ATSDR PFAS Exposure Assessment Recruitment Update June 23, 2020

Below is a brief description of our recruitment strategy and participation rates for the Exposure Assessments.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Site** | **Approximate Recruitment Dates** | **Recruitment Approach** | **Sample Frame Size (households)** | **Total Invitations (households)** | **Participation** | **Overall Household Participation Rate** |
| Hampden County, MA | 7/29/19-8/27/19 | Random selection, two waves | 4,776 | 1,349 | 459 individuals, 247 households | 18.3% |
| Berkeley County, WV | 7/29/19-9/16/19 | Convenience sample | 2,922 | 2,922 | 275 individuals, 166 households | 5.7% |
| New Castle County, DE | 9/2/19-10/9/19 | Random selection, two waves | 5,998 | 3,000 | 214 individuals, 134 households | 4.5% |
| Spokane County, WA | 9/23/19-10/28/19 | Convenience sample | 2,546 | 2,546 | 333 individuals, 168 households | 6.6% |
| Lubbock County, TX | 1/22/20-2/18/20 | Convenience sample | 702 | 702 | 219 individuals, 106 households | 15.1%\* |

\*Final eligibility has not been determined for Lubbock County so final numbers may be slightly lower.

Note: Households that did not participate could have been ineligible (did not meet the residency requirement), uninterested, or unreachable.

The recruitment approaches used are consistent with the PFAS Exposure Assessment Protocol. For the smaller samples frames (<3,000 households), random selection became a convenience sample because all households in the sample frame were invited to attempt to maximize the number of participants.