PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT: Public reporting burden for this collection is estimated to average 15 minutes per submission, including reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, completing the form, and reviewing the collection of information. Comments on the burden or content of this instrument may be sent to the Corporation for National and Community Service, Attn: Amy Borgstrom, 250 E. Street SW, Washington, D.C. 20525. You are not required to respond to the collection unless the OMB control number and expiration date displayed on page 1 are current and valid. (See 5 C.F.R. 1320.5(b)(2)(i).)

AmeriCorps External Reviewer Survey

Review Participants, thank you for participating in the AmeriCorps Grant Review! As the review ends, we're interested in hearing from you about your experience as a reviewer for this competition.

To complete the survey, please:

1. Read the purpose of this survey.

The purpose of this survey is to determine how well the various aspects of the review process:

- Managed your expectations for review participation,
- Provided clarity on the requirements to assess the application, and
- Equipped you to produce high-quality review products according to AmeriCorps standards.
- 2. Keep these objectives in mind as you respond to the questions.
- 3. Answer every question.
- 4. Click "Done" to submit your response on the last page.

Responses to questions in this evaluation will be used only for improvement purposes by AmeriCorps. They will not be shared with any third parties (e.g., other Reviewers or Applicants).

*R	eo	ıui	red
	eu	ıuı	160

1.Please indicate your role during this review process.

Q	CNCS Staff Reviewer
Q	External Reviewer
O	Program Office Liaison

2. Which of the following statements best describes you in your role during the AmeriCorps Grant Review (select one)?

Q	This was my first grant review experience.	
---	--	--


This was my first AmeriCorps grant review, but I have participated in other grant reviews before.

I have served in other AmeriCorps grant reviews before.


(Did not complete review)

Please provide feedback for the next 3 questions and the following survey as applicable


3.Please provide the main reason(s) you were unable to complete the review.



4.Please provide feedback on how we could have better supported you in completing the review.



5.Please provide any additional or general comments about your experiences with the AmeriCorps Grant Review process.



6.If you participated in the orientation period or the start of the review, and are interested in continuing the survey to share more thoughts on the competition please indicate below.

Yes, I would like to proceed


No, I would rather finish here

^{*}Required

7.Please respond to the	following	g aspects	of the	Recruitmen	nt Proc	ess.
				Could Use Improvemen t	No Opinio n	Worke d Well
Quality and frequency of co	ommunicat	ion		C	O	O
Timeframe for notification	of my selec	tion		C	Q	Q
Reviewer expectations in t	he Save the	e Date		Q	Q	Q
Explanation of time commi	tment for t	he review		Q	Q	Q
8.Please provide any the Recruitment Procespecific improvement Enter your answer 9.Please indicate how Sessions and Training prepare you for the recommendations.	well thes	cularly a se Orient s helped e No	ny ation Worked			
Budget Compliance Review Training Slides	C	G.	Q			
CNCS Staff COI Training Slides	Q	Q	C			
Ensuring an Equitable Review Training Slides	C	Q	C			
Identifying and Writing Clarification	C	C	C			

Statements Training Slides			
Introduction to CNCS and Program Training Slides	Q	Q	O
Introduction to Program and Program Competition Training Slides	Q	C	C
Program Office Liaison Training Slides	O	Ç	Q
Understanding the Blended Review Training Slides	Q	Q	Q
Understanding the Individual Review Form (IRF) Training Slides	Q	C	Q
Understanding the Selection Criteria Training Slides	Ç	C	Q
Number of Orientation Sessions	C	C	Q
Timeframe to complete the Orientation Sessions	Q	C	Q
Duration of the Orientation Sessions	Q	Q	Q

10.Please provide any additional feedback on the Orientation Sessions and Training Materials (particularly any specific improvements).




11.Please respond to the following items related to the Review Materials and Resources.

	Could Use Improvemen t	No Opinio ' n	Worked Well
Reviewer SharePoint Website (i.e., ease of navigation, link functionality, clarity of updates, etc.)	Q	C	Q
Litmos & YouTube Training Paths	Q	C	C
Review Guide	Q	Q	Q
Sample Completed Review Form	Q	Q	C
Excel-based Review Form	O	Q	C
Panel Introduction Guidance and Form	O	Q	C
Panel Discussion Report	Q	Q	Q
Sample Budget Compliance Worksheet and Budget	Q	Q	C
Selection Criteria Rubric Chart	Q	Q	Q

Could Use	No	
Improvemen	Opinio	Worke
t	n	d Well

Reviewer FAQs Document	Q	C	Q
Live Mid-Review Check- in Calls	C	Q	Q
Competition Materials (Notice, Application Instructions, and FAQs)	O	Q	Q
Orientation Power Point PDFs	Q	Q	O

12.Please provide any additional feedback on the Review Materials and Resources (particularly any specific improvements).




13.Please respond to the following aspects related to the Review Process.

	Could Use Improvement	No Opinion	Worked Well
Length of Blended Review (4 weeks)	C	O	O
Feasibility of review milestones/deadlines	C	C	C
Feasibility of IRF Turnaround	Q	Q	C


	Could Use Improvement	No Opinion	Worked Well
Panel Discussions (i.e., purpose and helpfulness)	Q	Q	C
GARP Liaison responsiveness and assistance	Q	Q	O
POL responsiveness and assistance	Q	0	O

- 14. Does the following estimate of time spent accurately reflect your experience during this competition:
- Approximately 35 hours of active time on review
- Approximately 7 hours for training and preparation
- Approximately 5 hours to review an application (read, assess, discuss, update, and submit)
- Approximately 3 hours for edits and additional communication



General Comments


15. Please provide any additional or general comments about your experiences with the AmeriCorps review process.




(POL PATHWAY)

Please provide feedback on your experiences as a Program Office Liaison


2.Did you feel prepared and supported in your role?



3. Was the breakdown of work between the POL & GARP Liaison clear and helpful?



- 4.On average how time was spent on the following:
- Hours supporting the panel
- Hours to review, provide feedback, and finalize IRFs with reviewers



Thankyou!

Thank you for completing this survey.

For any additional comments, please contact PeerReviewers@cns.gov.