

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 380

[Docket No. FMCSA-2017-0371]

RIN 2126-AC05

Commercial Driver's License Upgrade from Class B to Class A

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: FMCSA amends the entrylevel driver training (ELDT) regulations published on December 8, 2016, titled "Minimum Training Requirements for Entry-Level Commercial Motor Vehicle Operators" (ELDT final rule), by adopting a new Class A CDL theory instruction upgrade curriculum to reduce the training time and costs incurred by Class B commercial driver's. Availability of Rulemaking license (CDL) holders upgrading to a Class A CDL. This final rule does not the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM). The Agency believes that this modest change in the Class A theory training requirements for Class B CDL holders upgrading to a Class A CDL maintains the same level of safety the regulatory burden reduction will result in annualized cost savings of \$18

DATES: This final rule is effective May 6, rule is February 7, 2020.

final rule must be submitted to the FMCSA Administrator no later than April 5, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Richard Clemente, Driver and Carrier Operations (MC-PSD) Division, FMCSA, 1200 New Jersey Ave SE, Washington, DC 20590-0001, by telephone at 202-366-4325, or by email The Moving Ahead for Progress in thewho hold a Class B CDL, must 21st Century Act (MAP-21) required the emonstrate proficiency in all elements questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, contact Docket minimum ELDT requirements Services, telephone (202) 366-9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This final rule is organized as follows:

- I. Rulemaking Documents
- A. Availability of Rulemaking Documents B. Privacy Act
- II. Executive Summary
- III. Abbreviations
- IV. Legal Basis
- V. Background
- VI. Discussion of Proposed Rule
- VIII. International Impacts

IX. Section-by-Section

X. Regulatory Analyses

- A. E.O. 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Procedures as Supplemented by E.O. 13563)
- B. E.O. 13771 (Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs)
- C. Regulatory Flexibility Act (Small **Entities**)
- D. Assistance for Small Entities
- Information)
- G. E.O. 13132 (Federalism)
- H. E.O. 12988 (Civil Justice Reform)
- I. E.O. 13045 (Protection of Children) J. E.O. 12630 (Taking of Private Property)
- K. Privacy
- M. E.O. 13211 (Energy Supply,
- Distribution, or Use) O. National Technology Transfer and
- P. Environment (NEPA)

I. Rulemaking Documents

Documents

For access to docket FMCSA-2017comments received, go to http:// www.regulations.gov at any time, or toThis rule applies only to Class B CDL New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DCa Class B CDL must complete the full 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m.. established by the ELDT final rule, and Monday through Friday, except Federalrequired by the ELDT final rule.

B. Privacy Act

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(c), 2019. The compliance date for this finaDOT solicits comments from the public provider is required to cover all topics to better inform its rulemaking processin the curriculum and driver-trainees Petitions for Reconsideration of this DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal information 80 percent on the written theory the commenter provides, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL-in the ELDT final rule. This final rule 14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at www.dot.gov/privacy.

II. Executive Summary

issuance of final regulations establishing the BTW curriculum in a Group A addressing the knowledge and skills necessary for the safe operation of a commercial motor vehicle (CMV) that for the first time or upgrading from one trainees are affected by the rule, with class of CDL to another (49 U.S.C. 31305(c)(1)). On December 8, 2016, FMCSA published a final rule establishing minimum ELDT requirements meeting the MAP-21 mandate (81 FR 88732) ("ELDT final VII. Discussion of Comments and Responsesrule"). Today, as part of the Agency's ongoing effort to review existing

regulations to evaluate their continued necessity and effectiveness, FMCSA E.O. 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Amends 49 CFR part 380 by adding a Review and DOT Regulatory Policies and new theory instruction upgrade curriculum for Class B CDL holders upgrading to a Class A CDL. This final rule does not change the regulatory text proposed in the June 29, 2018, NPRM.

The ELDT final rule required the same level of theory training for individuals E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 obtaining a CDL for the first time as for F. Paperwork Reduction Act (Collection of those who already hold a Class B CDL and are upgrading to a Class A CDL. FMCSA now concludes that, because Class B CDL holders have prior training or experience, they are not required to receive the same level of theory training L. E.O. 12372 (Intergovernmental Review) as individuals who have never held a CDL. Accordingly, the Agency adds an optional theory instruction upgrade N. E.O. 13175 (Indian Tribal Governments) curriculum for Class B CDL holders upgrading to a Class A CDL, which Advancement Act (Technical Standards) removes eight instructional units involving "Non-Driving Activities." However, Class B CDL holders upgrading to a Class A CDL remain free to choose to complete the Class A theory instruction standard curriculum, which includes the eight units of theory change the regulatory text proposed in 0371 to read background documents and truction not included in the upgrade curriculum established by this rule. Docket Services at U.S. Department of holders; therefore, individuals obtaining Transportation, Room W12-140, 1200 a Class A CDL who do not already hold Class A theory (standard) curriculum, as

> The theory instruction upgrade curriculum for Class B CDL holders does not require a minimum number of instruction hours, but the training must receive an overall minimum score assessment. This approach is consistent with the theory curricula requirements does not change the behind-the-wheel (BTW) (range and public road) training requirements set forth in the ELDT final rule. All driver-trainees, including those

vehicle.

Costs and Benefits

The Agency estimates that an annual must be acquired before obtaining a CD verage of approximately 11,340 drivereach experiencing a reduction of 27 hours in time spent completing their theory instruction. This results in a substantial time cost savings to these driver-trainees, who no longer must attend this training, as well as a cost savings to the motor carriers that employ these drivers. The rule does not

result in any increase in costs. As presented in Table 1, the Agency undiscounted basis, \$155 million

discounted at 3%, \$127 million discounted at 7%, and \$18 million on estimates that the rule results in a 10- an annualized basis at a 7% or a 3% year cost savings of \$182 million on andiscount rate, representing a decrease savings (\$1.04 million) realized by cost or a cost savings. Most of this

annualized cost savings (\$17.10 million) is realized by driver-trainees, with the remainder of the annualized cost motor carriers.

TABLE 1—SUMMARY OF THE TOTAL COST OF THE RULE [In millions of 2014\$]

	Undiscounted			Discounted	
Year	Driver-trainee costs	Motor carrier costs	Total Costs ^(a)	Discounted at 3%	Discounted at 7%
2020	(b) (\$16.7) (16.8) (16.9) (17.0) (17.1) (17.2) (17.3) (17.4) (17.5) (17.6)	(\$1.0) (1.0) (1.0) (1.0) (1.0) (1.0) (1.0) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1)	(\$17.8) (17.8) (17.9) (18.0) (18.1) (18.2) (18.3) (18.4) (18.5) (18.6)	(\$17.2) (16.8) (16.4) (16.0) (15.6) (15.3) (14.9) (14.5) (14.2) (13.9)	(\$16.6) (15.6) (14.6) (13.8) (12.9) (12.2) (11.4) (10.7) (10.1) (9.5)
Total	(171)	(10)	(182)	(155)	(127)
Annualized			(18)	(18)	(18)

Notes:

(a) Total cost values may not equal the sum of the components due to rounding. (The totals shown in this column are the rounded sum of unrounded components.)

(b) Values shown in parentheses are negative values (i.e., less than zero) and represent a decrease in cost or a cost savings.

In the regulatory evaluation for the ELDT final rule, FMCSA estimated that ELDT final rule addressed the potential Association

On the regulatory evaluation of the regulator, considering DOT U.S. Department of Transportation motor carriers incur costs, but that training providers, State Driver Licensing Agencies (SDLAs), and the Federal government would also incur costs, as a result of the ELDT final rule.CMVs, which serves as an adequate For this rule, FMCSA does not anticipate any change in costs relative instructional units not included in the the ELDT final rule for training providers, SDLAs, or the Federal government, because the regulatory obligations of these entities, as set fortimpact safety, and specifically requested classification System in the ELDT final rule, are not affected comment on whether the proposed

The Agency believes that this rule does not result in changes to the benefits of the ELDT final rule. In the regulatory evaluation for the ELDT final received no comments in response to rule, the Agency estimated quantified that request. Therefore, the Agency dopping Personally Identifiable Information benefits for three categories of nonsafety benefits, including savings from potential safety benefits previously reductions in fuel consumption, reductions in CQ emissions related to and reductions in vehicle maintenance III. Abbreviations and Acronyms those reductions in fuel consumption, and repair costs. These estimated non-ANPRM Advance Notice of Proposed safety benefits were derived from the Speed Management and Space Management instructional units in the BEA Bureau of Economic Analysis Class A theory instruction curriculum in BLS

Bureau of Labor Statistics

the ELDT final rule. Because these two CDL

Commercial Driver's License instructional units remain in the theory CFR instruction upgrade curriculum, the Agency does not anticipate any change MV Commercial Motor Vehicle in these non-safety benefits from this CMVSA Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety rule.

The regulatory evaluation for the the potential safety impacts from the June 29, 2018, NPRM, the Agency noted MCSA Federal Motor Carrier Safety that Class B CDL holders have prior training or experience in operating substitute for the eight non-driving optional theory instruction upgrade curriculum. The Agency therefore presumed that the NPRM would not

optional Class A CDL theory curriculumOMB Office of Management and Budget would reduce safety benefits relative to OIDA Owner-Operator Independent the ELDT final rule. The Agency not anticipate that this rule will changepra Paperwork Reduction Act identified in connection with the ELDT final rule.

