Lender Narrative – Section 232/241(a) Supplemental Loan

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Residential Care Facilities

OMB Approval No. 2502-0605 (exp. 03/31/2018)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 73 hour(s). This includes the time for collecting, reviewing, and reporting the data. The information is being collected to obtain the supportive documentation which must be submitted to HUD for approval, and is necessary to ensure that viable projects are developed and maintained. The Department will use this information to determine if properties meet HUD requirements with respect to development, operation and/or asset management, as well as ensuring the continued marketability of the properties. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Warning: Any person who knowingly presents a false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or claim in a matter within the jurisdiction of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development is subject to criminal penalties, civil liability, and administrative sanctions.

INSTRUCTIONS:

The narrative is a document critical to the Lean Underwriting process. Each section of the narrative and all questions need to be completed and answered. If the lender's underwriter disagrees and modifies any third-party report conclusions, sufficient detail to justify the changes must be provided. This narrative is to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the transactions and demonstrate how the weaknesses are mitigated by the underwriting.

- Charts: The charts contained in this document have been created with versatility in mind; however they will not be able to accommodate all situations. For this reason, you are allowed to alter the charts as the situation demands. Be sure to state how you have altered the charts along with your justification. Include all the information the form calls for. Charts that include blue text indicate names that should be modified by the lender as the situation dictates.
- Applicability: If a section is not applicable, state so in that section and provide a reason. Do not delete a section heading that is not applicable. The narrative will be checked to make certain all sections are provided. If a major section is not applicable, add " Not Applicable" to the heading and provide the reason. For instance:

Parent of the Operator – Not Applicable

This section is not applicable because there is no operator.

The rest of the subsections under the inapplicable section can then be deleted. This instruction page may also be deleted.

• **Format:** In addition to submitting the PDF version of the Lender Narrative to HUD, please also submit an electronic Word version.

Instead of pasting large portions of text from third-party reports into the narrative, it is preferred that the lender simply reference the page number and the report. The focus of this document is for lender conclusions, analyses, and summaries.

Italicized text found between these characters << EXAMPLE>> is instructional in nature, and may be deleted from the lender's final version. Please use the gray shaded areas (e.g.,) for your response. Double click on a check box and then change the default value to mark selection (e.g., \boxtimes).



Table of Contents

Executive Summary	7
Special or Atypical Underwriting Considerations	11
Labor Relations	12
Program Eligibility	13
Commercial Space/Income	14
Facility Type	15
Independent Units	16
Licensing/Certificate of Need/Keys Amendment	17
Identities-of-Interest	18
Risk Factors	18
Strengths	19
Underwriting Team	19
Lender	
Lender's Loan Committee Process	
Recommendation to HUD	21
Third Party Reviewers	
Property Description	22
Site	22
Neighborhood	23
Zoning	
Utilities	23
Scope of Construction	23
Improvement Description	24
Building Description	
Landscaping	24
Parking	24
Unit Mix & Features	
Obsolescence/Depreciation and Remaining Economic Life	25
Services	
Architectural Review	26
Architectural Overview	
Construction Progress Schedule	27
Conclusion	27
Cost Review	28
Cost Overview	
Construction Costs (Form HUD-2328)	29
General Requirements	29
Other Fees – General Contractor	30
Bond Premium/Assurance of Completion	31
Unusual Site Improvements	31
Architect's Fees	31
Other Fees-Borrower	
Schedule of Other Fees to be Paid by Borrower	31

Off-Site and Demolition	32
Major Movable Equipment	32
Conclusion	
Replacement Reserves	32
Underwritten Reserve for Replacement	33
Appraisal	33
Hypothetical Conditions and Extraordinary Assumptions	
Market Analysis	35
Market Overview	35
Primary Market Area	35
Target Population	35
Demand	36
Competitive Environment (Supply)	36
Conclusion	
Income Capitalization Approach – As Is	36
Financial Statements	36
Occupancy	36
Census Mix – As Is	
Rents - As Is	39
Historical Revenue Summary	40
Expenses – As Is	47
Comparable Expense Data – As Is	50
Net Operating Income – As Is	53
Capitalization Rate– As Is	
Sales Comparison Approach – As Is	56
Price per Unit/Bed – As Is	57
Effective Gross Income Multiplier (EGIM) – As Is	
Subject Past Purchases	
Cost Approach – As Is	58
Development Costs	58
Depreciation	58
Major Movable Equipment	58
Marketing Allowance	58
Land Value	58
Reconciliation – As Is	58
Lender Modifications – As Is	59
Income Capitalization Approach – As Proposed	59
Census Mix – As Proposed	59
Rents – As Proposed	62
Expenses – As Proposed	
Net Operating Income – As Proposed	72
Capitalization Rate – As Proposed	
Sales Comparison Approach – As Proposed	
Price per Unit/Bed – As Proposed	
Effective Gross Income Multiplier (EGIM) – As Proposed	
Cost Approach – As Proposed	77

Development Cost	77
Depreciation	
Major Movable Equipment	
Land ValueLand Value	
Reconciliation – As Proposed	77
Lender Modifications – As Proposed	
Initial Operating Deficit	
ALTA/ACSM Land Title Survey	
Title	
Title Search	80
Pro-forma Policy	81
Environmental	83
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment	83
Lender Comments	86
Other Potential Environmental Concerns	86
State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Clearance	91
Flood Plain	
Borrower Entity and Principals of the Borrower	93
Organization	
Financial Statements – For Party or Parties Responsible for Financial Requirements for	
Closing and Beyond < <enter here="" name(s)="" party(ies)="">></enter>	94
Operator	96
Organization	96
Management Agent (if applicable)	96
Management Agreement (as applicable)	97
General ContractorGeneral Contractor	98
Experience/Qualifications	99
Credit History	99
Other Business Concerns	100
Financial Statements	100
Working Capital Analysis	101
Conclusion	103
Operation of the Facility	103
Staffing	103
Operating Lease	105
Lease Payment – During Construction	106
Lease Payment – During Lease Up	106
Lease Payment Analysis – As Proposed	106
Responsibilities	
Accounts Receivable (A/R) Financing	108
Insurance	
Professional Liability Coverage	108
Lawsuits	110
Recommendation	
Property Insurance	
Builder's Risk	113

Relocation Plan and Budget During Construction	Fidelity Bond/Employee Dishonesty Coverage	114
Mortgage Loan Determinants 114 Overview 114 Mortgage Term 114 Type of Financing 114 Criterion C: Amount Based on Replacement Cost 115 Criterion D: Amount Based on Loan-to-Value 115 Criterion E: Amount Based on Debt Service Coverage 115 Criterion I: Amount Based on Total Indebtedness 115 Criterion L: Deduction of Grants, Loans, and Gifts 115 Conclusion 116 Sources & Uses – Copied From HUD 92264a-ORCF 116 Secondary Sources 116 Other Uses 116 Cash Requirements 117 Circumstances that May Require Additional Information 117 Special Commitment Conditions 117 Conclusion 118 Addenda 118		
Overview. 114 Mortgage Term. 114 Type of Financing. 114 Criterion C: Amount Based on Replacement Cost. 115 Criterion D: Amount Based on Loan-to-Value. 115 Criterion E: Amount Based on Debt Service Coverage. 115 Criterion I: Amount Based on Total Indebtedness. 115 Criterion L: Deduction of Grants, Loans, and Gifts. 115 Conclusion. 116 Sources & Uses – Copied From HUD 92264a-ORCF. 116 Secondary Sources. 116 Other Uses. 116 Cash Requirements. 117 Circumstances that May Require Additional Information. 117 Special Commitment Conditions. 117 Conclusion. 118 Addenda. 118		
Mortgage Term.114Type of Financing.114Criterion C: Amount Based on Replacement Cost.115Criterion D: Amount Based on Loan-to-Value.115Criterion E: Amount Based on Debt Service Coverage.115Criterion I: Amount Based on Total Indebtedness.115Criterion L: Deduction of Grants, Loans, and Gifts.115Conclusion.116Sources & Uses - Copied From HUD 92264a-ORCF.116Secondary Sources.116Other Uses.116Cash Requirements.117Circumstances that May Require Additional Information.117Special Commitment Conditions.117Conclusion.118Addenda.118		
Type of Financing		
Criterion C: Amount Based on Replacement Cost		
Criterion D: Amount Based on Loan-to-Value	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Criterion I: Amount Based on Total Indebtedness		
Criterion I: Amount Based on Total Indebtedness	Criterion E: Amount Based on Debt Service Coverage	115
Conclusion		
Conclusion	Criterion L: Deduction of Grants, Loans, and Gifts	115
Sources & Uses – Copied From HUD 92264a-ORCF		
Secondary Sources		
Other Uses		
Cash Requirements		
Circumstances that May Require Additional Information		
Special Commitment Conditions	•	
Conclusion		
Addenda118		

Executive Summary—241(a)

FHA number:					
Project name:					
Project location:	< <street o<="" th=""><th>ıddress, city, c</th><th>ounty, and state</th><th>>></th><th></th></street>	ıddress, city, c	ounty, and state	>>	
Lender's name:					
Lender's UW:		UW t	rainee:		
Borrower:					
	Is the Bor	rower A Noi	1-Profit? 🗌 Yes	S No	
Operator:					
Parent of operator:					
Management agent:					
General contractor:					
License holder:	Borrov	ver (Operator	Managem	ent agent
Residents will contract with:	<<	Entity with wh	om residents wil	l contract for	services>>
Section 38 of the Regulatory (list name(s)):	Agreement	shall apply to	the following ind	ividuals and/o	or entities
Purpose of loan: < <descr repairs, o</descr 		rpose of loan ((e.g., add an add	ition, complet	re
Type of Facility: As-Is	Licensed	Operating	Licenced	Operating	
Skilled Nursing (SNF):	Licensea	Operating	Licensed beds	Operating	units
Assisted Living (AL):			beds beds		units
Memory Care (AL):			beds		units
Board & Care (<i>B&C</i>):			beds		units
Independent Living (IL):			beds		units
Total:					umis
					units
Type of Easility As Dyonesed					
Type of Facility: As-Proposed	I icensed	Onerating	Licensed	Operating	
	Licensed	Operating	Licensed beds	Operating	units
Skilled Nursing (SNF):	Licensed			Operating	units
Skilled Nursing (SNF): Assisted Living (AL):	Licensed		beds	Operating	units
Skilled Nursing (SNF):	Licensed		beds beds	Operating	units units units
Skilled Nursing (SNF): Assisted Living (AL): Board & Care (B&C):	Licensed		beds beds beds	Operating	units units units units
Skilled Nursing (SNF): Assisted Living (AL): Board & Care (B&C): Dementia Care:	Licensed		beds beds beds beds	Operating	units units units units units
Skilled Nursing (SNF): Assisted Living (AL): Board & Care (B&C): Dementia Care: Independent Living (IL): Total:	Licensed		beds beds beds beds beds	. 0	units units units units units units units
Skilled Nursing (SNF): Assisted Living (AL): Board & Care (B&C): Dementia Care: Independent Living (IL):	Licensed		beds beds beds beds	. 0	units units units units units units units
Skilled Nursing (SNF): Assisted Living (AL): Board & Care (B&C): Dementia Care: Independent Living (IL): Total:	Licensed		beds beds beds beds beds	. 0	units units units units units units units

Current interest rate: Maturity date: Original terms (in months): Principal & interest (monthly): MIP (monthly): Total P+I+MIP (monthly): Debt service coverage: Principal balance: As of:		Proposed interest rate: Proposed maturity date: Proposed term (in months): Principal & interest (monthly): MIP (monthly): Total P+I+MIP (monthly):
Replacement reserve balance:		
As of:		
Date Built		TOTAL INSURED MORTGAGES:
Mortgage Criteria:		Debt Service Coverage Ratio for Both Loans with Existing Achieved NOI:
	*	
Criterion A: Requested loan amount:	\$	Debt Service Coverage Ratio for Both Loans with Existing Achieved NOI+Additional NOI:
Criterion C: Amount based on	\$	Existing Achieved NOT Additional NOT.
replacement cost: <u>Criterion D:</u> Amount based		Debt Service Coverage Ratio for Both Loans with
on loan-to-value:	\$	Existing Achieved EGI – Proposed Expenses:
Criterion E: Amount based on debt	Ψ	
service coverage:	\$	
Criterion I: Amount based on total	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ndebtedness:	\$	
Criterion L: Amount based on		
deduction of grant(s), loan(s),		
LIHTCs, and gift(s) for mortgageable		
tems:	\$	
As-Is Sensitivity Analysis: A 1.0 debt service coverage is still r (a) Average rental drops \$ (b) Occupancy rate decreases	realized if: per month. %.	
(c) Operating expenses increase		r year.
(d) Annual net operating income	_	
(e) Medicaid Rate decreases \$	or	%.
(f) Medicaid Census decreases	%.	, o.
As-Proposed Sensitivity Analysis		
A 1.0 debt service coverage is still r		
(g) Average rental drops \$	per month.	
(h) Occupancy rate decreases	%.	
(i) Operating expenses increase	% per	year.

As-Is:	¢	I NAT a company on the	0/
UW Gross income:		UW occupancy rate:	%
UW Effective gross income:	\$ 	UW Expenses per bed/unit*:	\$
UW Expenses & repl.	\$	UW Expense ratio:	
res.:			%
	lities with multi	ple care types (e.g., SNF/AL). Use per unit for ALF sistent with the HUD-92264A-ORCF, Criterion E.	⁷ only.
income: Use per bed for SNF, or facil	lities with multi		⁷ only.
income: Use per bed for SNF, or facility WEGI, Expenses and NO	lities with multi I should be con		F only. %
income: *Use per bed for SNF, or facil *UW EGI, Expenses and NO As-Proposed Totals:	lities with multi I should be con	sistent with the HUD-92264A-ORCF, Criterion E.	%
income: *Use per bed for SNF, or facili* *UW EGI, Expenses and NO As-Proposed Totals: UW Gross income:	lities with multi I should be con	UW occupancy rate:	
income: *Use per bed for SNF, or facili**UW EGI, Expenses and NO As-Proposed Totals: UW Gross income: UW Effective gross income:	lities with multi I should be con	UW occupancy rate:	\$
income: Use per bed for SNF, or facil *UW EGI, Expenses and NO As-Proposed Totals: UW Gross income: UW Effective gross income:	lities with multi I should be con	UW occupancy rate: UW Expenses per bed/unit*:	%

Initial Operatin	g Deficit:						
		No. Preleased units:					
		Abs	orption 1	rate/no. u	nits per ı	month:	
		No.	No. months to cover shortfalls:				
		Brea	Breakeven Occupancy %:				
Working	g Capital:	\$					
Cash In	vestment	\$					
Debt Service Reserv	e Escrow	\$					
		No.	months	of princip	al & inte	erest paymen	ts:
Offsit	e Escrow	\$					
Minor Movable E	quipment Escrow						
De	emolition	\$					
	Other	\$					
TOTAL Equity With	out Land:	\$				f total ect cost:	%*
TOTAL Equity W	ith Land:	\$			'	f total ect cost:	%*
*Total project cost is the total	uses on the	Form.	HUD-922	64a-ORCF	•		
Land Equity (Calculation of acquired, purchase price, date Front Money Escrow (Total	of purchas	e, part o	of larger pa	arcel or pla			
Cash requ	-			<			dit, sponsor, etc. etters of credit.">>
Based on a review of the pr their liquidity meets/exceed	•	<ident< td=""><td>ify princi</td><td>ipal(s)>></td><td>their net</td><td>worth is estim</td><td>ated at \$;</td></ident<>	ify princi	ipal(s)>>	their net	worth is estim	ated at \$;
Construction contract:	\$		Offsites	,	\$	Demolition	\$
Total construction costs: As reported on Form HUD- 92328-ORCF, Line 53 plus Offsites and Demolition Costs	\$						
Major Movable Equipment (added as part of 241a)	\$	Constru		Construction Period		eriod: # of months:	
Architectural contract:	\$			Multiple	AIA Agı	reements	
		Voor	As re For	0111111010		erating	SWB As reported on Form HUD-91125-
		Year	9112	25-ORCF	Ke	venues	ORCF

Operations – base year (year before construction)						\$	\$
Operations - post construction (first year of stabilized occupancy)	on					\$	\$
	Yes	No	Comments:				
Secondary Financing:			(If yes, provid	e details.)			
A/R Financing:			(If yes, provid	e details.)			
Master Lease:			(If yes, provid	e details.)			
Commercial Space			(If yes, provid	e details.)			
Waivers:			(If yes, provid	e details.)			
(list, as applicable)							
 A combinati Repairs requisite Renovation Change in p Land is bein Shared costs The existing 	be monteres on of cuired land articipal gadd besexpe proje	chara aster i t issua closin an ad by Sta lding bants ed to nses v ct is p	ncteristics, ke leased es og or permits, dition and a ate regulatory value to proj as part of the the existing s with other fac part of a build	y deal po , land, lic renovati ect e 241a site cilities ding with	censing, etcon ty	c. alls/floors v	cal underwriting with non-HUD d in financial
statements This section should to highlight key poi			ngthy restatei	ment of t	he rest of th	ne narrativ	e. It is merely
Third-party reports pro Market Study (if requ Appraisal Draft 4128 Architecture/Cost F	uired)		Conclusion is: Conclusion is: Conclusion is: Conclusion is:	Acc	epted as is. epted as is. epted as is. epted as is.	Modif Modif	fied by underwriter. fied by underwriter. fied by underwriter. fied by underwriter.

