FY2012 NEH Pt	ublic Programs Grant Survey (Museums)
OMB #: 3136- Exp:	
during FY 2012 (October 1, 2	about the impact of America's Historical and Cultural Organizations grants for exhibitions that were open to the public 011 - September 30, 2012). It will enable the Division of Public Programs to gain a more complete picture of the ects and the impact of these projects on institutions and audiences.
Please answer the following q	uestions.
1. Primary contact	for this survey:
Name:	
Institution:	
Address:	
Address 2:	
City/Town:	
State:	6
ZIP:	
Email Address:	
Phone Number:	
2. Title:	
Project Informa	tion
3. Grant Number:	
4. Project Title:	
5. Project Directo	er:
6. Grant Amount:	
Enter amount without commas or symbols (ex: 350000).	
Audience Impac	et en
The NEH fiscal year 2012 ran	from October 1, 2011, through September 30, 2012. The questions in this survey relate to this time frame.

FY2012 NEH P	ublic Programs Grant Survey (Museums)
_	en fund multiple formats or project activities. Identify the primary format
	later questions you will be asked about additional formats and activities.
Long-term exhibitionTraveling exhibition	
Traveling exhibition Site interpretation	
	tal attendance at all venues for the exhibition and/or site interpretive
Enter a whole number without punctuation (ex. 10000)	n October 1, 2011, through September 30, 2012:
_	id the project help audiences learn about ideas and scholarship in the our answer provide detailed evidence and specific examples of audience
learning.	5

					5
					6
. If the proie	ct attracted ne	w audiences, 1	ell us at least or	ne story that be	est illustrates th
	project on thes	se new audiei	nces:	-	5
		se new audiei	nces:		5
		se new audiei	nces:		5
		se new audier	nces:		5
		se new audier	nces:		5
		se new audier	nces:		5
		se new audier	nces:		5
		e new audier	nces:		5
		e new audier	nces:		5

Y2012 NEH Public Programs Grant Survey (Museums)	
13. Provide specific examples of how scholar input shaped the project.	
5	
6	
Educational Impact	
14. What type of educational resources accompanied this project (check all that apply)?	
E Lesson Plans	
Esson PlansStudy Guides	
E Study Guides	
Study GuidesPrimary Resources	
Study GuidesPrimary ResourcesClassroom Activities	
 Study Guides Primary Resources Classroom Activities Online Activities 	
 Study Guides Primary Resources Classroom Activities Online Activities Not Applicable 	
 Study Guides Primary Resources Classroom Activities Online Activities Not Applicable Other (please specify) 	
 Study Guides Primary Resources Classroom Activities Online Activities Not Applicable 	
 Study Guides Primary Resources Classroom Activities Online Activities Not Applicable Other (please specify) 	
 Study Guides Primary Resources Classroom Activities Online Activities Not Applicable Other (please specify) 	
 Study Guides Primary Resources Classroom Activities Online Activities Not Applicable Other (please specify) 	
 Study Guides Primary Resources Classroom Activities Online Activities Not Applicable Other (please specify) 	

-Y2012 NEH Public Programs Grant Survey (Museums)
15. Choose the grade level of the students that this project reached (select all that apply):
© Pre-School
Elementary School
Middle School
€ High School
© College
© Graduate School
16. Share examples of student and educator engagement with the project that best illustrate how the project fostered understanding or appreciation of the humanities. Include specific student and teacher comments and the grade level(s) relevant to the
examples.
6
Institutional Impact
17. As a result of this project has your organization employed new types of programs, new approaches to audience engagement, or new technologies?
₫ Yes
No
Institutional Impact: New Approaches

FY	2012 NEH Public Programs Grant Survey (Museums)	
1	8. Describe any new approaches, types of programs, or technologies and inclu	de
e	examples of how they have influenced other projects in your organization:	=
		5
		6
ln	stitutional Impact: Partnerships	
1	9. Describe the impact of any new partnerships forged for this project.	
1	9. Describe the impact of any new partnerships forged for this project.	5
1	9. Describe the impact of any new partnerships forged for this project.	5
1	9. Describe the impact of any new partnerships forged for this project.	5
1	9. Describe the impact of any new partnerships forged for this project.	5
1	9. Describe the impact of any new partnerships forged for this project.	5
1	9. Describe the impact of any new partnerships forged for this project.	5
1	9. Describe the impact of any new partnerships forged for this project.	5
1	9. Describe the impact of any new partnerships forged for this project.	5
1	9. Describe the impact of any new partnerships forged for this project.	5
1	9. Describe the impact of any new partnerships forged for this project.	5
1	9. Describe the impact of any new partnerships forged for this project.	5
	9. Describe the impact of any new partnerships forged for this project.	5

