item where the sponsor's household size is calculated (like Part 5 item 8 on the current I-864, or Part 4 item 1.f on the current I-864EZ). This will make it confusing for the sponsor to determine whether their household income meets the 125% of poverty level requirement for their household size. It appears that to calculate the household size on the proposed I-864, one must add all the items in Part 5 (titled "Sponsor's Household Size") as well as Part 6 (titled "Previously Submitted Affidavits of Support"), or, on the proposed I-864EZ, one must add all the items in Part 4 (titled "Information About Your Household Size") as well as Part 5	
							Submitted Affidavits of Support"), or, on the proposed I-864EZ, one	
							Part 4 (titled "Information	
							Submitted Affidavits of Support"). This is very counter-intuitive, as one	
		<u>USCIS-2007-</u>					would normally think that all the items that go into the household size would be listed in the section	USCIS appreciates this comment. USCIS is revising these forms and instructions to add back in the deleted items in this section so the sponsor can
11/4/2019	11/2/2019	0029-0107	2	1	2.1	Xuan Luo	with "Household Size" in	easily calculate household size.

							the name. I think you should keep an item where the sponsor's total household size is calculated somewhere on the I-864 and I-864EZ forms, to make it clear for sponsor what household size will be used to determine whether their household income meets 125% of poverty level.	
11/22/2019	11/21/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> 0029-0108	3	1	3.1	Anonymous	Needs to be more stringent and "loop holes" closed. ie: "entire" household above 125% poverty level. Who is auditing this and punishment for NOT complying? Deportation?	USCIS appreciates this comment. USCIS is revising these forms and instructions to better inform sponsors and household members about their obligations under INA 213A. INA 213A lists the requirements for sponsorship and the penalties for non-compliance. No specific changes were suggested. No changes will be made in response to this comment.
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> 0029-0109	4	0	4.0	Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc.	On behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc. we submit the attached comments in response to the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services' proposed changes to	

							Forms I-864, I-864A, and I-	
							864EZ and the respective	
							Instructions to Form I-864	
							and I-864EZ. See attached	
							file(s)	
							1. Information about You	
							(Sponsor)	
							Page 3, Part 4, Items #15.a	
							– 15.f	
							Discussion: The form asks	
							questions regarding the	
							sponsor's bank account,	
							including account holder's	
							name, names of all joint	
							account holders,	
							institution name, account	
							number, and routing	
							number. There is no legal	
							authority for USCIS to be	
							gathering this private	
							information. It is not	
							necessary or even relevant	
							in order to verify the	
							sponsor's income, which is	
							done through submission	
							of employer's letters, wage	
							statements, and past	
							year's tax returns. Most	
							sponsors would interpret	
							these questions as an	
						Jill Marie	invasion of privacy and find	
						Bussey on	them very intimidating.	USCIS appreciates this comment. Bank account
						behalf of	The foreseeable likely	information will be used to evaluate that sponsors
						the Catholic	effect is to discourage	and household members can meet their support
						Legal	sponsors and joint	obligations under INA 213A, and sponsors have
						Immigration	sponsors from executing	demonstrated the means to maintain income as
						-	Form I-864. If it is to obtain	
12/4/2010	12/2/2010	<u>USCIS-2007-</u>	4	1	4.1	Network,		required by INA 213A(f)(6). No changes will be
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	0029-0109	4	1	4.1	Inc.	proof of the sponsor's	made in response to this comment.

	income, then it serves no purpose other than to violate sponsor's privacy. The only appropriate time to collect this information is if the sponsor is using assets, specifically money in a bank account, in order to satisfy the 125 percent of poverty requirement. If that is the case, then the form and instructions already require the sponsor to include evidence of these assets through bank statements. Otherwise, this information is not relevant and should not be required of all sponsors. Recommendation: Delete questions #15a-f, which have never been included on Form 1-864 during the last 22 years and serve no legitimate purpose.	
--	---	--

						Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration	2. Previously Submitted Affidavits of Support Page 4, Part 6, Items #1-3 Discussion: The form changes the current question #6 on Part 5. Sponsor's Household Size, which now reads: "If you have sponsored any other persons on Form I-864 or Form I-864EZ who are now lawful permanent residents, enter the number here." (emphasis added). The proposed question #1 reads: "Have you submitted Form I-864 or Form I-864EZ for any individuals other than those named on this form?" By deleting the critical phrase "who are now lawful permanent residents," the agency is requiring the sponsor to include in the household size any person for whom the sponsor "submitted" an 1864 or I-864EZ. This would include persons for whom the sponsor submitted an I-864 and subsequently withdrew it or persons for whom the sponsor submitted an I- 864 and who was subsequently denied	USCIS appreciates this comment. USCIS will revise the form and corresponding instructions to clarify that sponsors must provide information for all Forms I-864 or Forms I-864EZ for individuals submitted, but with the note that only those Form I-864/Form I-864EZs for whom a sponsorship obligation is still in effect will be counted toward
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> <u>0029-0109</u>	4	2	4.2	Immigration Network, Inc.	864 and who was subsequently denied lawful permanent resident	I-864/Form I-864EZs for whom a sponsorship obligation is still in effect will be counted toward household size.

