Please answer the following questions for the year 2019. The purpose of the survey is to verify and document current surveillance procedures, including cases ascertainment and auditing methods. Please return an electronically completed copy or scanned copy of the completed survey to ggv8@cdc.gov. If you have any questions, please contact Kelly Jackson (gqv8@cdc.gov). Site: ___ CA ___ CT ___ GA ___ MD ___ MN ___ NY ___ TN Person(s) Completing the Form: **Surveillance Area Characteristics** 1. Is MRSA reportable at your site? _____ yes a. If yes: i. What is your reportable definition of MRSA? _____ All invasive MRSA statewide _____ Invasive MRSA in residents among defined catchment area _____ Healthcare-associated invasive MRSA infection Other, please define: ii. Is isolate submission to the State Health Department Laboratory required? b. If no: i. What mechanism do you have in place that allows for SOs to have access to case counts and medical records? _____ Agent of the state _____ State Health Department Regulation ____Other, please explain: _____ ii. Does your state/site plan to make MRSA reportable? ______yes _____no 2. Is MSSA reportable at your site? _____ yes a. If yes: i. What is your reportable definition of MSSA? _____ All invasive MRSA statewide _____ Invasive MRSA in residents among defined catchment area Healthcare-associated invasive MSSA infection Other, please define: ii. Is isolate submission to the State Health Department Laboratory required? b. If no: i. What mechanism do you have in place that allows for SOs to have access to MSSA case counts and medical records? _____ Agent of the state _____State Health Department Regulation _____ Other, please explain: _____ ii. Does your state/site plan to make MSSA reportable? yes

2019 HAIC Invasive Staphylococcus aureus Supplemental Surveillance Officer Survey

Public reporting burden of this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a current valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC/ATSDR Reports Clearance Officer; 1600 Clifton Rd NE, MS D-74, Atlanta, Georgia 30329; ATTN: PRA (0920-0978)

a. _	rour site send MRSA/MSSA isolates to CDC for characterization in 2019?yesno If yes, how were isolates selected?
b.	If yes, how many isolates did you expect to be able to collect from clinical labs? MRSA, MSSA
	If yes, what was the total number of isolates collected from clinical labs? MRSA, MSSA
	does your site complete SA case report forms (please select all that apply)? On a computer or tablet
	With paper and pen
	Other, please explain:
. Are y	rou able to directly access any National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) data? yes no
a.	If yes:
	i. Please mark which NHSN data your site can access
	Hospital MRSA LabID event
	Hospital central line-associated bloodstream infection (CLABSI) data
	Dialysis event
b	If no:
	i. Does a public health partner have access to NHSN data?
	For example, if your site is not based at the state HD does the state HD have
	access to the data? If your site is part of the state HD and you do not have direct
	access to the NHSN data, does another department/section have access to the
	data? yes no
	yes no
	1. If yes:
	a. Please mark which NHSN data can be accessed
	Hospital MRSA LabID event
	Hospital CLABSI data
	Dialysis event
How o	ften do you access/are you provided with NHSN data?
	Weekly
	Monthly
	Never

Lab Participation and Case Finding

Please answer the following questions for hospitals and labs under surveillance for 2019.

1. Please list the total number of each type of lab <u>serving</u> your MRSA surveillance catchment area (both inside and outside of the catchment area) and the total number of each type of lab <u>participating</u> (i.e., submit test results when available) in surveillance (both inside and outside the catchment area):

Inside cat	Inside catchment area		atchment area	
Serve	Participate	Serve	Participate	
				Hospital laboratories
				Dialysis referral laboratories
				Commercial/outpatient laboratories*
				Other; please
				specify:
				Total number (Add above together)

^{*}For the purpose of the survey, we are defining "Commercial/Outpatient Laboratories" as any for profit laboratory, not including dialysis referral laboratories, that serve health care facilities in a given surveillance catchment area. Examples include LabCorp and Quest.

2. *If different catchment than MRSA*, please list the total number of each type of lab <u>serving</u> your MSSA surveillance catchment area (both inside and outside of the catchment area) and the total number of each type of lab <u>participating</u> (i.e., submit test results when available) in <u>surveillance</u> (both inside and outside the catchment area)::

Inside cat	Inside catchment area Serve Participate		tchment area	
Serve			Participate	
				Hospital laboratories
				Dialysis referral laboratories
				Commercial/outpatient laboratories*
				Other; please
				specify:
				Total number (Add above together)

^{*}For the purpose of the survey, we are defining "Commercial/Outpatient Laboratories" as any for profit laboratory, not including dialysis referral laboratories, that serve health care facilities in a given surveillance catchment area. Examples include LabCorp and Quest.

3.	than	ase indicate the culture sources your site requests from participating labs for surveillance other a blood, CSF, pleural fluid, peritoneal fluid, pericardial fluid, joint/synovial fluid, bone, and scle?
	-	

4. Indicate the percentage contribution of each case finding method to your site's total SA case counts (100%) in 2019.

Case Finding	% MSSA	% MRSA	Method
Method Used?	Case Count	Case Count	
	Contribution	Contribution	
□Y □ N			NETSS/NEDSS or other passive state reporting system
\square Y \square N			Retrospective review of received line lists from <u>hospital</u> labs
□Y □N			Routinely received line lists from <u>commercial/outpatient</u> labs
□Y □N			Routinely received line lists from <u>dialysis referral</u> labs
□Y □N			Regular lab visits; frequency:
YN			ICPs submitting case report form
□Y □N			Isolates being received at state lab
□Y □N			NHSN
□Y □N			Other, please specify

