



Rehabilitation Research 2020: Conference Survey

OMB# 0925-0648 Exp. Date: 5/2021

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, Bethesda, MD 20892-7974, ATTH: PRA (0925-0642). Do not return the completed form to this address.

On behalf of the Program Committee, we would like to thank you for your participation and contributions to the NIH Rehabilitation Research 2020: Envisioning a Functional Future Conference. We would like you to take a moment to answer a few questions and provide comments (optional) regarding your meeting experience. The feedback will help us plan future meetings to better meet your needs. Thank you for your time.

OVERALL CONFERENCE

1. Please indicate your overall satisfaction with the Rehabilitation Research 2020: Envisioning a Function Future Conference:

- Very Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied
- Somewhat Dissatisfied
- Very Dissatisfied

2. Based on discussions at this conference, what areas of rehabilitation research would you like to see highlighted in a future meeting?

SPEAKER SESSIONS

3. Please indicate your overall satisfaction with Keynote Speaker, Jenny Lay-Flurrie, whose presentation originally held October 15 from 9:45 am to 10:20 am ET

- Very Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied
- Somewhat Dissatisfied
- Very Dissatisfied

4. How would you rate the relevance/usefulness of the keynote session topic, information, and discussion to your research?

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

5. Please rate the quality of Session 1: Perspectives, originally held October 15 from 10:20 am to 11:15 am ET:

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

6. How would you rate the relevance/usefulness of the Session 1 topic, information, and discussion to your research?

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

7. Please rate the quality of Session 2: Translational Research, originally held October 15 from 11:15 am to 12:15 pm ET:

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

8. How would you rate the relevance/usefulness of the Session 2 topic, information, and discussion to your research?

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

9. Please rate the quality of Session 3: Pragmatic Trials, originally held October 15 from 12:45 pm to 1:30 pm ET:

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

10. How would you rate the relevance/usefulness of the Session 3 topic, information, and discussion to your research?

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

11. Please rate the quality of Session 4: Regenerative Rehabilitation, originally held October 15 from 1:40 pm to 2:30 pm ET:

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

12. How would you rate the relevance/usefulness of the Session 4 topic, information, and discussion to your research?

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

13. Please rate the quality of Session 5: Community and Family, originally held October 15 from 2:30 pm to 3pm ET:

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

14. How would you rate the relevance/usefulness of the Session 5 topic, information, and discussion to your research?

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

15. Please rate the quality of Session 6: Sensory and Communication Rehabilitation, originally held October 16 from 10:00 am to 11:15 am ET:

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

16. How would you rate the relevance/usefulness of the session topic, information, and discussion to your research?

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

17. Please rate the quality of Session 7: Mobility, originally held October 16 from 11:15am to 12:30 pm ET:

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

18. How would you rate the relevance/usefulness of the Session 7 topic, information, and discussion to your research?

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

19. Please rate the quality of Session 8: Data Science, originally held October 16 from 2:00 pm to 3:00 pm ET:

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

20. How would you rate the relevance/usefulness of the Session 8 topic, information, and discussion to your research?

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

21. Please rate the quality of Session 9: Futures of Rehabilitation, originally held October 16 from 3:00 pm to 4:30 pm ET:

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

22. How would you rate the relevance/usefulness of the Session 9 topic, information, and discussion to your research?

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

EXHIBIT HALL, NETWORKING LOUNGE, POSTER HALL

23. If you visited the Exhibit Hall, how would you rate the ability to ask questions and get information?

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

24. If you visited the Networking room, how would you rate the opportunity for question, answer and exchange of ideas using chat options?

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

25. If you visited the Poster Hall, how would you rate the opportunity for questions/answers and exchanges of ideas using chat options?

- Excellent
 - Very Good
 - Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
-

VIRTUAL ENVIRONMENT

26. How would you rate the ease of navigating the virtual environment?

- Very easy
- Easy
- Neither easy or nor difficult
- Difficult
- Very difficult

27. If you required help with any aspect of the virtual environment for the conference, how easy was it for you to secure an answer from the help desk or other resource?

- Very easy
- Easy
- Neither easy or nor difficult
- Difficult
- Very difficult

28. Please provide any other feedback not addressed above.


