

licensed, registered, or otherwise permitted, by . . . the jurisdiction in which he practices . . . , to distribute, dispense, . . . [or] administer . . . a controlled substance in the course of professional practice.” 21 U.S.C. 802(21). Second, in setting the requirements for obtaining a practitioner’s registration, Congress directed that “[t]he Attorney General shall register practitioners . . . if the applicant is authorized to dispense . . . controlled substances under the laws of the State in which he practices.” 21 U.S.C. 823(f). Because Congress has clearly mandated that a practitioner possess state authority in order to be deemed a practitioner under the CSA, the DEA has held repeatedly that revocation of a practitioner’s registration is the appropriate sanction whenever he is no longer authorized to dispense controlled substances under the laws of the state in which he practices. *See, e.g., James L. Hooper*, 76 FR at 71,371–72; *Sheran Arden Yeates, M.D.*, 71 FR 39,130, 39,131 (2006); *Dominick A. Ricci, M.D.*, 58 FR 51,104, 51,105 (1993); *Bobby Watts, M.D.*, 53 FR 11,919, 11,920 (1988); *Frederick Marsh Blanton*, 43 FR at 27,617.

Respondent argued that “[t]he matter in Texas is temporary in nature, as it is a Temporary Suspension.”<sup>5</sup> Resp Opposition, at 1. He also argued that he has active medical licenses in Georgia and Ohio and that he does have state authority in Texas. *Id.* at 2. However, the Suspension Order issued by the Texas Board clearly states that the suspension is in effect until the Board issues a superseding Order. GX 4, at 4. Further, I agree with the Chief ALJ that “[a]s has been long established by Agency [decisions], state licensure in a state other than a respondent’s [] registration state is irrelevant to a DEA enforcement proceeding. SD, at 4–5 (citing *Craig K. Alhanati, D.D.S.*, 62 FR 32,658, 32,658 (1997)).

Because “the controlling question” in a proceeding brought under 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3) is whether the holder of a practitioner’s registration “is currently authorized to handle controlled substances in the state,” *James L. Hooper*, 76 FR at 71,371 (quoting *Anne Lazar Thorn*, 62 FR 12,847, 12,848 (1997)), the Agency has also long held that revocation is warranted even where a practitioner is still challenging the underlying action or where the state action is temporary. *Kambiz Haghighi, M.D.*, 85 FR 5989 (2020); *Bourne Pharmacy*, 72 FR 18,273, 18,274 (2007);

*Wingfield Drugs*, 52 FR 27,070, 27,071 (1987). Thus, it is of no consequence that the action is a suspension. What is consequential is my finding that Respondent is no longer currently authorized to dispense controlled substances in Texas and North Dakota, the two states where Respondent maintains the registrations subject to this action.

Under the Texas Controlled Substances Act, a practitioner in Texas “may not prescribe, dispense, deliver, or administer a controlled substance or cause a controlled substance to be administered under the practitioner’s direction and supervision except for a valid medical purpose and in the course of medical practice.” Tex. Health and Safety Code Ann. § 481.071 (West 2019). The Texas Controlled Substances Act defines “practitioner,” in relevant part, as “a physician . . . licensed, registered, or otherwise permitted to distribute, dispense, analyze, conduct research with respect to, or administer a controlled substance in the course of professional practice or research in this state.” *Id.* at § 481.002 (39)(A). Further, under the Texas Medical Practice Act, a person must hold a license to practice medicine in Texas. Tex. Occupations Code Ann. § 155.001 (West 2019) (“A person may not practice medicine in this state unless the person holds a license issued under [the Medical Practice Act].”); *see also id.* at § 151.002 (“‘Physician’ means a person licensed to practice medicine in this state.”). Additionally, “[a] person commits an offense if the person practices medicine in [Texas] in violation of” the Act. *Id.* at § 165.152(a).

Under North Dakota law, “[d]ispense” means to deliver a controlled substance to an ultimate user or research subject by or pursuant to the lawful order of a practitioner, including the prescribing, administering, packaging, labeling, or compounding necessary to prepare the substance for that delivery.” N.D. Cent. Code § 19–03.1–01(10) (West 2019). Further, a “practitioner” is defined as, “A physician, dentist, veterinarian, pharmacist, scientific investigator, or other person licensed, registered, or otherwise permitted by the jurisdiction in which the individual is practicing to distribute, dispense, conduct research with respect to, or to administer a controlled substance in the course of professional practice or research.” *Id.* at § 19–03.1–01(25)(a). Therefore, because Registrant currently is not licensed by the jurisdiction in which he is practicing, he is not authorized to dispense controlled substances in North Dakota.

Here, the undisputed evidence in the record is that Respondent currently lacks authority to practice medicine in Texas and North Dakota. I, therefore, find that Respondent is currently without authority to dispense controlled substance in Texas and North Dakota, two states in which he is registered with DEA, and I will order that Respondent’s DEA registrations in these states be revoked.