Rulemaking ATA American Trucking Associations, Inc. Code of Federal Regulations

CLP Commercial Learner's Permit Act

CVTA Commercial Vehicle Training

ELDT Entry-Level Driver Training

E.O. Executive Order

Administration FMCSRs Federal Motor Carrier Safety

Regulations

FR Federal Register **HM Hazardous Materials**

IT Information Technology

MAP-21 Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act

NAICS North American Industry

NPRM Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Drivers Association OOS Out-of-Service

PIA Privacy Impact Assessment

PTDI Professional Truck Driver Institute RFA Regulatory Flexibility Act RIA Regulatory Impact Analysis RIN Regulation Identifier Number

SBA Small Business Administration SDLA State Driver Licensing Agency

Section symbol TPR Training Provider Registry

U.S.C. United States Code

IV. Legal Basis for the Rulemaking

As noted above, FMCSA's publication of the final rule, "Minimum Training Requirements for Entry-Level Commercial Vehicle Operators" (81 FR 88732 (Dec. 8, 2016)), satisfied the MAP-21 requirement that the Agency

issue ELDT regulations. This rule, which amends regulations established intermediaries. by the ELDT final rule, is based on the authority of the Motor Carrier Act of 1935 (the 1935 Act) and the Motor as amended, and the Commercial Motoindividual operating a CMV (49 U.S.C. Vehicle Safety Act of 1986 (CMVSA).

The 1935 Act, codified at 49 U.S.C. 31502(b), provides that "The Secretary Class B CDL holders upgrading to a of Transportation may prescribe requirements for—(1) qualifications and Finally, the Administrator of FMCSA maximum hours of service of employees delegated authority under 49 CFR of, and safety of operation and equipment of, a motor carrier; and (2) the Secretary of Transportation by 49 obtaining a CDL for the first time as qualifications and maximum hours of service of employees of, and standardsthey relate to commercial motor vehiclend are upgrading to a Class A CDL. of equipment of, a motor private carriepperators, programs and safety. when needed to promote safety of operation." This rule addresses the qualifications of certain motor carrier employees, consistent with the safe operation of CMVs.

The 1984 Act, codified at 49 U.S.C. vehicle equipment. Section 31136(a)(1a Class A CDL); or a hazardous material folders upgrading to a Class A CDL grants the Secretary broad authority to endorsoment for the first productions (1a) would not be required to complete issue regulations (1a). are ... operated safely". The remaining struction, fulfilled the Congressional Class A CDL training curriculum as set statutory factors and requirements in mandate in section 32304 of MAP-21 forth in Appendix A to 49 CFR part 380 statutory factors and requirements in section 31136(a), to the extent they are also satisfied here. In recommendations from the Agency's accordance with section 31136(a)(2), the Entry-Level Driver Training Advisory relevant, are also satisfied here. In elimination of duplicative theory training does not impose any "responsibilities .. . on operators of commercial motor vehicles [that would the culmination of previous efforts by Crash Procedures, External impair their ability to operate the vehicles safely." This rule does not drivers (section 31136(a)(3)) or possible The Description of the Descr physical effects caused by driving CMVs. The Department has longstand (section 31136(a)(4)). However, to the regulations and other agency ac extent that the various curricula in the regulations and other agency actions 2016 final rule on ELDT address FMCSA's medical requirements for CMV drivers, section 31136(a)(3) was rulemaking. FMCSA does not anticipate cost-justified. Consistent with these considered and addressed in that that drivers will be coerced (section 31136(a)(5)) as a result of this rulemaking. However, the Agency notes residential directive as expressed in the that the ELDT theory training curricula memorandum of January 20, 2017, from the for Class B CDLs, as well as the Class A theory instruction standard curriculum, includes a "Whistleblower/ by final rules published on February 1, 2017 (82 FRexpanded, or repealed so as to make the agency's Coercion" unit, addressing the right of 8903), March 21, 2017 (82 FR 14476), and May 23 regulatory program more effective or less an employee to question the safety practices of an employer without incurring the risk of losing a job or bein \$339-40 (Dec. 8, 2016). subject to reprisal simply for stating a safety concern. This unit also instructs 12285 (March 1, 2017) ("It is the policy of the driver-trainees in procedures for reporting to FMCSA incidents of

receivers, or transportation

The CMVSA provides, among other things, that the Secretary shall prescribed who wish to upgrade to a Class A regulations on minimum standards for CDL. The requirements pertaining to Carrier Act of 1984 (the 1984 Act), bothtesting and ensuring the fitness of an 31305(a)). This rule addresses the fitness of specified individuals (i.e., Class A CDL) operating a CMV.

V. Background

On December 8, 2016, FMCSA published a final rule establishing individuals applying for their CDL for 31136(a), provides concurrent authoritye.g., a Class B CDL holder upgrading tehe following change: Class B CDL regulate drivers, motor carriers, and Class A CDL) are heartful motor to regulate drivers. Committee (ELDTAC). The ELDT final rule, effective on June 5, 2017 with a FMCSA and its predecessor agency, the Communications, Whistleblower/ Federal Highway Administration, to

> The Department has longstanding which they were originally designed, and that they remain cost-effective and MV, are identical, except for minor

processes, the Agency revised the theory training requirements applicable to CMV drivers already holding a Class B BTW (range and public road) instruction, as set forth in the ELDT final rule, remain unchanged for all driver-trainees, including Class B CDL holders upgrading to a Class A CDL.

VI. June 29, 2018, Proposed Rule

The ELDT final rule required the same 1.87 to carry out the functions vested i**l**evel of theory training for individuals U.S.C. Chapters 311, 313, and 315, as those who already hold a Class B CDL FMCSA subsequently concluded that this approach imposed an unnecessary regulatory burden because, due to prior training or experience in operating a CMV, Class B CDL holders do not minimum training standards for certain require the same level of theory training as individuals who have never held a CDL. Accordingly, the Agency proposed issue regulations "on commercial motorendorsement for the first time. The final structional units currently included in vehicle safety," including regulations toule, which set forth ELDT requirement section A.1.5, "Non-Driving Activities," ensure that "commercial motor vehicles BTW and theory (knowledge) of the theory instruction portion of the would not be required to complete eight forth in Appendix A to 49 CFR part 380. Under this proposal, the theory instructional units that would no longer be required for Class B CDL holders upgrading to a Class A CDL are: Handling and Documenting Cargo, compliance date of February 7, 2020), Environmental Compliance Issues, Post-Coercion, Trip Planning, Drugs/Alcohol, directly address medical standards for address the issue of CMV driver training and Medical Requirements. These units would, however, remain required elements of the theory instruction standard curriculum for any individual obtaining a Class A CDL who does not already hold a Class B CDL. These units, that they continue to meet the needs for hich provide instruction in activities that do not involve actually operating a editorial differences in some of the topic

analyses of existing rules to determine whether they remain justified); Exec. Order No. 13563, section 6(b), $76\ FR\ 2831$, (Jan. 21, 2011) (requiring agencies to submit a plan ''under which the agency will periodically review its existing significant burdensome in achieving the regulatory objectives"); Exec. Order No. 12866, section 5, regulatory history of these efforts, see 81 FR 88732Sept. 30, 1993) (requiring each agency to "review its existing significant regulations to determine whether any such regulations should be modified or eliminated so as to make the agency's regulatory program more effective in achieving the regulatory objectives, less burdensome, or in greater alignment 2) ith the President's priorities and the principles set forth in this Executive order").

¹The ELDT rule was initially effective on February 6, 2017. In accordance with the Assistant to the President and Chief of Staff, entitled "Regulatory Freeze Pending Review," the regulations to determine whether any such effective date was temporarily delayed three times egulations should be modified, streamlined, 2017 (82 FR 23516).

² For a more extensive review of the legal and

³See Exec. Order No. 13777, section 1, 82 FR United States to alleviate unnecessary regulatory burdens placed on the American people or ."); Exec. Order No. 13610, 77 FR 28469 (May 14, 20 coercion from motor carriers, shippers, (requiring agencies to conduct retrospective

descriptions, to the above-specified instructional units included in Section Fatigue and Wellness Awareness— B CDL Curriculum as set forth in Appendix B to 49 CFR part 380.

The NPRM explained that drivertrainees affected by the proposal wouldurriculum. fall into one of two categories: those who obtain a Class B CDL after the compliance date of February 7, 2020, and thus are subject to the training requirements set forth in the ELDT fina B.1.1 (Basic Operation), A.1.2 and B.1.20 verall, the Owner-Operator rule, and those who obtain a Class B CDL before the compliance date of the B.1.3 (Advanced Operating Practices), (OOIDA) favored the "elimination of final rule and thus are not subject to those requirementsThe first category, drivers who obtain a Class B CDL by completing ELDT training after Februar perational characteristics of the two 7, 2020, will have already demonstrate dehicle groups. FMCSA therefore proficiency in the eight non-driving theory topics, identified above, include heory instruction upgrade curriculum. Two anonymous individuals opposed in the Section B.1.5 of the Class B training curriculum, the content of which is virtually identical to the content of section A.1.5. The Agency noted that, accordingly, requiring Clas B CDL holders who are upgrading to Class A to be re-trained in those topics that, to the extent that Class B CDL which they have already mastered by successfully completing the Class B unnecessary regulatory burden on those concluded that the proposed addition of Comment: C.R. England suggested individuals.

affected by the NPRM would be drivers ELDT final rule, and invited comments Upgrade Curriculum "due to the who obtained their Class B CDL prior to addressing this issue. The proposal setongoing importance of these two the February 7, 2020, compliance date forth *minimum* theory training of the final rule. These Class B CDL holders would already have experience holders upgrading to a Class A CDL. operating a CMV and would have received some training, including, for example, the ELDT required in § 380.503 and/or finishing training provided by motor carrier employers. Accordingly, FMCSA proposed that these drivers not be required to complete the entire Class A theory instruction curriculum as set forth in the ELDT final rule. Under the NPRM, any Class B holder could thus choose to equirements more stringent than thosearts 382 and 40, as required by complete the Class A CDL theory curriculum (upgrade or standard) best suiting their individual needs.

