**SUBPART 215.4--CONTRACT PRICING**

*(Revised June 5, 2020)*

**\* \* \* \* \***

**215.403 Obtaining certified cost or pricing data.**

\* \* \* \* \*

215.403-5 Instructions for submission of certified cost or pricing data and data other than certified cost or pricing data.

(b)(3) For contractors following the contract cost principles in FAR subpart 31.2, Contracts With Commercial Organizations, pursuant to the procedures in FAR 42.1701(b), the administrative contracting officer shall require contractors to comply with the submission items in Table 215.403-1 in order to ensure that their forward pricing rate proposal is submitted in an acceptable form in accordance with FAR 15.403-5(b)(3). The contracting officer should request that the proposal be submitted to the Government at least 90 days prior to the proposed effective date of the rates. To ensure the proposal is complete, the contracting officer shall request that the contractor complete the Contractor Forward Pricing Rate Proposal Adequacy Checklist at Table 215.403-1, and submit it with the forward pricing rate proposal.

Table 215.403-1 – Contractor Forward Pricing Rate Proposal Adequacy Checklist

Complete the following checklist, providing the location of requested information, or an explanation of why the requested information is not provided, and submit it with the forward pricing rate proposal.

Contractor Forward Pricing Rate Proposal Adequacy Checklist

|  | SUBMISSION  ITEM | PROPOSAL PAGE No. (if applicable) | If not provided, EXPLAIN (may use continuation pages) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS | | | |
|  | Is there a properly completed first page of the proposal as specified by the contracting officer?  Initial proposal elements include:  a. Name and address of contractor;  b. Name and telephone number of point of contact;  c. Period covered;  d. The page of the proposal that addresses—  1. Whether your organization is subject to cost accounting standards (CAS);  2. Whether your organization has submitted a CAS Disclosure Statement, and whether it has been determined adequate;  3. Whether you have been notified that you are or may be in noncompliance with your Disclosure Statement or CAS (other than a noncompliance that the cognizant Federal agency official had determined to have an immaterial cost impact), and if yes, an explanation;  4. Whether any aspect of this proposal is inconsistent with your disclosed practices or applicable CAS, and, if so, an explanation; and whether the proposal is consistent with established estimating and accounting principles and procedures and FAR part 31, Cost Principles, and, if not, an explanation;  e. The following statement: “This forward pricing rate proposal reflects our estimates, as of the date of submission entered in (f) below and conforms with Table 215.403-1. By submitting this proposal, we grant the Contracting Officer and authorized representative(s) the right to examine those records, which include books, documents, accounting procedures and practices, and other data, regardless of type and form or whether such supporting information is specifically referenced or included in the proposal as the basis for each estimate, that will permit an adequate evaluation of the proposed rates and factors.”;  f. Date of submission; and  g. Name, title, and signature of authorized representative. | Proposal Cover Page |  |
|  | Summary of proposed direct and indirect rates and factors, including the proposed pool and base costs for each proposed indirect rate and factor. | Immediately following the proposal cover page |  |
|  | Table of Contents or index.  a. Does the proposal include a table of contents or index identifying and referencing all supporting data accompanying or identified in the proposal?  b. For supporting documentation not provided with the proposal, does the basis of each estimate in the proposal include the location of the documentation and the point of contact (custodian) name, phone number, and email address? |  |  |
|  | Does the proposal disclose known or anticipated changes in business activities or processes that could materially impact the proposed rates (if not previously provided)? For example—  a. Management initiatives to reduce costs;  b. Changes in management objectives as a result of economic conditions and increased competitiveness;  c. Changes in accounting policies, procedures, and practices including (i) reclassification of expenses from direct to indirect or vice versa; (ii) new methods of accumulating and allocating indirect costs and the related impact; and (iii) advance agreements;  d. Company reorganizations (including acquisitions or divestitures);  e. Shutdown of facilities; or  f. Changes in business volume and/or contract mix/type. |  |  |
|  | Do proposed costs based on judgmental factors include an explanation of the estimating processes and methods used, including those used in projecting from known data? |  |  |
|  | Does the proposal show trends and budgetary data? Does the proposal provide an explanation of how the data, as well as any adjustments to the data, were used? |  |  |
|  | The proposal should reconcile to the supporting data referenced. If the proposal does not reconcile to the supporting data referenced, identify applicable page(s) and explain. |  |  |
|  | The proposal should be internally consistent. If the proposal is not internally consistent, identify applicable page(s) and explain. |  |  |
| Direct Labor | | | |
|  | Direct Labor Rates Methodology and Basis of Each Estimate.  a. Does the proposal include an explanation of the methodology used to develop the direct labor rates and identify the basis of each estimate?  b. Does the proposal include or identify the location of the supporting documents for the base-period labor rates (e.g., payroll records)? |  |  |
|  | Does the proposal identify escalation factors for the out-year labor rates, the costs to which escalation is applicable, and the basis of each factor used? |  |  |
|  | Does the proposal identify planned or anticipated changes in the composition of labor rates, labor categories, union agreements, headcounts, or other factors that could significantly impact the direct labor rates? |  |  |
| Indirect Rates (Fringe, Overhead, G&A, etc.) | | | |
|  | Indirect Rates Methodology and Basis of Each Estimate.  a. Does the proposal identify the basis of each estimate and provide an explanation of the methodology used to develop the indirect rates?  b. Does the proposal include or identify the location of the supporting documents for the proposed rates? |  |  |
|  | Does the proposal identify indirect expenses by burden center, by cost element, by year (including any voluntary deletions, if applicable) in a format that is consistent with the accounting system used to accumulate actual expenses? |  |  |
|  | Does the proposal identify any contingencies? |  |  |
|  | Does the proposal identify planned or anticipated changes in the nature, type, or level of indirect costs, including fringe benefits? |  |  |
|  | Does the proposal identify corporate, home office, shared services, or other incoming allocated costs and the source for those costs, including location and point of contact (custodian) name, phone number, and email address? |  |  |
|  | Does the proposal separately identify all intermediate cost pools and provide a reconciliation to show where the costs will be allocated? |  |  |
|  | Does the proposal identify the escalation factors used to escalate indirect costs for the out-years, the costs to which escalation is applicable, and the basis of each factor used? |  |  |
|  | Does the proposal provide details of the development of the allocation base? |  |  |
|  | Does the proposal include or reference the supporting data for the allocation base such as program budgets, negotiation memoranda, proposals, contract values, etc.? |  |  |
|  | Does the proposal identify how the proposed allocation bases reconcile with its long range plans, strategic plan, operating budgets, sales forecasts, program budgets, etc.? |  |  |
| Cost of Money (COM) | | | |
|  | Cost of Money.  a. Are Cost of Money rates submitted on Form CASB-CMF, with the Treasury Rate used to compute COM identified and a summary of the net book value of assets, identified as distributed and non-distributed?  b. Does the proposal identify the support for the Form CASB-CMF, for example, the underlying reports and records supporting the net book value of assets contained in the form? |  |  |
| OTHER | | | |
|  | Does the proposal include a comparison of prior forecasted costs to actual results in the same format as the proposal and an explanation/analysis of any differences? |  |  |
|  | If this is a revision to a previous rate proposal or a forward pricing rate agreement, does the new proposal provide a summary of the changes in the circumstances or the facts that the contractor asserts require the change to the rates? |  |  |

