

## **CAP Participant Feedback Form**

Thank you for your participation in the CAP Program! The Foundation for Advancement in Conservation relies on your feedback to gain a better understanding of the impacts of CAP and to help us improve the program for future years. Please share your experience with us by completing this feedback form.

Only aggregate results will be shared outside of FAIC and IMLS staff. We thank you in advance for your feedback and appreciate any comments you may have.

Indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the **CAP PROGRAM HANDBOOK** by marking the appropriate box:

	Strongl y Agree	Somewh at Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagre e	Somewh at Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The CAP Program Handbook prepared staff and board members for exactly what to expect from the on-site visits.					
The CAP Program Handbook clearly described the steps of the CAP process.					
The CAP Program Handbook provided all of the information needed to participate in the CAP program.					
The CAP Program Handbook was easy to understand.					

What could we do to improve the CAP Program Handbook? (optional)

Did you participate in the CAP Orientation webinar (either live or by viewing the recorded version)?
□ Yes □ No

If yes, indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the **CAP ORIENTATION WEBINAR** by marking the appropriate box:

	Strongl y Agree	Somewh at Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somew hat Disagre e	Strongl y Disagre e
The CAP Orientation webinar gave me a better understanding of the program process.					
The CAP Orientation webinar provided additional tips that were not covered in the program website and CAP Handbook.					

What could we do to improve the CAP Orientation webinar? (optional)						
Did you use the online CAP Participant Portal to track your progress throughout the program?						
□ Yes □ No						
If yes, indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about						

If yes, indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the **CAP PARTICIPANT PORTAL** by marking the appropriate box:

	Strongl y Agree	Somew hat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewh at Disagree	Strongl y Disagre e
The CAP Participant Portal helped our institution track the steps of the					

program.			
The CAP Participant Portal was easy to navigate.			

What could we do to	improve the CAP	Participant Portal?	(optional)
---------------------	-----------------	---------------------	------------

Indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the **ASSESSOR SEARCH PROCESS** by marking the appropriate box:

	Strongl y Agree	Somew hat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagre e	Somew hat Disagre e	Strong ly Disagr ee		
The Approved Assessor List was a helpful tool in the assessor selection process.							
Prospective assessors responded to my emails and/or phone calls quickly during the search process.							
During the search process, assessors were able to discuss their appropriateness to my institution's collections.							
Assessors promptly submitted proposals outlining the costs of an assessment.							

What factors did you consider when choosing assessors? (Check all that apply.)

Assessors' expertise with specific museum collection type
Professional references in the Approved Assessor list
Location (proximity to your institution)
Recommendation from another assessor
Recommendation from another museum
My institution had a previous relationship with assessor

☐ Assesso				)		
What could we	do to impro	ve the asse	ssor searc	h process?	(optional)	
Which staff mer visit?	nbers and/o	or board me	embers we	re involved	in the CA	P site
☐ governing☐ director	authority					
	nent decisio	n-maker (o	ther than o	director)		
	s care staff			an eccory		
□ collection	s care volu	nteer				
□ other			_			
Indicate your le SITE QUESTIO				_	ents about	the
•	Strongl y Agree	Somewh at Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagr	Somew hat Disagre e	Strong ly Disagr ee	N/A
The Site Questionnaire was easy to complete.						
What could we	do to impro	ve the Site	Questionn	aire? ( <i>optic</i>	nal)	
In the next se assessor who				dividually	rate <u>each</u>	<u>CAP</u>
Assessor 1 Na	me:					
Type of assess	sor (select	t one):				
□ Non-living □ Living col □ Architectu		5				

Indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the **ASSESSOR 1** by marking the appropriate box:

	Strongl y agree	Somew hat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagre e	Somewh at Disagre e	Strongl y Disagr ee
The assessor was well prepared for the pre-visit call and onsite visit.					
The assessor demonstrated experience with our collection type.					
The assessor communicated well with staff and board members.					
The assessor provided preliminary feedback on site.					
The assessor provided rough and final drafts by the deadline dates in our contract.					
The assessor's report contained a prioritized list of recommendations.					
How could Assessor 1 h	ave provid	ed a better	assessme	nt? ( <i>optiona</i>	n/)
Did you have a second	assessor?	□ Yes	□ No		

If yes, please complete the following information for Assessor 2.					
Assess	or 2 Name:				
Type of	f assessor (select one):				
□ No	on-living collections				
☐ Li	ving collections				
Π Δr	chitectural				

Indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the **ASSESSOR 2** by marking the appropriate box:

	Strongl y agree	Somew hat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagre e	Somewh at Disagre e	Strongl y Disagr ee
The assessor was well prepared for the pre-visit call and onsite visit.					
The assessor demonstrated experience with our collection type.					
The assessor communicated well with staff and board members.					
The assessor provided preliminary feedback on site.					
The assessor provided rough and final drafts by the deadline dates in our contract.					
The assessor's report contained a prioritized list of recommendations.					

How could Assessor 2 have	e provided a k	etter as	ssessment? (	optional)	
Did you contact CAP staff a	at any time di	uring the	e process?	□ Yes	□ No
If yes, how would you rate	:				
	Exceede d Expectat ions		Met Expectat ions		Did Not Meet Expectat ions
	5	4	3	2	1
CAP staff's ability to answer questions.					
What could we have done	to better ans	wer you	r questions?	(optional	/)

## Overall, how would you rate:

	Exceeded Expectati ons		Met Expectati ons		Did Not Meet Expectati ons
	5	4	3	2	1
Your experience with CAP.					
The value of the final report to your institution.					
What could we do to imp	rove your over	all exper	rience? ( <i>optio</i>	onal)	
Based on your CAP repor	t, what are you	ır organi	zation's top	three pr	iorities
moving forward?					
1					
2 3					
Do you feel that the majo achievable?			ations in the	report a	are
□ Yes □ No					
Please explain					
Did your report include rerecommendations?	esources or gui	dance fo	or achieving	the repo	ort
□ Yes □ No					
To the best of your know	ledge, please e	stimate	the total nur	mber of	hours

To the best of your knowledge, please estimate the total number of hours paid and volunteer staff contributed to the CAP process. Include time for applying to the program, reading the CAP handbook and program materials, selecting assessors, completing the Site Questionnaire, participating in the pre-site visit call, preparing for site visit, participating in the site visit, reviewing the draft report, follow-up discussions with assessors, etc.

## [Please skip this question if you have already submitted this information in the CAP Participant Portal.]

	Hours Contributed by Paid Staff	Hours Contributed by Volunteers and Board Members
	<del></del>	
app tota	at is the dollar value of the time invelicable) as quantified above? (For explored the first paid (100).	
\$		
incl	at total cash expenses did you contrude amounts paid for assessor travecation, meals, etc.	·