FMCSA also explained that, unlike the eight Class A theory instructional units not included in the proposed upgrade curriculum, instruction in two further below, none of the comments 'non-driving" theory units—Hours of

Service (HOS) Requirements and B). Consequently, the Agency proposed process but maintain a high level of that those instructional units be retained fety is important to the growth and in the Class A theory upgrade

FMCSA also noted that instruction would vary, depending on the underlying vehicle group, for the theoryequirements and 'red tape' for the (Safe Operating Procedures), A.1.3 and Independent Drivers Association and A.1.4 and B.1.4 (Vehicle Systems these duplicative requirements.' and Reporting Malfunctions)—all of which address, to varying degrees, proposed to retain those topics in the theory curriculum "is not warranted." In the proposed rule, FMCSA emphasized that the Class A BTW rangerovided a substantive explanation for and public road curriculum would remain unchanged for all drivertrainees, including those who hold a Class B CDL. The Agency also stated Tholders already have previous training Planning Units in the Class A CDL are not novice drivers. EMCSA the Control Planning Units in the Class A CDL are not novice drivers. are not novice drivers. FMCSA therefore

The second category of driver-trainees level of safety established in the Class A CDL Theory Instruction requirements applicable to Class B CDL FMCSA Response: The Agency notes Nothing in the NPRM precluded

> for Class B CDL holders to whom they training in drug and alcohol testing. provide Class A theory training. remained free to impose theory training etailed information from their requirements more stringent than thosemployer concerning the drug and proposed in the NPRM, just as they remain free to impose ELDT

set forth in the ELDT final rule.

VII. Discussion of Comments and Responses

The Agency received eight commentand ability to perform safety sensitive in response to the NPRM. As discussed functions and require that each driver warranted a change in the proposed for the proposal, as set forth in the date of the ELDT final rule and obtain the Class B preamble to the NPRM. The American CDL after the compliance date, but before the CLP Trucking Associations (ATA) supported topic included in the Class B Theory an important improvement to the

industry." Citing the difficulty of

recruiting drivers capable of meeting DOT's driver qualification requirements, B.1.5, ''Non-Driving Activities,'' of the would vary to some extent, depending ATA also noted that ''[I]ooking for ways Theory Instruction portion of the Class on the vehicle group (Group A or Groupthat provide flexibility in the hiring continued success of the trucking industry." Similarly, C.R. England, Inc. (C.R. England) appreciated the Agency's effort "to reduce unnecessary topics identified in Sections A.1.1 and benefit of drivers and carriers alike."

> The Commercial Vehicle Training Association (CVTA) opposed the NPRM, stating that the proposed removal of the eight topics from the Class A CDL the proposal, but neither commenter their position.

The remaining two comments were also submitted by individuals, but both were outside the scope of the NPRM.

an optional Class A theory instruction that drugs/alcohol and trip planning topics.

that, as discussed in the NPRM, all Class B CDL holders who choose the Class A training providers from imposing more CDL theory instruction upgrade extensive theory training requirements curriculum will already have received Class B holders who obtain their CDL Additionally, under the proposal, Statesbefore February 7, 2020, must receive alcohol use and testing requirements and prohibitions set forth in 49 CFR § 382.601. These employer-provided materials must also include information concerning the impact of drug and alcohol use on an individual's health

certify in writing that he or she received these materials. Class B holders who regulatory text or in FMCSA's rationale obtain their CDL after February 7, 2020, will have demonstrated their proficiency in the drugs/alcohol theory Curriculum as Unit B1.5.9, in addition to being subject to the requirements of § 382.601.

Similarly, drivers who obtain their Class B CDL after February 7, 2020, will

⁴The latter category would also include drivers who obtain a Class B CLP before the compliance or renewed CLP expires. See 49 CFR 380.603(c)(1)the proposal, describing the NPRM as

⁵The current ELDT requirements, set forth in subpart E of part 380, will be removed and reserved LDT regulations that will help keep and replaced by new subparts F and G on the compliance date of the ELDT final rule. See 81 FR these experienced drivers in the 88732, 88783.

trip planning topic included in the Classunits, may choose the upgrade B Theory Curriculum as Unit B1.5.8. Drivers who obtain their Class B CDL before February 7, 2020, will have gained knowledge and experience as choose the theory instruction CMV operators and thus will be familiarcurriculum that best suits their needs, with the core principles of trip planning.

that inclusion of these non-driving topics in the Class A CDL theory instruction upgrade curriculum is not acknowledging FMCSA's effort to necessary. The Agency notes, howeverreduce redundancies for Class B CDL that Class B holders who want further holders seeking to upgrade to a Class Appgrade curriculum) they choose to training in these topics when upgradincCDL, nevertheless opposed the NPRM. to a Class A CDL may choose the Class First, CVTA argued that because most A theory instruction standard curriculum, which includes units on drugs/alcohol and trip planning.

2. Application of Class A CDL Theory Training Requirements to Drivers Obtaining a Class B CDL Prior to February 7, 2020

Comment: OOIDA, while supporting for a limited number of people." elimination of duplicative Class A CDL Second, CVTA argued that even if theory training requirements for driver MCSA allowed a training provider to who obtain a Class B CDL after Februaryffer the abbreviated upgrade 7, 2020, stated that "commercial driversurriculum, as proposed, "students 2020, should not be exempt from the an assessment, which will contain rulemaking.

FMCSA Response: FMCSA did not propose that drivers who obtain a Classalready have demonstrated proficiencyobtained their Class B CDL before B CDL before February 7, 2020, should in the eight non-driving theory topics" be exempt from Class A CDL theory training requirements. Under the proposal and this final rule, all Class B "the NPRM wrongly presumes all Class seven non-driving units) by selecting CDL holders seeking to upgrade their B holders have some experience license to a Class A CDL must successfully complete Class A theory training, regardless of when they obtained their Class B CDL. The Agencyproviders are free to continue or proposed providing Class B CDL holderslevelop ELDT programs that exceed theassenger vehicles, such as motor with the option to fulfill the Class A CDL theory instruction training requirement by completing either the providers offer the Class A theory standard or the upgrade curriculum. As instruction upgrade curriculum. discussed in the NPRM, drivers who obtain their Class B CDL before February 7, 2020, will already have experience and, in that sense, are not not part of that curriculum. CVTA new to the motor carrier industry. Accordingly, these Class B holders mayrequires that driver-trainees must choose the upgrade curriculum, which demonstrate proficiency in the units than the standard curriculum. Drivers who obtain their Class B CDL

after February 7, 2020, will have completed the Class B theory instruction curriculum set forth in the ELDT final rule, which includes the the Class A upgrade curriculum. Therefore, these Class B holders, who "Appendix A to part 380, Class A CDL" able to complete their Class A theory have already demonstrated proficiencytraining curriculum," as set forth in theinstruction in fewer hours relative to the

curriculum when seeking a Class A CDL. FMCSA reiterates that Class B including the full standard curriculum.

FMCSA therefore continues to believe 3. The Proposed Class A CDL Theory Instruction Upgrade Curriculum

Comment: CVTA, while commercial truck driving schools are likely to offer a Class A curriculum that have demonstrated proficiency in the meets or exceeds the minimum requirements established by the ELDT units referred specifically to drivers final rule, "[i]t is more efficient to subject Class B holders to a full Class AFebruary 7, 2020, and therefore are curriculum rather than dedicating resources" to "create a special program Class B CDL, these drivers would be with a Class B CDL prior to February 7, would still be required to take and passcurriculum. FMCSA emphasizes, training requirements discussed in this questions covering these eight subjects nd as adopted in this final rule, these Lastly, CVTA disputed FMCSA's Class B CDL holders upgrading to a assertion that Class B CDL holders "wilClass A CDL, as well as drivers who not included in the Class A theory upgrade curriculum, concluding that

> handling freight." FMCSA Response: Under the ELDT final rule and this rule, training not propose to require that training

FMCSA also disagrees that students subject to the upgrade curriculum would be required to take and pass an some degree of training, knowledge, and sessment covering subjects that are estimated cost savings of \$182 million correctly noted that the ELDT final rule programs.

score of 80% on a written assessment. cost savings attributable to this rule However, FMCSA does not intend that would not accrue to FMCSA or any A upgrade curriculum be tested on the and the Agency has no authority to eight non-driving units not included in units not included in that curriculum. of ELDT. The cost savings would be The introductory paragraph to

have demonstrated proficiency in the in those eight non-driving instructional ELDT final rule and this rule, notes that training providers must cover all theory subjects set forth in the curriculum. Additionally, in the ELDT final rule, holders upgrading to a Class A CDL may 380.715(a), "Assessments," states that training providers must "determine driver-trainees' proficiency in the knowledge objectives in the theory portion of each unit of instruction in appendices A through E of part 380, as applicable." Accordingly, drivertrainees would be tested only on the instructional units included in the Class A theory curriculum (i.e., standard or

complete. Finally, FMCSA's statement that certain Class B holders will already eight non-driving theory instructional who obtain their Class B CDL after subject to the ELDT final rule. To obtain required to complete the Class B theory instruction curriculum (thereby demonstrating proficiency), which includes the eight non-driving units not included in the Class A upgrade however, that as proposed in the NPRM

February 7, 2020, can receive theory instruction in handling and documenting cargo (as well as the other the Class A standard curriculum rather than the upgrade curriculum. The Agency believes that this approach obviates CVTA's concern that Class B holders who operate commercial Agency's requirements. The Agency dicoaches and school buses, would not necessarily have experience in handling and documenting cargo.

> 4. Estimated Cost Savings Resulting From the NPRM

Comment: OOIDA suggested that the "be reallocated towards other ELDT

FMCSA Response: This rule retains the estimated 10-year cost savings of contains fewer non-driving instructionaknowledge objectives described in the \$182 million on an undiscounted basis, theory curricula by achieving an overallas discussed in the NPRM. However, the driver-trainees who complete the Classother part of the Federal Government, eight non-driving theory instructional "reallocate" the savings to other aspects primarily experienced by driver-trainees

opportunity cost of time for these individuals. Motor carriers employing these drivers would also experience reduced opportunity cost, or cost savings, relative to the baseline of the ELDT final rule. The estimated cost savings resulting from this final rule ar experience in the CMV industry, the discussed further below in Section VIII.A, "E.O. 12866, E.O. 13563, and DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures."

