							Respondents					Non-respondent	-		
							. Caponocina					on respondent		Total annual burden	GRAND
	Data collection	Appendix			Estimated number of	Frequency of	Total annual	Average burden hours per	Total annual burden estimate	Estimated number of non-	Frequency of	Total annual	Average burden hours	estimate	GRAND TOTAL BURDEN
Affected public Individuals and	activity	Reference	Respondents type Parent/	Sample size 750	respondents 300	response 1	responses 300	response 0.0350	(hours) 10.50	respondents 450	response 1	responses 225	per response 0.0350	(hours) 7.88	ESTIMATE 18.38
households	Invitation call script	F-1	caretaker Parent/	750	300	1	300	0.0150	4.50	450	1	112.5	0.0150	1.69	6.19
	Confirmation letter	F-2	caretaker Parent/	750	300	1	300	0.0100	3.00	450	1	112.5	0.0100	1.13	4.13
	Reminder call script Consent form	F-3 M	caretaker Parent/	750	300	1	300	0.0200	6.00	450	0	(0.00	0.00	6.00
	In-person interview	E	caretaker Parent/	750	300	1	300	1.5000	450.00	450	0		0.00	0.00	450.00
Subtotal individua			caretaker	750	300	5	1500	0.316	474.00	450	1	450	0.02375	11	485
State and local government	Template for email from FNS Regional Office to State SNAP	N-1	12 State SNAP agency directors, 12 SNAP policy directors, 12 SNAP data	50	50	1	50	0.0130	0.65	0	1	(0.0130	0.00	0.65
	agency		managers, 2 SNAP local office directors												
	Template for email from FNS headquarters to State SNAP agency		12 State SNAP agency directors, 12 SNAP policy directors, 12 SNAP data managers, 2 SNAP local office directors	50	50	1	50	0.0130	0.65	0	1		0.0130	0.00	0.65
	Template for email from Office of Child Support Enforcement to State child support agency	N-3	12 State Child Support agency directors, 12 Child Support policy directors, 12 Child Support data managers, and 2 Child Support local office agency directors	50	50	1	50	0.0130	0.65	0	1		0.0130	0.00	0.65
	Template for email from study team to State child support and SNAP agencies		12 State SNAP agency directors, 12 SNAP policy directors, 12 SNAP data managers, 2 SNAP local office directors	50	50	1	50	0.0130	0.65	0	1	(0.0130	0.00	0.65
	Template for email from study team to local child support and SNAP agencies	N-5	12 State Child Support agency directors, 12 Child Support policy directors, 12 Child Support data managers, and 2 Child Support local office agency directors	50	50	1	50	0.0130	0.65	0	1		0.0130	0.00	0.65
	Project fact sheet		SNAP. 12 State SNAP agency directors, 12 SNAP policy (12 SNAP policy) (13 SNAP local office directors; Child Support 12 State Child Support agency directors, 12 Child Support policy directors, 12 Child Support data managers, and 2 Child Support local office agency directors	100	100	1	100	0.0130	1.30	0	1	(0.0130	0.00	1.30
	In-person interview	D-1	State or local agency director/ manager (Same breakdown as depicted in cell above)	100	100	1	100	1.00	100.00	0	0	(0.00	0.00	100.00
	In-person interview	D-1 and D-2	State or local (SNAP: 105 SNAP direct service staff; Child Support: 105 Child Support direct service staff)	210	210	1	210	1.77	371.70	0	0	(0.00	0.00	371.70
	Template for email from Office of Child Support Enforcement to State child support agency	N-3	Representative or staff from State legislature or judicial system	12	12	1	12	0.0130	0.16	0	1	. (0.0130	0.00	0.16
	Template for email from study team to State child support and SNAP agencies	N-4	Representative or staff from State legislature or judicial system	12	12	1	12	0.0130	0.16	0	1		0.0130	0.00	0.16
	Project fact sheet		Representative or staff from State legislature or judicial system	12	12	1	12	0.0130	0.16	0	1	(0.0130	0.00	0.16
	In-person interview	D-1	Representative or staff from State legislature or judicial system	12	12	1	12	1.00	12.00	0	0	,	0.00	0.00	12.00
	Written data collection request; any needed clarifying discussions	N-4 / N-5	State or local agency director/ manager	24	24	1	24	2.00	48.00	0	1	(2.00	0.00	48.00
	Provide SNAP agency adminsitrative data	G	State or local agency director/ manager	12	12	1	12	17.50	210.00	0	0	(17.50	0.00	210.00
	Provide Child Support agency adminsitrative data	Н	State or local agency director/ manager	12	12	1	12	17.50	210.00	0	0	(17.50	0.00	210.00
	Written data collection request; any needed clarifying discussions	N-4 / N-5	State or local agency director/ manager	6	6	2	12	1.00	12.00	0	1		0.0835	0.00	12.00
	Provide cost data	I	State or local agency director/ manager	6	6	2	12	4.00	48.00	0	0	(0.00	0.00	48.00
Subtotal State, Loc (Business-for-profit	ral and Tribal governm	nent N-5	Advocate or other stakeholder	352	352	2.21590909090909	780 12	1.303484615385 0.0130	1,016.72	0	-	(0.0130	0	1,016.72 0.16
(Business-for-profit or not-for-Profit) Private sector	from study team to local child support and SNAP agencies			12	12	1			0.16	0	1			0.00	
	,	N-6	Advocate or other stakeholder	12	12	1	12	0.0130	0.16	0	1	(0.0130	0.00	0.16
Subtotal private se Grand total	In-person interview	D-3	Advocate or other stakeholder	12 12	12 12		12 36	0.342	12.00 12.31	0		(0.00	12.00 12.31
Grand total				1,114	664	3.48795180722892	2,316	0.648976683938	1,503.03	450	1	450	0.0238	10.69	1,513.72