Labor Relations

Pro	ogram Guidance: H	Handbook 4232.1, Section	II Production, Chapter 2.8D.		
Wa	nge Decision:				
Тур	oe:	Residential (commercial)	Building (commercial)	N/A	
Nui	mber:		No. of buildings:		
Mo	dification date:		No. of stories:		
Mo	dification number:		No. of units:		
			No. of self-contained units*:		
			n/kitchenette and a bathroom. This c pe will be "residential" or "buildin		addition
	nders Pre-Constru	ction Conference Coordi	nator Information:		
	ail:				
	one:				
Ma	iling address:				
<<)	neral Overview Provide narrative of		Wage Decision specified.>>		
Ke	y Questions			Yes	No
1.	•	narge "founder's fees," "lif with "buy-in" facilities?	e care fees," or other similar		
2.	_	-	their affiliates' renamed or ged from bankruptcy within th	e	
3.	Is less than continu	ous protective oversight p	rovided at the facility?		
4.	-	imum assistance" requiren 232 mortgage insurance pro	nents necessary to qualify ogram that the facility does no	t	
5.			et the statutory definition of sistance with at least three (3)		

		Yes	No
	Activities of Daily Living)?		
6.	Will the facility require more than four residents share a full bathroom (see 24 CFR 232.3)? (Not applicable for SNFs.)		
7.	Are any residents required to access a qualifying bathroom by moving through a public corridor or area (see 24 CFR 232.3)? (Not applicable for SNFs.)		
8	Are there floodways or coastal high hazard areas located onsite*?		
	Does the project not meet program intent such as hospitals, clinics, diagnostic and treatment centers, group practice facilities, and halfway houses?		
10.	Is the project designated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) as a Special Focus Facility or similar future designation?		
11.	Has construction or site work commenced without prior HUD approval?		
12.	Is the project a long-term acute care facility?		
13.	Does the project NOT meet REMIC eligibility requirements?		
inc flo	exception: The floodway and coastal high hazard area prohibitions do not apply it idental portion of the project is in the 100-year floodplain, or for critical actions odplain, and certain conditions are met in accordance with 24 CFR 55.12(c)(7). Accility Type		
Sel	ect ALL that apply:		
	Nursing Home Consists of at least 20 beds. Considered a "Skilled Nursing Facility" by Department of Health & Human	Services.	
	 Intermediate Care Facility Consists of at least 20 beds. Considered an "Intermediate Care Facility" by Department of Health & Hum Services. 	ıan	
	Board and Care Consists of at least 20 accommodations. Provides "Continuous Protective Oversight." Provides areas for central dining. Offers three meals per day to each resident. Resident must take at least one meal a day. Regulated by the state in accordance with Section 1616(e) of the Social Section	urity Act	

(Keys Amendment)	
Assisted Living Consists of at least 20 units. Provides "Continuous Protective Oversight." Provides areas for central dining. Offers three meals per day to each resident. Resident must take at least one meal a day. Caters to frail elderly persons (62 years and older) who activities of daily living (ADLs).	need assistance with 3 or more
Other - Requires explanation. << describe here>>	
< <note: above="" ca<br="" definitions="" facility="" hud's="" of="" or="" reflect="" the="">not align with state licensing definitions.>></note:>	re types. Those definitions may
Independent Units: As-Is Program Cuidance: Handbook 4222 1 Section II Production	2.F.E
Program Guidance: Handbook 4232.1, Section II Production,	2.3.6.
Select all applicable statements:	
There will be NO unlicensed/independent beds at the subject; exceed 25% of the total beds at the facility.	
a. Total beds:b. Unlicensed independent beds:c. Independent beds as % of total:	< < < b / a>>
Independent Units: As-Proposed Select all applicable statements:	
There will be NO unlicensed/independent beds at the subject the will be unlicensed/independent beds at the subject exceed 25% of the total beds at the facility.	
a. Total beds:b. Unlicensed independent beds:c. Independent beds as % of total:	< b/a>>
Licensing/Certificate of Need/Keys Amendmer	nt

Number of Beds to be Licensed:

lic	Lender has verified that the beds or units in operation are in compliance with tensing agency.	he State	
{St iss	Provide affirmative statement along the lines of: "The facility is licensed by the tate}'s Department of Health and Welfare as a {Type of Facility} for {X} beds. ued to {Name of Entity on License}. It is effective {date}, through {date}. The lumber of beds}.">>	The licer	nse is
rec	Provide affirmative statement along the lines of: "There is no Certificate of N quirement in {State} for {Type of Facility}." – OR – "A Certificate of Need (COXX) was issued by the State of {State} authorizing XX beds">>	•	_
red Pro red	A (Applicable on projects with new construction or added units/beds.) If a new/uquired by the local regulatory authorities, it is to be issued to the current license ovide affirmative statement along the lines of: "There is no Certificate of Need quirement in {State} for {Type of Facility}." – OR – "A Certificate of Need (COXX) was issued by the State of {State} authorizing the addition of XX beds">	e holder. (CON) N), dated	
{St An the An No reg	(Applicable to B&C's.) Provide affirmative statement along the lines of: "The rate} has certified its compliance with Section 1616(e) of the Social Security Action and the Internation of the Social Security Action 1616(e). Discuss documentation provided in the application that shows that a facility is located is in compliance with Section 1616(e) of the Social Security is need ment) AND that the facility itself is regulated by the state pursuant to Section this last point that the requirement is not only that the facility be regulated specifically pursuant to 1616e.	t (Keys the state Act (Keys ion 1616	where s e.
<u>10</u>	CHITICS-OF-IIICICSE		
Κŧ	y Questions	Yes	No
1.	Have you, as the lender, identified any identities of interest on your certification?		
2.	Does the borrower's certification indicate any identities of interest?		
3.	Do any of the certifications provided by principals of the borrower identify any identities of interest?		
4.	Does the operator's certification (if applicable) indicate any identities of interest? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $		
5.	Does the Management Agent's Certification (if applicable) indicate any identities of interest?		
6.	Does the General Contractor's certification indicate any identities of interest?		
7.	Does the HUD Addendum to the AIA Agreement of the Design Architect identify any identities of interest?		

		Yes	No
8.	Does the lender know, or have any reason to believe, that any of the assertions in the other Consolidated Certifications submitted herewith, are inaccurate or incomplete?		
ар <u>і</u> оре	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding the toolicable, describe the risk and how it will be mitigated. For example: The borrerator are related parties – John Doe has ownership in both entities. No othererest are disclosed.>>	ower and	
Ri	sk Factors		
Ke	y Questions	Yes	No
1.	Is this a "special use facility"—one that serves a "niche" type of market (e.g., psychiatric facilities; drug, alcohol, or eating disorder recovery facilities; hospice facilities; or short-term rehabilitation facilities?		
2.	Is this an Intermediate Care Facility (ICF), Institution for Mental Diseases (IMD), or any other type of facility that caters to a significant population with mental illness (MI), developmental disabilities (DD) or individuals with intellectual disabilities (IID)?		
3.	Is the project in a state with an Olmstead Plan, pending Olmstead cases, Olmstead settlement agreements, or is the project's state active in initiatives to "right-size" nursing facilities or otherwise working to "rebalance" long-term supports and services toward home and community-based settings?		
4.	Does the project rely on Medicaid Waivers or State Plan Options for a significant portion of its resident population, MI/DD residents, or for residents in the assisted living portion of a combined SNF/ALF Facility, subjecting it to HCBS Settings requirements?		
5.	Does the owner or operator/management agent lack the relevant experience (with similar type of facility, regulatory environment, payor mix, etc.) to lease-up and operate the subject project?		
6.	Is the operator, parent company, affiliates or subsidiaries the subject of an ongoing investigation or judicial or administrative action involving any Federal, State, municipal and/or other regulatory authority, which could have a detrimental impact on the operator's financial condition or may jeopardize the operator's license and or its provider agreements?		
	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic des how it will be mitigated.>>	cribing th	ie risk
foli	ou answer "yes" to question 1, the narrative discussion should include an ana lowing: 1. The long-term viability of funding sources for this client group; 2. I lity to maintain stabilized occupancy over the long term, and/or the ability to f	The facility	y's

occupied by residents with the special use diagnosis, should the funding source cease; this analysis should include a demonstration that a market exists for increasing reliance on a more "traditional" SNF resident; 3. The extent of the successful experience of the operator in dealing with the contemplated population; 4. How the principals of this facility address the higher risk associated with the targeted population (e.g. higher Professional Liability Insurance, etc.); 5. The facility's capacity to continue servicing the debt in the event that market/provider payment changes dictate that alternative/modified uses of the subject portion of the facility be pursued; and 6. Risk Mitigation.

If you answer "yes" to question 3, the narrative discussion should include a discussion of any of the state's efforts above that might have an impact on the subject facility and what efforts the owner and/or operator will take to respond to these impacts. Be sure to reference the state's strategy for moving the following populations: the elderly from skilled nursing facilities, individuals with intellectual or developmental disabilities (ID/DD) from ICFs, the physically disabled, non-elderly from skilled nursing facilities or the mentally ill from psychiatric facilities or other facilities, as appropriate.

If you answer "yes to question 4, the narrative discussion should include a discussion of the facility's compliance with the HCBS Settings requirements. The discussion might include State's progress in implementing the HCBS Settings Rule, references to the Statewide Transition Plan, CMS responses to or approval of the Plan, State Regulatory language, or State Medicaid Agency input. If it appears that the facility will not, or will not be able, to comply with the Rule, the Lender should provide a Sensitivity Analysis showing the project's ability to operate without these residents.

Other Risk Factors Identified by Lender

Additionally, the lender has identified the following risk factors:

<< Provide discussion on other risk factors identified by the lender and how they are mitigated.>>

Strengths

<< Provide discussion of the strengths of the transaction.>>

Underwriting Team

te inspection date:
specting underwriter:
ender's Underwriter <brief assigned="" at="" be="" description="" inspecting="" is="" must="" of="" project.="" qualifications.="" record="" the="" to="" underwriter="">></brief>
nderwriter Trainee (if applicable) <brief description="" of="" qualifications.="">></brief>
specting Underwriter (if applicable) <brief (not="" 232="" a="" an="" and="" another="" any="" appraiser="" approving="" assigned="" at="" basis.="" be="" by="" case="" certify="" conduct="" conducted="" consaction-by-transaction="" consider="" consistent="" cord="" description="" do="" either="" employed="" employee="" entractor),="" firm,="" has="" if="" in="" individual="" infeasible,="" inspection="" inspection.="" instance="" is="" lean-approved="" lender="" lender,="" licensed="" may="" must="" narrative.="" of="" on="" or="" orcf="" other="" particular="" policy,="" project,="" qualifications.="" rare="" record="" record,="" recumstances="" section="" sign="" site="" spection="" spection.="" than="" that="" the="" third-party="" this="" to="" trainee="" underwriter="" visit="" where,="" which="" who="" will="" with="">></brief>
rogram Guidance: Handbook 4232.1, Section II Production, 2.5N
ender's Loan Committee Process
ate of loan committee:
oan committee process:
oan committee conditions:
<provide any="" brief="" commendation.<="" committee="" committee's="" committee,="" conditions="" gain="" including:="" information="" loan="" narrative="" of="" p="" provided;="" requirements="" retinent="" summary="" the="" to=""></provide>

Recommendation to HUD

<< Based on analysis and underwriting, XXXXX recommends that HUD issue a firm commitment to insure the proposed mortgage for the subject transaction, subject to the terms and conditions identified in this narrative and the accompanying application exhibits.>>

Third Party Reviewers

Key Questions – Architectural Reviewer

		Yes	No
1.	Does the architectural reviewer have experience with construction within the healthcare field?		
 3. 	Is the architectural reviewer knowledgeable and experienced with local building standards and construction methods for the type of project proposed, including the Federal Fair Housing Accessibility Guidelines and the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards? Is the architectural reviewer a registered architect or engineer?		
Ke	ey Questions – Cost Analyst	V	NT -
1.	Does the cost analyst have experience in the healthcare field?	Yes	No
	Is the cost analyst knowledgeable and experienced with local building standards and construction costs for the type of project proposed?		
Ke	ey Questions – Environmental Consultant(s)		
1	Does the environmental consultant(s) meet all the qualification requirements	Yes	No
1.	of Appendix X2 of ASTM E 1527-05?		
2.	Does the environmental consultant(s) meet the license/certification, educational, and experiential requirements of Section X.2.1.1(2)(i), (ii), or (iii) of Appendix X2 of ASTM E 1527-05?		
3.	Were any Phase II investigations performed by environmental investigator(s) specifically qualified to meet the responsibilities for the issue(s) of concern?		
Ke	ey Questions – Market Analyst	Voc	ΝΙα
1.	Does the market analyst have the knowledge and experience to complete the	Yes	No
	assignment competently?		
2.	Is the market analyst currently active in the market analysis of other healthcare properties?		
3.	Is the market analyst experienced in the market area that the subject property is located in or established expertise by a thorough investigation of the market?		
4.	Did the market analyst personally inspect the property, perform the market analysis, and prepare and sign the market study?		