VIII. International Impacts

the FMCSRs, apply only within the United States (and, in some cases, United States territories). Motor carrier upgrade curriculum does not have a and drivers are subject to the laws andrequired minimum number of regulations of the countries in which they operate, unless an international agreement states otherwise. Drivers and urriculum, and driver-trainees must carriers should be aware of the regulatory differences among nations. percent on the written theory

IX. Section-by-Section Analysis

FMCSA made no changes to the proposed regulatory text in response tompacting the benefits of the ELDT final RULE the comments it received.

As proposed, this final rule amends instruction upgrade curriculum applicants" to the last sentence in the each experiencing a reduction of 27 paragraph to account for the fact that hours to complete the theory A CDL theory instruction upgrade curriculum training applicants possess well as a cost savings to the motor valid Class B CDL.

In Appendix A to part 380, Class A FMCSA adds a sentence to the introductory text that states, "Class A CDL applicants who possess a valid of the Theory Instruction Standard Curriculum.'' Additionally, the Agency renames the Class A "Theory Instruction" as "Theory Instruction Standard Curriculum." Finally, the Agency adds a new section, "Theory Instruction Upgrade Curriculum."

X. Regulatory Analyses

Review), E.O. 13563 (Improving Review), E.O. 13563 (Improving the baseline against which the effects of ELDT final rule, requesting data Regulation and Regulatory Review), and he rule are evaluated. The compliance from the 51 SDLAs, including

impacts of the rule and determined it i\$020; therefore, the same analysis not a significant regulatory action undeperiod of 2020 to 2029, used in and Review, as supplemented by E.O. this rule. Furthermore, to ensure that Improving Regulation and Regulatory made between the results of the Review. Accordingly, the Office of

baseline of the ELDT final rule, resultine Management and Budget (OMB) has not baseline represented by the ELDT final in lower tuition costs and reducing the reviewed it under that Order. It is also rule, all monetary values are expressed not significant within the meaning of in 2014 dollars, the same base year used DOT regulatory policies and procedures o express monetary values in the (DOT Order 2100.5 dated May 22, 1980 evaluation of the ELDT final rule. 44 FR 11034 (Feb. 26, 1979)). Many of the key inputs to this

rule establishes a new theory instruction herefore, a copy of the regulatory upgrade curriculum that removes eightevaluation for the ELDT final rule is instructional units involving "Non-Driving Activities" for Class B CDL holders upgrading to a Class A CDL. Theocument in the analysis below. III. International Impacts

rule does not change the BTW training Number of Driver-Trainees Affected by The FMCSRs, and any exceptions to requirements set forth in the ELDT final the Rule rule. Consistent with the ELDT final rule, the Class A theory instruction instruction hours, but the training provider must cover all topics in the assessment. FMCSA estimates that this new curriculum results in cost savings by taking less time to complete, without DRIVER-TRAINEES AFFECTED BY THE

rule. The Agency estimates that an annual § 380.707(a) to add "or Class A theory average of approximately 11,340 driver trainees are affected by the rule, with training providers must verify that Clasinstruction. This results in a substantial cost savings to these driver-trainees, as carriers that ultimately employ these drivers. The rule does not result in any CDL Training Curriculum, as proposed, increase in costs. As presented in Table 3, the Agency estimates that the rule results in a 10-year cost savings of \$18 million on an undiscounted basis, \$155 Class B CDL may complete the Theory million discounted at 3%, \$127 million Instruction Upgrade Curriculum in lieu discounted at 7%, and \$18 million on an annualized basis at a 7% or a 3% discount rate. Most of this annualized by driver-trainees, with the remainder of at ultimately employ these drivers. the annualized cost savings (\$1.04 million) realized by motor carriers.

Scope and Key Inputs to the Analysis

The rule revises regulations

DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures date of the regulations established by FMCSA performed an analysis of the the ELDT final rule remains February 7, section 3(f) of E.O. 12866 (58 FR 51735 evaluating the effects of the ELDT final Motor Vehicle Operators. final rule. Regulatory October 4, 1993), Regulatory Planning rule, is used in evaluating the effects of mpact Analysis. Final Regulatory Flexibility regulatory analysis for this rule and the September 7, 2018).

As discussed earlier, because Class Banalysis are based on the same data CDL holders have previous training or sources as those developed and used in the evaluation of the ELDT final rule. available in the docketand, where applicable, the Agency cites that

The Agency estimates that an annual average of 11,340 driver-trainees are affected by the rule, totaling approximately 113,000 driver-trainees affected over the 10-year analysis period. Annual estimates of the number receive an overall minimum score of 80of driver-trainees affected by the rule are presented below in Table 2.

TABLE 2—ESTIMATED NUMBER OF

l r-	Year	Driver-trainees affected by the rule
	020	11,069 11.129
	021	11,129
	023	11,100
_	024	11,309
	025	11,369
	026	11.430
	027	11,491
2	028	11,553
3	029	11,615
	Total	113,403
_		

The estimated number of driver-trainees affected by the rule is a key input in determining the potential cost savings to cost savings (\$17.10 million) is realizeddriver-trainees and to the motor carriers

To derive the estimates presented above in Table 2, FMCSA first estimated the total annual number of Class B CDL holders upgrading to a Class A CDL. These estimates are based on a June established in the ELDT final rule and, 2015 information collection, performed therefore, the ELDT final rule serves asas part of the regulatory evaluation for

⁶U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA). "Regulatory Evaluation of Minimum Training Requirements for Entry-Level Commercial Analysis. Unfunded Mandates Analysis. 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011), meaningful relative comparisons can be volume and be volume and between the results of the document?D=FMCSA-2007-27748-1291 (accessed

information regarding the number of the portion of these drivers who are not The Class A theory instruction upgrades of Class B CDLs to Class A CDLs issued in 2014 Seventeen SDLAs these drivers are already receiving responded to this data collection, 13 oftraining in the absence of that rule. which provided data regarding the number of upgrades. For these 13 Class B CDLs to Class A CDLs were issued in 2014. Accounting for the difference in the number of licensed drivers across states, FMCSA extrapolated this value to a national total that is representative of all 51 SDLAs. This adjustment results in a national estimate of 67,000 upgrades from Class B CDLs to Class A CDLs issued in 2014. Further details regarding Class A CDLs developed earlier are the June 2015 information collection and the methods used to develop the national estimate of 67,000 upgrades from Class B CDLs to Class A CDLs issued in 2014 can be found in the rule.8

This 2014 baseline value of 67,000 upgrades from Class B CDLs to Class A Estimated Hours To Complete the CDLs was then used to develop projections of the number of Class B CDL to Class A CDL upgrades issued annually for the 2020 to 2029 analysis necessary to complete the theory period. These future projections were developed by increasing the current baseline 2014 value consistent with occupation-specific employment growthhe Class A theory instruction projections for several commercial vehicle-related occupations obtained from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Employment Projections program? FMCSA projected that the annual number of Class B CDL to Class Under both the ELDT final rule and this standards for driver-trainees as A CDL upgrades for the 2020 to 2029 analysis period would range between 69,000 and 73,000. These projections spend on the theory portions of any of curricula standards were reviewed and further details regarding their development can be found in the regulatory evaluation for the ELDT finalin the theory instruction curriculum, rule.10

Finally, the resulting annual projections of the overall number of

affected by the ELDT final rule becausecurriculum set forth in the ELDT final These drivers are not affected by the related to non-driving activities. The rule. In the regulatory evaluation for the heory instruction upgrade curriculum SDLAs, a total of 13,937 upgrades from ELDT final rule. FMCSA estimated that removes eight of these instructional A CDL already receive training in the absence of that rule and therefore are final rule, the Agency did not develop not affected by the ELDT final rule.

trainees are those affected by the ELD units comprising the Class A theory final rule, and therefore, by this rule. The annual projections of the overall adjusted accordingly, using this 16% who are affected by the rule. This results in the estimated number of rule, as presented earlier in Table 2.

Theory Instruction Upgrade Curriculum 16-hour reduction from the 60-hour

The estimated number of hours instruction upgrade curriculum, and thestimate of the number of hours resulting time savings compared to thenecessary to complete the theory curriculum that was set forth in the ELDT final rule, provide key inputs in driver-trainees and to the motor carrier agency examined the theory that ultimately employ these drivers. rule, there is no minimum number of hours that driver-trainees are required priver Institute (PTDI)³These PTDI the training curricula. The training provider must, however, cover all topicthe ELDT final rule. The theory and driver-trainees must receive an overall minimum score of at least 80 percent on the written theory upgrades from Class B CDLs to Class A assessment. The Agency estimated thatinal rule. Furthermore, the PTDI CDLs are then adjusted to account for on average, driver-trainees need 60 hours to complete the Class A theory instruction curriculum set forth in the ELDT final rule,12 which, in this rule, is renamed the "Theory Instruction Agency estimates that Class B CDL holders upgrading to a Class A CDL on individual instructional units in the Accordingly, the Agency estimates the standards for each of the individual rule results in a time savings of 27 hours

> ¹¹DOT FMCSA, "ELDT Final Rule Regulatory Evaluation," pp. 52-62. Evaluation," pp. 70-74.

rule included 30 instructional units, among them 10 instructional units 84% of driver-trainees obtaining a Clasunits related to non-driving activities. In the regulatory evaluation for the ELDT separate estimates of the time necessary The remaining portion (16%) of driver- to complete each of the 30 instructional instruction curriculum. Accordingly, FMCSA cannot make a direct estimate number of upgrades from Class B CDLsof the time savings resulting from the elimination of eight instructional units related to non-driving activities. value to estimate the number of Class Although the number of instructional CDL holders upgrading to a Class A CDUnits is reduced by 27% (with eight out of 30 instructional units removed), the varying subject matter and content of regulatory evaluation for the ELDT final driver-trainees affected annually by the each of the 30 instructional units means that the number of hours required to complete the training is not necessarily reduced by a proportional 27% (i.e., a estimate for the theory instruction standard curriculum discussed above).

