## 2020 BRAIN Initiative Investigators Meeting

## OMB# 0925-0648 Exp. Date: 05/2021

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, Bethesda, MD 20892-7974, ATTN: PRA (0925-0648). Do not return the completed form to this address.

On behalf of the Program Committee, we would like to thank you for your participation and contributions to the 2020 virtual BRAIN Initiative Investigators Meeting. We would like you to take a brief moment to answer a few questions and provide comments (optional) regarding your meeting experience. Questions will automatically display for each session that you attend, in addition to the overall questions here. This feedback will help us in the planning and improvement of future meetings so we can better meet your needs. We hope you share our excitement about the progress the BRAIN Initiative has already shown, and we appreciate your efforts towards its success.

Thank you for your time,

1. Please indicate your overa	ll satisfaction with the 202	0 virtual BRAIN PI Meeting:	
Very Satisfied			
O Somewhat Satisfied			
Neither satisfied nor dissat	isfied		
O Somewhat Dissatisfied			
O Very Dissatisfied			
In what scientific area(s) is t	here a need for the BRAIN I	ovide your thoughts on the foll Initiative to support (additional e high-priority areas identified	l) research
	methods that have been co	ovide your thoughts on the folloreated/enhanced under the aus neeting?	

	of the agenda that you found useful/informative, topic areas tions for future session themes, and/or other suggestions for amming.
	le l
5. Please indicate your overall satisfaction originally broadcast from 10:30am-11:30ar	n with the 2020 virtual BRAIN PI Meeting Plenary Address m EDT on Monday, June 1st:
○ Very Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied
<ul> <li>Somewhat Satisfied</li> </ul>	O Very Dissatisfied
Neither Satisfied nor dissatisfied	
6. Please indicate which Symposia Sessio from (11:30am-1:00pm EDT):	n(s) you viewed, originally broadcast on Day 1 (June 1st)
How Can Dynamical Systems Neuroscience	e Reciprocally Advance Machine Learning?
O Developing and Distributing Novel Electro	de Technologies
Oid not attend any of these	₽

7. Regarding the Symposia Session(s) you viewed from the Day 1 (June 1st) 11:30am-1:00pm EDT time slot, how would you rate the quality of the session panel/presentations?		
○ Excellent	O Fair	
O Very Good	O Poor	
Good		
	Session(s) you viewed from the Day 1 (June 1st) 11:30am-1:0 ne relevance/usefulness of the session topic, information and	
Excellent	○ Fair	
O Very Good	O Poor	B
Good		
9. Please indicate which Sy from (1:30pm-3:00pm EDT	rmposia Session(s) you viewed, originally broadcast on Day 1 ):	l (June 1st)
Advances in Neurotechno	Advances in Neurotechnologies in Human Research	
Expanding Species Divers	Expanding Species Diversity in Neuroscience Research	
Oid not attend any of thes	O Did not attend any of these	

_ Excellent	○ Fair	
Very Good	Poor	
Good		
: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	ssion(s) you viewed from the Day 1 (June 1st) 1:30 elevance/usefulness of the session topic, informat	
Very Good	OPoor	
Good		B
	e symposia session(s) you viewed from the Day 1 b	proadcasts,

13. Regarding the Trainee Awardee Highlight Talks originally broadcast on Day 1 (June 1st) from (3:30pm-4:30pm EDT), how would you rate the quality of the session panel/presentations?		
○ Excellent	○ Fair	
○ Very Good	Poor	
Good		
14. Regarding the Trainee Awardee Highlight Tall 4:30pm EDT time slot, how would you rate the re and discussion to your research?	ks you viewed from the Day 1 (June 1st) 3:30pm- elevance/usefulness of the session topic, information	
○ Excellent	○ Fair	
O Very Good	O Poor	B
Good		
15. If you have feedback for the Trainee Awardee 3:30pm-4:30pm EDT time slot, particularly with please describe:	Highlight Talks you viewed from the Day 1 (June 1st) regard to what might be done moving forward,	

16. Please indicate your overall satisfaction with the 2020 virtual BRAIN PI Meeting Plenary Address originally broadcast from 4:30pm-5:30pm EDT on Monday, June 1st:		
O Very satisfied	○ Dissatisfied	
Satisfied	O Very Dissatisfied	
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied		
17. Regarding the Science Communications Keyn (5:30pm-6:30pm EDT), how would you rate the q		
○ Excellent	○ Fair	
O Very Good	O Poor	
Good		
1,771	ote you viewed from the Day 1 (June 1st) 5:30pm- levance/usefulness of the session topic, information	
Excellent	○ Fair	
O Very Good	O Poor	
Good		

	nmunications Keynote session originally broa what might be done moving forward, please o	
20. Please indicate your overall satisfaction originally broadcast from 10:30am-11:30am	with the 2020 virtual BRAIN PI Meeting Plend EDT on Tuesday, June 2nd:	ary Address
O Very Satisfied	O Dissatisfied	
Satisfied	O Very Dissatisfied	
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied		
21. Please indicate which Symposia Session from (11:30am-1:00pm EDT):	(s) you viewed, originally broadcast on Day 2	(June 2nd)
Toward Petascale and Exascale Connectomi	cs?	
Advances in Human Neuroscience		
Oid not attend any of these		

