

SUPPORTING STATEMENT
FOR PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

- 1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. What is the purpose for this information collection? Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Include a citation that authorizes the collection of information. Specify the review type of the collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change). If revised, briefly specify the changes. If a rulemaking is involved, list the sections with a brief description of the information collection requirement, and/or changes to sections, if applicable.**

A1. Regulations for the Migrant Information Exchange (MSIX), effective on June 9, 2016, were issued by the U.S. Department (the Department). The MSIX, a nationwide, electronic records exchange mechanism mandated under Title I, Part C of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended. As a condition of receiving a grant of funds under the Migrant Education Program (MEP), each State educational agency (SEA) is required to collect, maintain, and submit minimum health and education-related data to MSIX within established timeframes. MSIX is designed to facilitate timely school enrollment, grade and course placement, accrual of secondary course credits and participation in the MEP for migratory children. Additionally, the regulations help the Department to determine accurate migratory child counts and meet other MEP reporting requirements. The MEP is authorized under sections 1301-1309 in Title I, Part C of the ESEA, as amended. MSIX and the minimum data elements (MDEs) are authorized specifically under section 1308(b) of the ESEA, as amended

The Department is requesting approval to extend the 1810-0686 information collection that supports statutory requirements for data collection under Title I, Part C MEP. The purpose of the MSIX User Application Form is to collect user directory data to verify the identity of users in order to grant access to the MSIX system for the purpose of transferring migrant student data. The application collects information on an MSIX user's identity, title/position, work address, work telephone, email, and role.

- 2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.**

A2. The information that is collected on the MSIX User Application Form is entered into MSIX by the User Administrator to be maintained in MSIX. The information is used to verify the requester's identity, intended use and the appropriate level of access to MSIX information. This information is used in compliance with Federal Information Security Modernization Act of 2014 (FISMA) requirements for identification, authentication and authorization of every user of a federal information management system. The users' contact information is used by the MSIX technical support team to communicate system updates, send system generated notifications, conduct customer support calls, and to conduct customer satisfaction surveys.

3. **Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision of adopting this means of collection. Please identify systems or websites used to electronically collect this information. Also describe any consideration given to using technology to reduce burden. If there is an increase or decrease in burden related to using technology (e.g. using an electronic form, system or website from paper), please explain in number 12.**

A3. The State User Administrator can download the form provided in the MSIX User Access Guide and Application from the MSIX system at <https://msix.ed.gov/msix/#/requestAccount>.<https://msix.ed.gov/msix/#/requestAccount>. In order to reduce burden, participating MEP States may choose to consolidate access request forms with State operated migrant specific database system, but must use all parts of the MSIX User Application. Information collected on the form is entered into MSIX by the User Administrators. Automated or other technological collection techniques are under consideration, pending funding availability.

4. **Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.**

A4. The MSIX information collection will not duplicate (or otherwise include) the burden of other information collections. The users of MSIX are a uniquely State-identified set of users for each State. There is no other collection of the names and work addresses for individuals that have been identified as needing access to MSIX for the purposes of enrollment, placement, accrual of credits and participation in the MEP for migratory children in each State.

5. **If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden. A small entity may be (1) a small business which is deemed to be one that is independently owned and operated and that is not dominant in its field of operation; (2) a small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field; or (3) a small government jurisdiction, which is a government of a city, county, town, township, school district, or special district with a population of less than 50,000.**

A5. Small business and/or small entities are not impacted by this data collection.

6. **Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.**

A6 . Consequences are as follows:

- (1) MSIX, a major information technology (IT) investment for the Office of Elementary and Secondary Education (OESE) at the Department, would be out of compliance with the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Special Publication (SP) 800-53, revision 5, Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations;
- (2) There would be no record of authorized users of the MSIX system;
- (3) There is no way to be assured that only approved personnel in the States are using the MSIX system;
- (4) There would be no way to assure all authorized personnel have been granted the correct level of access;
- (5) There would be an inability to effectively disable/deactivate MSIX accounts due to attrition, job role changes, or lack of activity.

7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:

- **requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;**
- **requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;**
- **requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;**
- **requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;**
- **in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results than can be generalized to the universe of study;**
- **requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;**
- **that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or that unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or**
- **requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.**

A7. There are no special circumstances.

