

on-the-ground as of the close of the comment period (see **DATES**, above).

#### Request for Comments

Section 4 of the 2006 CBRA requires the Secretary to provide an opportunity for the submission of public comments. We invite the public to review and comment on the proposed CBRS boundaries for CBRS Units P32/P32P, M06/M06P, M11, M12/M12P, and SC-09P. The Service is specifically notifying the following stakeholders concerning the availability of the proposed boundaries: The Chair and Ranking Member of the House of Representatives Committee on Natural Resources; the Chair and Ranking Member of the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works; the members of the Senate and House of Representatives for the affected areas; the Governors of Florida and South Carolina; organizations that own (or manage) land held for conservation and/or recreation within the existing and proposed units (where such ownership information and mailing addresses were publicly available); other appropriate Federal, State, and local officials; and appropriate nongovernmental organizations.

The Service is generally not notifying individual private property owners concerning the availability of the proposed boundaries (except for individuals who have specifically contacted us in the past concerning a technical correction request). However, the Service encourages local officials to distribute the “Dear Interested Party” notification letter included in the stakeholder outreach toolkit, described below under Availability of Proposed Coastal Barrier Resources System Boundaries and Related Information, to affected property owners in their communities.

Interested parties may submit written comments and accompanying data as described in **ADDRESSES**, above. Comments regarding specific CBRS unit(s) should reference the appropriate unit number(s) and unit name(s). We must receive comments on or before the date listed above in **DATES**.

Following the close of the comment period, we will review all comments we receive on the proposed boundaries and make adjustments to the boundaries, as appropriate, based on information received through public comments, updated aerial imagery, CBRA criteria, and objective mapping protocols. We will then prepare final recommended maps to be submitted to Congress. The final recommended maps will become effective only if they are adopted by Congress through legislation.

#### Availability of Proposed Coastal Barrier Resources System Boundaries and Related Information

The CBRS Projects Mapper (an online interface for the public to view the proposed boundaries and obtain information about the proposed changes) and unit summaries (containing historical changes and proposed changes to the individual units) can be accessed from the Service’s website at <https://www.fws.gov/cbra>. A shapefile of the proposed CBRS boundaries, which can be used with GIS software, is also available for download. The shapefile is best viewed using the base imagery to which the boundaries were drawn; the base imagery sources and dates are included in the metadata for the shapefile. The Service is not responsible for any misuse or misinterpretation of the shapefile. You may submit a public comment using one of the methods listed above in **ADDRESSES**.

Additionally, a stakeholder outreach toolkit (comprising unit summaries, a shapefile of the draft revised boundaries, and a “Dear Interested Party” notification letter) will be made available to local officials upon request. Local officials may use this toolkit to increase awareness of the project within their communities. Local officials may contact the individual identified in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**, above, for more information regarding the toolkit. We recommend that any local community officials who want to use the outreach toolkit request it as soon as possible to allow outreach activities to occur in time for the public to submit comments before the comment period closes (see **DATES**).

Interested parties who are unable to access the proposed boundaries or other information online may contact the individual identified in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**, above, and reasonable accommodations will be made.

#### Gary Frazer,

*Assistant Director for Ecological Services,  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.*

[FR Doc. 2020–29043 Filed 12–31–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4333–15–P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

##### Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS–HQ–NWRS–2020–N155;  
FXRS1263090000/FF09R81000; OMB  
Control Number 1018–New]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Concessions

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), are proposing a new information collection in use without an OMB Control Number.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before February 3, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function. Please provide a copy of your comments to the Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS: PRB/PERMA (JAO/3W), 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041–3803 (mail); or by email to [Info\\_Coll@fws.gov](mailto:Info_Coll@fws.gov). Please reference OMB Control Number 1018—Concessions in the subject line of your comments.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Madonna L. Baucum, Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, by email at [Info\\_Coll@fws.gov](mailto:Info_Coll@fws.gov), or by telephone at (703) 358–2503. Individuals who are hearing or speech impaired may call the Federal Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339 for TTY assistance. You may also view the information collection request (ICR) at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), we provide the general public and other Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps us assess the impact of our information collection

requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand our information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format.

On June 1, 2020, we published in the **Federal Register** (85 FR 33193) a notice of our intent to request that OMB approve this information collection. In that notice, we solicited comments for 60 days, ending on July 31, 2020. We received one comment in response to that notice, but it did not address the information collection requirements. No response to that comment is required.

As part of our continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burdens, we are again soliciting comments from the public and other Federal agencies on the proposed ICR that is described below. We are especially interested in public comment addressing the following:

- (1) Whether or not the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;
- (2) The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) How might the agency minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of response.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Abstract:** The Secretary of the Interior is authorized to ensure that we provide opportunities within the Service for compatible wildlife-dependent recreational uses across the National Wildlife Refuge System (System). Furthermore, the Secretary is authorized to award concessions contracts under the following Acts:

- The National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966 (Administration Act, 16 U.S.C. 668dd–668ee), as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to negotiate and award contracts and issue regulations to carry out the Act.

- The Refuge Recreation Act of 1962 (16 U.S.C. 460k–460k–3) allows the use of refuges for public recreation when such use is not inconsistent with or does not interfere with the primary purpose(s) of the refuge.

