MAPP proposal reviewer survey

The purpose of the survey is to gain insights into proposal reviewers' perspectives on the MAPP review processes -- the merits, limitations, and challenges.

* Required

What stage are you in your career? (Years since received PhD degree) *

Choose ▼

With what type of entity are you affiliated? *

☐ NOAA Federal Employee

☐ NOAA Affiliate Employee

☐ Other Federal Institution

☐ Academic

☐ International

☐ Other:

For how many competitions have you served as a MAPP reviewer? *

Choose ▼

Request edit access

Please indicate your position on the steps CPO/MAPP could take to improve your experience as a grant reviewer. MAPP should: *

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Increase recognition for peer review work	○	○	○	○	○
Add more guidelines (e.g. on scoring criteria and process) and reviewer training	○	○	○	○	○
Provide more information about what happened to the grant I reviewed	○	○	○	○	○
Provide recipient's feedback on the quality of my review	○	○	○	○	○

Request edit access

What generally motivates you to serve as a proposal reviewer? *

Please score from not important = 1 to very important = 5

	1 (not important)	2	3	4	5 (very important)
Staying abreast of research fields aligned with my expertise	○	○	○	○	○
Gaining exposure to new scientific areas	○	○	○	○	○
Informing my own application strategies, improving my grant writing	○	○	○	○	○
Networking/collaboration opportunities	○	○	○	○	○
Receiving an Honorarium	○	○	○	○	○
Giving back to the scientific community	○	○	○	○	○

To what extent would an honorarium increase your likelihood of participating on a MAPP panel? *

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
No difference	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	

Request edit access

How long (in working hours) would you estimate it took for you to review an average ASSIGNED proposal? *

Your answer

How long (in working hours) would you estimate it took for you to review an average UN-ASSIGNED proposal? *

Your answer

How did you feel the total time you spent as a grant reviewer on proposals for the MAPP panel? *

Factoring in issues such as assigned workload, travel, panel pre-work, panel post-work, navigating travel reimbursement, technology, etc.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
too little time	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	too much time

Do you have any comments on the review process to make it better and support you as a MAPP reviewer?

Your answer

Request edit access

Submit

Google Forms

Request edit access