Evaluation of the Child Welfare Capacity Building Collaborative

OMB Information Collection Request 0970-New

Supporting Statement Part A

May 2021

Submitted By:
Children's Bureau
Administration on Children, Youth and Families
Administration for Children and Families
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

4th Floor, Mary E. Switzer Building 330 C Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20201

Executive Summary

- Type of Request: This Information Collection Request (ICR) is for a new request.
- Progress to Date: This new ICR includes instruments from three currently approved ICs (0970-0484, 0970-0494, and 0970-0501). This request updates many of the information collection elements approved under these collections to reflect what has been learned and to address current evaluation objectives. Once this ICR is approved, many instruments approved through OMB Numbers 0970-0484, 0970-0494, and 0970-0501 will no longer be used. A few instruments used by the Center for States, however, will remain active under their current OMB numbers:
 - OMB 0970-0484 (Expiration 11/30/2022): Center for States Information and Referral Survey
 - o OMB 0970-0494 (Expiration 2/28/2023): CapLEARN Registration
 - OMB 0970-0501 (Expiration 9/30/2023): Child Welfare Virtual Conference Registration Form, Child Welfare Virtual Conference Session Surveys, Child Welfare Virtual Conference Exit Survey, Child Welfare Virtual Focus Group Guide, Child Welfare Virtual Conference Interview Guide, Annual Assessment Update (8 Systematic Questions), Assessment Observation - Group Debrief, Service Delivery and Tracking and Adjustment Observation - Group Debrief, Assessment and Service Delivery State Lead Interviews - Supplemental Questions

All other instruments under OMB 0970-0484, 0970-0494, and 0970-0501 will no longer be needed once this new ICR is approved.

• Description of Request: The proposed information collection tracks, monitors, and evaluates the activities of the Children's Bureau Child Welfare Capacity Building Collaborative, which is comprised of three federally funded Centers (Center for States [CBCS], Center for Tribes [CBCT], and Center for Courts [CBCC]). The Centers deliver national expertise and evidence-informed training and technical assistance (TA) services to state, tribal, and territorial public child welfare agencies and Court Improvement Programs (CIPs). The Centers will be evaluated through a Cross-Center evaluation and three Center-specific evaluations. The evaluations will use both surveys and interviews to gather data to understand the services delivered by the Centers, the utilization of services by jurisdictions, the quality of and satisfaction with services, collaboration among the Centers, and service outcomes.

We do not intend for this information to be used as the principal basis for public policy decisions.

A1. Necessity for Collection

The Evaluation of the Child Welfare Capacity Building Collaborative is sponsored by the Children's Bureau (CB) in the Administration on Children, Youth and Families (ACYF), Administration for Children and Families (ACF), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) which seeks approval for the data collection instruments and procedures described herein. The proposed information collection is necessary to track, monitor, and evaluate the activities of the Collaborative which includes three federally funded Centers (Center for States [CBCS], Center for Tribes [CBCT], and Center for Courts [CBCC]) that deliver national child welfare expertise and evidence-informed training and technical assistance (TA) services to state, tribal, and territorial public child welfare agencies and Court Improvement Programs (CIPs) [henceforth referred to as jurisdictions]. The collective goal of the Centers is to build the capacities of jurisdictions to successfully undertake practice, organizational, and systemic reforms necessary to implement federal policies, meet federal standards, and achieve better outcomes for the children, youth, and families they serve.

Legislative Background and Purpose

Agencies that receive formula funding through the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA), and titles IV-B and IV-E of the Social Security Act are eligible for TA from CB to support implementation of these programs, compliance with federal requirements, and improvement of outcomes. The proposed information collection is necessary to perform routine evaluation of quality and effectiveness and to inform future planning and decision making about the provision and improvement of TA services authorized under multiple sections of CAPTA and titles IV-B and IV-E of the Social Security Act. This information collection also complies with the statutory requirement for training projects authorized by Section 5106 of CAPTA to be evaluated for their effectiveness. A copy of the relevant sections of CAPTA as Amended by P.L. 115-424 (Victims of Child Abuse Act Reauthorization Act of 2018), the CAPTA Reauthorization Act of 2010 can be found in Appendix 1.

A2. Purpose

Purpose and Use

The Centers' services are organized into three major categories: (1) *universal* - product development and information dissemination, including the creation and release of website content, publications, and other resources; (2) *constituency/targeted services* - training and peer networking, including the delivery of online courses, virtual presentations, and facilitated peer discussions; and (3) *tailored services* - jurisdiction-specific consultation and coaching, including workshops and onsite visits to provide customized support. Each service category is designed to achieve specific outcomes that require different levels of engagement and interaction between the Centers and their service recipients.

Data collected through this proposed information collection will be used by the Centers and CB for continuous quality improvement (CQI) to improve the development and delivery of the Centers' services

¹ Centers sometimes use a hybrid approach. For example, the CBCC offers "CQI Workshops" to bring CIP staff together in joint sessions for shared learning and peer connections (an approach that represents constituency/targeted services) and to provide individualized capacity building sessions to tailor the information and help CIPs implement a specific project (which represents a tailored service approach).

and to assess the impact of services on jurisdictions' ability to achieve their intended outcomes. Evaluation findings will help to inform future decision making about service delivery and federal resource allocation. Evaluation findings also will be shared with other providers and service recipients to increase knowledge about TA strategies and approaches. Consistent with this approach, CB recently released findings from its 2014 -2019 evaluations of the Collaborative to the public on its webpage, (see the Cross-Center evaluation final public report, <u>Building Capacity in Child Welfare: Findings From a Five-Year Evaluation of the Capacity Building Collaborative – Report</u>, and the CBCS final evaluation public report, <u>Making a Difference for Public Child Welfare Agencies: Key Findings from the Final Evaluation Report – Years 2015 – 2019</u>). Moreover, the design for the 2014 – 2019 Cross-Center evaluation was shared with other federal agencies and departments that fund TA systems.