FY2012 NEH Public Programs Grant Survey (Museums)
20. As a result of the NEH grant was your organization able to increase funds raised from other sources?
Yes
No
Institutional Impact: Fundraising Cont.
21. Describe the impact of NEH support on fundraising for your project and/or institution:
Publicity and Outreach
22. What types of media coverage or feedback did the project receive (check all that apply):
National news articles
€ Local news articles
National TV coverage
€ Local TV coverage
€ Formal reviews
© Online or print comments
Activity on social media
€ None
Other (please specify)
Publicity and Outreach: Reviews

FY2012 NEH Public Programs Grant Survey (Museums)	
23. List all project reviews with citations and/or links.	
	5
24. List at least two of the reviews that best reflect the impact of the p	
	5
	6
Publicity and Outreach: Awards and Nominations	
25. Was the project nominated for and/or did it win awards? Yes	
<u> </u>	

FY2012 NEH Public Programs Grant Survey (Museums)
Publicity and Outreach: Awards and Nominations Cont.
26. Please list any awards and nominations:
5
Audience Reach
27. Were public programs related to the project held from October 1, 2011, through September 30, 2012?
Audience Reach: Public Programs
28. Number of programs held from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012: Enter a whole number without punctuation (ex. 14).
28. Number of programs held from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012: Enter a whole number without punctuation (ex. 14). 29. Total estimated attendees at programs from October 1, 2011 through September 30,
28. Number of programs held from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012: Enter a whole number without punctuation (ex. 14).
28. Number of programs held from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012: Enter a whole number without punctuation (ex. 14). 29. Total estimated attendees at programs from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012: Enter a whole number without punctuation (ex. 14).
28. Number of programs held from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012: Enter a whole number without punctuation (ex. 14). 29. Total estimated attendees at programs from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012: Enter a whole number without punctuation (ex. 2500).

FY2012 NEH Pu	blic Programs Grant Survey (M	luseums)
31. Briefly describe	how project content was made availa	able on digital formats.
		5
32. How many peop	ole accessed these digital resources	?
Enter a whole number without punctuation (ex. 10000).		
Project Reach		
33. Opening date or	r launch date of the project (even if out	tside fiscal year 2012)
Date:	6	6

FY2012 NEH Public Programs Grant Survey (Museums) 34. Select the states and/or territories where the project was available from October 1, 2011, through September 30, 2012: All Kansas Northern Marianas Islands Alabama Kentucky Ohio Alaska Louisiana Oklahoma American Samoa Maine Oregon Maryland Pennsylvania Arizona Arkansas Massachusetts Puerto Rico California Michigan Rhode Island Colorado Minnesota South Carolina Connecticut Mississippi South Dakota Delaware Missouri Tennessee District of Columbia Montana Texas Florida Nebraska Utah Georgia Nevada Vermont New Hampshire Virginia Guam New Jersey Virgin Islands Hawaii Idaho New Mexico Washington Illinois West Virginia New York North Carolina Wisconsin Indiana North Dakota Wyoming lowa Summary 35. Is there anything else you would like to share about the impact of the grant or the project?

- Y 2012 NEH Public Programs Grant Survey (Museums) 36. Did you conduct a formal evaluation of this project?
→ Yes
in No
37. May we contact you for further information about your evaluation?
→ Yes
∄ No
Privacy policy Information in this survey is solicited under the authority of the National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, 20 U.S.C. 956. The principal purpose for which the information will be used is to collect additional post-award information that will provide NEH with useful program progress information that is currently not being collected. Your responses will be used only for the purposes described at the point of collection. If you provide contact information, NEH staff may contact you to clarify your comment or question. We do not sell any personal information to third parties. We never collect information or create individual profiles for commercial or marketing purposes.
Survey completion time The Office of Management and Budget requires federal agencies to supply information on the time needed to complete forms and also to invite comments on the paperwork burden. NEH estimates that the average time to complete this survey is sixty minutes per response. This estimate includes time for reviewing instructions, gathering the information needed, and completing and reviewing the survey.
Please send any comments regarding the estimated completion time or any other aspect of this survey, including suggestions for reducing the completion time, to the Chief Guidelines Officer at guidelines@neh.gov or to Chief Guidelines Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, DC 20506; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (3136), Washington, D.C. 20503. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB number.