	/ 1
	a. Do you expect this distribution and/or percentage values to change in 2019? yes no i. If yes, please explain why:
5.	For labs reporting invasive SA, how many of the participating labs are providing case reports through direct electronic messaging, such as HL7 messaging? a. If less <100%, how else are you receiving reports?
	b. What are the perceived barriers to use of direct electronic messaging?
	c. How important is electronic messaging for your site? (1 not important at all; 5very high priority)
6.	Did any labs drop out of participation in 2019? yes no a. If yes, how many? b. Why did these labs drop out of participation?

		id you identify any additional labs, regardless of location, which identify invasive SA om persons who are residents of your catchment area?
		yes no
	a.	If yes, how did you find these labs?
	b.	If yes, how many labs did you find?
	c.	If yes, how many of these labs were added?
		i. If not all found labs were added, why not?
	d.	Approximately how many cases does this/these lab(s) identify each year among residents of your catchment area?
Data Ed	lits	
n –	a.	your site run a data edit program in addition to the CDC edit program that is sent out ly? yes no If yes, how often: Monthly Quarterly Other time frame, specify: Never If yes, what types of edits are you running? Do you think they would be helpful to add to sedit process?
2. I		our site have any challenges completing the CRF re-abstractions?
*"Case asce may be proce *Audits of a that all case: generated el- the surveillan	rtainme essing M Il labora s of inva ectronic nce pers	nt of Surveillance Area* and Case Audits* nt" should include ongoing attempts to identify new or additional laboratories inside and outside of your defined catchment area which IRSA specimens for surveillance area residents. there is both within the ABCs MRSA surveillance area and those outside are required once a year. The purpose of the audit is to ensure sive MRSA are being captured. The primary data source at every reporting laboratory (e.g. laboratory log slips/log book, computer-printouts, case reports, line lists) should be reviewed for invasive MRSA cases and compared to the list of cases that were reported to onnel. Tour site define an audit case in 2019?

2. Indicate the percentage contribution of each case finding method to your site's <u>audit counts</u> (100%) in 2019.

Audit Method	% MSSA	% MRSA	Method
Used?	Audit Count	Audit Count	
	Contribution	Contribution	
\square Y \square N			NETSS/NEDSS or other passive state reporting system
\square Y \square N			Retrospective review of received line lists from <u>hospital</u> labs
\square Y \square N			Routinely received line lists from <u>commercial/outpatient</u> labs
\square Y \square N			Routinely received line lists from <u>dialysis referral</u> labs
\square Y \square N			Regular lab visits; frequency:
□Y □N			ICPs submitting case report form
□Y □N			Isolates being received at state lab
Y N			NHSN comparison
$\square_{\rm Y} \square_{\rm N}$			Other please specify

		NHSN comparison
] N		Other, please specify
3.	*: to Si	your site assess your individual laboratory case auditing* methods? Audits of all laboratories both within the HAIC MRSA surveillance area and those outside are required once a year. The purpose of the audit is ensure that all cases of invasive MRSA are being captured. The primary data source at every reporting laboratory (e.g. laboratory log ips/log book, computer-generated electronic printouts, case reports, line lists) should be reviewed for invasive MRSA cases and compared to e list of cases that were reported to the surveillance personnel.
	a	If no, please explain why:
	b	. If yes, how often is this performed? When was this last performed?
	c	If yes, how does your site perform audits*? Remove negative restrictions from line list reports Review actual query codes Review selection criteria Other, please specify
	Ċ	 i. If you picked "Review actual query codes", can you see pathogen resistance information? For example, in the query code can you see that the laboratory is including isolates resistant to oxacillin for MRSA?

4.	In 2019, did your site update its inventory of facilities within the EIP catchment area?
	a. If no, why not? yes no
	a. If no, why not?
	b. If yes, how many facilities serve the catchment area?
	c. If yes, how many facilities have you identified a clinical laboratory for?
5.	Does your site perform routine ascertainment* of the surveillance area? *"Case ascertainment" should include ongoing attempts to identify new or additional laboratories inside and outside of your defined catchment area which may be processing specimens for surveillance area residents. yes no
	a. If yes, how does your site assess case ascertainment* methods? (examples include: physician surveys, LTCF surveys, outreach to new dialysis centers, etc).
	b. If yes, how often is this performed? When was this last performed?
6	Are there specific labs that you have difficulty obtaining line lists from?
0.	yes no
	a. If yes, what types of labs?
7.	Does your site have checks in place to recognize decreasing/increasing case counts or rates of MRSA disease?
	yes no
	a. If yes, please describe the check(s) that you use
	b. If yes, how often are the check(s) used?
	c. If yes, do you plan to use these for MSSA once more surveillance data are available? yes no
Ge	eocoding
1	Is your site continuing to geocode SA cases?
1.	Is your site continuing to geocode SA cases? yes no

CDC Responsibilities

1.	CDC staff are responsive to questions/concerns/emails (e.g., Davina Campbell, Runa Gokhale, Kelly Jackson, Isaac See, and Shirley Zhang).
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
	buoligiy disagree
	a. If you disagree or strongly disagree, please explain and provide improvement suggestions:
2.	Monthly surveillance officer calls are a valuable use of my time.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
	a. If you disagree or strongly disagree, please explain and provide improvement suggestions:
3.	What parts of the SA SharePoint site do you use the least or find the least useful?
4.	Can you suggest any future training topics that might be useful for SA surveillance officers?
5.	What SA topics would you like to see covered at the HAIC Surveillance Officer's Meeting during the SA session next year?

END Thank you very much!