Ke	y Questions - Appraiser	Yes	No
1.	Is the appraiser is a Certified General Appraiser under the appraiser certification requirements of the state where the subject property is located as of the effective date of the appraisal? (See note below this section.)		
2.	Does the appraiser meet the requirements of the Competency Rule described in USPAP?		
3.	Did the appraiser sign the appraisal and the required certifications?		
4.	Is the appraiser currently active in the appraisal of other healthcare properties?		
5.	Is the appraiser experienced in the market area in which the subject property is located, or establish competency as per USPAP?		
6.	Did the appraiser meeting the above qualifications, personally inspect the property being appraised?		
7.	If more than one appraiser worked on the appraisal, did they all sign the report and certifications?		
of t Co doi	rtification requirements of the state that the subject property is located, as of the the appraisal (temporary certifications are permissible) and must meet all requimpetency Rule of the USPAP. Lender verification of an appraiser's current stane at http://www.asc.gov . Toperty Description	irements o	f the
Lc	ocation/Proximity to Hospitals and Services		
<<	Brief narrative description about nearby hospitals and services. >>		
	te <u>EBrief</u> narrative description about site—"as-is" and "as-proposed"—to include bography, size, frontage, access, etc. >>	location,	
<<	Eighborhood E <u>Brief</u> narrative description about neighborhood area to include major cross str cess routes; distance to services, hospitals, etc.; adjacent property uses; predom aracter or neighborhood; etc.>>		
Z (oning Legal Conforming Legal Non-Conforming Other		

<<Narrative description: identify local jurisdiction; zoning designation; results of Zoning Letter provided in application submission; and discuss any variances, conditional uses, nonconformance or other pertinent issues affecting zoning. If the building is not a legal conforming use, discuss the adequacy of the zoning ordinance insurance coverage and/or recommend a condition to mitigate this risk.>>

Utilities

<<Narrative description - Identify utilities in use at site. Discuss any limitations in service and any other issues that would affect the operation of the facility. Also clearly identify the utilities to be paid by the residents.>>

Emergency Call System

<< Identify whether emergency call system proposed is included in construction contract, major movable equipment and/or borrower other fees.>>

Security, Networking and Other Information Technology Systems

<< Identify whether these systems proposed are included in construction contract, major movable equipment and/or borrower other fees.>>

Scope of Construction

<<Narrative description of the planned improvements. The description should be sufficiently detailed to provide the HUD underwriter and the HUD review appraiser a reasonable understanding of the work involved to assess the impact on underwriting and value concerns.>>

Improvement Description

Building Description

<<Provide narrative description to include "as-is" and "as-proposed": number of buildings;
construction types; floor area; describe common areas; etc.>>

Landscaping

<< Provide narrative description about the proposed landscaping.>>

Parking

<< Provide narrative description about the proposed parking including the number of spaces, compliance with accessibility, adequacy of the parking, and any parking easements. Also, discuss any zoning or marketability issues. >>

Unit Mix & Features

<< Provide an "as-is" and "as-proposed" table or provide equivalent detail.>>

As-is: (Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

	Unit	Bed			Unit	Care
Line	Qty	Qty	Bdrms	Baths	Sqft	Туре
А						
В						
С						
D						
E						
F						
G						
Н						
I						
J						
Totals:	-	-				

As-proposed:

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

	Unit	Bed			Unit	Care
Line	Qty	Qty	Bdrms	Baths	Sqft	Туре
А						
В						
С						
D						
Е						
F						
G						
Н						
I						
J						
Totals:	-	-				

Living Unit Description

<< Provide narrative description of "as-is" and "as-proposed" units, including: appliances, flooring, included furnishings, hook-ups, patios, bathrooms, etc.>>

Obsolescence/Depreciation and Remaining Economic Life

<< There are three categories that need to be addressed. Each should be discussed in terms of the as-is and as-proposed improvements.>>

Functional Obsolescence

<>How the physical plant compares to an optimally configured project and how does that impact income potential? (Discuss for example, 3- and/or 4-bed wards; unusual design issues).>>

External Obsolescence

<< How do the market, economic environment, and location impact the income potential of the project? >>

Remaining Economic Life

<<The appraiser has estimated the economic life of the property at XX years. The appraiser has estimated the effective age of the property at XX years. Therefore, the remaining economic life is XX years. Explain the basis for this estimate. Discuss any physical depreciation associated with any improvements that are not new construction. >>

Services

<<Narrative description of "as-is" and "as-proposed" services provided. Identify which services are included in rent and which services are available for extra charges, as applicable.>>

Architectural Review

Da	ate of report:		
Re	eview firm:		
Re	eviewer:		
Ke	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Are any drawings or specifications to be "deferred submissions?" If yes, explain below and include special condition requiring that they be submitted		
	prior to initial closing.		
2.	Does the architectural reviewer recommend any commitment conditions?		
3.	Are the plans and specification incomplete?		
4.	Is there an identity of interest between the design architect and any other project participant (i.e., borrower, principal of borrower, operator, and/or		
	general contractor)?		
5.	Are there architectural review comments that have <u>not</u> been incorporated		

		1 68	110
	into the plans and specifications?		
6.	Are there any architectural drawings and specifications that do <u>not</u> comply with local building code standards, minimum property standards, or any other HUD requirements?		
7.	After reviewing the plans, did the architectural reviewer confirm that the plans are <u>not</u> in conformance with FHAG and UFAS requirements?		
8.	Is the design architect different from the supervisory architect?		
9.	After reviewing the AIA agreement, did the architectural reviewer find the agreement was <u>not</u> complete?		
10	After reviewing the Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation Report, did the architectural reviewer find the report <u>unacceptable</u> showing an <u>insufficient</u> number of borings provided?		
11.	. After reviewing the soils report, did the architectural reviewer find the structural design <u>not</u> in compliance with the findings of the report?		
12.	. After reviewing the survey, did the architectural reviewer find the survey <u>not</u> in compliance with HUD requirements?		
13.	. Did the architectural reviewer find the construction progress schedule and construction period unacceptable?		

<<If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, please address below. For example, Item 1 – Fire sprinkler system engineering will be completed by XXX, Item 3 – The completed plans and specifications will be submitted prior to closing. The architectural reviewer's inspector has identified minor revisions to the plans and specifications that will be completed and submitted to HUD prior to closing. A list of the minor revisions includes XXX. The contractor has provided confirmation acknowledging the required revisions and confirms that they do not result in changes to the costs reflected on the HUD 92328-ORCF submitted with this application package. We (the lender) recommend a Special Condition to the Firm Commitment requiring that completed acceptable plans and specifications will be submitted prior to closing.</p>

Item 4 – There is an identity of interest between the design architect and the borrower. The design architect is a principal of the borrower entity. Therefore, to meet HUD requirements, a separate AIA B108 is submitted with this package for an unrelated architect to provide the supervision services. Provide narrative describing the supervising architect's name, experience, etc. >>

Architectural Overview

<<Provide narrative describing the architectural reviewers report and conclusions and if the lender's underwriter concurs with the conclusions. Identify any modifications to the report conclusions and provide justification. Confirm if the review complies with the statement of work. Identify deliverables included in the application package. Include a narrative concerning key elements of the reviews, the appropriate HUD forms, and their correspondence with the design architect.>>

Vac

NTo

Construction Progress Schedule

<< Provide narrative discussion of the construction period as projected by the general contractor and project architect. Indicate if architectural reviewer agrees. Typically, an updated Construction Progress Schedule that accurately reflects the month and date of construction start and completion will be needed prior to closing.>>

Conclusion

<< Indicate if the review architect has appropriately addressed all architectural aspects of the development and the firm commitment application.>>

Cost Review

Da	te of report:		
Re	view firm:		
Co	st analyst:		
Ke	y Questions		
1	And the control of 100/ hat are the ground and the control of 100/	Yes	No
1.	Are there any variances in excess of 10% between the general contractor's form HUD-92328-ORCF line items and the cost analyst's form HUD-92326?		
2.	Is the total reflected on the cost analyst's form HUD-92326 more than 10% higher or lower than the total cost breakdown on form HUD-92328-ORCF?		
3.	Will any one subcontractor, material supplier, or equipment lessor be awarded more than 50% of the construction contract?		
4.	Will three or fewer subcontractors, material suppliers, or equipment lessors be awarded more than 75% of the construction contract in aggregate?		
5.	Does or will the contractor have any identities of interest with any subcontractors, material suppliers, or equipment lessors?		
6.	Did the cost analyst find any evidence of front-loading in the contractor's cost estimate?		
7.	Is the builder's overhead more than 2% of the total land improvements, total structures and general requirements?		
8.	Did the third-party cost reviewer find the form HUD-92328-ORCF unacceptable?		
9.	Are the form HUD-92328-ORCF, B108 and form HUD-92264a-ORCF inconsistent?		
10	If a Cost Plus Construction contract is utilized, is a General Contractor's Cost omitted from the form HUD-92328-ORCF?		

<< For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative explanation and justification regarding the topic.>>

Cost Overview

<<Confirm the cost reviewer performed the cost review pursuant to Section 232 standards. The deliverables in the application package include a narrative concerning the cost analysis, the appropriate HUD forms, and cost data. For example, "The cost analyst performed a comparison analysis and compared them to the contractor's final schedules of values (forms HUD-92328-ORCF). The cost analyst ultimately concludes to the contractor's schedule of values. The underwriter concurs.">>>

Construction Costs (Form HUD-92328-ORCF)

<<Discuss the cost analyst's review of the final forms HUD-92328-ORCF supplied by the contractor and owner after completing an independent cost analysis. Confirm the analyst found no front-loading in the final costs reflected in the HUD-92328-ORCF submitted. Indicate the analyst completed the HUD 9236 in accordance with HUD guidelines and those forms are included in the appropriate section of the application package.</p>

Provide a breakdown of the costs from the form HUD-92328-ORCF, Contractor's and/or Borrower's Cost Breakdown, included in the application package. The form totals \$XXX and is summarized as follows (complete the following table or provide equivalent detail):

Description	Cost
Structures	
Accessory structures	
Land improvements	
General requirements	
Builder's overhead	
Builder's profit	
Other fees	
Bond premium	
Total construction contract	

Construction Contract		
Type:	Cost Plus	Lump Sun

General Requirements

<<The contractor's estimate of general requirements totals \$XXX. The cost analyst has determined that the proposed cost of the general requirements and the sub-items included in it are reasonable. The underwriter concurs.>>

Other Fees - General Contractor

The form HUD-92328-ORCF includes other fees to be paid the general contractor totaling \$\) . The other fees to be paid by the general contractor include the following:

Schedule of Other Fees included in Construction Contract

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Line	Description	Am ount
А	Survey	
В	Cost Certification	
С	Municipal Inspections	
D	Special Engineering Tests/Fees	
E	Sp ecial Taxes	
F	Permits	
G		
Н		
I		
J		
TO TAL		\$ -

<< The cost analyst has reviewed the schedule of other fees and determined the items and the total cost to be reasonable. The underwriter concurs.>>

Bond Premium/Assurance of Completion

<<Provide narrative discussion of either construction bond (bonding company, contractor's bond capacity, etc.) or the Assurance of Completion escrow (15% or 25% of contract, cash or letter of credit, etc. Also, address whether the surety is listed on the Treasury Circular and is authorized to issue bonds in the state for the required amount.>>

Unusual Site Improvements

<< Describe unusual site improvements and applicable costs, if any.>>

Architect's Fees

Program Guidance: In situations where there are multiple architects, submit each B108 as a separate exhibit in the firm application that corresponds to the below table (a, b, c, etc.).

	Function			Exhibit
	(Design,		Percent of Total	Number
Architect Name	Supervision, Other)	Amount of Fee	Architect's Fees	(a, b, c, etc.)

Confirm there is not an identity of interest between the borrower and the architect or if there is, discuss the separate supervising architect and his/her B108. Confirm if the cost analyst and underwriter find the architectural fees to be reasonable in total and for the cost of design/supervision.>>

Other Fees-Borrower

Schedule of Other Fees to be Paid by Borrower

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information) Line Description Amount Survey - Land and Final "As Built" Α **Building Permits** В Soils Report С Traffic Study Е Impact Fees Hook-up Fees F G **Emergency Call System** Н Ι J TOTAL

<< The cost analyst has reviewed the schedule of other fees to be paid by the borrower and determined the items and the total cost to be reasonable. The underwriter concurs.>>

Off-Site and Demolition

<<Describe any off-site work to be accomplished and who will be performing the work. If the general contractor is responsible, describe the cost attributed to it and the cost reviewer's conclusions about the work and the cost. If the city will be performing the work, describe any cost or hookup fee related.>>.

<< Describe any demolition that may apply; discuss costs and any other requirements or issues.>>

Proposed Major Movable Equipment as part of 241a

	e borrower has provided a major movable	r ተ		
IIST	and budget totaling:	<u></u>		
Ke	ey Questions			
			Yes	No
1.	The cost analyst found the list acceptable and	d the budget is reasonable.		
	The lender concurs with the analyst's conclujustification for any differences.	•		
3.	The underwriter notes that a copy of the maj	or movable list is included as an		

Exhibit to the Draft Firm Commitment submitted with this package matches the Form HUD-92264a-ORCF and Firm Commitment Draft.

<< For each "no" answer above, provide a narrative explanation and justification regarding the topic.>>

Conclusion

<< Provide lender's conclusions and wrap up of the cost review. Reiterate if any of the cost analyst's conclusions were modified and justified in the lender's underwriting.>>

Replacement Reserves

Program Guidance: Handbook 4232.1, Section II Production, Chapter 2.8.

Underwritten Reserve for Replacement

Annual Replacement Reserve Deposit Summary					
	Annually	Per Unit			
Existing deposit to the reserve for replacement	\$	\$			
Additional reserve for replacement <u>proposed</u> :	\$	\$			
Total	\$	\$			

Initial Replacement Reserve Deposit Summary				
		Per Unit		
Existing reserve for replacement balance Additional initial deposit to reserve for	\$	\$		
replacement account	\$	\$		
Total balance at initial closing	\$	\$		

<< Provide narrative discussion regarding how the above amounts were determined.>>

Appraisal Date of valuation: Date of report: Appraisal firm: Appraiser:

License no./State:

The report was prepared to comply with the reporting requirement outlined under the USPAP as a self-contained report. The report also complies with the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics of the Appraisal Institute and the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 (FIRREA), Title XI Regulations.

The report was prepared in accordance with the ORCF Appraisal Guidelines.

Key Questions

		Yes	No
1.	Will there be a ground lease?		
2.	Are any tax credits involved in this transaction?		
3.	Do the underwriting assumptions include any real estate tax abatements or exemptions?		
4.	Are there any special escrows or reserves proposed for this transaction?		
5.	Does the underwriting include income from adult day care? (Note: Non-resident adult day care space <u>may not</u> be located on a separate site. The adult day care space will not be considered commercial space; however, the space may not exceed 20% of the gross floor area of the facility and the income may not exceed 20% of gross income. Provide a Certificate of Need or operating license, if applicable.)		
6.	Are there any other issues that require special or a-typical underwriting considerations?		
7.	Does the submission date of the application (date the application enters the queue) exceed the 120-day timeframe from the effective date of the appraisal?		

<<For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding the topic. For example, Item 3, Real Estate Tax Abatement – The borrower will be receiving an abatement of real estate taxes for at least two years after opening the facility. The abatement is to be 70% of the taxes due. We have not assumed the abatement for valuation purposes. The underwriter has, however, excluded 70% of the underwritten taxes from the debt service calculation and from the initial operating deficit calculation.>>

Hypothetical Conditions and Extraordinary Assumptions

Hypothetical Conditions

<<Identify any conditions that are contrary to what exists but are supposed for the purpose of analysis. For example, "The appraisal assumes that the proposed/required repairs are completed. There are no other hypothetical conditions.">>

Extraordinary Assumptions

<<Identify any assumptions specific to this assignment that if found to be false, could alter the appraiser's opinions or conclusions. For example, "The appraisal assumes the subject project meets the state licensing requirements and that the facility is constructed as planned. There are no other extraordinary assumptions.>>

Jurisdictional Exceptions

<<These are rare and should be discussed with HUD before invoking. >>

Market Analysis

Dā	ate of analysis:		
M	arket analysis firm:		
M	arket analyst:		
K	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Is the subject located in a declining market in terms of population, target population, real estate values, or employment?		
2.	Are there any negative market influences that require special consideration?		
3.	Is there a projected or current oversupply that could affect the subject?		
< <	<for "yes"="" a="" above,="" answer="" discussion="" each="" narrative="" p="" provide="" regarding="" the="" to<=""></for>	pic, desc	ribing
	e risk and how it is mitigated. For example, "Oversupply: The projected oversu ecifically addressed in the Risk Factors section of this narrative.">>	ıpply is	

Market Overview

<< Provide an overview of the market analysis, including general growth and population information, barriers to entry, unique market influences, etc. Please be brief in this section and refrain from pasting large sections from the market study here.>>

Primary Market Area

<<Describe primary market area and method of selection (e.g., distance, zip codes, etc.). When making your conclusions about the size of the PMA, pay close attention to where the existing competitors are drawing their tenants from.>>

Target Population

<< Describe age, income, and type of resident (i.e., assisted living, independent, dementia, etc.) and acuity of care.>>

Demand

<<Describe age, income, and type of resident (i.e., assisted living, independent, dementia, etc.)
and acuity of care of the target population. Describe target population demographics and
demand factors.>>

Competitive Environment (Supply)

<<Describe and identify competing facilities, planned facilities, facilities under construction, and other supply factors that compete with the subject facility. Description of supply should include types of facilities, acuity, and occupancy. Discuss recent and/or historic absorption of competitive units. Discuss any perceived changes to competitive environment.>>

Conclusion

<< Provide conclusion of market analysis: summarize demand, market saturation, continued health of market, negative and positive factors impacting the continued demand for the subject's units/beds.>>

Income Capitalization Approach

Census Mix

An analysis of the subject and market comparable census mix is provided below.

Census Mix – Market Comparables (% of beds not revenue)

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Care Type	Comparable Name	Occupancy	Survey Time Period	Private Pay	Medicare	Medicaid	Veterans Admin	HMO (Insurance)	Other	Total
Select	Subject (Currently Achieving)		Select							0.0%
Select			Select							0.0%
Select			Select							0.0%
Select			Select							0.0%
Select			Select							0.0%
Select			Select							0.0%
Select			Select							0.0%
Select			Select							0.0%
Average	S	#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
Appraisa	al (Market)	#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
Lender's	(DSC)	#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
Ac is Co	nclusion	#DIV/01		#DIV/01	#DIV/01	#DIV/01	#DIV/01	#DIV/01	#DIV/01	

<<Indicate if the percentages quoted represent a single day survey, or are a year-over average. Provide narrative discussion of conclusion. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide the above analysis for each care type. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. Additional analysis can be provided at the Lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Subject Occupancy History - As Is

A summary of the subject's occupancy is provided below.