\* \* \* \* \*

**215.407 Special cost or pricing areas.**

**\*** \* \* \* \*

**215.407-5 Estimating systems.**

**215.407-5-70 Disclosure, maintenance, and review requirements.**

(a) *Definitions*.

(1) “Acceptable estimating system” is defined in the clause at [252.215-7002](https://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/dfars/html/current/252215.htm#252.215-7002), Cost Estimating System Requirements.

(2) “Contractor” means a business unit as defined in FAR 2.101.

(3) “Estimating system” is as defined in the clause at [252.215-7002](https://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/dfars/html/current/252215.htm#252.215-7002), Cost Estimating System Requirements.

(4) “Significant deficiency” is defined in the clause at [252.215-7002](https://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/dfars/html/current/252215.htm#252.215-7002), Cost Estimating System Requirements.

(b) *Applicability*.

(1) DoD policy is that all contractors have acceptable estimating systems that consistently produce well-supported proposals that are acceptable as a basis for negotiation of fair and reasonable prices.

(2) A large business contractor is subject to estimating system disclosure, maintenance, and review requirements if—

(i) In its preceding fiscal year, the contractor received DoD prime contracts or subcontracts totaling $50 million or more for which certified cost or pricing were required; or

(ii) In its preceding fiscal year, the contractor received DoD prime contracts or subcontracts totaling $10 million or more (but less than $50 million) for which certified cost or pricing data were required and the contracting officer, with concurrence or at the request of the ACO, determines it to be in the best interest of the Government (e.g., significant estimating problems are believed to exist or the contractor's sales are predominantly Government).

