Attachment A: References

- Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2010). National Compensation Survey: Average hourly wage of college teachers, social scientists, legislators, and public administration officials.
- Cantor, D., O'Hare, B. C., & O'Connor, K. S. (2008). The Use of Monetary Incentives to Reduce Nonresponse in Random Digit Dial Telephone Surveys. Advances in Telephone Survey Methodology, 471-498. John Wiley and Sons.
- Church, A. H. (1993). Estimating the effect of Incentives on Mail Survey Response Rates: A Meta-*Analysis.* Public Opinion Quarterly, 57(1), 62-79.
- Duffer, A., J. Lessler, M. Weeks, & M. Mosher (1994). Effects of Incentive Payments on Response Rates and Field Costs in a Pretest of a National CAPI Survey. Proceedings of the Survey Research Methods Section of the American Statistical Association, Vol. 2, pp. 1386-1391.
- Educational Testing Service. (1991). National Adult Literacy Survey Addendum to Clearance Package, *Volume II: Analyses of the NALS Field Test*, pp. 2-3.
- Edwards, P., Roberts, I., Clarke, M., DiGuiseppi, C., Pratap, S., Wentz, R., and Kwan, I. 2002. *Increasing* Response Rates to Postal Questionnaires: Systematic Review. British Medical Journal 324:1883-85.
- Fein, D., & Beecroft, E. (2006). College as a job advancement strategy: final report on the New Visions Self-Sufficiency and Lifelong Learning Project. Bethesda, MD: Abt Associates Inc.
- Fein, David, J., Career Pathways as a Framework for Program Design and Evaluation: A Working Paper from the Innovative Strategies for Increasing Self-Sufficiency (ISIS) Project (OPRE Report No. 2012-30) (Washington, DC: Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2012).
- Gibson, C. M. (2003). Privileging the participant: The importance of sub-group analysis in social welfare evaluations. *American Journal of Evaluation* 24 (4): 443-69.
- Matus-Grossman, L., & Gooden, S. (2002). Students' perspectives on juggling work, family, and college. New York, NY: MDRC.
- Michalopoulos, C., & Schwartz, C. (2001). What works best for whom: impacts of 20 welfare-to-work programs by subgroup. New York, NY: MDRC.
- Peck, Laura R. (2007). "What are the Effects of Welfare Sanction Policies? Or, Using Propensity Scores as a Subgroup Indicator to Learn More from Social Experiments." American Journal of Evaluation, 28(3), 256-274.
- Singer, E., Van Hoewyk, J., & Maher, M. P. (2000). Experiments with Incentives in Telephone Surveys. Public Opinion Quarterly, 64(2), 171-188.
- Sobeck, J., Chapleski, E., and Fisher, C. (2003). Conducting Research with American Indians. Journal of Ethnic And Cultural Diversity in Social Work, 12(1), 69-84.