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

CARE TYPE	Historical Occupancy	Year ending XX/XX/XX	Year ending XX/XX/XX	Year ending XX/XX/XX	T-12 specify dates	Appraisal (Market)	Lender (for DSCR)
CI :II I	Potential Days						
Skilled Nursing	Actual Days						
Truising	Occupancy	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Assisted	Potential Days						
Living /Board	Actual Days						
& Care	Occupancy	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
	Potential Days						
Memory Care	Actual Days						
	Occupancy	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
	Potential Days						
Independent Living	Actual Days						
Living	Occupancy	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
	Potential Days						
Other	Actual Days						
I		I	l	· ·	I		

<<Provide a brief narrative discussion the occupancy of conclusions. Address any significant
shifts in occupancy. >>

Effective Gross Income

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Period	App	raisal (Mai	rket)	Len	der (for DS	CR)		As Is	
Income Source	Total \$	# of Days	Per Day	Total \$	# of Days	Per Day	Total \$	# of Days	Per Day
SN-Private-pay			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!
SN-Medicaid			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!
SN-Medicare (Part A)			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!
SN-HMO/Ins/Medicare (Part C)			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!
SN-Veterans Admin (VA)			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!
SN-Other Payors			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!
AL/B&C-Private-pay			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!
AL/B&C-Medicaid			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!
MC-Private-pay			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!
MC-Medicaid			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!
MC-Other Payors			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!
IL-Private-pay			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!
IL-Other Payors			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!
Residential Revenue Achieved	\$0	0	#DIV/0!	\$0	0	#DIV/0!	\$0	0	#DIV/0!
Medicare Part B									
e.g. Therapy									
e.g. Level of Care Fees									
e.g. Second Occupant Fees									
e.g. Commercial Space									
e.g. Day Care									
Effective Gross Income	\$0	0	#DIV/0!	\$0	0	#DIV/0!	\$0	0	#DIV/0!

<< Above you are asked to report the number of resident days, not occupied units. Although Assisted Living is typically reported on an occupied unit basis, we ask that you convert that number to resident days. Do not enter potential gross incomes here, but rather effective gross income, wherein vacancy has already been accounted for.>>

<< Provide narrative discussion and support for each other income category as appropriate A few examples follow:

Additional Personal Care Fees

The project bases additional care fees on levels of care needed as determined by the initial assessment and subsequent assessments as needed. The appraiser concludes to a <u>net</u> amount of \$X annually based on his analysis of comparable data <<insert comparable data as appropriate. Identify any modification from the appraiser's concluded fees and provide justification.>>

Second Occupant Income

The appraiser has included a net annual projection of X second occupants at X per month. Competitive facilities in the market place report second occupant charges ranging between X and X with a range of X to X second occupants. Based on the market, the underwriter concurs with the appraiser's conclusion for a net annual income of X. Identify any modification from the appraiser's concluded fees and provide justification.

Miscellaneous Income <<delete paragraph if not applicable>>

In addition to room rents, additional care, and second occupant income, the project will receive miscellaneous income from <list miscellaneous>>. The appraiser has included a net annual projection of \$X. Typically, miscellaneous income is between x and x percent of effective income. The appraiser's conclusion is x. The underwriter has concluded to a net \$X per annum (calculation shown). Identify any modification from the appraiser's concluded fees and provide justification.>>

Rents

The rent schedule is currently as follows:

- << Insert a summary chart of the rent schedule here that shows rents, number of units, and room/service types.>>
- <>Discuss the subject rent schedule. For skilled nursing and other facilities, a daily rate may be more appropriate than a monthly conclusion. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type.>>
- << <u>Instructions</u>: Each type of care should have its own subsection below discussing the payor source identified in the rent schedule, as demonstrated below. You may delete the sections (Skilled Nursing, Assisted Living, and Independent Living) that do not apply to your subject.>>

SKILLED NURSING

Private Pav

The appraiser and underwriter analyzed the private pay rates at XXX comparable facilities. A summary of their analysis is provided below.

Rent Comparability Analysis

(Rent per resident day)

	` '		,	,	
(Double click	inside the	e Excel	Table to a	add informati	on)

Skilled Nursing - Private Pay	Unadjusted Rate	Optional Notes	Unadjusted Rate	Optional Notes	Unadjusted Rate	Optional Notes	Unadjusted Rate	Optional Notes
Unit Type	Select		Select		Select		Select	
Subject (Current Achieving)								
Rent Comp 1 - Name		e.g. superior						
Rent Comp 2 - Name		e.g. care extra						
Rent Comp 3 - Name		e.g. most similar						
Rent Comp 4 - Name								
Rent Comp 5 - Name								
Rent Comp 6 - Name								
Rent Comp 7 - Name								
Rent Comp 8 - Name								
Rent Comp 9 - Name								
Rent Comp 10 - Name								
Market Average	#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
Appraisal Conclusion								
Lender's Conclusion								

<< Provide narrative discussion of private pay rate conclusion. Discuss how the rate conclusion compares to the achieved rents shown on the rent roll. Expand or shorten the table above as needed to accommodate the types of rooms or the number of comparables used. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate. Identify any modification from the appraiser's concluded rent and provide justification.>>

Daily rate – Underwriting:	Medicare \$	Appraisal:	\$						
Subject's historical average	rh.	Time period of							
RUG Rate:		quoted average:							
< <identify "the="" \$xx="" (rug)="" 12-month="" <<date="" a="" analysis="" anticipated="" any="" appraiser="" are="" average="" based="" changes="" concluded="" conclusion.="" detailed="" determine="" discussion="" example:="" facility's="" for="" group="" last="" medicare="" narrative="" of="" on="" operating="" operation="" over="" period.="" prd.="" provide="" provided="" rate="" rate.="" rates="" reimbursement="" resource="" rug="" the="" to="" used="" utilization="" weighted="">> rates. The underwriter concurs with the appraiser's conclusion.">>></identify>									
Medicaid									
Daily Rate – Underwriting:		Appraisal:	\$						
Published Rate:	\$	Date of Rate							

<<Provide narrative discussion of the state's reimbursement system and how the subject's or tenant's rate is determined. If rate is facility specific, discuss evidence of current or prospective rate. If rate is based on resident care requirements, provide an analysis of the last 12-months of rates for this payor source, as appropriate. Identify and discuss any other sources or copayments that are required, e.g., Supplemental Security Income (SSI). Identify any anticipated changes to the reimbursement rate, such as when rates are tied to depreciating capital components .>>

ASSISTED LIVING

Private Pay

The appraiser and underwriter analyzed the assisted living rents at XXX comparable facilities. A summary of their analysis is provided below.

Rent Comparability Analysis

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Select	Unadjusted Rate	Adjusted	Unadjusted Rate	Adjusted	Unadjusted Rate	Adjusted	Unadjusted Rate	Adjusted
Unit Type	Sel	ect	Select		Select		Select	
Subject (Current Achieving)								
Rent Comp 1 - Name								
Rent Comp 2 - Name								
Rent Comp 3 - Name								
Rent Comp 4 - Name								
Rent Comp 5 - Name								
Rent Comp 6 - Name								
Rent Comp 7 - Name								
Rent Comp 8 - Name								
Rent Comp 9 - Name								
Rent Comp 10 - Name								
Market Average	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Appraisal Conclusion								
Lender's Conclusion								

<<Provide narrative discussion of conclusion. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate. Identify any modification from the appraiser's concluded rent and provide justification.>>

Medicaid

<<If applicable, provide narrative discussion of state's reimbursement system and how the subject's or tenant's rate is determined. If rate is facility specific, discuss evidence of prospective rate. If rate is based on resident care requirements, provide an analysis of how the concluded rent was determined. Identify and discuss any other sources or copayments that are required (e.g., SSI). Identify any modification from the appraiser's concluded rent and provide justification.>>

INDEPENDENT UNIT RENTS

The appraiser and underwriter analyzed the independent living rents at XXX comparable facilities. A summary of their analysis is provided below.

Rent Comparability Analysis

(Rent per unit)

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Independent Living - Private Pay	Unadjusted Rate	Adjusted	Unadjusted Rate	Adjusted	Unadjusted Rate	Adjusted	Unadjusted Rate	Adjuste
Unit Type	Sel	ect	Sel	ect	Select		Sel	ect
Subject (Current Achieving)								
Rent Comp 1 - Name								
Rent Comp 2 - Name								
Rent Comp 3 - Name								
Rent Comp 4 - Name								
Rent Comp 5 - Name								
Rent Comp 6 - Name								
Rent Comp 7 - Name								
Rent Comp 8 - Name								
Rent Comp 9 - Name								
Rent Comp 10 - Name								
Market Average	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0! #DIV	
Appraisal Conclusion								
Lender's Conclusion								
	1							

<<Provide narrative discussion of conclusion. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. Identify any modification from the appraiser's concluded rent and provide justification.>>

Expenses

Comparable Expense Data

Expense Analysis –Comparables (Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

(= 52333 51			to add iiiioiii		
Expense Comparables	Name, City, State	Name, City, State	Name, City, State	Name, City, State	Name, City State
Expense Categories	Comp 1	Comp 2	Comp 3	Comp 4	Comp 5
e.g. General & Administrative					
e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits					
e.g. Resident Care					
e.g. Food Services					
e.g. Activities					
e.g. Housekeeping & Laundry					
e.g. Maintenance					
e.g. Utilities					
e.g. Marketing and Promotion					
e.g. Insurance (property & liability)					
e.g. Bad Debt					
Other - Add rows as needed					
Sub-total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Real Estate (Property) Taxes					
Management Fees					
Replacement Reserves					
Total Expenses	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Expense Percentage	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Effective Gross Income					
Net Operating Income	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Occupancy					
Number of Resident Days					
Date of Expense Information	e.g. Jul-12				

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

(202016	(Double thek hiside the Excel 16		dore to dud information)							
Expense Categories	As-Proposed Appraisal (Market)		As-Proposed Lender		As-Proposed Lender (DSCR)		As	I _e		
Expense Categories	Арртаізаі	r (warker)	(IVI d	(Market)		CR)	AS	-15		
	Total	Per Occpd. Unit/Bed	Total	Per Occpd. Unit/Bed	Total	Per Occpd. Unit/Bed	Total	Per Occpd. Unit/Bed		
e.g. General & Administrative		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
e.g. PayrollTaxes and Benefits		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
e.g. Resident Care		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
e.g. Food Services		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
e.g. Activities		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
e.g. Housekeeping & Laundry		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
e.g. Maintenance		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
e.g. Utilities		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
e.g. Marketing and Promotion		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
e.g. Insurance (property & liability)		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
e.g. Bad Debt		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
Sub-total	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!		
Real Estate (Property) Taxes		\$1.00		\$1.00		\$1.00		\$1.00		
Management Fees		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
Rep lacement Reserves		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
Total Expenses	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!		
Expense Percentage	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Effective Gross Income		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
Net Operating Income	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!		
Occup an cy	#DI	V/0!	#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!			
Potential# Res Days OR Units Annually										
Actual# Res Days OR Occ. Units										

<<Provide narrative discussion of comparable information. The appraiser should trend the expense comparables to the effective date of the appraisal. An explanation of the adjustments should be included here. Explain any other adjustments made to the comparables such as for normalization of reserves, management fee, taxes, etc., required to put the comparables on the same footing as the subject. For skilled nursing and other facilities, resident days are more appropriate than occupied units. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type.>>

Capitalization Rate

<<The selection of the capitalization rate should be primarily based on recent sales rather than from investment models. Ideally, these rates would come from the Building Sales Comparables. However, these are often chosen by location before sale date. Recent cap rate data should be included every time, even if an additional set of cap rate comps or a survey needs to be introduced. In the table below, please add columns or duplicate the table as needed to accommodate additional comps.>>

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Capitalization Rate Summary	City	State	Cap Rate	Type of NOI	Private Pay %	Medi-care %	Medi-caid %	Date of Sale	Year Built	Occ. %	Source of Income
Cap Rate Comp 1 - Name	j		-	Select							Select
Cap Rate Comp 2 - Name				Select							Select
Cap Rate Comp 3 - Name				Select							Select
Cap Rate Comp 4 - Name				Select							Select
Cap Rate Comp 5 - Name				Select							Select
Cap Rate Comp 6 - Name				Select							Select
Cap Rate Comp 7 - Name				Select							Select
Cap Rate Comp 8 - Name				Select							Select
Cap Rate Comp 9 - Name				Select							Select
Cap Rate Comp 10 - Name				Select							Select
Comparable Total/Average		#DIV/0!									
Compare to Appraiser's Conclusion	on for Subj	ect	1	Prospective	•						
As Is			#DIV/0!								

<<Provide narrative discussion as necessary. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Sales Comparison Approach

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Summary of Comparable Sales	City	State	Sales Price	# of Units/Beds	Price Unadjusted	Price Adjusted	Year Built	Date of Sale
Sales Comp 1 - Name					#DIV/0!			
Sales Comp 2 - Name					#DIV/0!			
Sales Comp 3 - Name					#DIV/0!			
Sales Comp 4 - Name					#DIV/0!			
Sales Comp 5 - Name					#DIV/0!			
Sales Comp 6 - Name					#DIV/0!			
Sales Comp 7 - Name					#DIV/0!			
Sales Comp 8 - Name					#DIV/0!			
Sales Comp 9 - Name					#DIV/0!			
Sales Comp 10 - Name					#DIV/0!			
Comparable Total/Average					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Appraiser's Conclusion for Subject								
As Is								

Price per Unit/Bed

<<Provide narrative discussion. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate analysis for each care type. Include a general discussion of adjustments made to the sales and which comparables best represent the subject facility. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Effective Gross Income Multiplier (EGIM)

<<Provide narrative discussion. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate analysis for each care type. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Cost Approach

Development Cost

<< Provide narrative discussion. This section is a place for the lender to summarize the cost conclusions of the appraisal. The costs in this section will be different than those in the Cost Review Section. This section will focus on market costs, as opposed to the Cost Reviewer Section that is geared toward HUD-specific costs, such as Davis-Bacon wages. >>

Depreciation

<< With new construction, this typically does not apply. However, if the appraiser concludes there is external obsolescence or depreciation associated with a preexisting structure, it should be discussed here.>>

Major Movable Equipment

<< Provide narrative discussion of assumptions and conclusion. Address discrepancies between appraiser and cost analyst. Additionally, address ownership of the major movable equipment (e.g., borrower or operator).>>

Land Value

<< Provide narrative discussion of assumptions and conclusion. Include an analysis of the comparable data.>>

Reconciliation

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Approach	Appraisal - As	Underwriter - As	Appraisal - As Is	Underwriter - As Is
	Proposed	Proposed		
In come Capitalization				
Sales Comparison				
Cost Approach (if utilized)				
Conclusion:				

<<Provide narrative discussion of how the value approaches were reconciled to reach the final conclusions. The statement may be simple. For example, "As demonstrated in the Appraisal Overview section above, the underwritten value conclusion is based on the income approach to value." If the value conclusion is based on weighting multiple approaches provide an explanation of the rationale.>>

Lender Modifications

<<State if the lender concurs or not with the appraiser's value conclusion. When there is a disagreement, summarize the valuation modifications made by the lender underwriter. Insert a pro forma to highlight the differences in conclusions as needed. View the appraisal as a tool to do your underwriting and loan sizing correctly. Lenders should not use a value they disagree with and are allowed to use a lower value/NOI for loan sizing purposes. If lenders feel they are prohibited from doing this, they should cite the FIRREA rule at issue in the narrative.>>

Initial Operating Deficit

Note that existing operations may be considered in the prelease.