- 8. As applicable, state that the Department has published the 60 and 30 Federal Register notices as required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB.**

Include a citation for the 60 day comment period (e.g. Vol. 84 FR ##### and the date of publication). Summarize public comments received in response to the 60 day notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden. If only non-substantive comments are provided, please provide a statement to that effect and that it did not relate or warrant any changes to this information collection request. In your comments, please also indicate the number of public comments received.

For the 30 day notice, indicate that a notice will be published.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instruction and record keeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years – even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

A8. The State User Group for Analysis and Recommendation (SUGAR) is a group consisting of nine (9) individuals, chosen by their State, who represent the voices of the MSIX users across the nation in order to maintain and improve MSIX as a mechanism that facilitates the exchange of education and health information for migratory children. The representatives were consulted regarding MSIX Accounts Management during the quarterly SUGAR meeting on November 4, 2020, and will be consulted regarding the MSIX User Application Form during the quarterly SUGAR meeting on January 14, 2021. Additionally, MSIX users provided feedback to OME regarding the MSIX account management process during MSIX account management webinars on February 20, 2018, February 6, 2019 and February 12, 2020.

On January 8, 2021, a Federal Register Notice requesting public comment was published (Vol. 86, No. 5, page 1486). Two comments were received during the 60-day comment period. One was off-topic and the other suggested some formatting issues to make the document more user friendly and to prevent errors from users filling it out. The Department appreciates the suggestions to improve the efficiency of the MSIX User Application and will move forward with some of the changes that were suggested. The Department is publishing the applicable 30-day Federal Register notice.

Comments will be used to finalize the data collection. OME is especially interested in seeking comment from the field on job title classifications to be included on the MSIX User Application Form; specifically whether the following job titles sufficiently cover MEP-funded and non MEP-funded individuals who access MSIX and are involved with enrollment, placement, credit accrual and/or the identification and recruitment processes for migratory children: MEP Recruiter, School Registrar, Student Liaison/Advocate, Teacher and School Guidance Counselor. If not, what other job classifications should be listed in order to adequately account for all such individuals working in these areas with migratory children and/or their parents.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees with meaningful justification.

A9. No gifts or payments will be provided to respondents.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy. If personally identifiable information (PII) is being collected, a Privacy Act statement should be included on the instrument. Please provide a citation for the Systems of Record Notice and the date a Privacy Impact Assessment was completed as indicated on the IC Data Form. A confidentiality statement with a legal citation that authorizes the pledge of confidentiality should be provided.¹ If the collection is subject to the Privacy Act, the Privacy Act statement is deemed sufficient with respect to confidentiality. If there is no expectation of confidentiality, simply state that the Department makes no pledge about the confidentiality of the data. If no PII will be collected, state that no assurance of confidentiality is provided to respondents. If the Paperwork Burden Statement is not included physically on a form, you may include it here. Please ensure that your response per respondent matches the estimate provided in number 12.

A10. Data collected on the MSIX User Application Form defined as “directory information” and is public information. Directory information is defined as a user’s name, work address, work telephone, email address, role and access level, which is used only to grant that person access to MSIX. All persons who access MSIX must read and affirm acceptance of the MSIX Rules of Behavior, which is a detailed description of the safeguards that each system user must comply with in order to protect the privacy and security of the information.

¹ Requests for this information are in accordance with the following ED and OMB policies: Privacy Act of 1974, OMB Circular A-108 – Privacy Act Implementation – Guidelines and Responsibilities, OMB Circular A-130 Appendix I – Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals, OMB M-03-22 – OMB Guidance for Implementing the Privacy Provisions of the E-Government Act of 2002, OMB M-06-15 – Safeguarding Personally Identifiable Information, OM:6-104 – Privacy Act of 1974 (Collection, Use and Protection of Personally Identifiable Information)

The privacy notice included on the application form states that without the respondent's consent, the Department may make these disclosures on a case-by-case basis or, if the Department has complied with the computer matching requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended (Privacy Act), under a computer matching agreement. Routine uses of records maintained in the MSIX system include:

(1) *MEP Services, School Enrollment, Grade or Course Placement, Accrual of High School Credits, Student Record Match Resolution, and Data Correction Disclosure.* The Department may disclose a record in this system of records to authorized representatives of SEAs, LEAs, or other MEP LOAs to facilitate one or more of the following for a student: (a) Participation in the MEP, (b) enrollment in school, (c) grade or course placement, (d) credit accrual, (e) unique student match resolution, and (f) data correction by parents, guardians, and migratory children.