(c) *Policy*.

(1) The contracting officer shall—

(i) Through use of the clause at [252.215-7002](https://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/dfars/html/current/252215.htm#252.215-7002), Cost Estimating System Requirements, apply the disclosure, maintenance, and review requirements to large business contractors meeting the criteria in paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section;

(ii) Consider whether to apply the disclosure, maintenance, and review requirements to large business contractors under paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section; and

(iii) Not apply the disclosure, maintenance, and review requirements to other than large business contractors.

(2) The cognizant contracting officer, in consultation with the auditor, for contractors subject to paragraph (b)(2) of this section, shall—

(i) Determine the acceptability of the disclosure and approve or disapprove the system; and

(ii) Pursue correction of any deficiencies.

(3) The auditor conducts estimating system reviews.

(4) An acceptable system shall provide for the use of appropriate source data, utilize sound estimating techniques and good judgment, maintain a consistent approach, and adhere to established policies and procedures.

(5) In evaluating the acceptability of a contractor's estimating system, the contracting officer, in consultation with the auditor, shall determine whether the contractor's estimating system complies with the system criteria for an acceptable estimating system as prescribed in the clause at [252.215-7002](https://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/dfars/html/current/252215.htm#252.215-7002), Cost Estimating System Requirements.

(d) *Disposition of findings*—

(1) *Reporting of findings*. The auditor shall document findings and recommendations in a report to the contracting officer. If the auditor identifies any significant estimating system deficiencies, the report shall describe the deficiencies in sufficient detail to allow the contracting officer to understand the deficiencies.

(2) *Initial determination*. (i) The contracting officer shall review all findings and recommendations and, if there are no significant deficiencies, shall promptly notify the contractor, in writing, that the contractor's estimating system is acceptable and approved; or

(ii) If the contracting officer finds that there are one or more significant deficiencies (as defined in the clause at [252.215-7002](https://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/dfars/html/current/252215.htm#252.215-7002), Cost Estimating System Requirements) due to the contractor’s failure to meet one or more of the estimating system criteria in the clause at [252.215-7002](https://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/dfars/html/current/252215.htm#252.215-7002), the contracting officer shall—

(A) Promptly make an initial written determination on any significant deficiencies and notify the contractor, in writing, providing a description of each significant deficiency in sufficient detail to allow the contractor to understand the deficiency;

(B) Request the contractor to respond, in writing, to the initial determination within 30 days; and

(C) Promptly evaluate the contractor’s responses to the initial determination, in consultation with the auditor or functional specialist, and make a final determination.

(3) *Final determination*. (i) The contracting officer shall make a final determination and notify the contractor, in writing, that——

(A) The contractor's estimating system is acceptable and approved, and no significant deficiencies remain, or

(B) Significant deficiencies remain. The notice shall identify any remaining significant deficiencies, and indicate the adequacy of any proposed or completed corrective action. The contracting officer shall—

(*1*) Request that the contractor, within 45 days of receipt of the final determination, either correct the deficiencies or submit an acceptable corrective action plan showing milestones and actions to eliminate the deficiencies;

(*2*) Disapprove the system in accordance with the clause at [252.215-7002](https://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/dfars/html/current/252215.htm#252.215-7002), Cost Estimating System Requirements; and

(*3*) Withhold payments in accordance with the clause at [252.242-7005](https://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/dfars/html/current/252242.htm#252.242-7005), Contractor Business Systems, if the clause is included in the contract.

(ii) Follow the procedures relating to monitoring a contractor's corrective action and the correction of significant deficiencies in [PGI 215.407-5-70](https://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/pgi/pgi_htm/PGI215_4.htm#215.407-5-70)(e).

(e) *System approval*. The contracting officer shall promptly approve a previously disapproved estimating system and notify the contractor when the contracting officer determines that there are no remaining significant deficiencies.

(f) *Contracting officer notifications*. The cognizant contracting officer shall promptly distribute copies of a determination to approve a system, disapprove a system and withhold payments, or approve a previously disapproved system and release withheld payments, to the auditor; payment office; affected contracting officers at the buying activities; and cognizant contracting officers in contract administration activities.

\* \* \* \* \*