The information collected is meant to contribute to the body of knowledge on ACF programs. It is not intended to be used as the principal basis for a decision by a federal decision-maker and is not expected to meet the threshold of influential or highly influential scientific information.

Guiding Research Questions

The Centers' services are being evaluated by the following evaluations:

- Cross-Center evaluation
- Center-specific evaluations:
 - O Evaluation of the Capacity Building Center for States
 - o Evaluation of the Capacity Building Center for Tribes
 - O Evaluation of the Capacity Building Center for Courts

The Cross-Center evaluation focuses on assessing the satisfaction and effectiveness of Centers' tailored services, while the Center-specific evaluations predominately focus on assessing universal and constituency services, as well as collecting formative data on tailored services for continuous quality improvement. The Cross-Center evaluation and Center evaluations are designed to respond to a set of evaluation questions posed by CB. Data collected will address these evaluation questions. The research questions that guide the Cross-Center evaluation and Center-specific evaluations are provided in Appendix 2. In general, the evaluations are designed to understand the services delivered by Centers; utilization of services by jurisdictions; quality of and satisfaction with services; collaboration among Centers and with federal staff; and service outcomes, such as completion of implementation milestones, improvements in capacity, and changes in child welfare practice.

Study Designs

The proposed Cross-Center and Center-specific evaluations use mixed-methods, longitudinal approaches to respond to the evaluations' guiding research questions. The approaches combine online and paper-based surveys, interviews, and document review to assess the services delivered by Centers; the use of services by jurisdictions; the quality of and satisfaction with services; collaboration among Centers, and service outcomes. The study designs are appropriate for their intended purposes as they were developed to answer the descriptive questions by utilizing methods and measures that assess the Centers' core components and outcomes (see B1 in Supporting Statement Part B for additional detail).

The specific instruments used to gather data for the Cross-Center and Center-specific evaluations are outlined in table A-1 below (see Instruments 1-30). More information about these instruments and data collection is available in section B4 of Supporting Statement B.

Table A-1. Instrument Description and Administration Details

Instrument	Respondent, Content, Purpose of Collection	Mode and Frequency						
	Cross-Center Evaluation							
Outcomes of and Satisfaction with Tailored Services Survey - intensive projects	Respondents: State and tribal child welfare staff and CIP staff receiving intensive services Content: Questions about change management knowledge and skills; changes in capacity; satisfaction with Center services; and (for state respondents) questions about the CBCS practice model Purpose: To measure child welfare staff perceptions of the outcomes on intensive courses of tailored services and their satisfaction with those services Personally Identifying Information (PII): n/a	Mode: Online via Qualtrics Frequency: Once at the close of each intensive service project						
Outcomes of Tailored Services Survey - brief projects	Respondents: Tribal child welfare jurisdiction staff and CIP staff receiving brief services Content: Questions about outcomes of brief services Purpose: To assess child welfare staff perceptions of the outcomes of brief courses of tailored services PII: n/a	Mode: Online via Qualtrics Frequency: Once at the close of each CBCC and CBCT brief service project						
Leadership Interview – states and territories	Respondents: State child welfare directors Content: Questions about the agency's experiences with assessment and work planning; working with the CBCS; and services received and progress toward outcomes Purpose: To obtain information from state child welfare directors regarding factors that influence their decisions to engage in services with the CBCS; perceptions of the capacity building services received; and satisfaction with the Center's services PII: role, years in in role, years at organization	Mode: By phone Frequency: Twice: once each in project years 2 and 4						
Leadership Interview – CIPs	Respondents: CIP directors Content: Questions about the CIP's experiences with assessment and work planning; working with the CBCC; and services received and progress toward outcomes Purpose: To obtain information from CIP directors regarding factors that influence their decisions to engage in services with the CBCC; perceptions of the capacity building services received; and satisfaction with the Center's services PII: role, years in in role, years at organization	Mode: By phone Frequency: Twice: once each in project years 2 and 4						
Leadership Interview – tribes	Respondents: Tribal child welfare agency directors Content: Questions about the tribe's experiences with assessment and work planning; working with the CBCT; services received and progress toward outcomes; and satisfaction with services Purpose: To obtain information from tribal child welfare directors regarding factors that influence their decisions to engage in services with the CBCT; perceptions of the capacity building services received; and satisfaction with the Center's services PII: role, years in in role, years at organization	Mode: By phone Frequency: Twice: once each in project years 2 and 4						