(LPR) status. In addition,
proposed question #3 asks
for the name "of each
individual for whom you
previously submitted Form
I-864 or Form I864EZ"
without limiting it to those
who obtained LPR status.
The Instructions further
clarify that the sponsor is
required to "enter the
total number of individuals
for whom you have
submitted a Form I-864 or
From I-864EZ in the past,
even if the form has not
yet been adjudicated or
the individual you
sponsored did not become
a Lawful Permanent
Resident."
According to the proposed
form, the sponsor would
"not need to include any
individual for whom [the]
sponsorship has ended,"
but would need to provide
information regarding
individuals for whom the
sponsorship never began.
Even the Instructions
advise the sponsor that:
"You may withdraw your
affidavit of support at any
time until a decision is
issued on the applicant's
application for an
application for an

adjustment of status."
Nevertheless, the sponsor
would have to include the
number of withdrawn
affidavits of support when
completing future Forms I-
864 and the household size
would be increased by that
number. The proposed
form change would result
in the computation of
household size that
violates the regulation.
That regulation, at 8 CFR §
213a.1 (definition of
household size), requires
the sponsor to count as
part of the household size
"the number of aliens the
sponsor has sponsored
under any other affidavit
of support for whom the
sponsor's support
obligation has not
terminated." To "sponsor"
an alien is to execute an
affidavit of support and
have that person obtain
LPR status based on that
affidavit of support.
Signing the I-864 or I-
864EZ does qualify as
"sponsoring" someone.
The sponsor's or
household member's
contractual obligations
under the affidavit of
support do not begin until

			and unless the intending	
			immigrant obtains LPR	
			status. It is not binding	
			upon execution and	
			submission. Therefore, the	
			sponsor may withdraw the	
			affidavit at any point up to	
			the time the intending	
			immigrant is granted LPR	
			status based on the	
			submission of the affidavit	
			of support. 8 CFR §§	
			213a.2(e), (f). See also 8	
			CFR § 213a.1 (definition of	
			sponsored	
			immigrant), "sponsored	
			immigrant means any alien	
			who was an intending	
			immigrant, once that	
			person has been lawfully	
			admitted for permanent	
			residence, so that the	
			affidavit of support filed	
			for that person under this	
			part has entered into	
			force."	
			Recommendation: Restore	
			the phrase "who are now	
			lawful permanent	
			residents" after the words	
			"Have you submitted Form	
			I-864 or Form I-864EZ for	
			any individual" and strike	
			the words "other than	
			those named on this form"	
			in Question #1. Insert	
			"who are now lawful	
			permanent residents" after	
			permanent residents after	

			the words "for whom you previously submitted Form I-864 or Form I-864EZ" in Question #2. Insert "who are now lawful permanent residents" after the words "for whom you previously submitted Form I-864 or Form I-864EZ" in Question #3.	

							3. Sponsor's Employment and Income Page 6, Part 7, Item #26 Discussion: The question asks "Credit Report Information (Optional)I have attached a copy of a recent credit report." Credit reports are	
							notoriously inaccurate for measuring someone's credit history and should	
							play no part in determining if the sponsor's income is at or above the required	
							federal poverty income level for the household	
							size. Credit reports were	
							recently analyzed by a federal district court judge,	
							who concluded: Similarly, it is unclear how the credit	
							score of a new immigrant—who, for	USCIS appreciates this comment. Credit reports will
							example, may have only	be used to help USCIS evaluate if a sponsor has
							recently opened her first credit account and	demonstrated the means to maintain income as required by INA 213A and whether the sponsor or
							therefore has a short	household member will be able to meet his or her
							credit history, which would	support obligation during the period of
							negatively impact her	enforceability. This use of the credit report is for
						Jill Marie	credit score—is indicative	determining the sufficiency of the Affidavit of
						Bussey on	of her likelihood to receive	Support Under Section 213A of the INA, not for
						behalf of the Catholic	12 months of public benefits. Make the Road,	purposes of determining public charge inadmissibility as set forth in the pubic charge
						Legal	et al. vs. Cuccinelli, No. 19	inadmissibility rule. Therefore this change is
						Immigration	Civ. 7993 (S.D.N.Y. 2019),	unrelated to the changes proposed in the public
		<u>USCIS-2007-</u>				Network,	slip op. at p. 17. The	charge inadmissibility rule. No changes will be
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	0029-0109	4	3	4.3	Inc.	addition of credit reports	made in response to this comment.