22. Regarding the Symposia Session(s) you viewed from the Day 2 (June 2nd) 11:30am-1:00pm EDT time slot, how would you rate the quality of the session panel/presentations?		
○ Excellent	O Fair	
O Very Good	Poor	
Good		
23. Regarding the Symposia Session(s) you viewed time slot, how would you rate the relevance/useful discussion to your research?	The second secon	
Excellent	O Fair	
O Very Good	Poor	
Good		
24. Please indicate which Symposia Session(s) you viewed, originally broadcast on Day 2 (June 2nd) from (1:30pm-3:00pm EDT):		
Molecular Taxonomies of Brain Cells		
Emerging High-Throughput Microscopy Methods for Imaging Brain Activity		
Old not attend any of these		

25. Regarding the Symposia Session(s) you viewed from the Day 2 (June 2nd) 1:30pm-3:00pm EDT time slot, how would you rate the quality of the session panel/presentations?	
○ Fair	
Poor	
sion(s) you viewed from the Day 2 (June 2nd) 1:30pm-3:00pm EDT e relevance/usefulness of the session topic, information and	
○ Fair	
OPoor	
ymposia session(s) you viewed, originally broadcast on Day 2 (June what might be done moving forward, please describe:	
SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	

	28. Regarding the Trainee Awardee Highlight Talks you viewed, originally broadcast on Day 2 (June 2nd) from (3:30pm-4:30pm EDT), how would you rate the quality of the session panel/presentations?		
	Excellent	○ Fair	
	Very Good	O Poor	
	Good		
4	[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	s you viewed from the Day 2 (June 2nd) 3:30pm- levance/usefulness of the session topic, information	
	Excellent	○ Fair	
	Very Good	O Poor	
	Good		
	170	Highlight Talks you viewed, originally broadcast on t might be done moving forward, please describe:	R

31. Please indicate your overall satisfaction with the 2020 virtual BRAIN PI Meeting Plenary Address, originally broadcast from 4:30pm-5:30pm EDT on Tuesday, June 2nd:	
○ Very Satisfied	O Somewhat Dissatisfied
<ul> <li>Somewhat Satisfied</li> </ul>	O Very Dissatisfied
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	
32. Regarding the Science Communications Socia on Day 2 (June 2nd) from (5:30pm-6:30pm EDT), panelists/presentations?	l Media Workshop you viewed, originally broadcast how would you rate the quality of the
○ Excellent	O Fair
O Very Good	O Poor
Good	
33. Regarding the Science Communications Social Media Workshop you viewed from the Day 2 (June 2nd) 5:30pm-6:30pm EDT time slot, how would you rate the relevance/usefulness of the session topic, information and discussion to your research?	
○ Excellent	○ Fair
O Very Good	O Poor
Good	

1.5	e Communications Social Media Workshop you viewed, id), particularly with regard to what might be done moving
35. If you visited the virtual Poster Hal etc.) of the poster session?	l, how would you rate the organization (e.g. materials provided
Excellent	○ Fair
O Very Good	Poor
Good	
	B
36. If you visited the virtual Poster Hal and exchange of ideas via chat options	l, how would you rate the opportunity for question, answer s?
Excellent	○ Fair
O Very Good	Poor
Good	

etc.) of the exhibit booths?				
○ Excellent	○ Fair			
O Very Good	OPoor			
Good				
38. If you visited the virtual Exhibit Hall, how would you rate the opportunity for question, answer and exchange of ideas via chat options?				
Excellent	○ Fair			
O Very Good	O Poor			
Good		B		
39. If you visited the virtual Networking Room, how would you rate the opportunity for question, answer and exchange of ideas via chat options?				
Excellent	○ Fair			
O Very Good	O Poor			
Good				

40. If you visited the virtual Networking Room, how relevant did you find the chat topics - whether you participated in a discussion or viewed discussion text after the fact?				
○ Excellent	○ Fair			
O Very Good	O Poor			
Good				
41. Regarding the virtual environment: how easily were you able to orient yourself and navigate within and across the platform?				
O Very easy	O Difficult			
○ Easy	O Very difficult			
Neither easy nor difficult				
	₩.			
42. Regarding the virtual environment: how easily were you able to orient yourself to view broadcast presentations and participate in the live typed chat or Q & A opportunities?				
O Very easy	Oifficult			
Easy	O Very difficult			
Neither easy nor difficult				

43. Regarding the virtual environment: did you find the chat features (personal messaging or chat rooms) useful to engage with other viewers?			
Yes			
○ No			
O I did not chat.			
44. Regarding the virtual environment: did you enjoy the "game" feature, wherein points were acquired based on site use, tracked in a public leader board, and could result in prizes from nongovernment entities?			
Yes			
○ No			
O I did not realize there was a "game."			
45. Regarding the virtual environment: if you required help, how easily were you able to secure an answer from the help desk or other resource?			
O Very easy	O Difficult		
○ Easy	O Very difficult		
Neither easy nor difficult			