### Order

Pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 824(a), I hereby revoke DEA Certificates of Registration Nos. FR7251642 and FR5327285 issued to Jonathan Rosenfield, M.D. Further, pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 823(f), I hereby deny any pending application of Jonathan Rosenfield, M.D. to renew or modify these registrations, as well as any other application of Jonathan Rosenfield, M.D. for additional registrations in Texas and North Dakota. This Order is applicable December 21, 2020.

**Timothy J. Shea,**

*Acting Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 2020–25524 Filed 11–18–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

---

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Overhead and Gantry Cranes Standard

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor (DOL) is submitting this Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA)-sponsored information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA). Public comments on the ICR are invited.

**DATES:** The OMB will consider all written comments that agency receives on or before December 21, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open

<sup>5</sup> It is noted that Respondent presented no arguments about the status of his medical license in North Dakota.

for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) if the information will be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) the accuracy of the agency’s estimates of the burden and cost of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (4) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (5) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Crystal Rennie by telephone at 202–693–0456 (this is not a toll-free number) or by email at [DOL\\_PRA\\_PUBLIC@dol.gov](mailto:DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The paperwork provisions of the Standard specify requirements for: Marking the rated load of cranes; preparing certification records to verify the inspection of the crane hooks, hoist chains, and rope; preparing reports of rated load test for repaired hooks or modified cranes. Records and reports must be maintained and disclosed upon request. For additional substantive information about this ICR, see the related notice published in the **Federal Register** on August 18, 2020 (85 FR 50838).

This information collection is subject to the PRA. A Federal agency generally cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and the public is generally not required to respond to an information collection, unless the OMB approves it and displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. In addition, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall generally be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information that does not display a valid OMB Control Number. See 5 CFR 1320.5(a) and 1320.6.

DOL seeks PRA authorization for this information collection for three (3) years. OMB authorization for an ICR cannot be for more than three (3) years without renewal. The DOL notes that information collection requirements submitted to the OMB for existing ICRs receive a month-to-month extension while they undergo review.

*Agency:* DOL–OSHA.

*Title of Collection:* Overhead and Gantry Cranes Standard.

*OMB Control Number:* 1218–0224.

*Affected Public:* Businesses or other for-profits institutions.

*Total Estimated Number of Respondents:* 31,495.

*Total Estimated Number of Responses:* 642,566.

*Total Estimated Annual Time Burden:* 321,345 hours.

*Total Estimated Annual Other Costs Burden:* \$0.

**Authority:** 44 U.S.C. 3507(a)(1)(D).

Dated: November 13, 2020.

**Anthony May,**

*Management and Program Analyst.*

[FR Doc. 2020–25563 Filed 11–18–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–26–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Notice of Alleged Safety or Health Hazards

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor (DOL) is submitting this Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA)-sponsored information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA). Public comments on the ICR are invited.

**DATES:** The OMB will consider all written comments that agency receives on or before December 21, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) if the information will be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) the accuracy of the agency’s estimates of the burden and cost of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (4) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (5) ways to minimize the burden of the

collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Crystal Rennie by telephone at 202–693–0456 (this is not a toll-free number) or by email at [DOL\\_PRA\\_PUBLIC@dol.gov](mailto:DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The OSHA–7 Form is used by OSHA personnel to report unhealthful and/or unsafe conditions in the workplace. The information is given to OSHA by employees who wish to report unhealthful and/or unsafe conditions at their place of employment. Employee reports are authorized by Section 8(f)(1) of the OSH Act. This information is used by OSHA to evaluate the alleged hazards and to schedule an inspection. The form is available in English and Spanish. OSHA–7 Form has also been translated into nine Asian American Pacific Islander languages. For additional substantive information about this ICR, see the related notice published in the **Federal Register** on May 11, 2020 (85 FR 27765).

This information collection is subject to the PRA. A Federal agency generally cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and the public is generally not required to respond to an information collection, unless the OMB approves it and displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. In addition, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall generally be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information that does not display a valid OMB Control Number. See 5 CFR 1320.5(a) and 1320.6.

DOL seeks PRA authorization for this information collection for three (3) years. OMB authorization for an ICR cannot be for more than three (3) years without renewal. The DOL notes that information collection requirements submitted to the OMB for existing ICRs receive a month-to-month extension while they undergo review.

*Agency:* DOL–OSHA.

*Title of Collection:* Notice of Alleged Safety or Health Hazards.

*OMB Control Number:* 1218–0064.

*Affected Public:* Businesses or other for-profits institutions.

*Total Estimated Number of Respondents:* 76,036.

*Total Estimated Number of Responses:* 76,036.

*Total Estimated Annual Time Burden:* 21,171 hours.

*Total Estimated Annual Other Costs Burden:* \$336.

**Authority:** 44 U.S.C. 3507(a)(1)(D).