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**SECTION B.** **Description of Statistical Methodology**

**B.1.** **Respondent Universe**

In 2017-18, IPEDS collected data from 6,642 postsecondary institutions in the United States and the other jurisdictions that are eligible to participate in Title IV Federal financial aid programs. By law, all Title IV institutions are required to respond to IPEDS (Section 490 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1992 [P.L. 102-325]). IPEDS allows other (non-title IV) institutions to participate on a voluntary basis; approximately 200 non-title IV institutions elect to respond each year. Institution closures and mergers have led to a decrease in the number of institutions in the IPEDS universe over the past few years. Due to these fluctuations, combined with the addition of new institutions, NCES uses rounded estimates for the number of institutions in the respondent burden calculations for the upcoming years (estimated 6,400 Title IV institutions plus 200 non-title IV institutions for a total of 6,600 institutions estimated to submit IPEDS data during the 2019-20 through 2021-22 IPEDS data collections).

Table 1 provides the number of institutions that submitted data during the 2017-18 IPEDS data collection and the number of institutions estimated to submit data during the 2019-20 through 2021-22 IPEDS data collections, disaggregated by the type of institution (Title IV institutions are disaggregated by highest level of offering: 4-year award or above, 2-year award, less than 2-year award). Note that based on yet unpublished numbers from the 2018-19 data collection, NCES has decreased the estimates for the number of institutions that are expected to report to IPEDS in the 2019-20 through 2021-22 data collections.

**Table 1. Actual 2017-18 and Estimated 2019-20 through 2021-22 Number of Institutions Submitting IPEDS Data**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Institution Type** | **2017-18 Institution Counts\*** | **Estimates Used in Burden Calculations for the 2019-20 to 2021-22 Collections** |
| **Total** | **6,842** | **6,600** |
|  *Title IV institutions* | *6,642* | *6,400* |
|  4-year | 2,902  | 2,800 |
|  2-year | 1,932 | 1,800 |
|  Less than 2-yr | 1,808 | 1,800 |
|  *Non-Title IV institutions* | *200* | *200* |

\* For Title IV institutions: Ginder, S.A., Kelly-Reid, J.E., and Mann, F.B. (2018). Postsecondary Institutions and Cost of Attendance in 2017–18; Degrees and Other Awards Conferred, 2016–17; and 12-Month Enrollment, 2016–17: First Look (Provisional Data) (NCES 2018-060rev). U.S. Department of Education. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics.

Table 2 provides the number of experienced and new keyholders that submitted data for a given IPEDS component during the 2017-18 IPEDS data collection, disaggregated by the type of institution. These experienced vs. new keyholder designation is drawn directly from self-reported data in the data collection system, where users indicate whether they are submitting data for the first time when they register.

**Table 2. 2017-18 Counts of Experienced and New Keyholders Submitting IPEDS Data, by Institution Type and IPEDS Component**

| **Survey component** | **Total** | **4-year institutions** | **2-year institutions** | **Less than 2-year institutions** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Experienced** | **New** | **Experienced** | **New** | **Experienced** | **New** | **Experienced** | **New** |
| IC | 5,474 | 1,368 | 2,382 | 595 | 1,569 | 392 | 1,523 | 381 |
| C | 5,474 | 1,368 | 2,382 | 595 | 1,569 | 392 | 1,523 | 381 |
| E12 | 5,474 | 1,368 | 2,382 | 595 | 1,569 | 392 | 1,523 | 381 |
| SFA | 5,474 | 1,368 | 2,382 | 595 | 1,569 | 392 | 1,523 | 381 |
| OM | 3,166 | 792 | 2,016 | 505 | 1,150 | 287 | 0 | 0 |
| GR | 4,727 | 1,182 | 1,893 | 473 | 1,487 | 372 | 1,347 | 337 |
| GR200 | 4,398 | 1,099 | 1,642 | 411 | 1,461 | 365 | 1,295 | 323 |
| ADM | 1,656 | 414 | 1,430 | 357 | 138 | 34 | 88 | 23 |
| EF | 5,474 | 1,368 | 2,382 | 595 | 1,569 | 392 | 1,523 | 381 |
| F | 5,474 | 1,368 | 2,382 | 595 | 1,569 | 392 | 1,523 | 381 |
| HR | 5,474 | 1,368 | 2,382 | 595 | 1,569 | 392 | 1,523 | 381 |
| AL | 3,239 | 810 | 2,099 | 525 | 1,139 | 285 | 0 | 0 |

\* Note: These counts do not match any published numbers because they include the non-Title IV institutions that voluntarily submit data to IPEDS.