(2) *Contract Disclosure.* If the Department contracts with an entity for the purposes of performing any function that requires disclosure of records in this system to employees of the contractor, the Department may disclose the records to those employees who have received the appropriate level security clearance from the Department. As part of such a contract, the Department will require the contractor to agree to establish and maintain safeguards to protect the security and confidentiality of the disclosed records.

(3) *Research Disclosure.* The Department may disclose records from this system to a researcher if an appropriate official of the Department determines that the individual or organization to which the disclosure would be made is qualified to carry out specific research related to functions or purposes of this system of records. The official may disclose information from this system of records to that researcher solely for the purpose of carrying out that research related to the functions or purposes of this system of records. The researcher will be required to agree to establish and maintain safeguards to protect the security and confidentiality of the disclosed records.

(4) *Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) or Privacy Act Advice Disclosure.* The Department may disclose records to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) or the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) if the Department concludes that disclosure is desirable or necessary to determine whether particular records are required to be disclosed under the FOIA or the Privacy Act.

(5) *Disclosure in the Course of Responding to a Breach of Data.* The Department may disclose records from this system to appropriate agencies, entities, and persons when (a) the Department suspects or has confirmed that there has been a breach of the system of records; (b) the Department has determined that as a result of the suspected or confirmed breach, there is a risk of harm to individuals, the Department (including its information systems, programs, and operations), the Federal Government, or national security; and, (c) the disclosure made to such agencies, entities, and persons is reasonably necessary to assist in connection with the Department's efforts in responding to respond to the suspected or confirmed breach or to prevent, minimize, or remedy such harm.

(6) *Litigation or Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Disclosure.*

(a) *Introduction.* In the event that one of the following parties is involved in litigation or ADR, or has an interest in litigation or ADR, the Department may disclose certain records to the parties described in paragraphs b, c, and d of this routine use under the conditions specified in those paragraphs:

(i) The Department or any of its components.

- (ii) Any Department employee in his or her official capacity.
- (iii) Any employee of the Department in his or her individual capacity where DOJ has agreed to or has been requested to provide or arrange for representation of the employee.
- (iv) Any employee of the Department in his or her individual capacity where the Department has agreed to represent the employee.
- (v) The United States where the Department determines that the litigation is likely to affect the Department or any of its components.
- (b) *Disclosure to DOJ.* If the Department determines that disclosure of certain records to DOJ, or attorneys engaged by DOJ, is relevant and necessary to litigation or ADR, and is compatible with the purpose for which the records were collected, the Department may disclose those records as a routine use to DOJ.
- (c) *Adjudicative Disclosure.* If the Department determines that disclosure of certain records to an adjudicative body before which the Department is authorized to appear or to a person or entity designated by the Department or otherwise empowered to resolve or mediate disputes is relevant and necessary to litigation or ADR, and is compatible with the purpose for which the records were collected, the Department may disclose those records as a routine use to the adjudicative body, person, or entity.
- (d) *Disclosure to Parties, Counsel, Representatives, and Witnesses.* If the Department determines that disclosure of certain records to a party, counsel, representative, or witness is relevant and necessary to litigation or ADR, and is compatible with the purpose for which the records were collected, the Department may disclose those records as a routine use to a party, counsel, representative, or witness.
- (7) *Congressional Member Disclosure.* The Department may disclose information from a record of an individual to a member of Congress and his or her staff in response to an inquiry from the member made at the written request of that individual. The member's right to the information is no greater than the right of the individual who requested it.
- (8) *Disclosure in Assisting another Agency in Responding to a Breach of Data.* The Department may disclose records from this system to another Federal agency or Federal entity, when the Department determines that information from this system of records is reasonably necessary to assist the recipient agency or entity in (a) responding to a suspected or confirmed breach or (b) preventing, minimizing, or remedying the risk of harm to individuals, the recipient agency or entity (including its information systems, programs, and operations), the Federal Government, or national security, resulting from a suspected or confirmed breach.

The System of Record Notice was last published in the federal register on 07/10/2019 (84 FR 32895).

11. **Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. The justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.**

A11. There are no questions of a sensitive nature.

