Evidence Building Academy

Daily Feedback Forms

Thank you for attending the [*first/second/third*] day of our October convening for the Evidence Building Academy. We are gathering information about your experience to evaluate the modules provided today. Your participation in this survey is completely voluntary, and all responses will be reported at an aggregate level.

The form should take about 5 minutes to complete.

Thank you in advance for your time and input. If you have any questions, please contact us at cwevaluation@urban.org.

-----

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) STATEMENT OF PUBLIC BURDEN:

This information is being collected to provide the Evidence Building Academy Planning Team feedback regarding participants’ experience with the Academy. The information will be used by the Planning Team to evaluate the success of the Academy modules. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5 minutes per respondent, including the time for reviewing instructions and completing the form. This is a voluntary collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB # is 0970-0401 and the expiration date is 05/31/2021. If you have any comments on the collection information, please contact: Mike Pergamit (mpergamit@urban.org) or Mark Courtney (markc@uchicago.org).

# Day 1: October 21, 2020

1. **Where do you work?** (select all that apply)
	* Community-based Service Agency
	* State or Local Government Agency
	* University
	* Research Firm/Institution
	* Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. **Do you identify as an implementer, evaluator, or both?**
	* Implementer
	* Evaluator
	* Both

**Academy Logistics**

1. **Please rate the breakout:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Very Poor** | **Poor** | **Fair** | **Good** | **Excellent** |
| Project Update Lightning Round breakout | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. **Please indicate how much you agree with the following statements for: Measuring Outcomes [Fred Wulczyn]**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Did Not Attend** | **Strongly Disagree** | **Disagree** | **Neither Agree nor Disagree** | **Agree** | **Strongly Agree** |
| The module increased my understanding of evaluation. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The material was relevant for my evaluation activities and interests. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| There was enough time for questions. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The presenter was responsive to questions. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. **Please rate the following statements for: Measuring Outcomes [Fred Wulczyn]**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Did Not Attend** | **Too Simplistic** | **About Right** | **Too Complex** |
| The complexity of the material was: | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Did Not Attend** | **Too Short** | **About Right** | **Too Long** |
| The length of the presentation was: | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 |

1. **Please rate the breakout:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Very Poor** | **Poor** | **Fair** | **Good** | **Excellent** |
| Measuring Outcomes breakout | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

*Thank you for completing this evaluation form.*

# Day 2: October 22, 2020

1. **Where do you work?** (select all that apply)
	* Community-based Service Agency
	* State or Local Government Agency
	* University
	* Research Firm/Institution
	* Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. **Do you identify as an implementer, evaluator, or both?**
	* Implementer
	* Evaluator
	* Both

**Academy Logistics**

1. **Please indicate how much you agree with the following statements for: Types of Data for Impact Evaluation [Mark Courtney]**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Did Not Attend** | **Strongly Disagree** | **Disagree** | **Neither Agree nor Disagree** | **Agree** | **Strongly Agree** |
| The module increased my understanding of evaluation. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The material was relevant for my evaluation activities and interests. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| There was enough time for questions. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The presenter was responsive to questions. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. **Please rate the following statements for: Types of Data for Impact Evaluation [Mark Courtney]**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Did Not Attend** | **Too Simplistic** | **About Right** | **Too Complex** |
| The complexity of the material was: | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Did Not Attend** | **Too Short** | **About Right** | **Too Long** |
| The length of the presentation was: | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 |

1. **Please rate the breakout:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Very Poor** | **Poor** | **Fair** | **Good** | **Excellent** |
| Types of Data for Impact Evaluation breakout | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. **Please indicate how much you agree with the following statements for: Writing a Strong Evaluation Report or Journal Article [Katrina Brewsaugh]**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **N/A** | **Strongly Disagree** | **Disagree** | **Neither Agree nor Disagree** | **Agree** | **Strongly Agree** |
| The module increased my understanding of evaluation. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The material was relevant for my evaluation activities and interests. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| There was enough time for questions. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The presenter was responsive to questions. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. **Please rate the following statements for: Writing a Strong Evaluation Report or Journal Article [Katrina Brewsaugh]**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Did Not Attend** | **Too Simplistic** | **About Right** | **Too Complex** |
| The complexity of the material was: | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Did Not Attend** | **Too Short** | **About Right** | **Too Long** |
| The length of the presentation was: | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 |

*Thank you for completing this evaluation form.*

# Day 3: October 23, 2020

1. **Where do you work?** (select all that apply)
	* Community-based Service Agency
	* State or Local Government Agency
	* University
	* Research Firm/Institution
	* Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. **Do you identify as an implementer, evaluator, or both?**
	* Implementer
	* Evaluator
	* Both

**Academy Logistics**

1. **Please rate the breakout:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Very Poor** | **Poor** | **Fair** | **Good** | **Excellent** |
| Academy Reflections and Next Steps breakout | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. **Please indicate how much you agree with the following statements for: Critically Appraising Evidence in Child Welfare [Jessica Dym Bartlett & Amy McKlindon]**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Did Not Attend** | **Strongly Disagree** | **Disagree** | **Neither Agree nor Disagree** | **Agree** | **Strongly Agree** |
| The module increased my understanding of evaluation. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The material was relevant for my evaluation activities and interests. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| There was enough time for questions. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The presenters were responsive to questions. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. **Please rate the following statements for: Critically Appraising Evidence in Child Welfare [Jessica Dym Bartlett & Amy McKlindon]**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Did Not Attend** | **Too Simplistic** | **About Right** | **Too Complex** |
| The complexity of the material was: | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Did Not Attend** | **Too Short** | **About Right** | **Too Long** |
| The length of the presentation was: | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 |

1. **Please rate the breakout:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Very Poor** | **Poor** | **Fair** | **Good** | **Excellent** |
| Critically Appraising Evidence in Child Welfare breakout | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. **Please indicate how much you agree with the following statements for: Presenting Evidence Visually [Jonathan Schwabish]**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Did Not Attend** | **Strongly Disagree** | **Disagree** | **Neither Agree nor Disagree** | **Agree** | **Strongly Agree** |
| The module increased my understanding of evaluation. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The material was relevant for my evaluation activities and interests. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| There was enough time for questions. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The presenter was responsive to questions. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. **Please rate the following statements for: Presenting Evidence Visually [Jonathan Schwabish]**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Did Not Attend** | **Too Simplistic** | **About Right** | **Too Complex** |
| The complexity of the material was: | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Did Not Attend** | **Too Short** | **About Right** | **Too Long** |
| The length of the presentation was: | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 |

*Thank you for completing this evaluation form.*