Unit type	# of Beds	UW Occupancy	# of Preleases	Avg. Mont Absorptio
Assisted Living				
Memory Care				
Skilled Nursing/Sub-Acute				
Independent Living				
Total				
		_		
Months to breakeven				
Initial Operating Deficit		1		

<< Use form 91128-ORCF to calculate the Initial Operating Deficit. Enter a summary above and a narrative explanation below as needed below.>>

T.	\sim	. •	
Kev	()11	estic	ms

		Yes	No
1.	Has the lender revised the expense floors in the Form HUD-91128-ORCF Template?		
< <	< If yes, please explain the modifications made and provide justification for thes	se change	s.>>

ALTA/ACSM Land Title Survey Date: Firm: **Key Questions** Yes No 1. Have there been any material changes in the legal description of the property since the date of the existing survey (e.g., due to a partial release, the addition of property or both)? 2. Have any new easements affecting the property been granted since the date of the existing survey (other than blanket easements or other easements that clearly do not conflict with use of project facilities, as determined by HUD)? 3. Have any additional improvements (including driveways and parking areas) been constructed on the property since the date of the existing survey? *If you answer "no" to all of the above questions, copies of the most recent signed and certified* "as-built" survey, accepted by HUD, must be provided (originals are not required). No further review is needed. If copies are not available, a current "as-built" survey, confirming to the **HUD Survey Instructions & Owner's Certification** may be required and the ALTA/ASCM Land Title Survey addendum must be attached to this narrative. If a current "as-built" survey is submitted, COMPLETE THE KEY QUESTIONS BELOW.>> << If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, a current "as-built" survey, confirming to the HUD Survey Instructions & Owner's Certification is required. COMPLETE THE QUESTIONS BELOW.>> Yes No

4.	Are there any differences between the legal description on the survey		
	and legal description included in the pro forma title policy, third party		
	appraisal, Phase 1 and Exhibit A of the Firm Commitment?		
5.	Are there any revisions or modification required to the survey prior to		
	closing?		
6.	Does the survey indicate any boundary encroachments?		
7.	Does the survey evidence any buildings encroaching on utility or other		
	easements or rights-of-way?		
8.	Are there any unusual circumstances or items that require special		
	attention or conditions?		

<>For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk <u>and</u> how it will be mitigated <u>and</u> the effect on value or the marketability of the project. For example, "<u>Encroachments</u>: The survey indicates an encroachment of the adjoining property

•	nce on the easterly portion of the property. An encroachment endorsement will osing. There is no impact on the value or marketability of the project.>>	be receiv	ed at
		Yes	No
<u>Ti</u>	<u>tle</u>		
Ti	tle Search		
	rm:		
Fil	e number:		
Ke	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Is the title currently vested in an entity or individual other than the proposed borrower?		
2.	Does the report indicate that delinquent real estate taxes are owed?		
3.	Does the report indicate any outstanding special assessments?		
4.	Does the report identify any outstanding debt that is not disclosed on the borrower's listing of outstanding obligations?		
5.	Are there or will there be any Use and Maintenance Agreements associated with this facility?		
	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic des <u>d</u> how it will be mitigated.>>	cribing th	he risk
Pi	o-forma Policy		
Da	te/Time:		
Fii	m:		
Po	licy Number:		
Ke	ey Questions	Yes	No
1.	Is the title vested in an entity or individual other than the proposed borrower?		
2.	Are there any covenants, , encumbrances, liens, restrictions, or other exceptions indicated on Schedule B-1?		
3.	Are there any use or affordability restrictions remaining in effect on the property?		
4.	Are there any easements or rights-of-way listed that are not indicated on the survey?		

		Yes	No			
5.	Are there any endorsements included aside from the standard HUD-required endorsements?					
6.	Are there any subordination agreements, encroachments or similar issues that require HUD's approval?					
7.	Are there any other matters requiring special consideration, agreements, or conditions that require HUD's attention?					
8.	Are there any easements, rights-of-way, encroachments, etc., identified on Schedules B-1 and B-2 that, in the lenders opinion, affect value or the marketability of the project?					
ex the	< <for "additional="" "yes"="" a="" above,="" answer="" as="" conform="" current="" described="" discussion="" does="" each="" endorsements:="" example,="" factors="" for="" in="" lender="" narrative="" narrative,="" not="" of="" or="" past="" provide="" recommends="" regarding="" requirements.="" risk="" section="" the="" to="" topic.="" xxxx="" zoning="">></for>					
<u>E</u>	<u>nvironmental</u>					
Pr	ogram Guidance: Handbook 4232.1, Section II, Production, Chapter 7.					
	s the lender's responsibility to review the Phase I and all other environmental cumentation to ensure that all environmental requirements are met.	review				
fro U.	sistance Prior to Application Submission: Many Federal agencies require con m HUD. This list includes, but is not limited to, State Coastal Zone Managem S. Fish and Wildlife service, and local/regional Native American tribes. In this case contact <u>LeanThinking@hud.gov</u> in advance of the application submission.	ent council s instance,	- 1			
Pl	nase I Environmental Site Assessment					
Da	te of inspection:					
	rm:					
Co	nsultant:					
K	ey Questions					

1.	Was the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) performed in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Practice E 1527-13 (or the most current version)?	Yes	No
2.	Was the Phase I consultant provided with an accurate description of all repairs, site work, construction and/or demolition to be completed?		
3.	Does the Phase I investigation include all of the following?		
	A reconnaissance of the subject site and the immediate surrounding area, a review of regulatory agency information, a survey of local geological and topographical maps, a review of aerial photographic studies, a survey of water sources, and a review of historical information.		
<<	Explain any "no" answer above. >>		
Ke	y Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Does the Phase I ESA recommend a Phase II assessment, other reports, or additional testing?		
2.	Does the Phase I or Phase II assessment indicate that remediation is required or ongoing?		
3.	Does the Phase I ESA indicate that a monitoring well or testing well (operating or non-operating) is located on the site?		
4.	Does the report indicate evidence of any soil staining or distressed vegetation, unusual odors, pools of liquid, leaking containers or equipment, hazardous materials, or other unidentified substances?		
5.	Does the report indicate evidence of any chemical misuse or unlawful dumping at the site?		
6.	Does the report indicate the presence or suspected presence of any underground storage tanks or aboveground storage tanks on the site?		
7.	Does the report's review of all major governmental databases for listings of potentially hazardous sites within the ASTM required search distances from the property identify any potential contamination concerns for the property?		
8.	Do the Phase I or II reports recommend any required repairs?		
9.	Does the Vapor Encroachment Screen identify a "vapor encroachment condition" (VEC)? (The vapor encroachment screen must be performed using Tier 1 "non-invasive" screening pursuant to ASTM E 2600-10 or most recent edition.)		
10.	Is the Phase I site inspection date more than 180 days before the date the firm commitment application was submitted? A Phase I that was conducted more than 180 days before the application's submission, but not more than one-year before the submission, must be updated pursuant to ASTM E 1527-13 or the most recent edition. (A Phase I ESA that was originally conducted more than one year prior to the application's submission date, even if updated within 180 days of		
	submission, is not acceptable. <u>ORCF is not able to waive this requirement</u> .)		

<<For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated.>>

Radon

Program Guidance: Handbook 4232.1, Section II, Production, Chapter 7.8. Section projects should follow the Substantial Rehabilitation guidance for the existing portional building and any addition should follow the New Construction guidance.	` ′)
Date of Testing:		
Firm:		
Radon Professional:		
Certification/License Information:		
EPA Radon Zone:		
Key Questions—Existing Building		
	Yes	No
1. Was the radon report conducted by a qualified Radon Professional?		
 Was testing performed no earlier than 1 year prior to application submission? 		
3. Were occupants informed of the testing in the manner described in ANSI-AARST MALB-2014 (or more recent edition)?		
4. Is mitigation required due to radon levels at or above 4.0 picocuries per liter (4.0 pCi/L)? (If no, move on).a. Is a mitigation plan in compliance with ANSI-AARST RMS-LB		
2014, Radon Mitigation Standards for Schools and Large Buildings included in the construction scope of work?b. Was an Operations and Maintenance Plan included in the application?		
<< Provide narrative discussion of radon risk applicable to the subject project.>>		
Key Questions—New Construction Portion of the Project	Yes	No
1. Does the construction scope of work include radon resistant construction as required by Chapter 7.8?		
<< Provide narrative discussion of radon risk applicable to the subject project.>>		
Lender Comments <- Provide a brief summary of comments made by underwriter. If none, state none	.>>	

Other Environmental Concerns

Key Questions

	, -	Yes	No
1.	Is the subject located within a designated coastal barrier resource area? (If no, provide evidence.)		
2.	Noise:		
	a. Is the subject located within 5 miles of a civil airport or within 15 miles of a military airfield?		
	b. Is the project located within 1,000 feet of major highways or busy roads?		
	c. Is the project located within 3,000 feet of a railroad?		
	d. Is the subject's marketability impacted by noise?		
3.	Are there existing or proposed stationary tanks containing explosive or fire- prone materials on the site or nearby the site that are visible from satellite images or site reconnaissance?		
	a. Was a safety letter from the state or local fire department NOT provided for each tank?		
4.	Are there any wetlands on or adjacent to the subject site?		
	a. If so, will the project impact or disturb wetland areas or their buffer zones?		
5.	Are any repairs or modifications to the project likely to affect any listed or proposed endangered or threatened species or critical habitats?		
6.	Is the subject located on a sole source aquifer?		
7.	Are there any known landfills within ½-mile of the site?		
8.	Is the project subject to an Activity and Use Limitation, Engineering Control, and/or Institutional Control related to an environmental concern? (If so, provide the information to the Phase I environmental consultant.)		
9.	Does the project utilize a private water supply? (If so provide evidence that the water quality meets local, state or Federal standards; for example, evidence that the water meets the EPA Primary Drinking Water Standards.)		
10.	Does the project involve a private sewage treatment system?		
11.	Are any on-site structures located within the easement of an overhead high voltage transmission line?		
12.	Are any buildings located in the fall zone of a support structure for high voltage transmission lines or any other towers?		
13.	Is any structure located within 10 feet of an easement for a high pressure gas or liquid petroleum transportation pipeline?		
	Is a residential structure located within 300 feet of an operating or abandoned oil or gas well? (If so, refer to Handbook 4232.1, Section II, Production, 7.5.K.3.)		
15.	Do any of the repairs change the footprint of the building(s)?		

	Yes	No
16. Does the project site include a structure that was built before 1978? (If no, move on to Question 17)		
a. Was a comprehensive asbestos survey performed by a qualified asbestos inspector pursuant to the "baseline survey" requirements of ASTM E 2356-10 (or most recent edition) NOT provided? (Required for all buildings constructed before 1978. If provided, check "No.")		
b. Did the asbestos survey identify any friable and/or damaged asbestos?		
c. Does the project involve asbestos removal? (Asbestos removal may involve additional risk, and may have a direct impact on residents and workers and ongoing facility operations. An operating deficit, for		
example, may need to be required if removal is to occur after endorsement.)		
17. Does the proposal include demolition of a structure that was built before 1978? (If no, move on to Question 18)		
a. Was a comprehensive asbestos survey performed by a qualified inspector pursuant to the "pre-construction survey" requirements of ASTM E 2356-10 (or most recent edition) NOT provided?		
18. Other than the aforementioned, are there any other environmental issues identified by the Phase I or II reports or lender's due diligence?		
19. Was a floodplain map with the subject site clearly marked on it NOT provided?		
20. Was a preliminary or pending flood map of the project's location available on the FEMA website? If so, provide a copy of this map with the subject site marked on it.		
21. Was a wetland map with the subject site clearly marked on it NOT provided?		
<>For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic des and how it will be mitigated.>>	cribing th	ne risk
Site Work, Ground Disturbance or Digging		
Program Guidance: Handbook 4232.1, Section II Production, 7.5.		
If the project includes any ground disturbance, contact LeanThinking@hud.gov in application submission so that ORCF may initiate agency to agency contact. Includes description including type of project, purpose of the project, the proposed activition and the current condition of the site (what is on the site now) as well as a location view map, site layout map and a topographic map in your request to Lean Thinking.	lude a pro es/site wo 1 map, ae	oject ork,

Examples of ground disturbance include, but are not limited to, tree removal, burying a tank, new parking, changes in building footprint, adding a new fence, etc. If there is uncertainty

regarding what may constitute ground disturbance, contact <u>LeanThinking@hud.gov</u> in advance of application submission.

Ke	ey Questions		
 1. 2. 4. 6. 7. 	Will there be any site work, construction, ground disturbance or digging? (If no, move on) Was a request for Tribal Consultation submitted to LeanThinking@hud.gov in advance of application submittal? Was a site plan provided showing where site work, ground disturbance and/or digging will occur? Was documentation provided showing that a Section 7 Endangered Species review was completed? Was evidence that the project is in compliance with the State's Coastal Zone Management Program provided if located in a designated coastal zone? Did the correspondence with the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) accurately reflect the proposed site work, ground disturbance or digging as well as any planned repairs and/or construction? Are there any wetlands on or adjacent to the site that could be potentially impacted by the construction or site work either directly or indirectly via drainage, etc.? a. If yes, was HUD contacted in advance to conduct an 8 step? Is the project site located in a flood plain? a. If the footprint of the building or pavement will be significantly increased, was HUD contacted in advance to conduct the 8-step decision making process (24 CFR Part 55.20)? Provide relevant narrative for above questions.>>	Yes	
	creases in Units or Beds		
	 Will there be an increase in units or beds? (If no, move on.) a. Are there any current Aboveground Storage Tanks (ASTs) on or directly visible on the site? b. Will any ASTs be added? c. Was an Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) calculation or mitigation plan submitted for all current or proposed ASTs? (Note that a tank safety letter IS NOT sufficient for projects that are increasing in units or beds. Refer to Handbook chapter 7.5.F.) d. Was a HUD compliant noise analysis provided? 	Yes	No
<<	Provide relevant narrative for above auestions.>>		

State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Clearance

Program Guidance: Routine maintenance definition: For SHPO review purposes, HUD has a specific definition of routine maintenance that may differ from other definitions. See Notice CPD-16-02 for HUD's definition.

Note, if the answer to Key Questions 4 or 5 is yes, then the SHPO **must** be contacted. The lender may submit a Section 106 request to SHPO in order to expedite the process.

<< Provide narrative description indicating whether or not SHPO has been contacted, information sent to SHPO, and any response received. For example: "Since we are not making changes to the exterior of the building, and internal repairs are limited to routine maintenance as defined in Notice CPD-16-02 there is no impact on any historical property.">>>

Key Questions

		1 68	INO
1.	Was the SHPO contacted?		
2.	Was the SHPO website for the project's state reviewed for any specific information required by that SHPO and was this information provided?		
3.	Was all correspondence with the SHPO provided in the application?		
4.	Are there any known historic preservation issues related to the subject?		
5.	Does the project involve repairs in excess of routine maintenance (as defined in Notice CPD-16-02), construction, or ground disturbance?		
6.	Have any other archeological or cultural resource centers been consulted?		

<<As applicable, for each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic. For example: "We have received a letter from the XXXX State Historic Preservation Office, dated XXXX. It was determined that the site is of no historical or suspected cultural significance. No additional investigation was recommended by the State." Please indicate if a response has not been received. If the SHPO concluded that the project will have an adverse effect, please explain how this will be mitigated .>>

Area of Potential Effects

Program Guidance: Handbook 4232.1, Section II Production, Chapter 7. In situations where the SHPO was contacted, provide a description of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) that was included in the correspondence that was sent to the SHPO.

<<Provide a narrative discussion on the Area of Potential Effects. For example: "The subject is located in the X Historic District, so we have determined that the APE is the entire Historic District." Or, "The subject is not located near any properties that are on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places, so the APE is only the subject site., etc. >>

V.

NT.

FI	ood Plain			
NF	TIP Map Panel #:	Date:		
<< the		icate whether it is designated as X "(shaded)" or "(unshad ltiple flood zones, identify each zone designation. For exam AE".>>	•	hen
Ke	y Questions			
1.		y participate in the National Flood Insurance Program cated in a FEMA-identified special flood hazard area, where the	Yes	No
_	community has been sus mortgage insurance.)	pended for or does not participate in the NFIP, is <u>not</u> eligible for		
2.	Is flood insurance re	equired for this property?		
3.	flood) or 500-year fl (Use the effective FEMA flood hazard data such d	cated within a100- year floodplain (1% annual chance loodplain(0.2% chance of annual flood)? (If no, move on). A Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) or, when FEMA provides interim as Advisory Base Flood Elevations, preliminary or pending maps, use ess except when the base flood elevations from interim data are lower the current FIRM.)		
4.	If located in a 100-y	rear or 500-year floodplain, was the 8-step documentation NThinking@hud.gov in advance of application		
<<	Provide a narrative	discussion evaluating the floodplain exhibits.>>		
B	<u>orrower</u>			
Na	me:			
Sta	te of organization:			
	te formed:			
	rmination date:			
	scal year-end date:			
	vnership Start Date this Project:			
Κŧ	y Questions			
			Yes	No
1.	or participate in any			
2.	Is or has the borrow	er been delinquent on any federal debt?		