Table 3 provides the actual response rates, by survey component and the type of institution, for the 2017-18 IPEDS data collection. Because IPEDS is a mandated federal data collection, and institutions can be fined for non-response, all response rates approximate 100%.

**Table 3. IPEDS 2017-18 Title IV Institutions Response Rates, by Institution Type and IPEDS Component**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Survey component** | **4-year institutions** | **2-year institutions** | **Less than 2-year institutions** |
| IC | 100.00% | 99.95% | 99.94% |
| C | 100.00% | 99.90% | 99.94% |
| E12 | 99.97% | 99.90% | 99.89% |
| SFA | 99.36% | 99.84% | 99.61% |
| OM | 99.33% | 99.79% | N/A |
| GR | 99.28% | 99.89% | 99.58% |
| GR200 | 99.42% | 99.84% | 99.57% |
| ADM | 99.89% | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| EF | 99.34% | 99.84% | 99.39% |
| F | 99.18% | 99.64% | 99.11% |
| HR | 99.35% | 99.90% | 99.44% |
| AL | 99.34% | 99.80% | N/A |

**B.2. Statistical Methodology**

No sampling is utilized for any of the IPEDS survey components. Because of the institutional compliance requirements outlined in Part A sections A.1 and A.2 of this submission, and per extensive discussions at the IPEDS Technical Review Panel meetings, with other areas of the Department of Education, including the Office for Civil Rights, the Office of Postsecondary Education, the office of Federal Student Aid, and the Office of Vocational and Adult Education, and with other Federal Agencies such as Census, the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), and the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), IPEDS must collect data from the universe of Title IV institutions.

**B.3. Methods to Maximize Response Rates**

IPEDS response rates for institutions receiving federal financial aid are consistently 99.8% and higher. IPEDS targets the Title IV institutions (others may respond, but no follow-up is done) and the web-based survey system incorporates an automated e-mail module that automatically generates follow-up e-mail to “keyholders” (individuals appointed by the CEOs as responsible for IPEDS data submission). As shown in Table 19 of Part A section A.16 of this submission, frequent communications occur with the institutions over the course of the data collection to ensure compliance with this statutorily mandated collection. Follow-up e-mails are generated if an institution does not attempt to enter data or if, at two weeks and one week before closeout, the components are not locked. The CEOs of non-responding institutions are also contacted by standard mail and with follow up phone calls if, two weeks prior to closeout, the school has not entered any data. New institutions and institutions with new keyholders receive additional telephone and email prompts. This has proven to be very successful in past years. In addition, the names of institutions that do not respond to the IPEDS surveys, and a history of all regular contact with these institutions, is provided to the Federal Student Aid office for appropriate action.

**B.4.** **Tests of Procedures and Methods**

The data collection procedures and data items described in this submission have been tested in a number of ways. Most of the data elements requested have already been collected in previous IPEDS surveys and prior to that, similar data elements had been collected for over 20 years in the Higher Education General Information Survey (HEGIS), the predecessor to IPEDS.

However, data quality is an overriding concern that NCES must continue to assess and evaluate. One approach is to assess relevant data from different IPEDS components and from different survey years to evaluate the consistency and reliability of reported data. These interrelationships among surveys and over time were used to develop the automated tests used to edit each IPEDS data submission. Edit checks currently help to identify potential problems and provide opportunities to correct them early in the data collection. As the number of institutions that automate their responses to IPEDS increases, it becomes increasingly difficult to fully validate their responses. However, by implementing a web-based data collection effort that requires error resolution and correction *prior to* data submission, NCES has been gathering cleaner data in a more timely fashion. The web-based system still accommodates intermediate reporting units such as community college boards, state university systems offices, and corporate offices.

The web-based data collection method was tested in a successful pilot collection of Institutional Price and Student Financial Aid information in August 1999, and has been in full-scale implementation since the fall of 2000. Throughout the implementation of the web-based system, as a result of discussions with data providers and associations that use the data, NCES has revised the data collection items, definitions, and instructions based on the recommendations of IPEDS constituents, and following appropriate public comment periods.

**B.5.** **Reviewing Individuals**

Listed below are individuals who have reviewed, in whole or in part, the IPEDS surveys, and/or participated in Technical Review Panel meetings charged with revising and refining the surveys and data items collected.
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John Fink, Community College Research Center, Teachers College, Columbia University2

Nancy Floyd, North Carolina State University
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