

*A Federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with an information collection subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 unless the information collection has a currently valid OMB Control Number. The approved OMB Control Number for this information collection is 0690-0030. Without this approval, we could not conduct this survey. Public reporting for this information collection is estimated to be approximately 12 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the information collection. All responses to this information collection are voluntary. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden to the NOAA Climate Program Office, Daniel.Barrie@noaa.gov.*

## MAPP proposal reviewer survey

The purpose of the survey is to gain insights into proposal reviewers' perspectives on the MAPP review processes -- the merits, limitations, and challenges.

\* Required

What stage are you in your career? (Years since received PhD degree) \*

Choose

With what type of entity are you affiliated? \*

- NOAA Federal Employee
- NOAA Affiliate Employee
- Other Federal Institution
- Academic
- International
- Other:

For how many competitions have you served as a MAPP reviewer? \*

Choose

 [Request edit access](#)



Please indicate your position on the steps CPO/MAPP could take to improve your experience as a grant reviewer. MAPP should: \*

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Increase recognition for peer review work	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Add more guidelines (e.g. on scoring criteria and process) and reviewer training	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Provide more information about what happened to the grant I reviewed	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Provide recipient's feedback on the quality of my review	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

 [Request edit access](#)



What generally motivates you to serve as a proposal reviewer? \*

Please score from not important = 1 to very important = 5

	1 (not important)	2	3	4	5 (very important)
Staying abreast of research fields aligned with my expertise	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Gaining exposure to new scientific areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Informing my own application strategies, improving my grant writing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Networking/collaboration opportunities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Receiving an Honorarium	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Giving back to the scientific community	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

To what extent would an honorarium increase your likelihood of participating on a MAPP panel? \*

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

No difference

 Request edit access



How long (in working hours) would you estimate it took for you to review an average ASSIGNED proposal? \*

Your answer

How long (in working hours) would you estimate it took for you to review an average UN-ASSIGNED proposal? \*

Your answer

How did you feel the total time you spent as a grant reviewer on proposals for the MAPP panel? \*

Factoring in issues such as assigned workload, travel, panel pre-work, panel post-work, navigating travel reimbursement, technology, etc.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

too little time           too much time

Do you have any comments on the review process to make it better and support you as a MAPP reviewer?

Your answer

 Request edit access



Submit

Never submit passwords through Google Forms.

This form was created inside of National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. [Report Abuse](#)

# Google Forms

 Request edit access