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden for this current information collection request. The statement should:

- **Provide an explanation of how the burden was estimated, including identification of burden type: recordkeeping, reporting or third party disclosure. Address changes in burden due to the use of technology (if applicable). Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.**
- **Please do not include increases in burden and respondents numerically in this table. Explain these changes in number 15.**
- **Indicate the number of respondents by affected public type (federal government, individuals or households, private sector – businesses or other for-profit, private sector – not-for-profit institutions, farms, state, local or tribal governments), frequency of response, annual hour burden. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable.**
- **If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burden in the table below.**
- **Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents of the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. [Use this site](#) to research the appropriate wage rate. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included in Item 14. If there is no cost to respondents, indicate by entering 0 in the chart below and/or provide a statement.**

Provide a descriptive narrative here in addition to completing the table below with burden hour estimates.

A12. The estimated time required to complete the MSIX User Application Form is 30 minutes (or 0.5 hour) per form. The Applicant is expected to spend approximately 10 minutes for their section, the Verifying Authority is expected to spend approximately 5 minutes in their section and the Approving Authority is estimated to spend approximately 15 minutes each for their respective section and filing of the form, for a total of 30 minutes. The average number of new responses of the MSIX User Application Forms from 2017, 2018 and 2019 was 312 per year. Total burden hours is 156 hours annually [0.5hour x 312 forms =156/hours/form]. Hourly cost adjustment reflects 2020 General Schedule base rate for GS-12 Step 1 at \$31.70 per hour [156 hours x \$31.70 = \$4,945.20].

Estimated Annual Burden and Respondent Costs Table

Information Activity or IC (with type of respondent)	Sample Size (if applicable)	Respondent Response Rate (if applicable)	Number of Respondents	Number of Responses	Average Burden Hours per Response	Total Annual Burden Hours	Estimated Respondent Average Hourly Wage	Total Annual Costs (hourly wage x total burden hours)
MSIX Users	n/a	n/a	312	1 per respondent	0.5	156	\$31.70	\$4,945.20
Annualized Totals			312	312		156		\$4,945.20

Please ensure the annual total burden, respondents and response match those entered in IC Data Parts 1 and 2, and the response per respondent matches the Paperwork Burden Statement that must be included on all forms.

13. **Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14.)**
 - **The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life); and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and acquiring and maintaining record storage facilities.**
 - **If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.**
 - **Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private**

practices. Also, these estimates should not include the hourly costs (i.e., the monetization of the hours) captured above in Item 12.

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Cost : n/a
Total Annual Costs (O&M) : n/a _____
Total Annualized Costs Requested : n/a

A13. This information collection does not require the use of any capital equipment, start-up costs, or record keeping, specifically for this purpose.

- 14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.**

A14. There are up to 10 Federal Government employees who are registered users of MSIX at any given point in the year with approximately 3 new users added per year. Using the 2020 General Schedule grade 13 step 1 hourly rate of \$37.70, estimated annualized cost to the Federal Government is estimated as follows:

$$3 \text{ forms/year} \times 0.5 \text{ hours/form} \times \$37.70/\text{hour} = \$56.55/\text{year}$$

- 15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments. Generally, adjustments in burden result from re-estimating burden and/or from economic phenomenon outside of an agency's control (e.g., correcting a burden estimate or an organic increase in the size of the reporting universe). Program changes result from a deliberate action that materially changes a collection of information and generally are result of new statute or an agency action (e.g., changing a form, revising regulations, redefining the respondent universe, etc.). Burden changes should be disaggregated by type of change (i.e., adjustment, program change due to new statute, and/or program change due to agency discretion), type of collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change) and include totals for changes in burden hours, responses and costs (if applicable).**

Provide a descriptive narrative for the reasons of any change in addition to completing the table with the burden hour change(s) here.

A15. The original calculations overestimated the number of respondents due to lack of actual data. Adjustments are reported as a result of analysis of actual data since 2018, the last date of the Information Collection Notice approval. Between 2017 and 2019, the number of new users to MSIX averaged at 312. Adjustments were also made to the hourly rate to match the General Schedule, as the previously reported job series is no longer in use.

	Program Change Due to New Statute	Program Change Due to Agency Discretion	Change Due to Adjustment in Agency Estimate
Total Burden	n/a	n/a	-54
Total Responses	n/a	n/a	-108
Total Costs (if applicable)	n/a	n/a	-1,366

- 16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.**

A16. The collection of information does not require publication of the information or use of complex analytical techniques. Summary information may be reported by the Secretary in tabular form to the States, Congress and the public.

- 17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.**

A17. ED is not seeking this approval.

- 18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in the Certification of Paperwork Reduction Act.**

A18. There are no proposed exemptions to the certifications.