> Therefore, in order to develop an estimated time necessary to complete instruction upgrade curriculum and the resulting time savings compared to the estimated time necessary to complete the Class A theory instruction determining the potential cost savings tourriculum in the ELDT final rule, the instructional units of the curricula established by the Professional Truck previously during the development of instructional units of the PTDI curricula standards align closely with the 30 instructional units of the Class A theory instruction curriculum in the ELDT curricula standards specify a minimum number of hours for six major categories into which each of the individual instructional units is assigned. These PTDI estimates help to provide a Standard Curriculum." For this rule, the elative measure of the amount of time necessary to complete each of the average need 33 hours to complete theule. Based on the minimum number of theory instruction upgrade curriculum. training hours required under the PTDI

⁷U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA). "Report by State Driver Licensing Agencies (SDLAs) on the Annual Number of Entry Level Commercial Driver's License (CDL) Applicants and Related Data." OMB Control No: 2126-0059.

⁸ DOT FMCSA, "ELDT Final Rule Regulatory Evaluation," pp. 19-20, 26.

⁹U.S. Department of Labor (DOL), Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). Employment Projections Program. "Table 1.2: Employment by detailed occupation, 2014 and projected 2024." Available afor each Class B CDL holder upgrading "Curricula Standards and Guidelines for Entryoccupation.xlsx (accessed July 29, 2016).

¹⁰DOT FMCSA, "ELDT Final Rule Regulatory Evaluation." Annual projections for 2020 to 2029 for "Upgrade of Class B CDL to Class A CDL" are presented in Table 11 on page 18, and discussed on 12 DOT FMCSA, "ELDT Final Rule Regulatory pp. 27-30.

¹³Professional Truck Driver Institute, Inc. (PTDI). Level Commercial Motor Vehicle Driver Courses." February 15, 2017. Page 16. Available at: http:// www.ptdi.org/resources/Documents/Standards/ CURRICULUM%20STANDARDS%20ENTRY% 20LEVEL%20021517.pdf (accessed October 2, 2017).

theory instructional units, the elimination of the eight instructional units related to non-driving activities reduces the total hours of Class A theorproduction (driver labor) that was instruction by approximately 44.2%. Applying this 44.2% reduction to the the Class A theory instruction curriculum in the ELDT final rule results in a 27-hour reduction in the time needed for Class B CDL holders upgrading to a Class A CDL to complete profit to the firm, and is a function of theory training by taking the theory instruction upgrade curriculum. Accordingly, the Agency estimates thatdriver-trainees, the marginal cost of Class B CDL holders upgrading to a Class A CDL, on average, now only require 33 hours to complete the theorynargin. As discussed in the regulatory the final rule. Under the rule, the TPR instruction upgrade curriculum. Accordingly, the Agency estimates the Agency estimates that the marginal costne same manner as under the ELDT rule results in a time savings of 27 hours operating a CMV is \$68 per hour, and inal rule. In addition, training program for each Class B CDL holder upgrading that the average profit margin for motoenforcement activities, such as

Other Inputs to the Analysis

to a Class A CDL.

The reduction of 27 hours in theory training for each of the driver-trainees increase in costs. In the regulatory affected by the rule results in a changeevaluation for the ELDT final rule, the ELDT final rule. This change in cost is comprised of two components, a reduction in tuition costs incurred by these driver-trainees, and a reduction iaLDT final rule. For this rule, the driver-trainees.

FMCSA evaluated tuition costs using for training providers, SDLAs, or the per hour, based on a review of nearly nine hundred CDL driver training programs as discussed in the regulatorpule. evaluation for the ELDT final rule.

opportunity cost of time for drivertrainees using the driver wage rate to represent the value of driver-trainee time that, in the absence of the rule, wasovider Registry (TPR), costs for spent in training but now is available tolectronically submitting training productive employment. FMCSA uses adriver-trainees who have completed driver wage rate of \$30 per hour, representing the median hourly base wage rate for truck drivers plus fringe Under the rule, training providers still benefits, as discussed in the regulatoryneed to register with the TPR, and for evaluation of the ELDT final rule.

Finally, the reduction of 27 hours in theory training for each of the drivertrainees affected by the rule also reduces ormation electronically to the TPR. the opportunity costs incurred by motoAccordingly, FMCSA does not carriers that ultimately employ these anticipate any change in costs to driver-trainees. The opportunity cost totraining providers resulting from the motor carriers from a regulatory actionrule. represents the value of the best alternative to the firm that must be

forgone by, or is now made available to, Costs to SDLAs resulting from the the firm as a result of that regulatory ELDT final rule included costs for action. On the first of action of the first previously unavailable to carriers in the eceive driver training completion hour reduction in theory training for the estimated 27-hour reduction in theory training for each of the affected Government resulting from the ELDT operating a CMV, and an estimate of a create and manage the TPR and to typical average motor carrier profit evaluation for the ELDT final rule, the must be developed and maintained in

Costs

carriers is 5%.7

The rule does not result in any trainees, relative to the baseline of the driver-trainees and motor carriers incum costs to the Federal government costs, but that training providers, SDLAs, and the Federal government would also incur costs as a result of the reduction in costs incurred by driverin costs relative to the ELDT final rule reduction of 27 hours of training for an average hourly cost of training of \$26ederal government because it does nothe Agency estimates that both driverentities as set forth in the ELDT final

The Agency evaluated changes in the rom the ELDT final rule included costs trainees or motor carriers. The rule for submitting a Training Provider Registration Form (TPRF) for each training location to the Training driver-trainees for other uses, such as certification information to the TPR for period, FMCSA multiplied the being subject to compliance audies. those driver-trainees affected by the rule, training providers still need to transmit training completion

updates to SDLA information technology (IT) systems to be able to absence of the rule is now available to information from CDLIS and store this estimated 60 hours needed to completearriers, for a time equivalent to the 27 information in the driver history record. Under the rule, SDLAs continue to each of the affected driver-trainees. Thæceive and store the same information. value of this time to the motor carrier Therefore, FMCSA does not anticipate is measured by estimating the change amy change in costs to SDLAs resulting from the rule. Finally, costs to the Federal

final rule included costs for FMCSA to enforce the regulations established by compliance audits performed on training providers, remain unchanged under the rule as compared to the ELDT final rule, and FMCSA's review of training provider registration forms also remains unchanged. Accordingly, in the costs incurred by these driver- Agency estimated that not only would FMCSA does not anticipate any change

resulting from the rule. As discussed above, FMCSA estimates trainees and motor carriers affected by the opportunity cost of time for these. Agency does not anticipate any change the rule. Because there is an estimated each driver-trainee affected by the rule, affect the regulatory obligations of thesteainees and motor carriers experience negative costs, that is, a decrease in costs or a cost savings. The rule does not Costs to training providers resulting result in any increase in costs for driverreduces tuition costs, as well as the opportunity cost of time for these driver-trainees, relative to the baseline of the ELDT final rule.

For each year of the 10-year analysis estimated number of driver-trainees training, and costs for preparing for and nnually that are affected by the rule, as presented in Table 2, by the estimated reduction of 27 hours in theory training for each of these driver-trainees. FMCSA then multiplied the resulting total aggregate reduction in theory training hours by \$26 per hour (the estimated average hourly cost of training), yielding an estimate of the overall change in tuition costs experienced by

¹⁴DOT FMCSA, "ELDT Final Rule Regulatory Evaluation," pp. 68-69.

¹⁵DOT FMCSA, "ELDT Final Rule Regulatory Evaluation," pp. 11-14.

¹⁶DOT FMCSA, "ELDT Final Rule Regulatory Evaluation," pp. 76-79.

¹⁷DOT FMCSA, "ELDT Final Rule Regulatory Evaluation," pp. 76-79.

¹⁸DOT FMCSA, "ELDT Final Rule Regulatory Evaluation," pp. 79-81.

¹⁹The tuition costs noted above are derived from observed tuition charged for the CDL training programs identified by FMCSA, and are proxies for tuition costs that might be charged for a curriculum that meets the requirements of the rule. More details can be found in section 3.2.1 of the regulatory evaluation for the ELDT final rule. DOT FMCSA, "ELDT Final Rule Regulatory Evaluation," pp. 68-69.

driver-trainees for each year of the analysis period. Additionally, the Agency multiplied the total aggregate to motor-carriers, described earlier, per hour, yielding an estimate of the motor carrier profit margin, and the experienced by driver-trainees for eachtraining for each of the driver-trainees year of the analysis period. As presented by the rule. For each year of the As presented in Table 3, the Agency in Table 3, the Agency estimates that the year analysis period, the estimated estimates that the rule results in a 10rule results in a 10-year tuition cost savings to driver-trainees of \$80 millionaffected by the rule as presented earlief ndiscounted basis, \$155 million to driver-trainees of \$92 million on an resulting total reduction in theory basis at a 7% discount rate.