i proposed pHS in its regulation amending the definition of public charge, 83 Fed. Reg. 51,114 (Oct. 10,2018), and in its final regulation also authorized USCIS to evaluate the ''likelihood that the "'likelihood that the ''likelihood that the "'likelihood that the ''likelihood that the sponsor would actually provide the statusrily- required amount of financial support to the alien, and any other related a suthorized USCIS to evaluate this ''likelihood that the "'likelihood that the ''glice and any other' related considerations." ''likelihood that the sponsor would actually provide the statusrily- required amount of financial support to the alien, and any other' related to authorized usely the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DIS from enjoined DIS from acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor is authorized to investigate the sponsor's optional basis and under				
regulation amending the definition of public charge. 83 Fed. Reg. 51,114 (Oct. 10,2018), and in its final regulation, 84 Fed. Reg. 41,292 (Aug. 14, 2019). The proposed and final regulation also authorized USCIS to evaluate the "likelihood that the sponsor would actually provide the statutorily- required amount of financial support to the alien, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoimed DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoimed DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulatory change that acting as if the final regulatory change. The final regulatory change. The final regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoimed DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulatory change that the sponsor supply a credit regort—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigat the sponsor's credit worthines—would			and credit history were	
definition of public charge, 83 Fork Reg. 51.14 (Oct. 10,2018), and in its final regulation, 84 Fed. Reg. 41,232 (Aug. 14, 2019). The proposed and final regulation also authorized USCIS to evaluate the "likelihood that the sponsor would actually provide the statutorily- required amount of financial support to the allen, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from Implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCI Sis acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investige the sponsor's credit worthinest				
83 Fed. Reg. 51,114 (Oct. 10,2018), and in its final regulation, 84 Fed. Reg. 41,222 (Aug. 14, 2019). The proposed and final regulation also authorized USCIS to evaluate the "likelihood that the sponsor would actually provide the statutorily- required amount of financial support to the allen, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulatory change. The sponsor supply a credit report—even and optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized				
10,2018), and in its final regulation, 84 Fed. Reg. 41,292 (Aug. 14, 2019). The proposed and final regulation also authorized USCIS to evaluate the "Kikelihood that the sponsor would actually provide the statutorily- required amount of financial support to the allen, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weight he sponsor's credit worthiess absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Fet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation wet into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assemption that the agency is authorized to				
regulation, 84 Fed. Reg. 41,292 (Aug. 14, 2019). The proposed and final regulation also authorized USCS to evaluate the "likelihood that the sponsor would actually provide the statutorily- required amount of financial support to the alien, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courds have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Vet, USCS is a cting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supplication and optional basis and under the assency or an optional basis and under			83 Fed. Reg. 51,114 (Oct.	
41,292 (Aug. 14, 2019). The proposed and final regulation also authorized USCIS to evaluate the "likelihood that the sponsor would actually provide the statutorily- required amount of financial support to the allen, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulatory and under effect. Requesting that the sponsor's credit wortines addit the insplementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor sourby a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the aspency's authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—wo			10,2018), and in its final	
The proposed and final regulation also authorized USCS to evaluate the "likelihood that the sponsor would actually provide the statutorily- required amount of financial support to the alien, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			regulation, 84 Fed. Reg.	
regulation also authorized USCIS to evaluate the "likelihood that the sponsor would actually provide the statutorily- required amount of financial support to the alien, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			41,292 (Aug. 14, 2019).	
USCIS to evaluate the "iikelihood that the sponsor would actually provide the statutorily- required amount of financial support to the alien, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			The proposed and final	
"likelihood that the sponsor would actually provide the statutorily- required amount of financial support to the alien, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			regulation also authorized	
sponsor would actually provide the statutorily- required amount of financial support to the alien, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			USCIS to evaluate the	
provide the statutorily- required amount of financial support to the alien, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			"likelihood that the	
required amount of financial support to the alien, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			sponsor would actually	
required amount of financial support to the alien, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			provide the statutorily-	
financial support to the alien, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would				
alien, and any other related considerations." The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCI is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			financial support to the	
The agency believed it lacked the authority to weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			alien, and any other	
Image: Second			related considerations."	
weigh the sponsor's credit worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			The agency believed it	
worthiness absent this regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			lacked the authority to	
regulatory change. Five district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			weigh the sponsor's credit	
district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			worthiness absent this	
district courts have enjoined DHS from implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			regulatory change. Five	
implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would				
implementing those changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would			enjoined DHS from	
changes. 1 Yet, USCIS is acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would				
acting as if the final regulation went into effect. Requesting that the sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would				
Image: state stat				
Image: second				
sponsor supply a credit report—even on an optional basis and under the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would				
Image: state of the state				
Image: Constraint of the symptom of				
the assumption that the agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would				
agency is authorized to investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would				
investigate the sponsor's credit worthiness—would				
credit worthiness—would				
			be a violation of the	

							injunction and would invite a motion for contempt. Recommendation: Delete Question #26.	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> 0029-0109	4	4	4.4	Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc.	4. Sponsor's Contract, Statement, Contract Information, Certification, and Signature Page 7, Part 9 Discussion: In response to the question "What If I Do Not Fulfill My Obligations?," the agency proposes to add the following sentence: "If you fail to reimburse the benefit granting agency, you may become ineligible to sponsor anyone in the future." There is no legal basis for this statement. The statute sets forth the requirements for being a	USCIS appreciates this comment. Under INA 213A(a)(1), a sponsor must agree to provide support to maintain the sponsored alien at a certain income and must demonstrate the means to maintain income as required under INA 213A(f)(6). Failure to reimburse means-tested public benefits and live up to a support obligation could be indicative of the inability to maintain income at the minimum threshold level and/or carry out support obligations during the period of enforceability. And this certification puts the sponsor on notice that USCIS may consider this when evaluating the sponsor's ability to meet the requirements of INA 213A in the future. This is also consistent with the Presidential Memorandum's goal to better enforce sponsorship obligation. No changes will be made based on this comment.