- The Refuge Revenue Sharing Act (16 U.S.C. 715s) authorizes the Secretary to grant privileges and collect revenues from leases for public accommodations or facilities established for the System.

Specifically, the Administration Act provides that, with respect to the Refuge System, it is the policy of the United States that—

- a. Each refuge shall be managed to fulfill the mission of the System, as well as the specific purposes for which that refuge was established;
- b. Compatible wildlife-dependent recreation is a legitimate and appropriate general public use of the System, directly related to the mission of the System and the purposes of many refuges, and which generally fosters refuge management and through which the American public can develop an appreciation for fish and wildlife;
- c. Compatible wildlife-dependent recreational uses are the priority general public uses of the System and shall receive priority consideration in refuge planning and management; and
- d. When the Secretary determines that a proposed wildlife-dependent recreational use is a compatible use within a refuge, that activity should be facilitated, subject to such restrictions or regulations as may be necessary, reasonable, and appropriate.

The Administration Act also provides that, in administering the Refuge System, the Secretary shall—

- a. Recognize compatible wildlife-dependent recreational uses as the priority general public uses of the System, through which the American public can develop an appreciation for fish and wildlife;
- b. Ensure that opportunities are provided within the System for compatible wildlife-dependent recreational uses;
- c. Ensure that priority general public uses of the System receive enhanced consideration over other general public uses in planning and management within the System; and
- d. Provide increased opportunities for families to experience compatible

wildlife-dependent recreation, particularly opportunities for parents and their children to safely engage in traditional outdoor activities, such as fishing and hunting.

Private businesses and non-profit organization under contract to the Service provide recreational, educational, and interpretive enjoyment of our lands and waters by managing lodging, food, transportation, and supplies and equipment for the enjoyment of the visiting public. These services gross approximately \$3,000,000 every year and provide jobs for more than 100 people annually.

The regulations at 50 CFR subpart F (§ 25.61) primarily implement the authorities governing public use facilities operated by concessionaires or cooperators under appropriate contact or legal agreement on national wildlife refuges where there is a demonstrated justified need for services or facilities, including but not limited to boat rentals, swimming facilities, conducted tours of special natural attractions, shelters, tables, trailer lots, food, lodging, and related service.

Service Manual chapters 630 FW 6–8 discuss the Service's current policy for concession management and provide guidance for permitting and administering concession operations on Service lands. We use concession contracts to assist us in providing wildlife-dependent recreation activities to the visiting public by using contracts between the Service and a private entity, where the private entity is allowed to charge a fee for services provided at a field station to the visiting public.

We collect information in a narrative (non-form) format. Details concerning the specific information required are contained in 50 CFR 25.61 and the recently updated Service Manual chapters available to the public on the Service's website at <https://www.fws.gov/policy/manuals/part.cfm?series=600&seriestitle=LAND%20USE%20AND%20MANAGEMENT%20SERIES>. The amount of information or degree of detail requested varies widely, depending upon the size and scope of the business opportunity. For example, a much greater amount of detailed information would be required for a multi-unit camping and food service operation than would be required for a small bait sales operation. We use the information provided by prospective concessionaires to objectively evaluate offers received for a particular business opportunity, assure adequate protection of refuge resources, and to determine

which offeror will provide the best service to visitors.

Below are examples of types of information the Service collects from a potential or current concessionaire.

**General Concessionaire Information**

- Description of how the respondent will conduct operations to minimize disturbance to wildlife; protect refuge resources; and provide visitors with a high-quality, safe, and enjoyable visitor experience.

- Proposal to protect, conserve, and preserve resources of the refuge. The proposal must respond to specific resource management objectives and issues at the refuge and regarding the contract in question.

- Proposal to provide necessary and appropriate visitor services at reasonable rates. This proposal must respond to specific visitor service questions at the refuge and regarding the contract in question.

- Experience and related background of the offeror, including past performance and expertise of the offeror in providing the same or similar visitor services as those to be provided under the draft concession contract.

- Financial capability of the offeror to carry out its proposal. In particular, we require projected financials, including initial investments, startup expenses, income statement, operating assumptions, cash flow statement, recapture of investments, and all associated assumptions.

- The amount of the proposed minimum franchise fee and other forms of financial consideration.

**Proposal for Concession Opportunity**

- Offeror’s transmittal letter, including the name and contact information of the entity offering a proposal to operate a concession contract.

- Business type of the offeror, such as corporation, limited liability company, partnership, etc.

- Business history information, including adverse history that could impact future operations under a concession contract.

- Credit report, so that we can understand the offeror’s credit history and any risks of contracting with the entity.

- Proposed staffing/management operation information, including organization charts and delegations of authority, to ensure adequate staffing.

- Proof of indemnification, including public liability insurance that co-names the Government as co-insured.

**Reporting Requirements**

- Annual financial reports providing concessioner financial information, as required by each concession contract.

- Quarterly and annual progress reports to monitor performance.

- Inspections and inspection reports conducted in concert with the on-site concession manager.