3.	Is or has the borrower been a defendant in any suit or legal action?			
4.	Has the borrower ever filed for bankruptcy or made compromised settlements with creditors?			
5.	Are there judgments recorded against the borrower?			
6.	Are there any unsatisfied tax liens?			
7.	Is the single asset borrower entity registered outside the United States and/or in a state other than where their corporate office is located?			
8.	Does the single asset borrower entity fail to have at least one principal, with operational decision-making authority, as a United States citizen?			
	As applicable, for each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on scribing the risk <u>and</u> how it will be mitigated.>>	the topic		
	ogram Guidance: Handbook 4232.1, Section II Production, Chapter 6.1.D, Fod Corporate Entity Participation	reign Natio	onal	
Organization <-Provide organization chart and narrative, as applicable. At a minimum, all principals of the borrower should be identified.>> Experience/Qualifications <- Provide narrative description of principal's experience with development, lease-up and operations of facilities similar to the proposed project in resident type, regulatory environment, size and complexity of project. Discussion should highlight direct experience and involvement in other transactions. Provide key operating metrics from initial lease-up to stabilization, including fill pace, occupancy and net operating income.>>				
Cr	redit History			
Rej	port Date: << within 60 days of submission>>			
	porting Firm:			
Sco	ore:			
Als	Provide an explanation of the credit score in terms of risk level (i.e., low, medico, if the score is evaluated numerically, explain what value the credit agency plare.>>	_	-	

Κŧ	ey Questions	Vac	Nia
1.	Does the credit report identify any material derogatory information not previously discussed?	Yes	No
2.	Does the underwriter have any concerns related to their review of the credit report?		
	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic des d how it will be mitigated.>>	cribing th	ie risk
Fi	nancial Statements		
Th	e application includes the following Borrower financial statements:		
Ва	lance Sheet as of:		
Κe	ey Questions	T 7	3 .7
1.	Is the balance sheet missing any required information or schedules?	Yes	No
	Does the balance sheet provided include financial data from assets or liabilities not related to owning and operating this facility?		
3.	Did your review and analysis of the balance sheet indicate any other material concerns or weaknesses that need to be addressed?		
4.	Are there any debts on the balance sheet that will survive closing?		
	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic des d how it will be mitigated.>>	cribing th	e risk
< < Qı	eneral Review Provide Narrative and analysis of financial statements as appropriate. In addustions above, working capital should be discussed along with the general final discussion of the entity.		_
C	onclusion		
exe for rev	Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommendation ample, "The borrower is a single-asset entity registered in the state of XXX on the med solely to own and operate the subject project. The organizational docume viewed by counsel and comply with HUD requirements in order to participate acceptable borrower in this transaction.">>	{date}. It ents have i	

Principal of the Borrower - <<enter name of principal here>>

Key Questions					
1	Have any principals of the borrower changed or are any such changes	Yes	No		
1.	proposed that have not been approved by HUD? If yes, provide this section for each principal of the borrower; if no, move to Operator.	on			
Ke	y Questions				
		Yes	No		
1.	Is or has the principal of the borrower been delinquent on any federal debt	t?			
2.	Is or has the principal of the borrower been a defendant in any suit or legal action?				
3.	Has the principal of the borrower ever filed for bankruptcy or made compromised settlements with creditors?				
4.	Are there judgments recorded against the principal of the borrower?				
5.	Are there any unsatisfied tax liens against the principal of the borrower?				
6.	Is this principal a principal of any other HUD-insured projects or principal	S			
	of a project(s) applying for HUD insurance or TPA within the next 18				
months? < <for "yes"="" a="" above,="" answer="" described.<="" discussion="" each="" narrative="" on="" provide="" td="" the="" topic=""><td>o risk</td></for>			o risk		
	and how it will be mitigated. >>				
	- 3				
Oı	ganization				
<<	Not applicable to individuals. If the principal is an <u>entity</u> , provide the follo	owing:>>			
		J			
Na	me:				
Sta	te of organization:				
Da	te formed:				
Te	rmination date:				
<<	As applicable, please provide organization chart and narrative discussion.	>>			
Εx	Experience/Qualifications				
Pro	ogram Guidance: Handbook 4232.1, Section II Production, Chapter 2.5FF				

<< Provide narrative description of principal's experience with development, lease-up and operations of facilities similar to the proposed project in resident type, regulatory environment, size and complexity of project. Discussion should highlight direct experience and involvement in other transactions. Provide key operating metrics from initial lease-up to stabilization, including fill pace, occupancy and net operating income.>>

C	redit History		
Re	eport date: < <within 60="" days="" of="" submission="">></within>		
Re	porting firm:		
<< Al:	ore: <provide (i.e.,="" agency="" an="" credit="" evaluated="" explain="" explanation="" if="" in="" is="" level="" low,="" me="" numerically,="" of="" ore.="" risk="" score="" so,="" terms="" the="" value="" what="">></provide>		•
K	ey Questions		
1	Does the gradit report identify any material day gatewy information not	Yes	No
1.	previously discussed?		
2.	Does the underwriter have any concerns related to their review of the credit report?		
	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic ded how it will be mitigated.>>	escribing th	he risk
	ther Business Concerns/232 Applications ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Does the principal identify any other business concerns?		
	a. Do any of the other business concerns have pending judgments, legal actions/suits, or bankruptcy claims? (If so, a credit report must be obtained on the business concern.)		
	b. If so, was a credit report obtained on the business concern? N/A		
2.	Do the credit reports on the 10% sampling of the other business concerns indicate any material derogatory information?	<u> </u>	
3.	Does the Principal identify any other Section 232 program (i.e., 223(f), 241(a), 223(a)(7), 232(i), or 223(d)) loans on the Consolidated Certification		
	– Principal of Borrower (form HUD-90014-ORCF) and Attachment 2 thereof?		
	As applicable, a "yes" answer requires a narrative discussion on the topic on the topic on the topic on the topic of the mitigated.>>	lescribing (the
< < otl otl de	redit Reports for Other Business Concerns: Provide narrative discussion on other business concerns. For example, "XX ner business concerns. The underwriter reviewed Dunn and Bradstreet credit ner business concerns identified by XXXX. {Discuss each report}. No reports rogatory information that would prohibit XXXXX from participation in this logans	reports foi indicated	

Name of Entity	Report Type (Commercial, etc.)	Report Date	Comments (i.e., any derogatory information, etc.)

Financial Statements – For Party(ies) Responsible for Financial Requirements for Closing and Beyond – <<enter name(s) of responsible party(ies) here>>

<<Complete this section if the borrower entity does not have sufficient financial capacity.>>

Year to date:	< <dates and="" end="" for="" of="" period="" start="">></dates>
Fiscal year ending:	< <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>
Fiscal year ending:	< <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>
Fiscal year ending:	< <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>

<<Include a discussion on the borrower's financial capacity. Include the percentage of owner's equity into the project. The discussion must address: (1) the borrower's net worth; (2) liquidity; (3) the borrower's ability to meet the cash requirements of the project; and (4) the borrower's ability to meet the financial obligations of the project for the long term.>>

<< If Form HUD-92417-ORCF is included, provide discussion on the individual's financial capacity, net worth and liquidity.>>

Effective date (of HUD-92417)	Total assets	Net worth	Total liquidity (cash available)	Comments
	\$	\$	\$	

Conclusion

<< Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommendation. For example, "XXXXX has demonstrated an acceptable credit history and sufficient experience owning and operating other facilities. The underwriter recommends this principal as an acceptable participant in this transaction.">>

<u>Operator</u>		
Name: State of Organization: Date Formed:		
Termination Date: FYE Date:		
Organization < <provide and="" applicable.="" as="" chart="" narrative,="" organization="">></provide>		
Key Questions	Yes	No
2. Has there been a change in the operator that has not been approved by HU or is such a change proposed? If yes, complete remainder of Operator section; if no, move to Management Agent.	JD,	
Management Agent (if applicable)		
Name: Relation to borrower: << Owner Managed/IOI Entity/Independent/Oth Principals/officers:	ner>>	
<< Provide a brief narrative discussion on the existing or proposed managemapplicable.>>	ent agent, if	

Management Agent's Duties and Responsibilities

<<Bri>fly describe the management agent's duties and responsibilities (i.e., will the management agent control the operating accounts; contract for services; recruit, select or train employees; take responsibility for the management of the functional operation of the facility or the execution of the day-to-day policies of the facility; etc.). Also describe the nature of the management agent's compensation and how it was calculated.>>

Experience

<<Provide an explanation of the experience of the management agent. For projects that contain a lease-up component, include a detailed description of lease-up experience. Discussion should highlight direct experience and involvement in other HUD transactions. This section should clearly demonstrate the expertise to successfully manage the facility and meet the obligations of the management agreement.>> >>

M	anagement Agreement (as applicable)		
Da	te of agreement:		
Ag	reement expires:		
Ma	anagement fee:		
Ke	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Does the agreement fail to sufficiently describe the services the agent is responsible for performing and for which the agent will be paid management fees?		
2.	Does the agreement fail to state that the management fees will be computed and paid according to HUD requirements?		
3.	Does the agreement fail to state that HUD may require the owner to terminate the agreement without penalty and without cause upon written request by HUD and contain a provision that gives no more than a 30-day notice of termination?		
4.	Does the agreement fail to state that HUD's rights and requirements will prevail in the event the management agreement conflicts with them?		
5.	Does the agreement fail to state that the management agent will turn over to the owner all of the project's cash trust accounts, investments, and records immediately, but in no event more than 30 days after the date the management agreement is terminated?		
6.	Does the agreement exempt the agent from gross negligence and or willful misconduct?		
7.	Is the Form HUD-9839-ORCF inconsistent with the Management Agreement?		
	If you answer "no" to any of the above questions, identify the risk factor and hitigated below.>>	now it is	

Κŧ	ey Questions	Yes	No
1.	Has there been a change in the management agent or management agreement that has not been approved by HUD, or is such a change proposed? If yes, complete remainder of Management Agent section; if no move to General Contractor.		
Κŧ	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Does the management agent have experience managing other HUD-insured properties?		
	a. Has the agent received any "unsatisfactory" management reviews from HUD?		
	b. Have any managed, owned, or operated properties received REAC scores lower than 60?		
2.	Does the management agent have less than 3-years of experience managing similar properties?	§	
3.	Is or has the management agent been delinquent on any federal debt?		
4.	Is or has the management agent been a defendant in any suit or legal action	?	
5.	Has the management agent ever filed for bankruptcy or made compromised settlements with creditors?	I	
6.	Are there judgments recorded against the management agent?		
	Are there any unsatisfied tax liens?		
	Does (or will) the Management Agent hold the certificate of need, license to provide care, enter into provider agreement(s) with third party payor(s) such as Medicare, Medicaid, or Private Payors, or enter into contracts for patient services?	h	
<u>an</u>	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic of the discussion of th	describing t	he risk
	Project Name Project City State Type of I	Facility	

Reporting Firm: Score: <
Score: <-Provide an explanation of the credit score in terms of risk level (i.e., low, medium, or high). Also, if the score is evaluated numerically, explain what value the credit agency places on the score. >> Key Questions Yes No 1. Does the credit report identify any material derogatory information not previously discussed? 2. Does the underwriter have any concerns related to their review of the credit report? <-For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated.>> Other Facilities Owned, Operated or Managed Key Questions Yes No 1. Does the management agent own, operate, or manage any other facilities? a. Do any of the other facilities have pending judgments; legal actions or suits; or, bankruptcy claims? b. Do any of the other facilities have any open professional liability insurance claims? c. Do any of the other facilities have any open state findings related to instances of actual harm and/or immediate jeopardy (G or higher)? <-For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated. Example: Other Facilities: XXXXX identified XX other facilities it
< <pre><<pre></pre></pre>
Also, if the score is evaluated numerically, explain what value the credit agency places on the score. >> Key Questions Yes No 1. Does the credit report identify any material derogatory information not previously discussed? 2. Does the underwriter have any concerns related to their review of the credit report? <-For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated.>> Other Facilities Owned, Operated or Managed Key Questions Yes No 1. Does the management agent own, operate, or manage any other facilities? a. Do any of the other facilities have pending judgments; legal actions or suits; or, bankruptcy claims? b. Do any of the other facilities have any open professional liability insurance claims? c. Do any of the other facilities have any open state findings related to instances of actual harm and/or immediate jeopardy (G or higher)? <-For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated. Example: Other Facilities: XXXXX identified XX other facilities it
1. Does the credit report identify any material derogatory information not previously discussed? 2. Does the underwriter have any concerns related to their review of the credit report? <
1. Does the credit report identify any material derogatory information not previously discussed? 2. Does the underwriter have any concerns related to their review of the credit report? <
previously discussed? 2. Does the underwriter have any concerns related to their review of the credit report? <
report? < <for "yes"="" a="" above,="" and="" answer="" be="" describing="" discussion="" each="" how="" it="" mitigated.="" narrative="" on="" provide="" risk="" the="" topic="" will="">> Other Facilities Owned, Operated or Managed Key Questions Yes No 1. Does the management agent own, operate, or manage any other facilities? a. Do any of the other facilities have pending judgments; legal actions or suits; or, bankruptcy claims? b. Do any of the other facilities have any open professional liability insurance claims? c. Do any of the other facilities have any open state findings related to instances of actual harm and/or immediate jeopardy (G or higher)? <<for "yes"="" a="" above,="" and="" answer="" be="" describing="" discussion="" each="" example:="" facilities="" facilities:="" how="" identified="" it="" it<="" mitigated.="" narrative="" on="" other="" p="" provide="" risk="" the="" topic="" will="" xx="" xxxxxx=""></for></for>
Other Facilities Owned, Operated or Managed Key Questions Yes No 1. Does the management agent own, operate, or manage any other facilities? a. Do any of the other facilities have pending judgments; legal actions or suits; or, bankruptcy claims? b. Do any of the other facilities have any open professional liability insurance claims? c. Do any of the other facilities have any open state findings related to instances of actual harm and/or immediate jeopardy (G or higher)? <
Key Questions Yes No Does the management agent own, operate, or manage any other facilities? a. Do any of the other facilities have pending judgments; legal actions or suits; or, bankruptcy claims? b. Do any of the other facilities have any open professional liability insurance claims? c. Do any of the other facilities have any open state findings related to instances of actual harm and/or immediate jeopardy (G or higher)? <-For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated. Example: Other Facilities: XXXXXX identified XX other facilities it
 Does the management agent own, operate, or manage any other facilities? a. Do any of the other facilities have pending judgments; legal actions or suits; or, bankruptcy claims? b. Do any of the other facilities have any open professional liability insurance claims? c. Do any of the other facilities have any open state findings related to instances of actual harm and/or immediate jeopardy (G or higher)? <for "yes"="" a="" above,="" and="" answer="" be="" describing="" discussion="" each="" example:="" facilities="" facilities:="" how="" identified="" it="" it<="" li="" mitigated.="" narrative="" on="" other="" provide="" risk="" the="" topic="" will="" xx="" xxxxxx=""> </for>
 Does the management agent own, operate, or manage any other facilities? a. Do any of the other facilities have pending judgments; legal actions or suits; or, bankruptcy claims? b. Do any of the other facilities have any open professional liability insurance claims? c. Do any of the other facilities have any open state findings related to instances of actual harm and/or immediate jeopardy (G or higher)? <for "yes"="" a="" above,="" and="" answer="" be="" describing="" discussion="" each="" example:="" facilities="" facilities:="" how="" identified="" it="" it<="" li="" mitigated.="" narrative="" on="" other="" provide="" risk="" the="" topic="" will="" xx="" xxxxx=""> </for>
 a. Do any of the other facilities have pending judgments; legal actions or suits; or, bankruptcy claims?
suits; or, bankruptcy claims? b. Do any of the other facilities have any open professional liability insurance claims? c. Do any of the other facilities have any open state findings related to instances of actual harm and/or immediate jeopardy (G or higher)? <
insurance claims? c. Do any of the other facilities have any open state findings related to instances of actual harm and/or immediate jeopardy (G or higher)? N/A N/A N/A <-For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated. Example: Other Facilities: XXXXX identified XX other facilities it
to instances of actual harm and/or immediate jeopardy (G or higher)? N/A N/A Solution N/A Soluti
higher)? N/A N/A Solution N/A Solution on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated. Example: Other Facilities: XXXXX identified XX other facilities it
and how it will be mitigated. Example: Other Facilities: XXXXX identified XX other facilities it
Program Guidance: Handbook 4232.1, Section II Production, 8.8.
Dead and Organizat Deaf amount
Past and Current Performance
Indicator Findings Billing <acceptable>></acceptable>