sponsor or joint sponsor.	
INA § 213A(f)(1)(A)– (D).	
The regulations further	
define the requirements	
for being a sponsor. 8 CFR	
§§ 213a.2(c)(1)(i)(A), (B),	
and (C)(1). In neither the	
statute nor regulations is it	
written that prior	
reimbursement of means-	
tested benefits received by	
a sponsored immigrant is a	
requirement for being a	
sponsor. If the agency	
would like to change the	
respective regulations to	
this effect, it should follow	
the procedures set forth in	
the Administrative	
Procedure Act. USCIS'	
proposed form changes	
would affect substantive	
and fundamental eligibility	
requirements and would	
create a profound change	
in access to LPR status.	
Accordingly, any proposed	
changes that would	
effectively change the	
current definition set forth	
in the regulations should	
go through notice and	
comment rulemaking	
under the Administrative	
Procedure Act as opposed	
to information collection.2	
Recommendation: Strike	
the words "If you fail to	

<u>SCIS-2007-</u> 029-0109	4	5	4.5	Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc.	5. Sponsor's Certification Page 9, Part 9 Discussion: In the paragraph numbered M, the agency proposes adding the following: "I acknowledge that if I fail to meet the obligations of sponsorship, I may become ineligible to sponsor anyone in the future." This acknowledgment follows from the above new eligibility requirement for being a sponsor. Since it has no basis in the statute or regulation, the requirement for such an acknowledgment is also ultra vires. Recommendation: Strike	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.4.

		the words "M. I acknowledge that if I fail to meet the obligations of sponsorship, I may become ineligible to sponsor anyone in the future."	
--	--	---	--

USCIS-2007- USCIS-2007- USCIS-2007- Network,	5. Sponsor's Signature Page 9, Part 9Discussion: The agency is poroposing to require all sponsors and joint sponsors and jointSponsors and joint sponsors and jointSponsors to sign the form porder for the form to be poroperly executed. In DJ76, Congress enacted 28USC § 1746 for the pourpose of allowing the use of declarations signed and all federal proceedings and all federal forms except those listed in the statute. Carter v. Clark, 616 member agreeing to the support obligation. A notarized signature will better ensure that the person executing the Affidavit of Support Under Section 213A of the INA, or signing the Contract Between Sponsor and Household Member is actually the sponsor or household member agreeing to the support obligation. For sponsors or household members executing form I- 864A abroad, there are notarial services at U.S.1616, 94th Cong., 2 nd Sas follows: Wherever, under any law of the United States or under any rule, regulation, order, or requirement made pursuant to law, any watter is required or poroved by the sworn declaration, verification, declaration, verification, acth, or affidavit, in writing wath, or affidavit, in writing6.5. Sponsor Sor Household member that intends to undertake the support obligation. it benefits both USCIS in protecting the integrity of the immigration system and individuals that may not wish to assume the significant financial responsibility of sponsorship. No changes will be made based on this comment.
--	--

		of the person making the	
		same (other than a	
		deposition, or an oath of	
		office, or an oath required	
		to be taken before a	
		specified official other	
		than a notary public), such	
		matter may, with like force	
		and effect, be supported,	
		evidenced, established, or	
		proved by the unsworn	
		declaration, certificate,	
		verification, or statement,	
		in writing of such person	
		which is subscribed by him,	
		as true under penalty of	
		perjury, and dated, in	
		substantially the following	
		form: If executed within	
		the United States, its	
		territories, possessions, or	
		commonwealths: "I	
		declare (or certify, verify,	
		or state) under penalty of	
		perjury that the foregoing	
		is true and correct.	
		Executed on (date).	
		(Signature)." In other	
		words, federal law allows	
		the sponsor to execute	
		Form I-864 by declaring	
		under penalty of perjury	
		that the information	
		provided is true and	
		correct rather than	
		requiring a signature	
		before a notary.	
		The first Form I-864,	

	Affidavit of Support Under
	Section 213A of the Act
	(10/06/97), required that
	the sponsor execute the
	form before a notary
	public. The authority for
	this was 8 CFR §
	213a.2(a)(1) ("An affidavit
	of support is executed
	when a sponsor signs a
	Form I-864 before a notary
	public"). The agency
	subsequently amended 8
	CFR § 213a.2(a)(1) to
	delete any reference to the
	affidavit of support
	needing to be signed
	before a notary public
	when it published the final
	regulation. See 71 Fed.
	Reg. 35739 (June 21,
	2006). It explained this
	change as follows: The
	Service also revised Form I-
	864 so that the sponsor
	now signs the Form "under
	penalty of perjury under
	the laws of the United
	States," thus making it
	unnecessary to sign or
	acknowledge the Form I-
	864 before an officer
	authorized to administer
	oaths or take
	acknowledgementsUnder
	28 U.S.C 1746, however,
	signing before a notary is
	not necessary. In other