Instrument	Respondent, Content, Purpose of Collection	Mode and Frequency
Collaboration	Respondents: Center staff and federal partners	Mode: Online via Qualtrics
and	Content: Questions about collaboration and communication across Centers, and	Frequency: Twice: once
Communication	collaboration with federal staff.	each in project years 2 and
Survey	Purpose : To understand the extent to which factors that support collaboration among	4
	Centers and with federal staff exist and whether they improve over time	
	PII: role, years with organization, Center service type, percentage time in role	
Collaborative	Respondents: Center and federal staff	Mode: Online via Qualtrics
Project Team	Content: Questions about collaboration among members of a team	Frequency: Once per
Survey	Purpose: To understand whether collaborative teams for specific projects and/or	selected collaborative
	communication teams exhibit signs of healthy collaboration	team
	PII: role, years with Center, Center service type, percentage time in role, length of time on	
	collaborative team	
Assessment	Respondents: Child welfare jurisdiction staff	Mode: Online via Qualtrics
and Work	Content : Questions about satisfaction with and outcomes of assessment and work planning	Frequency: Once at the
Planning Survey	processes	end of each assessment
	Purpose : To measure satisfaction with and outcomes of the assessment and work planning	and/or work planning
	services provided by Centers	service
	PII: n/a	
	Center for States (CBCS) Evaluation	
Event	Respondents: Child welfare professionals	Mode: Online via virtual
Registration	Content: Demographic questions	event platform (e.g.,
	Purpose : To register child welfare professionals for participation in CBCS-hosted events and	Adobe Connect) or survey
	understand audience reach for CBCS services	platform (e.g., Qualtrics)
	PII: Name, jurisdiction, organization, email, role, highest education degree, years of	Frequency: Ongoing for
	experience in child welfare	each CBCS-hosted event
Brief Event	Respondents: Child welfare professionals	Mode : Online via Qualtrics
Survey	Content : Questions about satisfaction with and outcomes of CBCS-hosted universal services	Frequency: Once at the
	events and peer events	end of each CBCS-hosted
	Purpose: To gather feedback that can inform program planning	universal service or peer
	PII: n/a	event
Event Follow-	Respondents: Child welfare professionals	Mode: Online via Qualtrics
up Survey	Content: Questions about outcomes of CBCS-hosted universal events and peer events	Frequency: Once, three
	Purpose: To gather feedback that can inform program planning	months after the CBCS-
	PII: n/a	hosted universal service or
		peer event
Event Poll	Respondents: Child welfare professionals	Mode: Online via Adobe

Instrument	Respondent, Content, Purpose of Collection	Mode and Frequency
	Content: Questions about satisfaction with and outcomes of CBCS-hosted peer events with	Connect or WebEx
	less than 100 registrants	Frequency: Once at the
	Purpose: To gather feedback that can inform program planning	end of the event
	PII: n/a	
Peer Learning	Respondents: Child welfare professionals	Mode: Online via Qualtrics
Group Survey	Content: Questions about satisfaction with and outcomes of CBCS-hosted peer learning	Frequency: Bi-annually
	groups	
	Purpose: To gather feedback that can inform program planning	
	PII: n/a	
Learning	Respondents: Child welfare professionals	Mode: Online via Qualtrics
Experience	Content: Questions about satisfaction with and outcomes of CBCS-hosted peer learning	Frequency: For single
Satisfaction	groups	events, once at the end of
Survey	Purpose: To gather feedback that can inform program planning	the event.
	PII: n/a	
Jurisdiction	Respondents: Select state child welfare agency staff who serve as intensive service project	Mode: Video or
Interview	leads	conference call via
Protocol	Content: Questions about agency staff experience with receiving intensive services from	Microsoft Teams
	CBCS	Frequency: Once at the
	Purpose : To gather feedback on working with the CBCS in general and/or on a specific	end of an intensive
	service/set of services	project's annual workplan
	PII: n/a	
Fidelity Study:	Respondents : State child welfare agency staff who serve as intensive service project leads	Mode : In person, via email,
State Lead	Content: Questions about the extent to which Center representatives exhibited behaviors	or by phone
Debrief	indicative of service fidelity, and questions about satisfaction with the services	Frequency: Once for each
Questions	Purpose : To capture brief, reflective feedback following in-person or virtual meetings	intensive project's annual
	associated with intensive projects	workplan
T-11	PII: n/a	Mada Onlina da On II i
Tailored	Respondents: State child welfare agency program staff receiving brief services	Mode: Online via Qualtrics
Services Brief	Content: Questions about outcomes of and satisfaction with brief services	Frequency : Once at the close of each brief
Project Survey	Purpose : To assess child welfare staff perceptions of the outcomes of and their satisfaction with brief tailored services	
	1	project's annual workplan
	PII: n/a	
Peer-to-Peer	Respondents: Child welfare professionals	Mode: Online via Qualtrics
Event Survey	Content: Questions about satisfaction with and outcomes of peer-to-peer events hosted by	Frequency: Once at end of