The development of the key inputs year opportunity cost savings to motor necessary to estimate the change in costriers of \$10 million on an undiscounted basis, and \$1.04 million reduction in theory training hours by includes the marginal cost of operatingon an annualized basis at a 7% discount the estimated driver wage rate of \$30 a CMV, an estimate of a typical averageate, representing a decrease in opportunity cost, or an opportunity cost change in the opportunity cost of time estimated 27-hour reduction in theory savings to motor carriers.

number of driver-trainees who are on an undiscounted basis. The Agency in Table 2 is multiplied by the estimated iscounted at 3%, \$127 million estimates that the rule also results in areduction of 27 hours in theory training discounted at 7%, and \$18 million on 10-year opportunity cost of time saving for each of these driver-trainees. The an annualized basis at a 7% discount rate, representing a decrease in cost or undiscounted basis. In total, the Agenctraining hours is then multiplied by thea cost savings. Most of this annualized estimates that the rule results in a 10- estimated marginal cost of operating a cost savings (\$17.10 million) is realized year cost savings to driver-trainees of CMV of \$68 per hour, and the estimate by driver-trainees, with the remainder of \$171 million on an undiscounted basis, profit margin of 5% for motor carriers. the annualized cost savings (\$1.04 and \$17.10 million on an annualized As presented in Table 3, the Agency million) realized by motor carriers.

year cost savings of \$182 million on an

TABLE 3—TOTAL COST OF THE RULE [in millions of 2014\$]

estimates that the rule results in a 10-

	Driver-trainees affected by the rule	Undiscounted				Discounted	
Year		Driver-trainee tuition costs	Driver-trainee opportunity costs	Motor carrier opportunity costs	Total costs ^(a)	Discounted at 3%	Discounted at 7%
	[A]	[B] = [A] · [¥ 27 hours] · [\$26 per hour]	[C] = [A] · [¥ 27 hours] · [\$30 per hour]	[D] = [A] [¥ 27 hours] [\$68 per hour] [0.05]	[E] = [B] + [C] + [D]		
2020	11,069 11,129 11,188 11,248 11,309 11,369 11,430 11,491 11,553 11,615	(b) (\$7.8) (7.8) (7.9) (7.9) (7.9) (8.0) (8.1) (8.1) (8.1)	(\$9.0) (9.0) (9.1) (9.1) (9.2) (9.2) (9.3) (9.3) (9.4)	(\$1.0) (1.0) (1.0) (1.0) (1.0) (1.0) (1.0) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1)	(\$17.8) (17.8) (17.9) (18.0) (18.1) (18.2) (18.3) (18.4) (18.5) (18.6)	(\$17.2) (16.8) (16.4) (16.0) (15.6) (15.3) (14.9) (14.5) (14.2) (13.9)	(\$16.6) (15.6) (14.6) (13.8) (12.9) (12.2) (11.4) (10.7) (10.1) (9.5)
Total	113,403	(80)	(92)	(10)	(182)	(155)	(127)
Annualized					(18)	(18)	(18)

a Total cost values may not equal the sum of the components due to rounding (the totals shown in this column are the rounded sum of unrounded components).

Benefits

the benefits of the ELDT final rule as a ELDT final rule 20 Because these two result of this rule. In the regulatory evaluation for the ELDT final rule, the three categories of non-safety benefits in these non-safety benefits from this including savings from reductions in fuel consumption, reductions in GO emissions related to these reductions in LDT final rule addressed the potential change in potential safety benefits fuel consumption, and reductions in vehicle maintenance and repair costs. training. In considering the potential These estimated non-safety benefits were derived from the Speed

instructional units remain in the theoryCMV industry, which serves as an instruction upgrade curriculum, the

The regulatory evaluation for the safety benefits of entry-level driver

instructional units in the Class A theoryimpacts on safety from this rule, the The Agency anticipates no change in instruction curriculum set forth in the Agency notes that Class B holders have previous training or experience in the adequate substitute for the eight non-Agency estimated quantified benefits fogency does not anticipate any changedriving instructional units that are not included in the theory instruction upgrade curriculum. Therefore, the Agency anticipates that there is no associated with this rule.

b Values shown in parentheses are negative values (i.e., less than zero), and represent a decrease in cost or a cost savings.

²⁰DOT FMCSA, "ELDT Final Rule Regulatory Management and Space Management Evaluation," pp. 87-122.

B. E.O. 13771 (Reducing Regulation and 996 (SBREFA) (Pub. L. 104-121, 110 Classification System (NAICS) This Controlling Regulatory Costs)

Executive Order 13771, Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory actions on small entities, analyze Costs, was issued on January 30, 2017 effective alternatives that minimize (82 FR 9339, Feb. 3, 2017). E.O. 13771 $^{\rm small}$ entity impacts, and make their requires that for every one new regulation issued by an Agency, at leasThe term "small entities" means small sector, and the construction sector. two prior regulations be identified for elimination, and that the cost of planned regulations be prudently managed and controlled through a budgeting process. Final implementation guidance addressing the requirements of E.O. 13771 was issued by the Office of Management and nalysis of the impact of all regulations number of employees or revenue for Budget (OMB) on April 5, 2017. The OMB guidance defines what is an E.O. agencies strive to lessen any adverse 13771 regulatory action and what is an effects on these entities. Section 605 of industry largely consists of smaller E.O. 13771 deregulatory action, should account for the costs and cost the rulemaking is not expected to have 1 and 6 power units. If all of the savings of such actions, and outlines various other details regarding implementation of E.O. 13771.

zero, and is therefore an E.O. 13771 deregulatory action? The present value entities because they do not meet the Therefore, FMCSA estimates that this of the cost savings of this rule, measured finition of a small entity in Section on an infinite time horizon at a 7% discount rate, expressed in 2016 dollar frainees are considered neither a small As discussed earlier in the Regulatory and discounted to 2020 (the year the rule goes into effect and cost savings would first be realized), is \$278 million organization under Section 601(4) of the reduction in opportunity cost, or a On an annualized basis, these cost RFA

savings are \$19.5 million.

For the purpose of E.O. 13771 accounting, the April 5, 2017, OMB guidance requires that agencies also calculate the costs and cost savings discounted to year 2016 In present value of the cost savings of this ffected by this rule. In the regulatory rule, measured on an infinite time horizon at a 7% discount rate, expressed MCSA estimated that there were in 2016 dollars, and discounted to 201@pproximately 1.1 million inter- and is \$212 million. On an annualized basis intrastate freight motor carriers, of these cost savings are \$15 million.

C. Regulatory Flexibility Act

(RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601, et seq.), as amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of affected per year, which is

²¹Executive Office of the President. Executive Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs. 82 FR 9339. Feb. 3, 2017. Section 1 (Purpose).

Stat. 857), requires Federal agencies toule could affect many different consider the impact of their regulatory industry sectors; for example, the businesses and not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations under 50,000. Accordingly, DOT policy requires an

on small entities, and mandates that the RFA allows an Agency to certify a a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

This rule affects a subset of driver-This final rule has total costs less that rainees and motor carriers. Drivertrainees are not considered small 601 of the RFA. Specifically, driverbusiness under Section 601(3) of the RFA, nor are they considered a small

> most likely those that hire Class A CDL some of the training requirements drivers. Passenger motor carriers generally rely on CMVs that do not require a Class A CDL to operate (i.e., evaluation for the ELDT final rule, which a subset operate Group A vehicles, and thus are affected by this rule. FMCSA estimates that this rule driver-trainees per year, resulting in fewer than 12,000 motor carriers of inter- and intrastate freight motor considered "small."

The U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) defines the size standards used to classify entities as standards for each industry, as defined ²⁶Executive Office of the President, Office of by the North American Industry

transportation sector (e.g., General freight trucking industry group (4841) and the Specialized freight trucking analyses available for public comment industry group (4842)), the agricultural Industry groups within these sectors have size standards for qualifying as small based on the number of employees (e.g., 500 employees), or on the amount of annual revenue (e.g., \$27.5 million in revenue). FMCSA does not have specific information about the each of the motor carriers. However, FMCSA is aware that the motor carrier firms. Of the 1.1 million freight motor provides procedures for how agencies rule, in lieu of preparing an analysis, if carriers, roughly 1 million have between approximately 1 million freight motor carriers with 6 or fewer power units are considered small based on the applicable size standard, then a maximum of 1.2% (12,0001 million) of small entities are affected by this rule. rule does not impact a substantial number of small entities.

Analyses section, FMCSA estimates the impact to the affected motor carriers as cost savings, relative to the baseline of Motor carriers affected by this rule are ELDT final rule. This rule removes accounted for in the regulatory evaluation for the ELDT final rule, allowing those drivers who are accordance with this requirement, the Group B vehicles), and thus are not be upgrading from a Class B CDL to a Class A CDL to begin working and earning a profit for the motor carrier earlier than under the current training procedures. Therefore, this rule provides affected motor carriers with increased access to labor hours, and consequently profit, resulting in an opportunity cost savings to the motor carrier. FMCSA estimated The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980affects between 11,000 and 12,000 CMs as a function of the number of hours previously spent in training that are now available for labor, an estimate of the profit margin, and the marginal approximately 0.9% of the total number ourly operational costs of the CMV. As discussed earlier in the Regulatory Analyses section, the Agency estimates many of these motor carriers would be that the rule results in a cost savings to all motor carriers of \$1.04 million on an annualized basis at a 7% discount rate. On a per driver basis for those drivers affected by the rule, the cost savings

²² Executive Office of the President. Office of Management and Budget. Memorandum M-17-21. Guidance Implementing Executive Order 13771.

²³ Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget. Memorandum M-17-21. Small. SBA establishes separate Guidance Implementing Executive Order 13771. April 5, 2017. Q4 on page 4.

²⁴Executive Office of the President. Office of Management and Budget. Memorandum M-17-21 Guidance Implementing Executive Order 13771. April 5, 2017. Q25 on page 11.

²⁵Regulatory Flexibility Act, Public Law 96-354, 94 Stat. 1164 (codified at 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq.).

