Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch; 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, Bethesda, MD 20892-7974, ATTN: PRA (0925-0648). Do not return the completed form to this address.

## **CHEAR Client Satisfaction Survey**

You are receiving this survey because you submitted a Request for Services through the NIEHS Children's Health Exposure Analysis Resource (CHEAR) program.

Your completion of this survey is completely voluntary. If you would prefer to respond to the question by phone or have a question about your feedback later, please email: <a href="mailto:pettibonekg@niehs.nih.gov">pettibonekg@niehs.nih.gov</a>.

Your survey responses will be confidential, but not anonymous. NIH staff will have information about who completed the study to help improve specific aspects of the program. It is likely we will compile responses to share with program staff and grantees, however no responses will be specifically attributed to individual respondents. Therefore, please do not include any personally identifiable information (such as address, age, military service, etc.) or personal health information in your narrative comments. For more information, the NIH privacy policy is available

at: https://www.niehs.nih.gov/about/od/ocpl/policies/#a763111.

Name:	
CHEAR Project Number:	
Institution:	-
Discipline:	

How did you hear about CHEAR? (Check the b ☐ Presentations at Scientific Meetings ☐ Emails from NIH/NIEHS		t applies	)		
<ul><li>☐ Emails from CHEAR coordinating Cent</li><li>☐ Recommendation from someone invo</li></ul>		AR (Pleas	e indicate	who	١
☐ Internet search	nved with cribs	ait (i icas	c malcate	WIIO	/
□ CDC					
<ul><li>☐ NIEHS Exposome Webinar series</li><li>☐ ECHO</li></ul>					
☐ Other (Please describe:					)
Please rate your overall satisfaction with	5 Croot	4	3	2 Not So	1
the CHEAR public webpage	Great	Good	Ok	Not So Good	Not Useful at All
(chearprogram.org).					at 7 til
What can we do to improve the CHEAR public	webpage?				
Please rate your overall satisfaction with	5	4	3	2	1
general communication about the CHEAR	Great	Good	Ok	Not So Good	Not Useful at All
program (emails, presentations, etc.)				Good	at All
What can we do to improve communication a	bout the CHEA	R progra	m?		
Please rate your satisfaction with the	5	4	3	2	1
myCHEAR internal webpage.	Great	Good	Ok	Not So Good	Not Useful at All
What can we do to improve the myCLIFAD int	ornal wahnasa	)		<u> </u>	at 7 til
What can we do to improve the myCHEAR int	еттат webpage:	•			
Please check the factors below that led you to request CHEAR services. (Check all that apply)					<i>'</i> )
☐ High quality lab data					
☐ Lab Hub consultation					
☐ Data Center consultation					
☐ Data analyses services					
☐ Breadth of the exposure analyses					
☐ Targeted analyses offerings					
☐ Untargeted analyses offerings					
☐ Cost savings					
☐ Speed of processing					
☐ Ability to integrate data using other CHEAR studies					
☐ Responsiveness of Coordinating Center staff					
□ Other:					
Please rate the overall CHEAR process.	5 Croot	4	3	2 Not So	1
ricuse rate the overall crient process.	Great	Good	Ok	Not So	Not worth

					Good	my Time
What can we do to improve the process for requesting and/or obtaining CHEAR services?						
Please rate the Lab Hub	I did not have a		4	3	2	1
consultation process.	Hub Consultation	on Grea	t Good	Ok	Not So	Not worth
What was the most useful aspect of the Lab Hub consultation process?						my rime
What can we improve abou	ut the Lab Hub cons	ultation pro	ess?			
Please rate the Data	I did not have a I		4	3	2	1
Center consultation	Center Consulta	tion Grea	Good	Ok	Not So Good	Not worth my Time
process.	aspect of the Date (	Contar consu	ltation pr	20002	Good	my mic
What was the most useful	aspect of the Data C	Lenter consu	itation pro	ocess:		
What can we improve abou	it the Data Center of	consultation	2000002			
What can we improve abou	it the Data Center C	.orisuitatiori	JIOCESS:			
Diago shock the factors he	low that ware instr	um ontal in t	20 6116666	of vour p	rainst (Chas	k all that
Please check the factors below that were instrumental in the success of your project. (Check all that apply)						
☐ I did not <u>complete</u> the CHEAR data analysis process						
☐ High quality lab data						
☐ Lab Hub consultation process ☐ Data center consultation process						
☐ Data center consul ☐ Data analyses servi	•					
☐ Breadth of the exposure analyses						
☐ Targeted analyses offerings						
☐ Untargeted analyses offerings						
☐ Cost savings						
☐ Speed of processing						
☐ Ability to integrate data using other CHEAR studies						
☐ Responsiveness of Coordinating Center staff						
□ Other:						
How likely are you to use C	HEAR services	5	4	3	2	1
again?		Very likely	Likely	I'm not	Probably	Definitely
				sure	not	not

How will your experience with CHEAR impact	your future re	search pi	rojects?		
How likely are you to recommend CHEAR to your colleagues?	5 Very likely	4 Likely	3 I'm not sure	2 Probably not	1 Definitely not
What can NIEHS do to help make it easier for you to recommend CHEAR to your colleagues?					
Is there anything else you want to tell us abou	ut?				