Per state Total

	# of states	# of managers and sups	# frontline	Legislator or court staff	Advocate	‡	# of managers and sups	# frontline	Legislator or court staff	Advocate
Implementation assessment	7	10	30	1		1	70	210	7	7
Considering	3	6	0	1		1	18	0	3	3
Formerly implementing	2	6	0	1		1	12	0	2	2
Total	12						100	210	12	12

Per state Total

	; # of states	# of managers and sups	rep		managers nd sups		takeholders (i.e. judicial system representatives, advocates, legislators)		Andrew 2.5 front interviev 4 staff for hour tim
Implementation assessment	7	10	14	2	70	98	14		discussion 3 staff for
Considering	3	6	0	2	18	0	6		staff inte
Formerly implementing	2	6	0	2	12	0	4		
Total	12			-	100	98	24	222	

Post QA:									
		Per state				Total			
	# of states	# of managers and sups	# frontline	Legislator or court staff	Advocate	# of managers and sups	# frontline	Legislator or court staff	Advocate
Implementation assessment	7	10	14	1		1 70	98	7	7
Considering	3	6	0	1		1 18	0	3	3
Formerly implementing	2	6	0	1		1 12	0	2	2
Total	12					100	98	12	12

Evaluation of Child Support Requirements in SNAP: Respondent Burden for Administrative Data Collection

	Number of states	Agencies per state	Total respondents
Impact study states:	9	2	18
Feasibility only states:	3	2	6
Total	12	2	24

Hours per respondent	Total hours
40	720
20	120
35	840

Implementing states Request data from two years before through the pres

Previously implemented Request four years of data

	Implementing	Previously implemented
States	7	2
Months	150	48

Implementors	Most recently since:
Michigan	1996
Florida	2001
Kansas	2015
Maine	2018
Mississippi	1996
South Dakota	2017
Idaho	2015
Arkansas	2019

Weighted average

9

127.33

Years of data through 2020				
	26			
	21			
	7			
	4			
	26			
	5			
	7			

3 12.4 years

148.5 months