Instrument	Respondent, Content, Purpose of Collection	Mode and Frequency
	CBCS	each peer-to-peer event
	Purpose: To gather feedback that can inform project planning	
	PII: n/a	
Longitudinal	Respondents : Child welfare agency program staff from tailored services intensive projects	Mode: Video call via
Ethnographic	selected for the substudy	Microsoft Teams
Substudy	Content : Questions about agency staff experience with receiving intensive services from	Frequency: Twice per year
Jurisdiction	CBCS	
Interview	Purpose : This interview protocol is the sole data source used for a longitudinal study of	
	several CBCS intensive projects. The purpose of this study is to improve understanding of	
	the entire lifecycle of such projects and of how various factors influence project progress.	
	PII: n/a	
	Center for Tribes (CBCT) Evaluation	
Request for	Respondents: Tribal child welfare agency representative	Mode: By phone
Services Form	Content : Questions about the tribal program requesting services, the purpose of the	Frequency: Once per
	request, and eligibility for services	service request
	Purpose : To enable tribal child welfare programs to request services from CBCT	
	PII: Name, jurisdiction, organization, email, phone number, role	
Inquiry Form	Respondents: Tribal child welfare agency representative	Mode: By phone or email
	Content: Questions about the tribe's contact information, purpose of the service request,	Frequency: Once per
	and eligibility for services	service request
	Purpose : To collect preliminary information on what services a tribal child welfare program	
	is requesting from CBCT so the request can be passed along for further follow-up by the	
	appropriate Center staff person.	
	PII: Name, jurisdiction, organization, email, phone number, role	
Tribal	Respondents: Tribal child welfare agency representative	Mode: Verbally or
Demographic	Content: Questions about the tribal child welfare program, including its services, its Title IV-	electronically
Survey	E status, its population and location, staffing, and its data management capacities	Frequency: Once per
	Purpose : To collect information to better understanding of the status of a tribal child	service request
	welfare program	
	PII: Name, jurisdiction, organization, email, phone number, role	
Needs and Fit	Respondents: Tribal child welfare agency representative(s)	Mode: By phone or
Exploration	Content: Questions about the tribal child welfare agency's service request; strengths and	videoconference
Tool Phase 1	challenges; Title IV-B plan; child welfare program services and structure; funding; staffing	Frequency: Once per
	and workforce, collaboration with courts, community, and the state; data management; and	service request

Instrument	Respondent, Content, Purpose of Collection	Mode and Frequency		
	other sources of TA			
	Purpose: To gather additional information to help CBCT decide if the tribal inquiry and			
	request for services fit the criteria for CBCT TA.			
	PII: Name, jurisdiction, organization, email, phone number			
Needs and Fit	Respondents: Tribal child welfare agency representative(s)	Mode: In person or		
Exploration	Content: A structured interview protocol that facilitates conversation about the tribal child	virtually		
Tool Phase 2	welfare program's strengths and challenges; Title IV-B plan; program services; funding; and	Frequency: Once per		
(Process	staffing and workforce. The protocol also enables CBCT staff to review case flow and to	service request		
Narrative)	review the evaluation components of the proposed services with the program's			
	representatives.			
	Purpose: To facilitate an onsite discussion with a tribal child welfare agency to build			
	relationships, learn more about how their program operates, and assess the program's			
	needs and capacity			
	PII: Name, jurisdiction, organization, email, phone number			
Tribal Child	Respondents: Child welfare professionals	Mode: Online via Qualtrics		
Welfare	Content : Questions about child welfare professionals' competencies and leadership qualities	Frequency: Once per		
Leadership	Purpose : To provide a baseline (i.e., pre-training) measure of competencies that are the	Academy participant		
Academy Pre-	focus of the Leadership Academy			
Training Self-	PII: Name, jurisdiction, email			
Assessment				
Tribal Child	Respondents: Child welfare professionals	Mode: Online via Qualtrics		
Welfare	Content: Questions about child welfare professionals' competencies and leadership	Frequency: Once per		
Leadership	qualities, and their satisfaction with the training	Academy participant		
Academy Post-	Purpose : To provide a post-training measure of competencies that were the focus of the			
Training Self-	Leadership Academy, and information about attendees' satisfaction with the training			
Assessment	PII: Name, jurisdiction, email			
Universal	Respondents: Child welfare professionals and stakeholders	Mode : Online via Qualtrics		
Services	Content: Questions about satisfaction with the webinar	Frequency: Once following		
Webinar	Purpose : To measure participant satisfaction with the content and flow of CBCT-sponsored	each universal services		
Feedback	universal services webinars	webinar		
Survey	PII: Name, jurisdiction, email			
	Center for Courts (CBCC) Evaluation			
CQI Workshop	Respondents: Child welfare and court professionals	Mode: Paper survey or		
Feedback	Content : Questions about satisfaction with the workshop and understanding of the topics	online survey (for a Virtual		
Survey	covered	Academy)		

Instrument	Respondent, Content, Purpose of Collection	Mode and Frequency
	Purpose: To assess the usefulness of the workshop, participant satisfaction, and perceived	Frequency: Once at the
	knowledge gain, to help the Center make adjustments to improve future workshops	end of a CQI workshop
	PII: n/a	
Academy	Respondents: Child welfare and court professionals	Mode: Paper survey or
Feedback	Content: Questions about participant satisfaction with the Academy and whether	online survey (for a Virtual
Survey	participant experienced gains in knowledge	Academy)
	Purpose: To assess participant satisfaction with and perceived knowledge gain from the	Frequency: Once at the
	CBCC Judicial and Attorney Academies, to inform improvement of future Academies	end of the Academy
	PII: n/a	training
Pre/Post	Respondents: Child welfare and court professionals	Mode: Online module
Academy	Content: Questions that assess knowledge of legal and judicial issues	Frequency: Once at the
Learning	Purpose: To gauge Academy participants' knowledge and then provide exposure to material	end of the Academy
Assessment	tailored to that knowledge	training
	PII: Name, jurisdiction, email	

Other Data Sources and Uses of Information

This ICR builds off two prior Cross-Center requests which were part of the 2014-2019 evaluations of the Collaborative, OMB Number 0970-0484 (exp. 11/30/2022) and OMB Number 0970-0494 (exp. 2/28/2023), and one prior Center-specific request for CBCS, OMB Number 0970-0501 (exp. 9/30/2023). The content of most instruments contained in these earlier ICRs have been revised for this ICR to reflect what was learned in the 2014 – 2019 evaluations and to address CB's current evaluation objectives. When applicable, data obtained from the earlier collections will be used to assess longitudinal changes related to the delivery of services, quality and satisfaction, and outcomes.