Va Re Re Ph Ph	ontrolling operating expensicancy rates esident turnover ent collection and accounts ysical security ysical condition and maint esident relations	receivable						
the po de	Provide narrative supported principals of the borrower licies and procedures, the sumonstrated acceptable pasticators.">>	and mana Inderwriter	gement ag has conc	gent, as w luded tha	ell as a re t the man	eview of the agement ag	e managem gent has	
M	anagement Certifica	ation						
Ce the sta ter	Provide narrative review. ertification, provided in the residential, commercial andards for projects of this match those stated in the ordinated with the underwi	application and miscella size. The te manageme	n package neous inc erm of the ent agreen	indicates ome colle agreemen nent. The	a manage cted, which nt is for X	ement fee o ch is in lind X-years. T	of XX perce e with indu The stated f	ent of stry fee and
C	onclusion							
<< ext	Provide narrative discuss ample: "The management perience to continue to such angement agent for appro	igent has de cessfully mo	emonstrat anage this	ed an acc facility.	eptable ca The unde	redit histoi rwriter rec	ry and has commends t	
G	eneral Contracto	r						
	ime:	_						
Sta	ate of organization:							
	rety:							
Ke	ey Questions						T 7	a t
1. 2. 3.	Is or has the general control Is or has the general control Has the general contractor	actor been	a defenda	nt in any	suit or leg	al action?	Yes	No

		Yes	No
	settlements with creditors?		
4.	Are there judgments recorded against the general contractor?		
5.	Are there any unsatisfied tax liens?		
6.	Is the general contractor a joint-venture?		
7.	If the general contractor is a subsidiary of another entity, are they relying		
	upon the parent to demonstrate financial capacity? (If yes, provide financial analysis of parent.)		
8.	Did the third party architectural reviewer find the contractor to have insufficient experience?		
	If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, identify the risk factor and tigated below.>>	how it is	
< <	xperience/Qualifications Serovide narrative description of general contractor's experience and qualification should highlight the contractor's experience constructing similar type		
_	ojects. It should discuss the architectural and cost reviewer's analysis of the co perience, bonding capacity, financial capacity, etc.>>	ontractor's	S
C	redit History		
Re	port date: < <within 60="" days="" of="" submission="">></within>		
Re	porting firm:		
Sc	ore:		
Als	Provide an explanation of the credit score in terms of risk level (i.e., low, med so, if the score is evaluated numerically, explain what value the credit agency pore.>>	•	
Ke	ey Questions	Yes	No
3.	Does the credit report identify any material derogatory information not previously discussed?		
4.	Does the underwriter have any concerns related to their review of the credit report?		
	If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, identify the risk factor and tigated below.>>	how it is	

Other Business Concerns-

Νŧ	ey Questions				Yes	No	
1	4. Does the general contractor identify any other business concerns?						
٦.	a. Do any of the other h	5 5					
	actions/suits, or bank		ave penanig jud	N/.	Α 🗌		
	b. If so, was a credit rej	port obtained on the	business conce	rn?	'A 🗌		
5.	Do the credit reports on	the 10% sampling o	of the other busin				
	indicate any material der	rogatory information	n?	N/.	A		
	As applicable, a "yes" a k <u>and</u> how it will be mitig	-	arrative discussi	on on the topic	describing	the	
<u>Cr</u>	redit Reports for Other I	Business Concerns	:				
oth oth de	Provide narrative discus ner business concerns. The ner business concerns identify the properties of the testion that the saction.	e underwriter revie ntified by XXXX. {D	wed Dunn and l iscuss each repo	Bradstreet credi ort}. No report	it reports for s indicated		
	Name of Entity	Report Type (Commercial, etc.)	Report Date	Comments (i.e., any derogate	ory informatio	n, etc.)	
Fi	nancial Statements	5					
	e application includes the		Contractor financ	ial statements:			
Υe	ear to date:	< <dates for="" s<="" td=""><td>tart and end of p</td><td>period>></td><td></td><td></td></dates>	tart and end of p	period>>			
Fis	cal year ending:	< <date end<="" td="" –=""><td>of period>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></date>	of period>>				
Fiscal year ending: < <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>							
Fis	Fiscal year ending: < <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>						
Κe	ey Questions				Yes	Nie	
1. Are less than 3-years of historical financial data available for the general contractor?						No	
2.	Are the financial stateme	ents missing any rec	juired informatio	on or schedules	?		
3.	Is there a pattern of sign				r		
	the years as demonstrate statements?	d in the general con	tractor's Incom	e & Expense			

		Y es	No
4.	Do the Aging of Accounts Payable schedules show any material accounts payables (amount in excess of 5% effective gross income) over 90 days?		
5.	Do the Aging of Accounts Receivable schedules show any material accounts receivables (amounts in excess of 2% of gross income) over 120 days?		
6.	Did your review and analysis of the financial statements indicate any other material concerns or weaknesses that need to be addressed?		
7.	Does the general contractor have less than the required 5% adjusted working capital?		

<>If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, identify the risk factor and how it is mitigated below. For example, Item 7 – Contractor has less than 5% working capital. Contractor may hypothecate fixed assets. The contractor has a sale pending on another building that they have constructed. Lender will provide evidence prior to closing that funds are available to meet the 5% working capital.">>>

General Review

<<Provide narrative and analysis of financial statements as appropriate. In addition to the Key Questions above, net working capital should be discussed along with the general financial stability and strength of the entity.>>

Working Capital Analysis

<< Provide narrative and analysis of contractor's working capital. Analysis should discuss appropriate adjustments to current assets and liabilities; how you account for work-in-progress; lines-of-credit; verifications of deposit; etc.</p>

Example: XXXX current balance sheet is summarized below.

		Financial Statement As of XXXXXXXX		Working Capital Analysis
Current Assets				Analysis
Cash Accounts	\$	1,200,000	\$	1,200,000
Retainage Receivable	•	3,600,000	•	3,600,000
Accounts Receivable		4,900,000		4,700,000
Accounts Receivable - Employees		110,000		-
Accounts Receivable - RELATED		5,000		-
Accounts Receivable - RELATED		25,000		-
Cost & Profit in Excess of Bill		650,000		650,000
Prepaid Insurance		150,000		
Total Current Assets	\$	10,640,000	\$	10,150,000
Current Liabilities				
Retainage Payable	\$	2,680,000	\$	2,680,000
Accounts Payable		4,720,000		4,720,000
Profit Sharing Payable		-		-

Current Portion of Notes Payable	66,000		66,000	
Accrued Payables	445,000		445,000	
Total Current Liabilities	\$ 7,911,000	<u> </u>	7,911,000	

The underwriter has made the following modification for the working capital analysis:

Example:

- Only used accounts receivable less than 90 days old
- Did not use accounts receivable from related parties.
- *Did not include prepaid expenses.*

The underwriter's analysis of Work in Progress is as follows:

_Job	Contract Amount	% Compl ete	Contract Balance		Used for Work In Progress
Project A	\$ 309,875	87.0%	\$ 40,284		\$ 40,284
Project B	25,790,007	92.6%	1,908,461		-
Project C	11,050,619	99.6%	44,202		-
Project D	1,673,600	66.5%	560,656		560,656
Project E	5,935,000	77.0%	1,365,050		1,365,050
:	8,807,800	61.0%	3,435,042		3,435,042
:	196,200	42.2%	113,404		113,404
:	244,429	39.2%	148,613		148,613
:	833,806	98.0%	16,676		-
:	100,164	16.8%	83,336		83,336
:	2,063,500	4.6%	1,968,579		1,968,579
:	74,434	36.5%	47,266		47,266
<i>:</i>	922,400	25.7%	685,343	_	685,343
	\$ 58,001,834 5 9	% of Worl	\$ 10,416,912 k in Progress	=	\$ 8,447,572 422,379

The underwriter calculated the working capital necessary for the work in progress as 5% of the contract balances for all work that was less than 90% complete. The working capital for the planned sister facility in XXXXX is 5% of the contract amount of \$6,356,426. The working capital for the subject is 5% of the contract amount of \$6,502,743.

Based on the above adjustments and analysis, the underwriter concludes to the following working capital analysis:

Current Assets	10,150,000
Current Liabilities	(7,911,000)
Working Capital	\$ 2,239,000
Working Capital for Other Work in Progress	(422,379)
Working Capital for planned SISTER Facility	(317,821)
Working Capital for Subject	(325,137)
Excess Working Capital	\$ 1,173,663

The contractor clearly demonstrates sufficient working capital for the current work in progress and the planned sister facility and the subject facility. In addition to the above working capital, the contractor also has a \$XXXXM revolving line of credit that currently has no balance. The line of credit is available to supplement the above working capital, if necessary, during construction. >>

Conclusion

<<Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommendation. For example, "The general contractor has demonstrated an acceptable financial and credit history. The general contractor has the experience to complete the construction. The underwriter recommends this General Contractor for approval as an acceptable participant in this transaction.">>>

Operation of the Facility

Administrator

Name:	
Employed by:	< <name administrator="" employs="" entity="" of="" pays="" who="">></name>
Facility Start Date:	< <date administrator="" as="" at="" facility="" started="" this="">></date>

<<Narrative description of experience and qualifications - For example, "{Administrator} has been a licensed administrator since XXXX. Her current Residential Care Administrator's license No. XXXXXXX expires XXXXX. It was issued by XXXXXX in the State of XXXX. Her experience includes... Since arriving at the facility, XXXX has helped to increase the revenues and profitability of the project, as evidenced by the increasing effective gross income and net operating income (NOI). XXXXX is well qualified and has demonstrated her ability to act as Administrator for the subject facility.">>

Subject's State Surveys

The application includes the following state surveys issued on the following dates over the last

three (3) years of operations: (State when the survey was conducted and when the project was found in compliance.)

	3 Years of Sur	vey Inspections	_	
	Date of survey/inspection	Date state issued letter approving POC		
	•			
Key Questions			Yes	No
	surveys identify any instances of iring last 3 year period)?	f actual harm and/or immediate		
findings?	veys (during last 3 year period) o	contribute to a pattern of		
3. Are there cu	rrently any open findings?			
description of re there were X def	be mitigated. Example: General eview. For example: "The {date ficiencies. The deficiencies const urvey, resulting in repeat deficie	} state survey inspection letter i itute a pattern of findings, or re	ndicates the petitive fine	at dings
and how it will be description of resthere were X defectory from survey to sufficient to the control of the column and the col	eview. For example: "The {date ficiencies. The deficiencies const urvey, resulting in repeat deficie ement Program nce: See Risk Management Program uidance. Note that the below tien	I state survey inspection letter i itute a pattern of findings, or re ncies and civil money penalties gram grid on the Section 232 pi	ndicates the petitive find of \$XXX"? rogram well rightions and	at dings >> osite I HUD
and how it will be description of resthere were X defeated from survey to su	eview. For example: "The {date ficiencies. The deficiencies consturvey, resulting in repeat deficie ement Program nce: See Risk Management Program	I state survey inspection letter i itute a pattern of findings, or re ncies and civil money penalties gram grid on the Section 232 pi	ndicates the petitive find of \$XXX"? rogram well rightions and	at dings >> osite I HUD
and how it will be description of resthere were X defeated from survey to su	eview. For example: "The {date ficiencies. The deficiencies const urvey, resulting in repeat deficie ement Program nce: See Risk Management Program uidance. Note that the below tien	state survey inspection letter in itute a pattern of findings, or respective and civil money penalties are gram grid on the Section 232 produced and the section are general descriptions are general descriptions are general descriptions are secured as warranted as three years. In these instances,	ndicates the petitive find of \$XXX"? rogram well of the case of t	at dings >> osite I HUD e by
and how it will be description of resthere were X deferom survey to survey t	eview. For example: "The {date ficiencies. The deficiencies const urvey, resulting in repeat deficiencies const urvey, resulting in repeat deficiencies." ement Program nce: See Risk Management Program uidance. Note that the below tient to require additional risk management of the require additional risk management. For most assisted living and low-right harm/immediate jeopardy in the pagram may be administered internally	state survey inspection letter in itute a pattern of findings, or respective and civil money penalties and civil money penalties are gram grid on the Section 232 per descriptions are general descriptions are general descriptions are general descriptions are sent measures, as warranted sk skilled nursing projects with not st three years. In these instances, yor by a third party provided the performance incidents of actual harm/im	rogram well of same than a case the risk party	at dings >> osite d HUD e by
and how it will be description of resthere were X defeased from survey to su	eview. For example: "The {date ficiencies. The deficiencies const urvey, resulting in repeat deficiencies const urvey, resulting in repeat deficiencies." Ement Program Ince: See Risk Management Program Ince: Note that the below tient to require additional risk management of the require additional risk management program is graph in the pagram may be administered internally program is qualified. Risk: Higher risk projects with two	state survey inspection letter in itute a pattern of findings, or respective and civil money penalties and civil money penalties are gram grid on the Section 232 per descriptions are general descriptions are general descriptions are general descriptions are sent measures, as warranted sk skilled nursing projects with not st three years. In these instances, yor by a third party provided the performance incidents of actual harm/im	rogram well of same than a case the risk party	at dings >> osite d HUD e by

	Program
Tier 2 Elevated Risk	External 3 rd Party Administered Risk
	Management Program
9	and how it meets the following requirements tracking that informs senior management:
2. Experience of Staff:	
3. Training:	
4. Continuous Improvement:	
been contracted, what the contrac	scribe the contractual arrangement, what company has ct provides for, when the contract was entered into, been seen thus far if the contract has been in place,
<u> </u>	ew. For example, "The appraiser and underwriter have rged to the facility and found it to be acceptable and
	Cartina II Dan kartina O.C
Program Guidance: Handbook 4232.1,	<u> </u>
Date of agreement:	
Current lease term expires:	
Description of renewals:	
Current lease payment:	
Major movable equipment ownership:	< <bor></bor>
-	
Key Questions	V.a. Na
1 Will the facility be sublessed (master	Yes No
1. Will the facility be subleased (master	· — —
2. At closing, will the lease have a term lease renewal options? (See guidance	that will expire within 5 years with no above.)

		y es	INO
3.	Does the lease contain any non-disturbance provisions?		
4.	Does the lease require the borrower to escrow any funds other than those associated with this loan?		
5.	Are there proposed changes to the current operating lease?		
õ.	Has the lender recommended any special conditions concerning the lease?		
7.	Does the current lease payment need to be increased to provide sufficient debt coverage for the mortgage payment, MIP, other insurance premiums,		
	taxes, reserves, or impounds?		

<< For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated.>>

Lease Payment – During Construction

<< Provide narrative explaining the terms of the lease and the payments to be made during the construction and/or rehabilitation.>>

Lease Payment – During Lease Up

<< Provide narrative explaining the terms of the lease and the payments to be made while the project is in lease-up.>>

Lease Payment Analysis – As Proposed

The lease payments must be sufficient to (1) enable the borrower to meet debt service and impound requirements and (2) enable the operator to properly maintain the project and cover operating expenses. The minimum annual lease payment must be at least 1.05 times the sum of the annual principal, interest, mortgage insurance premium, reserve for replacement deposit, property insurance and property taxes.