**Approval To Sell or Transfer Concession Operation**

- Information to assess the transferee’s ability to manage the business successfully and fulfill the terms of the concession contract, in order for the Regional Director to grant approval.

**Recordkeeping Requirements**

- In accordance with Service Manual chapter 630 FW 8.3, a concessioner (and any subconcessioner) must keep and make available to the Service records for the term of the concession contract.

*Title of Collection:* U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Concessions.

*OMB Control Number:* 1018–New.

*Form Number:* None.

*Type of Review:* Existing collection in use without an OMB control number.

*Respondents/Affected Public:* Businesses and nonprofit organizations.

*Respondent’s Obligation:* Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

*Frequency of Collection:* On occasion for proposals, amendments, and appeals; annually for financial reports; quarterly for progress reports; and ongoing for recordkeeping.

*Total Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost:* \$69,900 (associated with administrative overhead, as well as costs associated with the development of proposals in response to concessions opportunities).

Activity	Total annual responses	Completion time per response (hours)	Total annual burden hours *
General Concessionaire Information:			
Inspection form .....	80	3	240
Proposal for Concessions Opportunities:			
Large Concessions .....	6	40	240
Small Concessions .....	3	16	48
Reporting Requirements:			
Annual Financial Report .....	10	16	160
Quarterly Progress Report .....	12	4	48
Annual Progress Report .....	10	16	160
Approval to Sell/Transfer A Concession Operation .....	1	8	8
Recordkeeping Requirements:			
Large Concessions .....	5	40	200
Small Concessions .....	5	20	100
<b>Totals .....</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>1,204</b>

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Dated: December 29, 2020.

**Madonna Baucum,**

*Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.*

[FR Doc. 2020-29074 Filed 12-31-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4333-15-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-468 and 731-TA-1166-1167 (Second Review)]

### Magnesia Carbon Bricks From China and Mexico; Institution of Five-Year Reviews

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), as amended, to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks from China and the antidumping duty orders on certain magnesia carbon bricks from China and Mexico would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission.

**DATES:** Instituted January 4, 2021. To be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is February 3, 2021. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by March 18, 2021.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the

Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background.**—On September 20, 2010, the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) issued antidumping duty orders on imports of certain magnesia carbon bricks from China and Mexico (75 FR 57257). On September 21, 2010, Commerce issued a countervailing duty order on imports of certain magnesia carbon bricks from China (75 FR 57442). Following the first five-year reviews by Commerce and the Commission, effective February 12, 2016, Commerce issued a continuation of the countervailing duty order on imports of certain magnesia carbon bricks from China and the antidumping duty orders on imports of certain magnesia carbon bricks from China and Mexico (81 FR 7502). The Commission is now conducting second reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), to determine whether revocation of the orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. Provisions concerning the conduct of this proceeding may be found in the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure at 19 CFR part 201, subparts A and B, and 19 CFR part 207, subparts A and F. The Commission will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct full or expedited reviews. The Commission’s determinations in any expedited reviews will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

**Definitions.**—The following definitions apply to these reviews:

(1) *Subject Merchandise* is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year reviews, as defined by Commerce.

(2) The *Subject Countries* in these reviews are China and Mexico.

(3) The *Domestic Like Product* is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the *Subject Merchandise*. In its original determinations and its expedited first five-year review determinations, the Commission defined a single *Domestic Like Product* consisting of magnesia carbon bricks that are within Commerce’s scope.

(4) The *Domestic Industry* is the U.S. producers as a whole of the *Domestic Like Product*, or those producers whose collective output of the *Domestic Like*

*Product* constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product. In its original determinations, the Commission defined the *Domestic Industry* as all producers of the *Domestic Like Product*, certain magnesia carbon bricks.

(5) An *Importer* is any person or firm engaged, either directly or through a parent company or subsidiary, in importing the *Subject Merchandise* into the United States from a foreign manufacturer or through its selling agent.

**Participation in the proceeding and public service list.**—Persons, including industrial users of the *Subject Merchandise* and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in the proceeding as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in § 201.11(b)(4) of the Commission’s rules, no later than 21 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The Secretary will maintain a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the proceeding.

Former Commission employees who are seeking to appear in Commission five-year reviews are advised that they may appear in a review even if they participated personally and substantially in the corresponding underlying original investigation or an earlier review of the same underlying investigation. The Commission’s designated agency ethics official has advised that a five-year review is not the same particular matter as the underlying original investigation, and a five-year review is not the same particular matter as an earlier review of the same underlying investigation for purposes of 18 U.S.C. 207, the post-employment statute for Federal employees, and Commission rule 201.15(b) (19 CFR 201.15(b)), 79 FR 3246 (Jan. 17, 2014), 73 FR 24609 (May 5, 2008). Consequently, former employees are not required to seek Commission approval to appear in a review under Commission rule 19 CFR 201.15, even if the corresponding underlying original investigation or an earlier review of the same underlying investigation was pending when they were Commission employees. For further ethics advice on this matter, contact Charles Smith, Office of the General Counsel, at 202-205-3408.

**Limited disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI) under an administrative protective order (APO) and APO service list.**—Pursuant to § 207.7(a) of the Commission’s rules, the