The evaluations also rely on data from the Centers' online data system, CapTRACK, for recording service delivery and outcomes, and limited information on jurisdiction needs. Centers capture in CapTRACK information about the products, events, and learning experiences they develop. Centers also record information on the tailored services they provide, including the service strategies, frequency, modality, topic, and hours of service, and the expected and actualized outcomes of services. CapTRACK contains limited information on the needs of jurisdictions, which is collected through assessments that were approved through Center-specific OMB requests (OMB Number 0970-0501 for the CBCS Evaluation Ancillary Data Collection, and OMB Number 0970-0307 for the CBCC Self-Assessment for the CIPs).

This ICR is intended to meet the needs of the Cross-Center evaluation and three Center-specific evaluations, all related to assessing CB's Capacity Building Collaborative.

A3. Use of Information Technology to Reduce Burden

Wherever possible and appropriate, information technology will be used to capture information and reduce burden relative to alternative methods of data collection. Administration of most evaluation surveys will be web-based, utilizing email notification and Internet-based survey technologies creating efficiencies for survey administrators, allowing flexibility and convenience for recipients, and ideally resulting in a user-friendly experience for respondents. Based on the services provided, survey respondents will receive an email notification inviting them to complete the appropriate survey instrument by accessing a web-link to an online survey. Respondents may be invited to participate in a survey immediately following the conclusion of a service (e.g., webinar, online training, peer event) via live polling through integrated technology platforms (e.g., Adobe Connect, WebEx) or via embedded survey links. Nearly all targeted respondents are expected to be able to access the web-link or online surveys.² Most survey questions include closed-ended response items that can be completed quickly (within 10 – 15 minutes), allowing descriptive and comparative analyses.

Data collection also will include interviews conducted via telephone or in person. With the permission of respondents, telephone interviews will be audio recorded and transcribed, to maximize detailed and accurate notes and to minimize the need to go back to informants to clarify what was said.

A4. Use of Existing Data: Efforts to reduce duplication, minimize burden, and increase utility and government efficiency

² A hard copy of the surveys will be provided to those who cannot access the surveys online. See Supporting Statement B section B4 for further discussion.

The proposed instruments are intended to uniformly collect data that will allow for the evaluation of Center-specific processes and outcomes and to answer a set of cross-cutting evaluation questions posed by CB. CB has required the Cross-Center and Center-specific evaluators to ensure data collection is necessary and complementary. The information collection and the Center-specific evaluation activities have been coordinated to avoid potential duplication and to reduce burden to respondents. Each of the three Centers have met with the Cross-Center evaluation team and reviewed the Cross-Center data collection instruments. The instruments have been revised to address potential overlap and the timing of data collection activities will be closely coordinated to minimize burden. When applicable, the Cross-Center and Center-specific evaluators will share data as established by written data sharing agreements (see Supporting Statement B, section B7). While Center-specific data will yield important and relevant information, it will not be sufficient to meet the Cross-Center purposes for the proposed information collection.

A5. Impact on Small Businesses

No small businesses will be involved with this information collection.

A6. Consequences of Less Frequent Collection

To improve the Center's services and collaborate effectively to provide coordinated support to state, tribal, and territorial public child welfare agencies and CIPs, CB and its providers need timely data on the provision of services delivered by the Centers, the accessibility of services, the perceived effect and quality of the services received, and the interactions of service providers with one another. Less frequent data collection would inhibit the timely use of the information by CB and providers to improve service coordination and service quality and to potentially make decisions about service delivery.

A7. Now subsumed under 2(b) above and 10 (below)

A8. Consultation

Federal Register Notice and Comments

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) and OMB regulations at 5 CFR Part 1320 (60 FR 44978, August 29, 1995), ACF published a notice in the Federal Register announcing the agency's intention to request an OMB review of this information collection activity. This notice was published on March 19, 2021, Volume 86, Number 52, pages 14,930-14,932, and provided a sixty-day period for public comment. During the notice and comment period, no comments were received.

Numerous opportunities were provided for direct stakeholders to review the proposed instruments and to contribute to their development throughout the evaluation design phase. The Cross-Center and Center evaluation teams were responsive to stakeholders' comments whenever possible and used their feedback in revising the data collection instruments. In preparation of the OMB clearance package, instruments were pilot tested with fewer than 10 individuals who were knowledgeable of the topics addressed and who had served in positions similar to the potential respondents (i.e., state/tribal Child Welfare Directors; CIP Directors; current and former Center staff members, consultants, and liaisons).

Following stakeholder review and pilot testing, revisions were made to instruments based on comments to improve clarity of instructions and items and, in some cases, to shorten instruments.

Consultation with Experts Outside of the Study

No external experts outside of the study were consulted.

A9. Tokens of Appreciation

No tokens of appreciation are proposed for this information collection request.

