

# Survey Script

(Actual survey will be conducted using web supported interface.)

OMB Control Number 2010-0042

Approval Expiration Date: 3/31/21

## **Request for Approval under the “Generic Clearance for the Collection of Routine Customer Feedback” (OMB Control Number: 2010-0042)**

---

### **TITLE OF INFORMATION COLLECTION:**

Viburnum Trend Lead Haul Roads, Operable Unit 2 – St. Joe Minerals Corp, City of Viburnum Superfund Site Community Survey

This collection of information is approved by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. (OMB Control No. 2010-0042). Responses to this collection of information are voluntary. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to be 5 to 10 minutes per response. Send comments on the Agency’s need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden to the Regulatory Support Division Director, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2821T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Include the OMB control number in any correspondence. Do not send the completed form to this address.

1. Would you be interested in attending a public meeting held by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)? The public meeting would provide a forum to better understand the EPA’s involvement at the Viburnum Trend Lead Haul Roads, Operable Unit 02 site and to express any concerns you may have.
  - a. Yes
  - b. No
  
2. What is your understanding of the operations, history, and environmental issues at the Viburnum Trend Lead Haul Roads, Operable Unit 2 site?  
**Free text response box**
  
3. What is your opinion of the government’s commitment to cleaning up lead-contaminated properties at the site?  
**Free text response box**
  
4. In your opinion, what do people in this community think about the presence of federal regulatory agencies in the area?  
**Free text response box**
  
5. How effective has EPA’s communication regarding the site been so far?  
**Free text response box**
  
6. Has the information provided thus far been clear and easy to understand?
  - a. Yes
  - b. No

## **Survey Script**

**(Actual survey will be conducted using web supported interface.)**

7. What is your preferred method of communication for EPA to keep you informed of site updates? (multiple answer)
  - a. Mail
  - b. Email
  - c. Telephone
  - d. Website
  - e. Other (with provided response box)
  
8. Is there anything else you would like to share about the site?  
**Free text response box**