

Fall 2020 ERA Forum Webinar Post-Webinar Survey Screenshots

NSF ERA Forum Webinar Survey - November 2020

NSF ERA Forum Survey - May 2020

Thank you for participating in the November 2020 ERA Forum Webinar! Your feedback is very important to us. Please complete the following survey based on your participation in the webinar. Responding is voluntary and should take about five minutes to complete. A federal agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number; the number for this is 3145-0215.

* 1. In what capacity do you primarily interact with NSF?

- Principal Investigator (PI)
- co-Principal Investigator (co-PI)
- Other Senior Personnel
- Other (please specify)
- Other Authorized User (OAU)
- Sponsored Project Office staff
- Authorized Organization Representative (AOR)

* 2. Which of the following best describes your current organizational affiliation?

- Large research university
- Primarily undergraduate institution
- Minority serving institution
- Other (please specify)
- Community college
- Other non-profit organization
- For-profit organization

* 3. What is the size of your organization? (Please do not include student enrollment.)

- 25 or less
- 26 to 100
- 101 to 500
- 501 to 1,000
- 1,001 or more

Next

* 4. How often do you typically prepare or submit proposals to NSF?

- Have never prepared or submitted
- 1 to 5 times per year
- 6 to 10 times per year
- 11 to 15 times per year
- 16 to 20 times per year
- More than 20 times per year

* 5. How many proposals have you prepared using Research.gov?

- 1
- 2 to 10
- More than 10
- I have only explored the system without preparing a specific proposal
- I have never used the system

* 6. You indicated that you have not yet prepared and submitted a proposal using the new Research.gov Proposal Preparation and Submission System. Please provide additional information regarding why you have chosen not to submit on Research.gov. Select all that apply.

- Proposal Type not yet available on the Research.gov system
- I have not had time to learn the new Research.gov system.
- I prefer FastLane.
- My organization requires me to use FastLane.
- I am familiar with FastLane.
- I tried to prepare a proposal using Research.gov, but it was not submitted because of compliance check warnings or errors.
- Other (please specify)

7. If you started a proposal in Research.gov but decided instead to use FastLane, please explain why.

8. NSF seeks to reduce administrative burden and ensure equity among proposals through automated compliance checking. This includes checks for font type, minimum font size, and minimum line spacing requirements. NSF is exploring an alternative approach that would include checking for text character density rather than font type, font size, and line spacing. This check would likely be based on a density of approximately 15 characters per inch. NSF is interested in your input and experiences with character density compliance checks.

New Research.gov Proposal Preparation Demo Site

Based on the ERA Forum Webinar presentation, please respond to the following questions regarding the Research.gov Proposal Preparation Demo Site.

9. How will you or your organization use the Research.gov Proposal Preparation Demo Site?

- To learn proposal preparation using Research.gov
- To train staff in proposal preparation using Research.gov
- To answer questions for others who are preparing Research.gov proposals
- To run proposal document compliance checks
- Other (please specify)

10. What features are important to have in the Research.gov Proposal Preparation Demo Site?

Biographical Sketches and Current and Pending Support

Based on the ERA Forum Webinar presentation and your experiences, please provide feedback regarding preparation of Biographical Sketch and Current and Pending Support documents.

11. How many times have you used SciENcv to prepare NSF Biographical Sketch or Current and Pending Support documents?

- 0
- 1 time
- 2 to 10 times
- More than 10 times

[Prev](#) [Next](#)

Biographical Sketches and Current and Pending Support

Based on the ERA Forum Webinar presentation and your experiences, please provide feedback regarding preparation of Biographical Sketch and Current and Pending Support documents.

12. How satisfied were you with what you saw today about preparing Biographical Sketch and Current and Pending Support documents using SciENcv?

- Very Satisfied
- Satisfied
- Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied
- Very Dissatisfied

13. Please provide suggested improvements to the Biographical Sketch and/or Current and Pending Support NSF-approved formats (in SciENcv and/or the NSF fillable PDFs).

Survey Wrap-up

Based on the overall ERA Forum Webinar presentations, please respond to the following questions.

14. Your comments, questions, and feedback are very important to NSF. Based on the ERA Forum Webinar on Research.gov proposals, please include any additional feedback.

15. Please share any topics of interest you would like to focus on at future NSF ERA Forums.

16. OPTIONAL: If you would like to be added to NSF's list of volunteers who participate in future application usability studies, surveys, and webinars, please enter your contact information below.

Name

Organization

Email Address

17. Did you find today's webinar informative?

Yes Neutral No

18. Please share any suggestions for the improvement of future ERA Forums.

19. You indicated that you did not find today's NSF ERA Forum informative. Could you please share any suggestions for improvement?