A10. Privacy: Procedures to protect privacy of information, while maximizing data sharing

Personally Identifiable Information

The Cross-Center and Center-specific evaluations will collect PII on instruments, as identified in table A-1. PII data elements include name, jurisdiction, organization, email, phone number, position/role, highest educational degree, length of time in role, length of time with organization, years of experience in child welfare, and percentage of time in role. Telephone interviews will be audio recorded with respondent consent and transcribed to ensure accuracy. All PII obtained during the interview will be removed from the transcripts and the audio recordings will be deleted after transcription (see Supporting Statement B, section B4). In general, PII is collected to support survey administration and interviews, and to describe respondent characteristics. Some PII data fields, such as type of jurisdiction and role, will be used in analyses to explore variations in findings.

All PII collected by the three Centers and Cross-Center evaluation team will be kept private and kept secure. Only select data such as jurisdiction and professional role will be shared with other Center or Cross-Center evaluators. Only the evaluation teams will have access to identifiers such as contact name and email address for purposes of data collection. Cross-Center and Center-specific evaluators will store all PII contact data in separate files on their respective servers (or SharePoint sites) in password protected, secure data systems to ensure privacy. Data collected will be coded using identification numbers, and links between identification numbers and names will be stored in password protected, encrypted files. Identifiers will not be used in any evaluation reporting.

Information will not be maintained in a paper or electronic system from which data are actually or directly retrieved by an individuals' personal identifier.

Assurances of Privacy

Information collected will be kept private to the extent permitted by law. Respondents will be informed of all planned uses of data, that their participation is voluntary, and that their information will be kept private to the extent permitted by law. As specified in the contract, the Contractor will comply with all Federal and Departmental regulations for private information.

Each Contractor has developed a Data Safety and Monitoring Plan that assesses all protections of respondents' PII. The Contractors ensure that all its employees, subcontractors (at all tiers), and employees of each subcontractor, who perform work under this contract/subcontract, are trained on data privacy issues and comply with the above requirements.

As specified in the evaluators' contracts, the Contractors shall use Federal Information Processing Standard compliant encryption (Security Requirements for Cryptographic Module, as amended) to protect all instances of sensitive information during storage and transmission. Contractors shall securely generate and manage encryption keys to prevent unauthorized decryption of information, in accordance with the Federal Processing Standard. The Contractors shall: ensure that this standard is incorporated into the Contractors' property management/control system; establish procedures to account for all laptop computers, desktop computers, and other mobile devices and portable media that store or process sensitive information. Any data stored electronically will be secured in accordance with the most current National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) requirements and other applicable Federal and Departmental regulations. In addition, the Contractors must submit a plan for minimizing to the extent possible the inclusion of sensitive information on paper records and for the protection of any paper records, field notes, or other documents that contain sensitive or PII that ensures secure storage and limits on access.

A11. Sensitive Information ³

No questions of a sensitive nature are included in these evaluations.

A12. Burden

Explanation of Burden Estimates

Table A-2 includes the estimates of response burden by instrument for the Cross-Center and Center evaluations. The total annual response burden for all instruments is estimated to be 143 hours for the Cross-Center evaluation, 446 hours for the CBCS evaluation, 344 hours for the CBCT evaluation, 108 hours for the CBCC evaluation, for a total of 1,041 hours for all evaluations. For instruments that were similar to those administered as part of the 2014 – 2019 Evaluation of the Collaborative (OMB Numbers 0970-0484 and 0970-0494), estimates of the total number of respondents were based on historical data. Estimates of the average burden per response were based on the prior completion times of similar instruments and/or pilot tests conducted with fewer than 10 respondents as part of the process of instrument development and refinement.

Estimated Annualized Cost to Respondents

³ Examples of sensitive topics include (but not limited to): social security number; sex behavior and attitudes; illegal, anti-social, self-incriminating and demeaning behavior; critical appraisals of other individuals with whom respondents have close relationships, e.g., family, pupil-teacher, employee-supervisor; mental and psychological problems potentially embarrassing to respondents; religion and indicators of religion; community activities which indicate political affiliation and attitudes; legally recognized privileged and analogous relationships, such as those of lawyers, physicians and ministers; records describing how an individual exercises rights guaranteed by the First Amendment; receipt of economic assistance from the government (e.g., unemployment or WIC or SNAP); immigration/citizenship status.

After applying hourly wage estimates to burden hours in each respondent category, the current annual cost to the respondents is as follows: (1) \$9,946.72 for the Cross-Center evaluation; (2) \$18,874.72 for the CBCS evaluation; (3) \$14,558.08 for the CBCT evaluation; and (4) \$7,434.72 for CBCC evaluation. The total annual cost to the respondents if all data collection instruments were employed in the same given year⁴ is \$50,814.24. This cost information is based on the most current data available from May 2019. For labor categories, the mean hourly wage for "Social Scientists and Related Workers" (\$42.32) was used for respondents completing the agency surveys; "Lawyers, and Judicial Law Clerks" (\$68.84) was used for respondents completing the evaluation surveys for CBCC; "Management Positions, Chief Executives" (\$93.20) was used for those participating in the Leadership Interviews; and the category "Operations Specialties Managers" (\$64.69) was used for respondents completing the Collaboration Surveys. Labor categories and wage information was obtained from the following website: https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_nat.htm#00-0000,%2021-0000,%20Community%20and%20Social %20Service%20Occupations%20mean%20hourly%20=%2021.79

⁴ The annual respondent burden and annualized cost varies by year and depends upon the data collection strategies employed.