	words, the Service is
	attempting—through the
	routine amendment of a
	form—to reinstate an oath
	requirement previously
	authorized 23 years ago
	through an interim
	regulation that it expressly
	eliminated 13 years ago
	through the promulgation
	of a final regulation
	written to comply with a
	federal statute. As stated
	above, such attempts to
	make regulatory change
	through form change are
	improper and inconsistent
	with Administrative
	Procedure Act
	requirements. Finally, at
	least the interim regulation
	and 1999 version of the
	Form I-864 allowed for the
	signing of the affidavit of
	support before "a notary
	public or an Immigration or
	Consular Officer." Eight
	CFR § 213a.2(a)(1)(1997).
	The addition of the last
	two options allowed for
	the execution of the
	affidavit of support by
	sponsors who were
	temporarily residing or
	domiciled abroad. Notary
	publics are only available within the United States. If
	such sponsors had not

	been able to sign the	
	affidavit of support at an	
	overseas Immigration	
	office or U.S. consulate,	
	they would have been	
	forced to return to the	
	United States to execute	
	the document. Yet the	
	current form and	
	Instructions—which	
	provisions the Service is	
	not proposing to change—	
	allow for the submission of	
	the affidavit of support by	
	sponsors who are	
	domiciled abroad and who	
	intend to reestablish	
	domicile with the	
	intending immigrant. See	
	Instructions for the	
	Affidavit of Support, page	
	11, Item Number 5,	
	Country of Domicile,	
	where the sponsor is	
	instructed to submit proof	
	of concrete steps	
	to establish domicile with	
	the intending immigrant	
	after that person enters as	
	an LPR. The proposed	
	change to Form I-864	
	would require the sponsor	
	in those situations to	
	return to the United States	
	and sign the form before a	
	notary public, thus gutting	
	much of the purpose of	
	allowing the sponsor to	

	remain abroad during this consular processing stage. In other words, the proposed change to the form still allows sponsors domiciled abroad to qualify and execute the form provided they make a special trip back to the United States for the sole purpose of signing it in front of a notary public. Recommendation: Eliminate the notary's jurat block and strike all language requiring the signature to be subscribed and sworn before a notary.
--	--

		USCIS-2007-				Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network,	 General Instructions Page 7, Signature Discussion: The form contains the statement: "Form I-864 must be notarized by a notary public. Each affidavit must be properly signed before a notary public." Please see comments above pointing out that such a requirement violates federal law. Recommendation: Eliminate the notary's jurat block and strike all language requiring the signature to be subscribed	
 12/4/2019	12/3/2019	0029-0109	4	7	4.7	Inc.	and sworn before a notary. 2. Part 4, Information	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.6.
		<u>USCIS-2007-</u>				Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network,	About You (Sponsor) Page 12, Items #15.a - 15.f Discussion: The proposed form would add language concerning the sponsor's checking or saving account. For the reasons set forth above, this information should not be required. Recommendation: Strike	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>0029-0109</u>	4	8	4.8	Inc.	this paragraph.	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.1.

							3. Part 6 Previously Submitted Affidavits of Support Page 8, Part 6, Items #8-12 Discussion: The Instructions explain how the sponsor is to enter the number of all previously submitted affidavits of support regardless of whether the person on whose behalf the I-864 obtained LPR status. The Instructions state that the sponsor is required to "enter the total number of individuals for whom you have submitted a Form I- 864 or From I-864EZ in the past, even if the form has not yet been adjudicated or the individual you sponsored did not become a Lawful Permanent Resident." For the reasons stated above, this would result in overcounting and an inaccurate household size. It would also be in direct conflict with the regulationer	
							stated above, this would result in overcounting and an inaccurate household	
						Jill Marie Bussey on	regulations. Recommendation: Delete	
						behalf of the Catholic Legal	all references to previously submitted affidavits of support that did not result	
		<u>USCIS-2007-</u>				Immigration Network,	in the sponsored immigrant becoming an	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	0029-0109	4	9	4.9	Inc.	LPR.	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.2.

						Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration	 4. Federal Income Tax Return Information Page 14, Item #23.a – 25. Federal Income Tax Return Information Discussion: The second sentence in the fourth paragraph in this section states: "A copy of the FormW-2 and Form 1099 must be submitted, even if the joint tax filer does not submit Form 1864A and his or her income will not be used to help meet the sponsor's income requirements." CLINIC questions the need for the joint tax filer, whose income is not being used, to include his or her W-2. The sponsor must estimate his or her "current individual annual income" for the year the I-864 is being submitted. In addition, the sponsor must submit last year's income tax return. CLINIC does not understand why a joint tax filer, whose income is not being used, must include his or her W-2. Recommendation: Strike the sentence: "A copy of the Form W-2 and Form 	USCIS appreciates the comment. In general, a joint filer's income cannot be used to meet the sponsor's income threshold unless the joint filer files a Form I-864A. Therefore, the joint tax filer's information is needed to determine whether the sponsor can meet the income threshold by him or herself or needs the joint filer to file Form I-864A as a household member, or otherwise needs a joint
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> 0029-0109	4	10	4.10	-		

							does not submit Form I- 864A and his or her income will not be used to help meet the sponsor's income requirements."	
		<u>USCIS-2007-</u>				Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network,	5. Federal Income Tax Return Information Page 15, Part 7, Item #26, Credit Report (Optional) Discussion: In this paragraph it states "You may provide a recent U.S. credit report if you believe doing so may help you establish your ability to maintain sufficient income" For the reasons stated above, this paragraph violates the current regulations, which do not require that the sponsor establish credit worthiness. Federal courts have already spoken to this issue. Recommendation: Strike the language in proposed	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	0029-0109	4	11	4.11	Inc.	Item #26.	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.3.

