## Florida Prototype Project Survey



PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) The purpose of this information collection is to gather feedback on capacity building products and services to better meet the needs of child welfare professionals. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per respondent, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information. This is a voluntary collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The control number for this project is 0970-0401. The control number expires on 5/31/2021. If you have any comments on this collection of information, please contact Roshanda Shoulders, ACF, Administration on Children, Youth and Families (ACYF) by e-mail at Roshanda. Shoulders@ACF. hhs. gov.

The Capacity Building Collaborative is conducting an evaluation of the Florida Prototype Project (FLPP). Please provide feedback about your experiences across all aspects of the project. This feedback will be used to inform Collaborative services. All information you provide is voluntary and anonymous. The survey should take about 10 minutes to complete. If you have any questions, please contact Sherri Levesque, Capacity Building Center for States Knowledge Management Lead at Sherri.Levesque@icf.com.

- 1. Which of the following best describes your agency role? (Select One)
  - a. DCF Executive Leadership
  - b. DCF Child Welfare/Operations
  - c. DCF Quality Office
  - d. DCF Frontline Supervisor
  - e. DCF Child Protective Investigator (CPI) (Case-Carrying Frontline Caseworker)
  - f. Parent/Guardian
  - g. Youth
  - h. Legal/Court
  - i. CBC Lead Agency Frontline Supervisor
  - CBC Lead Agency Case-Carrying Frontline Caseworker
  - k. CBC Lead Agency Other Staff or Administrator
  - I. Managing Entities
  - m. Federal Partner
  - n. TA Provider
  - o. Other (Please Specify)
- 2. Please indicate your participation in any of the following planning teams for the project? (Select all that apply)
  - a. Core Team
  - b. Data Squad
  - c. I did not participate in either of these planning teams

Please rate your agreement with the following statements.

	Strongly Disagree	_	Somewhat Disagree	Somewhat Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
--	----------------------	---	----------------------	-------------------	-------	-------------------

3.	Overall, the right people (roles and responsibilities represented) were involved in project activities.			
4.	Representation of key stakeholders from the child welfare agencies (Florida DCF or CBC lead agencies) was sufficient for the project activities and goals of the project.			
5.	Representation of key stakeholders from the child welfare partners (e.g., legal/court, family/youth) was sufficient for the project activities and goals of the project.			

6. Did you have concerns about representation in this project and if so, what suggestions do you have for ensuring the "right people" are involved in future activities?

\_\_\_\_\_

Please rate your agreement with the following statements

		Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Somewhat Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
7.	I understood my role and what was expected of me for the project activities.					
8.	I was able to successfully participate in the project activities.					
9.	The first two large group meetings on September 9 <sup>th</sup> and 15 <sup>th</sup> helped me to understand my role and expectations for my participation in the project.					
10	The first two large group meetings on September 9 <sup>th</sup> and 15 <sup>th</sup> ) provided enough information so that I could fully participate in other project activities (e.g., root cause analysis).					

11.	What suggestions do you have to en	nsure that participants	are fully prepared for	project activities?

Please rate your agreement with the following statements

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Somewhat Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
12. Meetings were structured and facilitated in a way that promoted engagement and collaboration among stakeholders in the virtual environment.					

13. Meetings were structure facilitated in a way that development of project (e.g., vision statement, the change, selection of interesting the structure of the	promoted co- deliverables theory of						
14. What suggestions can y	ou provide to e	ensure virtual	meetings are	e effective?			
Please rate your agreement with	n the following s	Statements Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Somewhat Agree	Agree	Strong Agre
15. I had an opportunity to r meaningful contributions activities.							
16. I felt like I had a voice in development of project (e.g., vision statement, the change, selection of interesting the statement of the sta	deliverables theory of						
17. Conversations during th activities were construct							
What suggestions can y and meaningfully engage  Please rate your agreement with	ged with one an	other?	spants are re		iai project dea	vides	
		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Somewhat Agree	Agree	Strong Agre
19. I feel like the activities redata were useful.	elated to using						
20. What suggestions do yo	ou have that wo	uld help parti	cipants use	data?			
Please indicate how motivated y your agency	ou are to use t	hese process	ses for future	system impro	vement efforts	in	
: Un		omewhat nmotivate d	Somewha Motivated		ed Strong Motiva		

21. Using data to describe problems and analyze root			
22. Creating a theory of change			
23. Selecting relevant strategies			

24. What would motivate you to use some of the processes used during the project such as using data to describe problems and analyze root causes, creating a theory of change and selecting relevant strategies in future system improvement efforts in your agency?

\_\_\_\_\_