Table A-2. Estimates of Annualized Burden Hours and Costs

Table A-2. Estimates of Annualized Burden Hours and Costs		1	F .	I	Ι	1.	1
Instrument	No. of	No. of	Avg.	Total	Annual	Average	Total Annual
	Respondents	Responses per	Burden	Burden	Burden	Hourly	Respondent
	(total over	Respondent	per	(in	(in	Wage	Cost
	request period)	(total over	Response	hours)	hours)	Rate	
		request period)	(in hours)				
Cross-Center Evaluation					·		
Outcomes of and Satisfaction with Tailored Services Survey	120	1	0.25	30	10	\$42.32	\$423.20
(Intensive projects) - team lead's completion	120	1	0.25	30	10	⊅42.3 2	∌4∠3.20
Outcomes of and Satisfaction with Tailored Services Survey	576	1	0.17	98	33	\$42.32	\$1,396.56
(Intensive projects) - input from team members	370	1	0.17	70	33	\$42.32	\$1,370.30
Outcomes of Tailored Services Survey (Brief projects)	150	1	0.05	8	3	\$42.32	\$126.96
Leadership Interview - States and Territories	43	2	1.00	86	29	\$93.20	\$2,702.80
Leadership Interview - CIPs	37	2	1.00	74	25	\$93.20	\$2,330.00
Leadership Interview - Tribes	14	2	1.25	35	12	\$93.20	\$1,118.40
Collaboration and Communication Survey - Center staff	100	2	0.22	44	15	\$64.69	\$970.35
Collaboration Project Team Survey	120	1	0.23	28	9	\$64.69	\$582.21
Assessment and Work Planning Survey-Jurisdiction Staff	130	1	0.15	20	7	\$42.32	\$296.24
Total - Cross-Center Evaluation				423	143		\$9,946.72
Center for States (CBCS) Evaluation							
Event Registration	13,500	1	0.03	405	135	\$42.32	\$5,713.20
Brief Event Survey	1,500	1	0.10	150	50	\$42.32	\$2,116.00
Event Follow-up Survey	1,500	1	0.08	120	40	\$42.32	\$1,692.80
Event Poll	300	1	0.03	9	3	\$42.32	\$126.96
Peer Learning Group Survey	300	1	0.33	99	33	\$42.32	\$1,396.56
Learning Experience Satisfaction Survey	975	1	0.33	322	107	\$42.32	\$4,528.24
Jurisdiction Interview Protocol	90	1	1.00	90	30	\$42.32	\$1,269.60
Fidelity Study: State Lead Debrief Questions	108	1	0.25	27	9	\$42.32	\$380.88
Tailored Services Brief Project Survey	150	1	0.13	20	7	\$42.32	\$296.24
Peer to Peer Event Survey	60	1	0.08	5	2	\$42.32	\$84.64
Longitudinal Ethnographic Substudy Jurisdiction Interview	45	2	1.00	90	30	\$42.32	\$1,269.60
Total - CBCS Evaluation				1,337	446		\$18,874.72
Center for Tribes (CBCT) Evaluation				•	•		
Request for Services Form	100	1	1.00	100	33	\$42.32	\$1,396.56
Inquiry Form	200	1	0.08	16	5	\$42.32	\$211.60
Tribal Demographic Survey	60	1	1.75	105	35	\$42.32	\$1,481.20

Instrument	No. of	No. of	Avg.	Total	Annual	Average	Total Annual
	Respondents	Responses per	Burden	Burden	Burden	Hourly	Respondent
	(total over	Respondent	per	(in	(in	Wage	Cost
	request period)	(total over	Response	hours)	hours)	Rate	
		request period)	(in hours)				
Needs and Fit Exploration Tool Phase 1	150	1	2.00	300	100	\$42.32	\$4,232.00
Needs and Fit Exploration Tool Phase 2 (Process Narrative)	80	1	3.00	240	80	\$42.32	\$3,385.60
Tribal Child Welfare Leadership Academy Pre-Training Self-	240	1	0.50	120	40	¢42.22	¢1 602 90
Assessment	240	1	0.50	120	40	\$42.32	\$1,692.80
Tribal Child Welfare Leadership Academy Post-Training	240	1	0.50	120	40	¢42.22	#1 400 90
Self-Assessment	240	1	0.50	120	40	\$42.32	\$1,692.80
Universal Services Webinar Feedback Survey	400	1	0.08	32	11	\$42.32	\$465.52
Total - CBCT Evaluation				1,033	344		\$14,558.08
Center for Courts (CBCC) Evaluation							
CQI Workshop Feedback Survey	240	1	0.07	17	6	\$68.84	\$413.04
Academy Feedback Survey	600	1	0.07	42	14	\$68.84	\$963.76
Pre/Post Academy Assessment	600	2	0.22	264	88	\$68.84	\$6,057.92
Total - CBCC Evaluation				323	108		\$7,434.72
Total - All Evaluations				3,116	1,041		\$50,814.24

A13. Costs

There are no additional costs to respondents.

A14. Estimated Annualized Costs to the Federal Government

The estimated costs for the data collection for the Center-specific and Cross-Center evaluations are noted in table A-3. The estimates include the loaded costs and fees of study team staff time on instrument development, piloting, and OMB clearance; data collection; analysis; and report writing and dissemination. As applicable, the estimates also include other direct costs associated with these activities, such as costs for survey administration software (e.g., Qualtrics), conference calls, recording and transcription services, qualitative and quantitative software packages (e.g., SPSS/SAS, Dedoose/Atlas.TI/NVivo), 508 compliance, conference registration, and travel. Although the project spans 5 years⁵, the request is for three years of approval. If needed, a request for an extension will be submitted to complete data collection.