		<u>USCIS-2007-</u>				Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network,	 6. Sponsor's Contract, Statement, Contact Information, Certification, and Signature Page 16, Part 9 Discussion: This paragraph includes the following language: "Form I-864 must be notarized by a notary public. Read the contract carefully, then sign and date the affidavit before a notary public." For the reasons stated above, the USCIS cannot require that the form be notarized. Recommendation: Strike the language in this paragraph referencing signature before a notary 	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	0029-0109	4	12	4.12	Inc.	public. 1. Information About You (the Household Member) Page 2, Part 1, Items #9.a – 9.f. Discussion: The proposed form would add language concerning the household	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.6.
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> 0029-0109	4	13	4.13	Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc.	member's checking or saving account. For the reasons set forth above regarding the same questions on the I-864, this information should not be required. Recommendation: Strike paragraph #9.a – 9.f.	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.1.

		<u>USCIS-2007-</u>				Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network,	2. Your (the Household Member's) Employment and Income Page 2, Credit Report Information (Optional), #10 Discussion: This question has a box where the household member indicates whether he or she has attached a copy of a recent U.S. credit report. For the reasons stated above regarding this same question on the I-864, this paragraph violates the current regulations, which do not require that the household member establish credit worthiness. Federal courts have already spoken to this issue. Recommendation: Strike the language in proposed	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	0029-0109	4	14	4.14	Inc.	Item #10.	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.3.
							3. Part 4, Sponsor's Contract, Statement,	
							Contact Information,	
							Certification, and	
						Jill Marie	Signature	
						Bussey on	Page 4, Sponsor's	
						behalf of	Signature, Item #31.a – 31.b	
						the Catholic Legal	Discussion: This section	
						Immigration	requires that the sponsor	
		<u>USCIS-2007-</u>				Network,	sign the I-864A before a	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	0029-0109	4	15	4.15	Inc.	notary public. For the	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.6.

							reasons stated above regarding the same language on the I-864, the USCIS cannot require that the form be notarized. Recommendation: Eliminate the notary's jurat block and strike the language in this paragraph requiring signature before a notary public.	
						Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of	4. Part 8, Your (the Household Member's) Contract, Statement, Contact Information, Certification, and Signature Page 9, Your (the Household Member's) Signature, Item #6.a – 6.c Discussion: This section requires that the household member sign the I-864A before a notary public. For the reasons stated above regarding the same language on the I- 864, the USCIS cannot require that the form be notarized. Recommendation:	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> 0029-0109	4	16	4.16	the Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc.	Eliminate the notary's jurat block and strike the language in this paragraph requiring signature before a notary public	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.6.

12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> 0029-0109	4	17	4.17	Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc.	1. General Instructions Page 2, Signature Discussion: The proposed language is: "Form I-864A must be notarized before a notary public." For the reasons stated above regarding the same language on the I-864 and Instructions, the USCIS cannot require that the form be notarized. Recommendation: Eliminate this sentence requiring signature before a notary public.	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.6.
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	0023-0103	4	17	4.17	шс.	2. Specific Instructions	רובמשב שבי נווב ובשטוושב נט ואומנווג וט ואמוווטפו 4.0.
							Page 3, Items #9.a – 9.f. Household Member's	
							Bank Account Information	
							Discussion: The	
							Instructions would add	
							language concerning the	
							household member's	
						Jill Marie	checking or saving	
						Bussey on	account. For the reasons	
						behalf of	set forth above regarding	
						the Catholic	the same questions on the	
						Legal	I-864, this information	
		USCIS-2007-				Immigration Network,	should not be required. Recommendation: Strike	
12/4/2019		03013-2007-				Network,	Recommendation: Strike	

		<u>USCIS-2007-</u>				Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network,	3. Specific Instructions; Most Recent Tax Year Total income Page 5, Item #10. Credit Report Discussion: This proposed language concerns an optional copy of a recent U.S. credit report and states "You may provide a recent U.S. credit report if you believe doing so may help you to establish your ability to maintain sufficient income." For the reasons stated above regarding this same question on the I-864, this paragraph violates the current regulations, which do not require that the household member establish credit worthiness. Federal courts have already spoken to this issue. Recommendation: Strike the language in proposed	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	0029-0109	4	19	4.19	Inc.	ltem #10.	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.3.
		<u>USCIS-2007-</u>				Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network,	4. Specific Instructions; Your (the Household Member's) Contract, Statement, Contact Information, Certification, and Signature Page 5, Part 8, Items # 1.a – 6.c	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	0029-0109	4	20	4.20	Inc.	Discussion: The proposed	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.6.