Management and Budget (OMB). "North American Industry Classification System." 2017. Available at: https://www.census.gov/eos/www/naics/ 2017NAICS/2017NAICS_Manual.pdf (accessed December 1, 2017).

realized by the motor carriers is approximately \$92 (27 hours).05 profit margin \$68 marginal operating costs)

The RFA does not define a threshold for determining whether a specific impact. However, the SBA, in guidance the Small Business Administration's to government agencies, provides some Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman the agencies can consider usimone measure that could be used to illustrate Regulatory Fairness Boards. The a significant impact is labor costs, specifically, if the cost of the regulation annually and rates each agency's exceeds 5% of the labor costs of the entities in the sector. The American Transportation Research Institute (ATRI) performed an annual survey of motor carriers and published its findings in the "Analysis of the Operational Costs of Trucking: 2017 Update." ATRI found that driver wages retaliation for exercising these rights. and benefits represent approximately 33% of average marginal costs to a carrier?8 ATRI further estimated that average marginal hourly driver costs, including wages and benefits, were duty time in a 7-day period. This equates to approximately \$84,500 in driver labor costs per year (\$27:050) costs)-well below the 5% threshold identified in the SBA guide. Therefore, equivalent of \$100,000,000 in 1995, this rule does not have a significant impact on the entities affected.

action does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

D. Assistance for Small Entities

In accordance with section 213(a) of F. Paperwork Reduction Act the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, FMCSA wants to assist small entities in (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520) (PRA) requires understanding this rule so that they cangencies to provide estimates of the better evaluate its effects and participate formation-collection (IC) burden of its J. E.O. 12630 (Taking of Private in the rulemaking initiative. If the rule regulations. This rule does not alter the roperty) affects your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction, and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for publication of the ELDT final rule, the compliance, please consult the FMCSA OMB, on April 19, 2017, approved the

listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION **CONTACT** section of this rule.

on the actions of Federal employees who enforce or otherwise determine Ombudsman evaluates these actions responsiveness to small business. If youthe States. The Agency therefore wish to comment on actions by FAIR (1-888-734-3247). The DOT has an or for States, nor would it limit the policy regarding the rights of small entities to regulatory enforcement fairness and an explicit policy against

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538) requires reduce burden. \$27.09 in 2016. FMCSA hours of servic ederal agencies to assess the effects of regulations allow drivers 60 hours of ortheir discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act requires agencies to prepare a comprehensive written statement for any proposed or final rul Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, \$161 million (which is the value adjusted for inflation to 2017 levels) ordisproportionately affect children, to a written statement is not required. in this preamble.

Agency's estimates of the paperwork burden outlined in the final ELDT rule at 81 FR 88788 (Dec. 8, 2016). Since point of contact, Mr. Richard Clemente, Agency's estimate of 66,250 hours for the IC collection titled "Training Certification for Entry-Level Commercial Motor Vehicle Drivers" (2126-0028). The approval expires on

G. E.O. 13132 (Federalism)

A rule has implications for Small businesses may send commentsederalism under Section 1(a) of E.O. 13132 if it has "substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship compliance with Federal regulations to between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government." In assessing the federalism implications of the ELDT final rule, FMCSA stated that, because the CDL program is voluntary, it does not have preemptive effect on concluded that the ELDT final rule employees of FMCSA, call 1-888-REG- would not have substantial direct costs policymaking discretion of States. This final rule does not change that conclusion.

H. E.O. 12988 (Civil Justice Reform)

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize

Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health hours per week 52 weeks). The impact that may result in the expenditure by April 23, 1997), requires agencies of this rule is approximately 0.11% of State, local, and tribal governments, inissuing "economically significant" labor costs (\$92 impact\$84,500 labor the aggregate, or by the private sector less, if the regulation also concerns an environmental health or safety risk that an agency has reason to believe may more in any one year. Because this rulenclude an evaluation of the regulation's Accordingly, I hereby certify that the does not result in such an expenditure, environmental health and safety effects on children. The Agency determined However, the Agency does discuss the this rule is not economically significant. costs and benefits of this rule elsewher herefore, no analysis of the impacts on children is required. In any event, the Agency does not anticipate that this regulatory action could in any respect The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 present an environmental or safety risk that could disproportionately affect children.

FMCSA reviewed this rule in accordance with E.O. 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights, and has determined it does not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications.

K. Privacy

Section 522 of title I of division H of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005, enacted December 8, 2004 (Pub. L. ²⁹U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT). "The 108-447, 118 Stat. 2809, 3268, 5 U.S.C. 552a note), requires the Agency to

²⁷U.S. Small Business Administration, Office of Advocacy. "A Guide for Government Agencies. How to Comply with the Regulatory Flexibility Act." 2017. Available at: https://www.sba.gov/sites.April 30, 2020. default/files/advocacy/How-to-Comply-with-the-RFA-WEB.pdf (accessed on September 7, 2018).

²⁸ American Transportation Research Institute. "An Analysis of the Operational Costs of Trucking: Rights of Small Entities To Enforcement Fairness 2017 Update. Available at: http://atri-online.org/and Policy Against Retaliation." Available at: wp-content/uploads/2017/10/ATRI-Operational-Costs-of-Trucking-2017-10-2017.pdf (Accessed on:docs/SBREFAnotice2.pdf (accessed December 1, September 7, 2018).

https://www.transportation.gov/sites/dot.gov/files/ conduct a Privacy Impact Assessment

³⁰ See 81 FR 88732, 88788 (Dec. 8, 2016).

(PIA) of a regulation that will affect the N. E.O. 13175 (Indian Tribal privacy of individuals. The assessment Governments) considers impacts of the rule on the privacy of information in an identifiable implications under E.O. 13175, form and related matters. The FMCSA Privacy Officer has evaluated the risks Indian Tribal Governments, because it and effects the rulemaking might have does not have a substantial direct effect from the concentration on collecting, storing, and sharing personally identifiable information (PII), on one or more Indian tribes, on the as well as protections and alternative information handling processes to mitigate potential privacy risks. FMCSA distribution of power and determined that, while this rule does require the collection of individual PII, it does not result in a change in collection, process, or the data elements dvancement Act (Technical previously identified in the ELDT final Standards) rule.

rule, which conforms to the DOT standard Privacy Impact Assessment (www.transportation.gov/privacy). It in the ELDT final rule and new or existing information collection systems standards would be inconsistent with processes. The FMCSA Privacy Office alter the privacy impact detailed in the design, or operation; test methods; PIA for the ELDT final rule.

The Agency submitted a Privacy Threshold Assessment (PTA) analyzing standards developed or adopted by the new rulemaking and the specific process for collection of personal information to the Department of Transportation's Privacy Office, As required by the Privacy Act, FMCSA and the Department will be publishing, P. Environment (NEPA) with request for comment, a system of records notice (SORN) addressing the collection of information affected by this rule and the ELDT final rule. This Register not less than 30 days before fision is categorically excluded from Agency is authorized to collect or use PII retrieved by unique identifier.

L. E.O. 12372 (Intergovernmental Review)

The regulations implementing E.O. 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and qualifications for persons who drive

M. E.O. 13211 (Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use)

E.O. 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. The Agency has determined that it is not a "significant energy action" underavailable for inspection or copying in that order because it is not a "significathe regulations gov website listed undedefinitions of BTW range training and regulatory action" likely to have a it does not require a Statement of EnergyAdministrative practice and Effects under E.O. 13211.

This rule does not have tribal relationship between the Federal government and Indian tribes, or on thes revised to read as follows: responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

O. National Technology Transfer and

The National Technology Transfer U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to us instruction upgrade curriculum (PIA), is published on the DOT website voluntary consensus standards in their applicants" to the end of the final regulatory activities unless the agency sentence. addresses business processes identifie@rovides Congress, through OMB, with 3. Amend Appendix A to part 380 by: an explanation of why using these to be implemented in support of those applicable law or otherwise impractical: Theory Instruction" to read "Theory Voluntary consensus standards (e.g., determined that this final rule does not specifications of materials, performance c. Adding a section entitled "Theory sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) are voluntary consensus standards bodies. Appendix A to Part 380—Class A—CDL This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, FMCSA did not consider the use of voluntary consensus Class A CDL applicants must standards.

FMCSA analyzed this final rule for the purpose of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 SORN will be published in the **Federal** U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and determined this alid Class B CDL may complete the further analysis and documentation in in lieu of the Theory Instruction an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement underrequired minimum number of FMCSA Order 5610.1 (69 FR 9680, March 1, 2004), Appendix 2, paragraphthe training instructor must cover all paragraph (6)(z) covers (1) the minimum no required minimum number of activities do not apply to this program commercial motor vehicles as, for, or opublic road) training, but the training behalf of motor carriers; and (2) the minimum duties of motor carriers with in the BTW curriculum. BTW training respect to the qualifications of their FMCSA has analyzed this rule under drivers. The requirements in this rule are covered by this CE and the action instructor must determine and does not have the potential to significantly affect the quality of the ADDRESSES.

procedure, Highway safety, Motor

carriers, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

In consideration of the foregoing, FMCSA amends 49 CFR chapter 3, part 380, to read as follows:

■ 1. The authority citation for part 380

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 31133, 31136, 31305, 31307, 31308, and 31502; sec. 4007(a) and (b) of Pub. L. 102-240 (105 Stat. 2151-2152); sec. 32304 of Pub. L.112-141; and 49 CFR 1.87.

§ 380.707 [Amended]

- 2. In § 380.707, amend paragraph (a) by adding the words "or Class A theory
- a. Revising the introductory text;
- b. Revising the undesignated heading Instruction Standard Curriculum;" and Instruction Upgrade Curriculum" to the end of the appendix.