The annual cost to the federal government for this collection is (1) \$396,174 for the Cross-Center evaluation, (2) \$415,699 for the CBCS evaluation; (3) \$116,175 for the CBCT evaluation; (4) \$31,262 for the CBCC evaluation. The total annual cost to the federal government for all activities associated with this collection is \$953,040.

Table 7. C. Edilliated Code to the Foderal Covernment									
Cost Category	Estimated Costs	Estimated Costs	Estimated Costs	Estimated Costs	Total				
	Cross Center	CBCS	CBCT	CBCC	Annual Costs				
Instrument Development									
and OMB Clearance	\$278,059	\$211,388	\$56,561	\$15,624	\$561,632				
Field Work									
(Administration)	\$629,726	\$936,965	\$145,643	\$15,619	\$1,727,953				
Analysis	\$643,852	\$768,228	\$203,901	\$62,477	\$1,678,458				
Publications/Dissemination	\$429,235	\$161,916	\$174,770	\$31,328	\$797,249				
Annual costs	\$396,174	\$415.699	\$116.175	\$31.262 ⁷	\$959.311				

Table A-3. Estimated Costs to the Federal Government

A15. Reasons for changes in burden

This is a new information collection request.

A16. Timeline

The Cross-Center and Center-specific evaluations will be implemented over 5 years. There are three primary phases of the evaluations: *Phase 1*: Evaluation Planning and Approval (years 1-2), *Phase 2*: Data Collection (years 2-5), and *Phase 3*: Analysis and Reporting (years 3-5). Phase 1 is primarily focused on drafting and finalizing the evaluation plan, developing and revising instruments, and obtaining OMB approval. Phase 2 (data collection) will begin immediately after OMB approval and continue throughout

⁵ The exception to this is the CBCC Center-specific evaluation, which will span 4 years. In Table A-3, the CBCC annual cost = total cost/4.

⁶ The annual respondent burden and annualized cost varies by year and depends upon the data collection strategies employed.

⁷ The annual cost to the federal government for the CBCC Center-specific evaluation is lower than for the other two centers in part because CBCC collects data with only 3 relatively brief instruments.

the 3-year OMB approval window. Specific instruments will be administered at various times during the evaluation, the frequency and timing of which are noted in table A-1. If data collection should extend beyond the 3-year OMB approval period, an extension will be sought.

Phase 3 – analysis and reporting – will occur periodically throughout the period, with CB and Centers using evaluation findings for continuous quality improvement. Findings from information collections will be summarized and tabulated in a series of briefings and reports beginning as soon as 6 months after data collection begins.

The Cross-Center team will analyze the data collected through this proposed information collection and share select findings with the Collaborative, the child welfare field, and the public through several outlets as described in Supporting Statement B, B7. There is no plan to make the raw, unanalyzed data collected available on the agency's website or data.gov or in a restricted-access environment.

A17. Exceptions

No exceptions are necessary for this information collection.

Attachments

Appendices

Appendix 1: Legislation

Appendix 2: Evaluation Questions

Appendix 3: Cross-Center Recruitment and Reminder Language

Appendix 4: CBCS Recruitment and Reminder Language

Appendix 5: CBCT Recruitment and Reminder Language

Appendix 6: CBCC Recruitment and Reminder Language

Instruments

Instrument 1: Cross-Center - Outcomes of and Satisfaction with Tailored Services

Instrument 2: Cross-Center - Brief Tailored Services Survey

Instrument 3: Cross-Center - Leadership Interview for States and Territories

Instrument 4: Cross-Center - Leadership Interview for CIPs

Instrument 5: Cross-Center - Leadership Interview for Tribes

Instrument 6: Cross-Center - Collaboration and Communication Survey

Instrument 7: Cross-Center - Collaborative Project Team Survey

Instrument 8: Cross-Center - Assessment and Work Planning Process Survey

Instrument 9: CBCS - Event Registration

Instrument 10: CBCS - Brief Event Survey

Instrument 11: CBCS - Event Follow Up Survey

Instrument 12: CBCS - Event Poll

Instrument 13: CBCS - Peer Learning Group Survey

Instrument 14: CBCS - Learning Experience Satisfaction Survey

Instrument 15: CBCS - Jurisdiction Interview Protocol

Instrument 16: CBCS - Fidelity Study State Lead Debrief Questions

Instrument 17: CBCS - Tailored Services Brief Project Survey

Instrument 18: CBCS - Peer to Peer Event Survey

Instrument 19: CBCS - Longitudinal Ethnographic Substudy Jurisdiction Interview

Instrument 20: CBCT - Tribal Request for Services Form

Instrument 21: CBCT - Inquiry Form

Instrument 22: CBCT - Tribal Demographic Survey

Instrument 23: CBCT - Needs and Fit Expl Tool - Phase 1

Instrument 24: CBCT - Needs and Fit Expl Tool - Phase 2

Instrument 25: CBCT - TCWLA Pre-Training Self-Assessment

Instrument 26: CBCT - TCWLA Post-Training Self-Assessment

Instrument 27: CBCT - Universal Services Webinar Feedback Survey

Instrument 28: CBCC - CQI Workshop Feedback Survey

Instrument 29: CBCC - Academy Feedback Survey

Instrument 30: CBCC - Academy PrePost Assessment