Evidence Building Academy

Daily Feedback Forms

Thank you for attending the [first/second/third] day of our October convening for the Evidence Building Academy. We are gathering information about your experience to evaluate the modules provided today. Your participation in this survey is completely voluntary, and all responses will be reported at an aggregate level.

The form should take about 5 minutes to complete.

Thank you in advance for your time and input. If you have any questions, please contact us at cwevaluation@urban.org.

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) STATEMENT OF PUBLIC BURDEN:

This information is being collected to provide the Evidence Building Academy Planning Team feedback regarding participants' experience with the Academy. The information will be used by the Planning Team to evaluate the success of the Academy modules. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5 minutes per respondent, including the time for reviewing instructions and completing the form. This is a voluntary collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB # is 0970-0401 and the expiration date is 05/31/2021. If you have any comments on the collection information, please contact: Mike Pergamit (mpergamit@urban.org) or Mark Courtney (markc@uchicago.org).

Day 1: October 21, 2020

1.	Where	do you work? (select all that apply)
		Community-based Service Agency
		State or Local Government Agency
		University
		Research Firm/Institution
		Other, please specify:
2.	-	identify as an implementer, evaluator, or both?
		Implementer
		Evaluator
		Roth

Academy Logistics

3. Please rate the breakout:

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
Project Update Lightning Round breakout	1	2	3	4	5

4. Please indicate how much you agree with the following statements for: Measuring Outcomes [Fred Wulczyn]

	Did Not Attend	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
The module increased my understanding of evaluation.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
The material was relevant for my evaluation activities and interests.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
There was enough time for questions.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
The presenter was responsive to questions.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5

5. Please rate the following statements for: Measuring Outcomes [Fred Wulczyn]

The complexity of the material was:	Did Not	Too	About	Too
	Attend	Simplistic	Right	Complex
	N/A	1	2	3
	Did Not	Too Short	About	Too Long

	Attend		Right		
The length of the presentation was:	N/A	1	2	3	}
6. Please rate the breakout:	Vory Poor	Door	Fair	Cood	Excellent
	Very Poor	Poor	rdir	Good	Excellent
Measuring Outcomes breakout	1	2	3	4	5

Thank you for completing this evaluation form.

Day 2: October 22, 2020

1.	Where	do you work? (select all that apply)
		Community-based Service Agency
		State or Local Government Agency
		University
		Research Firm/Institution
		Other, please specify:
2.	Do you	identify as an implementer, evaluator, or both?
		Implementer
		Evaluator
		Both

Academy Logistics

3. Please indicate how much you agree with the following statements for: Types of Data for Impact Evaluation [Mark Courtney]

	Did Not Attend	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
The module increased my understanding of evaluation.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
The material was relevant for my evaluation activities and interests.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
There was enough time for questions.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
The presenter was responsive to questions.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5

4. Please rate the following statements for: Types of Data for Impact Evaluation [Mark Courtney]

	Did Not Attend	Too Simplistic	About Right	Too Complex
The complexity of the material was:	N/A	1	2	3
	Did Not Attend	Too Short	About Right	Too Long
The length of the presentation was:	N/A	1	2	3

5. Please rate the breakout:

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
Types of Data for Impact Evaluation breakout	1	2	3	4	5

6. Please indicate how much you agree with the following statements for: Writing a Strong Evaluation Report or Journal Article [Katrina Brewsaugh]

	N/A	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
The module increased my understanding of evaluation.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
The material was relevant for my evaluation activities and interests.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
There was enough time for questions.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
The presenter was responsive to questions.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5

7. Please rate the following statements for: Writing a Strong Evaluation Report or Journal Article [Katrina Brewsaugh]

	Did Not Attend	Too Simplistic	About Right	Too Complex
The complexity of the material was:	N/A	1	2	3
	Did Not Attend	Too Short	About Right	Too Long
The length of the presentation was:	N/A	1	2	3

Thank you for completing this evaluation form.

Day 3: October 23, 2020

1.	Where	do you work? (select all that apply)
		Community-based Service Agency
		State or Local Government Agency
		University
		Research Firm/Institution
		Other, please specify:
2.	Do you	identify as an implementer, evaluator, or both?
		Implementer
		Evaluator
		Both

Academy Logistics

3. Please rate the breakout:

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
Academy Reflections and Next Steps breakout	1	2	3	4	5

4. Please indicate how much you agree with the following statements for: Critically Appraising Evidence in Child Welfare [Jessica Dym Bartlett & Amy McKlindon]

	Did Not Attend	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
The module increased my understanding of evaluation.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
The material was relevant for my evaluation activities and interests.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
There was enough time for questions.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
The presenters were responsive to questions.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5

5. Please rate the following statements for: Critically Appraising Evidence in Child Welfare [Jessica Dym Bartlett & Amy McKlindon]

	Did Not	Too	About	Too
	Attend	Simplistic	Right	Complex
The complexity of the material was:	N/A	1	2	3

Did Not	Too Short	About	Too Long
---------	-----------	-------	----------

	Attend		Right	
The length of the presentation was:	N/A	1	2	3

6. Please rate the breakout:

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
Critically Appraising Evidence in Child Welfare breakout	1	2	3	4	5

7. Please indicate how much you agree with the following statements for: Presenting Evidence Visually [Jonathan Schwabish]

	Did Not Attend	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
The module increased my understanding of evaluation.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
The material was relevant for my evaluation activities and interests.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
There was enough time for questions.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
The presenter was responsive to questions.	N/A	1	2	3	4	5

8. Please rate the following statements for: Presenting Evidence Visually [Jonathan Schwabish]

	Did Not Attend	Too Simplistic	About Right	Too Complex
The complexity of the material was:	N/A	1	2	3
	Did Not Attend	Too Short	About Right	Too Long
The length of the presentation was:	N/A	1	2	3

Thank you for completing this evaluation form.