The underwriter has prepared an analysis demonstrating the minimum annual lease payment.

a.	Annual principal and interest	\$
	Annual mortgage insurance premium	
C.	Annual replacement reserves	
d.	Annual property insurance	
e.	Annual real estate taxes	
f.	Total debt service and impounds	\$
	36.4	ф
h	Minimum annual lease payment	\$

<<Compare the minimum annual lease payment to the current lease payment. If the lease payment needs to increase, add the following language: "The lease payment must be increased to \$XX per year (\$XX per month). The underwriter has included a special condition to the firm</p>

commitment requiring the lease payment be revised to meet or exceed this minimum." If the lease payment does not need to increase, add the following language: "The current lease payment is sufficient. The recommended annual lease payment also provides the operator with an acceptable profit margin.">>

Responsibilities

<< Provide a description of the responsibilities of the lessor and lessee under the terms of the lease with regard to the following: payment of real estate taxes, maintenance of building, capital improvements, replacement of equipment, property insurance, etc.>>

Accounts Receivable (A/R) Financing Key Questions

110	y Questions	Yes	No		
1.	. Does the subject project have Accounts Receivable (AR) financing? If yes, complete remainder of AR Financing section; if no, move to Insurance.				
AF	R Lender:				
ΑF	R Borrower:				
Ma	aximum Loan Amount:				
Int	erest Rate:				
Cu	rrent Balance:				
Cu	rrent Maturity Date:				
Ke	ey Questions	Yes	No		
1.	Does the AR loan require any guarantees from the borrower, operator, or parent of the operator, or any of those entities' principals?				
2.	Are the guarantors guaranteeing performance on any other AR loans?				
3.	Does the AR loan involve multiple facilities or borrowers?				
	a. Does the AR loan involve any non-HUD-insured properties?				
	b. Is the subject being added to an existing AR line that has already been reviewed/approved by HUD?				
4.	Is there an identity of interest between the AR lender and the AR borrower?				
5.	. Is there a conflict of interest between the AR lender and the borrower or its principals (as defined in Handbook 4232.1, 15.4.E or its successors)?				
6.	Does the maximum AR loan amount exceed 85% of the Medicaid, Medicare, and other governmental accounts receivable less than 121 days old?				
7.	Of the total Medicaid, Medicare and other governmental accounts receivable less than 121 days old, are more than 30% over 90 days old?				

		Yes	No				
8.	Does the AR lender have less than 3 years of experience providing AR financing?						
9.	Does the AR lender NOT monitor the borrowing base on a regular basis (i.e. daily, weekly, or monthly basis)?						
	Is the borrower or operator out of compliance with any business agreements or loan covenants (i.e., in default on those agreements, not current on financial submissions, etc.)?						
11.	Is the AR loan being syndicated or participated?						
	Does the Intercreditor Agreement (ICA) propose additional obligations beyond those allowed as the types of AR Loan Obligations that may be secured by project collateral?						
13.	Does the ICA propose loan extensions or interest rate changes?						
14.	Does the ICA include any cross-default or cross-collateralization provisions?						
15.	Does the ICA identify a flow of funds consistent with the cash flow chart?						
pro the HU	<< For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding the topic. For projects being added to an existing HUD-Insured AR line, provide specific information on when the AR line was originated (date), when documents were reviewed/approved by HUD, which HUD OGC field office performed he review, and provide a listing of projects participating in the line (project name, FHA#).>>						
Te	rms and Conditions						
1.	Describe the borrowing base formula (e.g., XX% of AR borrower's accounts re 120 days):	eceivable	up to				

- 2. Describe term and renewal options:
- 3. Describe the rate applied to the used and unused portions of the AR loan:
- 4. Describe other fees (i.e., financing fees, late payment fees, etc.):

Mechanisms for operator receipts, disbursements, and control of operator funds:

<< Describe the flow of all funds, into and out of accounts. Describe how deposit accounts are controlled (e.g., number of controlled accounts, hard or springing lockbox, daily sweeps, etc.). Attach cash flow chart.>>

Collateral Security

<< Provide narrative description of the AR lender's collateral/security. Explain any unsecured AR financing.>>

Permitted Uses and Payment Priorities

<<Provide descriptions of the permitted uses of the AR loan funds in order of priority. For
example: (1) debt service incurred in connection with the AR loan; (2) operating costs; and
(3) distributions to the operator's shareholders.>>

Financial Analysis

Calculations as of: (Date of AR aging report submitted with application)


Borrowing Base Analysis

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Calculations as of: DATE (of AR aging report submitted with application materials)

	0-90 days		91-120 days	121-150 days	151+ days
Medicare	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
Medicaid	-		-	-	-
Other Govt	-		-	-	-
Subtotal	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
Commercial		Г			
Private*					
Total	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -

^{*}Inclusion of Private Payreceivables requires waiver approval



Historical AR Loan Costs

<<If there is an existing AR loan that is not yet approved by HUD, provide a financial analysis that explains how the cost of the AR loan has been factored into the NOI calculation. Complete the Historical AR Loan Costs table.>>

Historical AR Loan Costs

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

20XX	20XX	20XX	YTD specify months	20XX-20XX Average	UW

Proposed AR Loan Costs

<<If the AR borrower is obtaining AR financing for the first time, provide a financial analysis that demonstrates that the AR borrower has sufficient financial capacity to pay all projected operating expenses, AR financing costs and loan payments, and all rent or debt service payments. The analysis must assume the maximum AR loan amount to stress test the AR financing based on the lesser of the operator's 12-month trailing operating statements or the underwritten NOI. Calculate the impact on the borrower's debt coverage after payment of the AR loan expenses and payments.>>

Assuming the \$ maximum AR loan limit, an annual interest rate of %, and that the entire amount is outstanding for the year, the maximum annual interest expense would be \$. In addition to the interest, the other associated fees are the fees << list types of fees>>, which total \$ per year for the same assumed balance. An analysis of the operator's 12 month trailing financial statement (Month 20XX – Month 20XX) is below:

Trailing 12-Month Operating History				
Operating revenue	\$			
Less: Operating expenses				
Net Operating Income (NOI)	\$			
Annual P&I + MIP AR fee: Interest AR fee: Other	\$			
Total annual mortgage and AR debt service	\$			
DSCR including AR				

The underwriting assumed an NOI of \$. The 12-month trailing NOI is \$. The annual debt service including the MIP amount is \$ per year. Adding the AR fees equates to a total mortgage and AR debt service expense of \$ per year. This equates to prospective debt service coverage.

<< If multiple HUD-insured facilities have access to the AR loan, repeat the analysis above with the consolidated revenues and expenses for all those facilities.>>

Recommendation

<< The lender recommends approval of the AR loan.>>

Insurance

Professional Liability Coverage

Program Guidance: Handbook	4232.1, Section II Production, Appendix 14.1.
Name(s) of Insured:	
Insurance Company:	
Rating:	Rater:
Insurance company is licensed in the United States:	Yes No
Statute of limitations:	
Current coverage:	Per occurrence:
	Aggregate:
<u>OR</u>	Deductible: Self insurance retention:
Policy Basis:	Per occurrence Claims made
Current Expiration:	
Retroactive Date:	
Policy Premium:	

Summary of Six-Year Loss History for Operator or its Parent of Operator							
	Year	Total claims paid under this policy (dollars)	Total claims paid under this policy (no. of claims)	Total bed count covered under the policy	Dollars paid in claims per bed		
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
Total/a	average						

Key Questions Yes No 1. Does the insurance policy cover multiple properties? 2. Is less than 6 years of loss history available? 3. Does the loss history indicate any professional liability claims over \$35,000? 4. Does the loss history or potential claims certification indicate any uncovered claims? 5. Does the loss history or potential claims certification indicate any claims that would exceed the per occurrence or aggregate coverage limits? 6. Has the facility been covered by a "claims made" policy at any time during the statute of limitations for the State in which the facility is located? 7. Is the policy funded on a "cash front" basis? 8. Is an actuarial study applicable (self-insurance)? (If yes, discuss results below.) 9. For all facilities Owned, Operated or Managed by the operator and/or parent of the operator, are there any surveys/reports that have open G-level or higher citations outstanding? (As appropriate, provide a complete analysis of the surveys.) 10. Are any entities that provide resident care (as discussed in the Provider Agreements and "Resident Care Agreements/Rental Agreements) not covered by the PLI policy?

If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, please address here. Examples:

11. Are there any PLI issues that require special consideration?

<u>Multiple properties</u>: The underwriter notes that the professional liability policy is a "blanket" policy covering XXX facilities, including the subject... {Address potential impact of other facilities on the subject's coverage}

<u>Less than 6-year loss history</u>: The claims history reports were examined for the period XX through XX. The underwriter determined that there were no professional liability XX claims during that period...{address claims and sufficiency of coverage, etc. based on history}.

Claims made coverage: The project's previous professional liability insurance coverage was a "claims made" form policy with XXXX, which expired XXXX, when the current policy was put in place. In XXXX, the borrower purchased a "nose coverage" policy, which is the coverage needed when going from a "claims made" form of insurance to a "per occurrence" form of insurance. The premium for this "nose" coverage liability was a one-time charge and was paid in XXX. Because of that additional insurance coverage, the insurance expense for XXXX was substantially higher than the current expense. The current "per occurrence basis" insurance policy covers the entire statute of limitations. The project's professional liability insurance is in compliance with HUD's requirements.>>

Lawsuits

<<Identify all potential or expected professional liability insurance (PLI) claims in excess of \$35,000 that have been or may be filed for all periods within the statute of limitations for the state where the claim occurred. Identify any reserves held for potential claims. Discuss the risk associate with each potential PLI claim. Discuss how that risk is mitigated. Describe the circumstances, identify the potential award amount, provide evidence and analysis showing that the suits are covered by PLI insurance, and if the insurance is not sufficient, does the insured demonstrate adequate funds to cover the potential excess? Describe any other information that mitigates the risk.

As applicable, discuss other types of lawsuits (non-PLI) and describe the potential risk related to the party's participation in the proposed project. Discuss how that risk is mitigated. If the suit is closed, does it contribute to a pattern? Does it materially affect the party's ability to participate in the project? If not closed, describe the circumstances, identify the potential award amount, provide evidence and analysis showing that the suits are covered by insurance (general liability), and if the insurance is not sufficient, do they demonstrate adequate funds to cover the potential excess? Describe any other information that mitigates the risk.>>

Commercial General Liability Insurance

<<Provide narrative discussion of policy coverage for bodily injury, property damage and personal injury. For example: General liability insurance will be provided by XX. The underwriter has confirmed estimates of the cost and coverage for underwriting and will re-verify this information prior to closing. The insurance coverage will comply with HUD requirements prior to closing.>>

Recommendation

<<Provide narrative recommendation regarding acceptability of professional and general liability insurance. For example: "The borrower's professional and general liability insurance was analyzed in accordance with Handbook 4232.1, Section II Production, Chapter 14 and Appendix 14.1.). The property has XX current potential (threatened) insurance claims at this time as reflected on the certification provided by the borrower. It is {lender's} opinion that the information provided above and in the application sufficiently demonstrates that the existing professional liability coverage meets HUD's requirements and that the risk from professional liability issues is sufficiently addressed. No modifications to the current coverage are recommended.">>>

Property Insurance

<<Provide narrative discussion of policy coverages as applicable, including property damage, ordinance and law coverage, and boiler and machinery/equipment breakdown insurance. . For example: "Property insurance will be provided by XX. The underwriter has confirmed estimates of the cost and coverage for underwriting and will re-verify this information prior to closing. The insurance coverage will comply with HUD requirements prior to closing.">>>

Fidelity Bond/Employee Dishonesty Coverage

<< Provide narrative discussion of fidelity bond/crime insurance coverage. For example: "The current insurance policy reflects fidelity (crime) insurance with the limit of \$XX and \$XX deductible. The HUD requirement for at least two months **potential** gross income receipts would total \$XX. The current level of coverage is sufficient for this project." If not sufficient, recommend commitment condition.

Relocation Plan and Budget During Construction

<< Provide details on the relocation plan (if applicable) and the budget for such relocation plan.>>

Mortgage Loan Determinants

Program Guidance: Handbook 4232.1, Section II Production, Chapter 3.7.

Overview

The mortgage criteria shown on the form HUD-92264a-ORCF are summarized as follows:

Requested amount:	\$
Amount based on replacement cost:	\$
Amount based on loan to value:	\$
Amount based on debt service coverage:	\$
Amount based on total indebtedness:	\$
Amount based on deduction of loans, grant(s), loan(s), LIHTCs, and gift(s) for mortgageable items:	\$

The proposed mortgage is \$ and is constrained by .

Mortgage Term

The underwriter concluded to a mortgage term of vears.

Type of Financing

The type of financing available to the borrower upon issuance of the commitment will likely be in the form of .

Criterion C: Amount Based on Replacement Cost

The amount based on replacement cost limit is \$. This is based on 90% of the replacement cost of the improvements of \$.

Criterion D: Amount Based on Loan-to-Value

The \$ value of improvement limit was calculated in accordance with HUD guidelines. This is based on 90% of the underwriter's value of improvements \$ (as-proposed value minus as-is value).

Criterion E: Amount Based on Debt Service Coverage

The \$ debt service limit was calculated using HUD's guidelines.

The underwriter's NOI for the project after improvement is \$ << indicate if this amount differs from the appraiser's NOI for the project after improvement>>. Annual debt service payments on outstanding indebtedness related to the property is \$. There is no annual ground rent or annual special assessments on the property. Therefore, the NOI available for the supplemental loan is \$. There is an interest rate of % and an assumed remaining term of months.

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Calculation of Net Operating Income Available for Supplemental Loan				
Net Operating Income (NO) of Project After Improvement:	\$	-		
Percentage of NO Available for Total Debt Service		90.000%		
NO Available for Total Debt Service	\$	-		
Less: Annual Debt Service Payments Required on				
All Outstanding Indebtedness Relating to Property:		-		
Less: Annual Ground Rent		-		
Less: Annual Special Assessment(s):		-		
Incremental NO Criterion E:		-		

Criterion I: Amount Based on Total Indebtedness

The \$ total indebtedness limit was calculated in accordance with HUD guidelines. The "as proposed" value is \$. The total outstanding indebtedness relating to the property is \$. Multiply "as proposed" value by 90%, then subtract from the product 100% of the total outstanding indebtedness related to the property.

Criterion L: Deduction of Grants, Loans, and Gifts

The limit was calculated in accordance with HUD guidelines as follows:

_	A		cost of rehabilitation	C	
a	- A mount based of	i estimated	cosi oi renabililation	.70	

b.	(1) Grants/loans/gifts	
	(2) Tax credits	
	(3) Value of leased fee	
	(4) Excess unusual land improvement cost	
	(5) Unpaid balance of special assessment	
	(6) Sum of lines (1) through (5)	\$
c.	Line a minus line b (6)	\$

The secondary sources are discussed in detail below in the Sources & Uses section of the narrative.

Sources & Uses - Copied From HUD 92264a-ORCF

<< Provide a statement of Sources and Uses of actual estimated cost at closing. Include all eligible and ineligible costs.>>

Secondary Sources

<< List and discuss all secondary sources, including terms and conditions of each. Secondary sources include surplus cash notes, grants/loans, tax credits, and the like.>>

Other Uses

<<Discuss any uses not previously discussed in this narrative.>>

Circumstances that May Require Additional Information

In addition to the information required in this narrative, depending upon the facility for which mortgage insurance is to be provided, the mortgagor, operator, management agent and such other parties involved in the operation of the facility, current economic conditions, or other factors or conditions as identified by HUD, HUD may require additional information from the lender to accurately determine the strengths and weaknesses of the transaction. If additional information is required, the questions will be included in an appendix that accompanies the narrative.

Special Commitment Conditions

< <list any="" re<="" th=""><th>ecommended</th><th>special</th><th>conditions.</th><th>If none,</th><th>state</th><th>"None."</th><th>">></th><th>></th></list>	ecommended	special	conditions.	If none,	state	"None."	">>	>
--	------------	---------	-------------	----------	-------	---------	-----	---

1.

2.

Conclusion

<< Provide narrative conclusion and recommendation.>>

Signatures

Lender hereby certifies that the statements and representations of fact contained in this instrument and all documents submitted and executed by lender in connection with this transaction are, to the best of lender's knowledge, true, accurate, and complete. This instrument has been made, presented, and delivered for the purpose of influencing an official action of HUD in insuring the loan and may be relied upon by HUD as a true statement of the facts contained therein.

Lender:			
This report was prepared by:	Date	This report was reviewed by:	Date
< <name>></name>		< <name>></name>	
< <title>></td><td></td><td><<<i>Title>></i></td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<<i>Phone</i>>></td><td></td><td><<<i>Phone</i>>></td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<Email>></td><td></td><td><<Email>></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>This report was reviewed and the</td><td>site inspecte</td><td>ed by: Date</td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<Name>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<<i>Title>></i></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<Phone>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<Email>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></tbody></table></title>			