The revision and addition to read as follows:

training curriculum.

complete the Class A CDL curriculum outlined in this Appendix. The curriculum for Class A applicants pertains to combination vehicles (Group A) as defined in 49 CFR 383.91(a)(1). Class A CDL applicants who possess a Theory Instruction Upgrade Curriculum Standard Curriculum. There is no instruction hours for theory training, but (6)(z). The Categorical Exclusion (CE) intopics set forth in the curriculum. There instruction hours for BTW (range and instructor must cover all topics set forth must be conducted in a CMV for which a Class A CDL is required. The document that each driver-trainee has demonstrated proficiency in all environment. The CE determination is elements of the BTW curriculum, unless otherwise noted. Consistent with the BTW public road training in § 380.605, significant adverse effect on the supply list of Subjects in 49 CFR Part 380 a simulation device cannot be used to distribution, or use of energy. Therefore, conduct such training or to demonstrate proficiency. Training instructors must

document the total number of clock

hours each driver-trainee spends to complete the BTW curriculum. The Class A curriculum must, at a minimum, include the following:

Theory Instruction Upgrade Curriculum

Section BA1. Basic Operation

instruction in the Federal Motor Carrier also be provided on en route vehicle Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) and will be introduced to the basic CMV instruments and controls. Training providers will teach driver-trainees the basic operating characteristics of a CMVehicular control and handling as it This section must also teach drivertrainees how to properly perform vehicle inspections, control the motion addressing basic combination vehicle of CMVs under various road and traffic controls in areas such as executing conditions, employ shifting and backing harp left and right turns, centering the icyclists, and pedestrians. techniques, and properly couple and techniques, and properly couple and vehicle, maneuvering in restricted areas, uncouple combination vehicles. Driver-and entering and exiting the interstate Unit BA1.2.3 Distracted Driving trainees must familiarize themselves with the basic operating characteristics of a CMV.

Unit BA1.1.1 Orientation

This unit must introduce drivertrainees to the combination vehicle driver training curriculum and the components of a combination vehicle. maneuvers. This unit must include The training providers must teach the training driver-trainees to execute up safety fundamentals, essential regulatory requirements (e.g., overview speed dual range transmissions, if of FMCSRs and Hazardous Materials Regulations), and driver-trainees' responsibilities not directly related to CMV driving, such as proper cargo securement. This unit must also cover shifting techniques. the ramifications, including driver disqualification provisions and fines, folinit BA1.1.6 Backing and Docking non-compliance with parts 380, 382, 383, and 390 through 399 of the FMCSRs. This unit must also include ansafely. This unit must cover "Get Out overview of the applicability of State and local laws relating to the safe stations/scales, hazard awareness of vehicle size and weight limitations, low clearance areas (e.g., CMV height restrictions), and bridge formulas.

Unit BA1.1.2 Control Systems/ Dashboard

This unit must introduce drivertrainees to vehicle instruments, controls, and safety components. The applicable. training providers must teach drivertrainees to read gauges and instrumen Section BA1. Safe Operating correctly and the proper use of vehicle Procedures safety components, including safety belts and mirrors. The training providers must teach driver-trainees tocombination vehicle on the highway identify, locate, and explain the function of each of the primary and

secondary controls including those required for steering, accelerating, shifting, braking systems (e.g., ABS, hydraulic, air), as applicable, and parking.

Unit BA1.1.3 Pre- and Post-Trip Inspections

This unit must teach the driverinteraction between driver-trainees and 396.11, including appropriate

Unit BA1.2.2 Communication

Unit BA1.2.2 Communication

Unit BA1.2.2 Communication inspections.

Unit BA1.1.4 Basic Control

This unit must introduce basic applies to combination vehicles. This unit must include instruction or controlled access highway.

Unit BA1.1.5 Shifting/Operating **Transmissions**

This unit must introduce shifting patterns and procedures to drivertrainees to prepare them to safely and competently perform basic shifting appropriate. The training providers must teach the importance of increased MV). vehicle control and improved fuel economy achieved by utilizing proper

back and dock the combination vehicleinclude methods for calibrating safe and Look" (GOAL), evaluation of operation of the CMV, stopping at weigbacking set ups, as well as instruction and CMV weight and length. in how to back with the use of spotters.

Unit BA1.2.5 Space Management

Unit BA1.1.7 Coupling and Uncoupling

driver-trainees to develop the skills necessary to conduct the procedures for safe coupling and uncoupling of combination vehicle units, as

This section must teach the practices instructed in changes in vision, required for safe operation of the under various road, weather, and traffias needed, to deal with the special conditions. The training providers mustproblems night driving presents.

teach driver-trainees the Federal rules governing the proper use of seat belt assemblies (§ 392.16).

Unit BA1.2.1 Visual Search

This unit must teach driver-trainees to visually search the road for potential hazards and critical objects, including instruction on recognizing distracted

This unit must instruct driver-trainees on how to communicate their intentions to other road users. Driver-trainees must be instructed in techniques for different types of communication on the road, including proper use of headlights, turn signals, four-way flashers, and horns. This unit must cover instruction in proper utilization of eye contact techniques with other drivers,

This unit must instruct driver-trainees in FMCSRs related to distracted driving and other key driver distraction driving issues, including improper cell phone use, texting, and use of in-cab technology (e.g., §§ 392.80 and 392.82). This instruction will include training in the following aspects: visual attention (keeping eyes on the road); manual and down shifting techniques on multi-control (keeping hands on the wheel); and cognitive awareness (keeping mind on the task and safe operation of the

Unit BA1.2.4 Speed Management

This unit must teach driver-trainees how to manage speed effectively in response to various road, weather, and This unit must teach driver-trainees that conditions. The instruction must following distances taking into account CMV braking distances under an array backing/loading facilities, knowledge of conditions including traffic, weather,

This unit must teach driver-trainees This unit must provide instruction for about the importance of managing the space surrounding the vehicle under

Unit BA1.2.6 Night Operation

This unit must instruct driver-trainees in the factors affecting the safe operation of CMVs at night and in darkness. Additionally, driver-trainees must be communications, speed space management, and proper use of lights,

Unit BA1.2.7 Extreme Driving Conditions

This unit must teach driver-trainees about the specific problems presented hydroplaning, and rollovers. The by extreme driving conditions. The training provider will emphasize the factors affecting the operation of CMVsproducing or worsening hazardous in cold, hot, and inclement weather and ituations. on steep grades and sharp curves. The Unit BA1.3.3 Railroad-Highway Grade training provider must teach proper tire rossings chaining procedures.

Section BA1.3Advanced Operating recognize potential dangers and the **Practices**

This section must introduce higherlevel skills that can be acquired only knowledge taught in the prior two sections have been mastered. The training providers must teach drivertrainees about the advanced skills procedures needed to handle a CMV when faced with a hazard.

Unit BA1.3.1 Hazard Perception

recognize potential hazards in the driving environment in order to reduce crossing. the severity of the hazard and neutralize

Section BA1.4/ehicle Systems and applicable to different industries. The possible emergency situations. The training providers must teach drivertrainees to identify road conditions and other road users that are a potential threat to the safety of the combination knowledge of the combination vehicle vehicle and suggest appropriate adjustments. The instruction must emphasize hazard recognition, visual search, adequate surveillance, and response to possible emergencyproducing situations encountered by situations. The training providers must Diagnosis (Indiana) CMV drivers in various traffic teach driver-trainees to recognize potential dangers and the safety procedures that must be utilized while identify major combination vehicle driving in construction/work zones.

Unit BA1.3.2 Skid Control/Recovery, Jackknifing, and Other Emergencies

This unit must teach the causes of skidding and jackknifing and techniquesuspension) to ensure their safe for avoiding and recovering from them operation. Driver-trainees must be control and bringing the CMV to a stop efficient operation, and what is needed Issued under authority delegated in 49 CFR in the shortest possible distance while to keep the system in good operating 1.87 on February 14, 2019. operating over a slippery surface. This condition. unit must provide instruction in appropriate responses when faced with Unit BA1.4.2 Roadside Inspections CMV emergencies. This instruction must include evasive steering,

emergency braking, and off-road recovery, as well as the proper responsæuthorized personnel. The training to brake failures, tire blowouts, instruction must include a review of unsafe acts and the role the acts play imcluding the ramifications and

This unit must teach driver-trainees to This unit must introduce driverat railroad (RR)-highway grade crossings. This instruction must include and vehicle components and to help after the more fundamental skills and an overview of various Federal/State RR preventive maintenance and simple grade crossing regulations, RR grade crossing environments, obstructed view conditions, clearance around the tracksSection BA1.5Non-Driving Activities and rail signs and signals. The training necessary to recognize potential hazards oviders must instruct driver-trainees and must teach the driver-trainees the that railroads have personnel available trainees the activities that do not ("Emergency Notification Systems") to involve actually operating the CMV. receive notification of any information relating to an unsafe condition at the RR-highway grade crossing or a disabled The unit must teach driver-trainees to ehicle or other obstruction blocking a railroad track at the RR-highway grade understand that there are different

Reporting Malfunctions

driver-trainees with sufficient and its systems and subsystems to ensure that they understand and resperatory. The training providers their role in vehicle inspection, operation, and maintenance and the impact of those factors upon highway safety and operational efficiency.

Diagnosis of Malfunctions

This unit must teach driver-trainees tonit BA1.5.2 Fatigue and Wellness systems. The goal is to explain their function and how to check all key vehicle systems, (e.g., engine, engine about the issues and consequences of train, coupling systems, and importance of maintaining directional each system, its importance to safe and safely operate a CMV.

on what to expect during a standard

roadside inspection conducted by providers must teach driver-trainees on what vehicle and driver violations are classified as out-of-service (OOS), penalties for operating a CMV when subject to an OOS order as defined in section 390.5.

Unit BA1.4.3 Maintenance

appropriate safety procedures to utilize trainees to the basic servicing and checking procedures for various engine

This section must teach driver-

Unit BA1.5.1 Hours of Service

This unit must teach driver-trainees to hours-of-service (HOS) requirements training providers must teach drivertrainees all applicable HOS regulatory This section must provide entry-level requirements. The training providers must teach driver-trainees to complete a Driver's Daily Log (electronic and paper), timesheet, and logbook recap, as must teach driver-trainees the consequences (safety, legal, and personal) of violating the HOS regulations, including the fines and penalties imposed for these types of violations.

Awareness

This unit must teach driver-trainees exhaust auxiliary systems, brakes, drivehronic and acute driver fatigue and the importance of staying alert. The training providers must teach driver-trainees wellness and basic health maintenance The training providers must teach the provided with a detailed description of information that affect a driver's ability

Raymond P. Martinez,

Administrator.

This unit must instruct driver-traineesFR Doc. 2019-04044 Filed 3-5-19; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P