							language includes the clause "then sign and date the contract before a notary public." For the reasons stated above regarding the same language on the I-864 Instructions, the USCIS cannot require that the form be notarized. Recommendation: Eliminate this clause requiring signature before a notary public.	
						Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of	5. Address Change and Penalties Page 7, Address Change Discussion: In the first paragraph, it states "A sponsor who is not a U.S. citizen must inform USCIS of his or her new address within 10 days of moving" It goes on to state that the person must complete a Form I-865. The I-864A is used by household members, not sponsors. This requirement is limited to sponsors and is stated plainly in the statutory and regulatory provisions cited in this	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> <u>0029-0109</u>	4	21	4.21	the Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc.	section: 8 USC § 1183a(d) and 8 CFR § 213a.3. Household members are very distinct from sponsors in terms of the eligibility	USCIS made edits to the I-864A instructions to clarify that only sponsors currently need to fill out Form I-865.

							and liability requirements. They are not subject to address change reporting requirements. Recommendation: Strike all language pertaining to change of address requirements and penalties.	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> 0029-0109	4	22	4.22	Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc.	1. Sponsor's Bank Account Information Page 2, Part 3, Items #16.a – 16.f Discussion: CLINIC objects to the insertion of questions requiring the sponsor to supply his or her bank account information for the reasons stated above in reference to the I-864 and I-864A. Recommendation: Delete this section and questions #16.a – 16.f	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.1.
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> 0029-0109	4	23	4.23	Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc.	2. Previously Submitted Affidavits of Support Page 3, Part 5, Items #1 – 3 Discussion: CLINIC objects to the requirement that the sponsor must count all persons for whom he or she has ever submitted an I-864 or I-864EZ without limiting it to those who obtained LPR status on the	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.2.

							basis of this submission, for the reasons stated above. Recommendation: Insert the phrase "who are now lawful permanent residents" after the word "individuals" in question #1 and delete the words "other than those named on this form."	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> 0029-0109	4	24	4.24	Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc.	3. Information About Your Employment Page 4, Part 6, Item #7 Discussion: CLINIC objects to the insertion of a question asking for the sponsor to submit a recent U.S. credit report for the reasons stated above in reference to the I-864 and I-864A. Recommendation: Delete this question.	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.3.
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> <u>0029-0109</u>	4	25	4.25	Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc.	4. Sponsor's Contract, Statement, Contact Information, Certification, and Signature Page 4, Part 7, What If I Do Not Fulfill My Obligations? Discussion: CLINIC objects, for the reasons stated above in reference to the I- 864, to the insertion of the sentence: "If you fail to reimburse the benefit granting agency, you may	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.4.

							become ineligible to sponsor anyone in the future." Recommendation: Delete this sentence.	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> 0029-0109	4	26	4.26	Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc.	5. Sponsor's Contract, Statement, Contact Information, Certification, and Signature Page 6, Part 7, Sponsor's Signature Discussion: CLINIC objects, for the reasons stated above in reference to the I- 864 and I-864A, to the requirement that the sponsor must sign the I- 864EZ before a notary public. Recommendation: Delete this notary public's jurat block.	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.6.
		<u>USCIS-2007-</u>				Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network,	1. General Instructions Page 4, Signature and page 10, Sponsor's Contract, Statement, Contact Information, Certification, and Signature Discussion: CLINIC objects, for the reasons stated above in reference to the I- 864 and I-864A, to the requirement that the sponsor must sign the I- 864EZ before a notary	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	0029-0109	4	27	4.27	Inc.	public.	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.6.

							Recommendation: Delete this requirement.	
		<u>USCIS-2007-</u>				Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network,	2. Information About You (Sponsor) Page 8, Part 3, Item #16.a. – 16.d Discussion: CLINIC objects to the insertion of questions requiring the sponsor to supply his or her bank account information for the reasons stated above in reference to the I-864 and I-864A. Recommendation: Delete this section and questions	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>0029-0109</u>	4	28	4.28	Inc. Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network,	 #16.a - 16.d 3. Previously Submitted Affidavits of Support Page 8, Part 5, Item #1 - 3 Discussion: CLINIC objects to the requirement that the sponsor must count all persons for whom he or she has ever submitted an I-864 or I-864EZ without limiting it to those who obtained LPR status on the basis of this submission for the reasons stated above. Recommendation: Insert the phrase "who are now 	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.1.
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>0029-0109</u>	4	29	4.29	Inc.	lawful permanent	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.2.

							residents" after the word "individuals" in question #1 and delete the words "other than those named on this form."	
		<u>USCIS-2007-</u>				Jill Marie Bussey on behalf of the Catholic Legal Immigration Network,	4. Information About Your Employment and Income Page 4, Part 6, Item #7 Discussion: CLINIC objects to the insertion of a question asking for the sponsor to submit a recent U.S. credit report for the reasons stated above in reference to the I-864 and I-864A. Recommendation: Delete	
12/4/2019	12/3/2019	<u>0029-0109</u>	4	30	4.30	Inc. Diane Rish, The American Immigration Lawyers Association	this item. The American Immigration Lawyers Association (AILA) respectfully submits the following comments in response to the above- referenced 60-day notice and request for comments on proposed revisions to Forms I-864, I-864A, and I- 864EZ, and their accompanying instructions, published in the Federal Register on October 15, 2010	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.3.
12/17/2019	12/16/2019	<u>0029-0110</u>	5	0	5.0	(AILA)	2019.	

