CFSR PIP Pilot: Pre-Onsite Feedback Survey

*THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The control number for this project is 0970-0401. The control number expires on 5/31/2021.*

The Capacity Building Collaborative is conducting an evaluation of the Round 3 Child and Family Services Review (CFSR) Program Improvement Plan (PIP) Pilots. Please provide feedback about your experience in preparing for the PIP Pilot on-site meeting. This feedback will be used to inform the onsite meeting and other PIP process improvements. All information you provide is voluntary and anonymous. The survey should take about 5 minutes to complete. If you have any questions, please contact Christine Leicht, Capacity Building Center for States Evaluation Lead at [Christine.Leicht@icf.com](mailto:Christine.Leicht@icf.com).

1. Which of the following best describes your agency role? (Select One)
   1. Public Agency Director/Deputy Director
   2. Public Agency Program/Middle Manager
   3. Public Agency Supervisor
   4. Public Agency Caseworker/Direct Practice Worker/Frontline staff
   5. Family/Youth Partner
   6. Legal/Court Partner
   7. Private Agency Partner
   8. Federal Partner
   9. TA Provider
   10. Other (Please Specify)

Please rate your agreement with the following statements.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neither Agree nor Disagree | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| 1. I feel like the pre-onsite work has been valuable. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. I think that the pre-onsite work will contribute to the development of a high quality PIP. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The roles and responsibilities for participants in the pre-onsite work were clear. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Before going into the pre-onsite work, I understood what was expected of me. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. There was good representation of key stakeholders from inside the state agency for the pre-onsite work. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. There was good representation of key stakeholders from outside the state agency for the pre-onsite work. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Pre-onsite meetings felt well planned. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Information I received for the pre-onsite work made it easy for me to participate. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The pre-onsite work was effectively facilitated. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Conversations during the pre-onsite work felt constructive. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. I had an opportunity to make meaningful contributions to the pre-onsite work. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The data and analyses used during the pre-onsite work helped me understand the reasons for the state’s performance. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The technical assistance provided during the pre-onsite work was helpful. |  |  |  |  |  |

1. What could have improved the pre-onsite work?
2. What suggestions do you have for the PIP Pilot onsite meeting?