							A. Expanded Certification Section All three revised forms (Forms I-864, I-864EZ and I-864A) include an extensively expanded certification section that requires, among other things, that the sponsor implicitly agree that the completed Affidavit of Support may be made available to any Federal, State or local agency that may receive an application from the intending immigrant(s) named in the	
							completed Affidavit of Support may be made available to any Federal, State or local agency that may receive an application	
12/17/2019	12/16/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> 0029-0111	5	1	5.1	Diane Rish, The American Immigration Lawyers Association (AILA)	identifying information (PII), as well as comprehensive financial information, this blanket agreement seems contrary to best practices with respect to the protection of private information.	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 1.1

Moreover, USCIS does not
provide sufficient rationale
for such a blanket
authorization.
The sponsor certification
on Form I-864 and Form I-
864EZ also includes a 45-
day deadline in which the
sponsor must respond to a
request for reimbursement
from a public benefit-
granting agency or an
appropriate government
entity because the
intending immigrant
received a means-tested
benefit. Failure to respond
within 45 days may result
in legal action brought
against the sponsor. While
a sponsor clearly has a
legal obligation to provide
financial support and
ensure the beneficiary
does not become a public
charge, it is unclear where
this 45-day deadline is
found in statutory law.

							B. Requirement that Forms be Notarized by a Notary	
							Public	
							Currently, all three forms (Form I-864, I-864EZ, and I- 864A) require that the sponsor/household member certify the form under penalty of perjury.	
							USCIS is now proposing to	
							add a requirement that all three forms (Forms I-864,	
							I-864EZ and I-864A) must	
							be signed by the sponsor/household	
							member in front of a	
							notary public. The	
							requirement to have the	
							form signed in front of a notary public adds undue	
							burden on	
							sponsors/household	
							members. Furthermore, it	
							is inconsistent with current	
							and past practices of USCIS	
							related to Affidavit of	
							Support forms and other forms which require	
							signatures by USCIS.	
							Signatures by 03013.	
						Diane Rish,	First, this requirement	
						The	appears inconsistent with	
						American	28 USC § 1746, 8 CFR §	
						Immigration	213a.2(a)(ii), and the	
						Lawyers	February 15, 2018 USCIS	
12/17/2019	12/16/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> 0029-0112	F	2	5.2	Association (AILA)	Policy Memo (PM-602- 0134.1) entitled	Plaza see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.6
12/17/2019	12/10/2019	0029-0112	5	2	5.2	(AILA)	0154.1) entitied	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.6.

			"Signatures on Paper	
			Applications, Petitions,	
			Requests, and Other	
			Documents Filed with U. S.	
			Citizenship and	
			Immigration Services."	
			-	
			28 USC § 1746 broadly	
			allows for signature under	
			penalty of perjury for	
			federal compliance with	
			any law, rule, regulation,	
			order, or requirement	
			made pursuant to law.	
			Thus, an unsworn	
			declaration is of the same	
			force and effect as a sworn	
			affidavit in federal court. In	
			pertinent part, 18 USC §	
			1621, which governs	
			liability for perjury under	
			federal law, mandates	
			that: "Whoever in any	
			declaration under penalty	
			of perjury as permitted	
			under section 1746 of title	
			28, United States Code,	
			willfully subscribes as true	
			any material matter which	
			he does not believe to be	
			true is guilty of perjury."	
			and be gainer of perjury.	
			USCIS permits, for	
			example, Freedom of	
			Information Act (FOIA)	
			requests submitted on	
			Form G-639 to either be	
			signed under penalty of	
			signed under penalty of	

perjury or notarized as
equivalent certification.
Most other forms only
require a signature
attesting to the information in the form in
compliance with 28 USC
§ 1746.
Second, AILA is concerned
that requiring notarization
by a notary public will
place a significant burden
on sponsors/household
members, especially those
located overseas. In
particular, this
requirement may impose
extra costs, travel burdens,
and logistical challenges on
the sponsor/household
member to prepare these
forms. For signers of these
forms based overseas, the
forms and instructions are
unclear regarding whether
or not a foreign notary is
permitted and whether the
signer must have the form
notarized at a U.S.
consulate or embassy
abroad.

							C. Bank Account Information All three revised forms (Forms I-864, I-864EZ and I-864A) propose to add a requirement that sponsors and household members provide their banking information, including bank account type, as well as bank account number and routing number. While it is reasonable to require	
							provide their banking information, including bank account type, as well as bank account number and routing number. While	
12/17/2019	12/16/2019	<u>USCIS-2007-</u> 0029-0113	5	3	5.3	Diane Rish, The American Immigration Lawyers Association (AILA)	where cybercrime and identify theft are becoming more rampant, requiring sponsors and household members to provide such detailed bank account information exposes them to a heightened risk of becoming an identity crime victim. It is unclear how	Please see the response to Matrix ID Number 4.1.

	the government intends to utilize this information or why it is required. It also raises serious privacy concerns. Specifically, AILA takes issue with requiring sponsors to provide this information on a form that is filed electronically or converted to digital fields, particularly where there is no justification for such a stringent requirement if a sponsor meets the income or asset threshold.
--	--