

SUPPORTING STATEMENT – PART B

B. COLLECTIONS OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS

If the collection of information employs statistical methods, it should be indicated in Item 17 of OMB Form 83-I, and the following information should be provided in this Supporting Statement:

1. Description of the Activity

Describe the potential respondent universe and any sampling or other method used to select respondents. Data on the number of entities covered in the collection should be provided in tabular form for the universe as a whole and for each of the strata in the proposed sample. Indicate the expected response rates for the collection as a whole, as well as the actual response rates achieved during the last collection, if previously conducted.

The population of interest for the 2021 Department of Defense Civilian Employee Workplace and Gender Relations Survey (WGRC) consists of appropriated fund (APF) and nonappropriated fund (NAF) civilian employees at the time of sampling who are over 18 years of age, in a pay status, U.S. citizens, and not political appointees. In addition, for the employee to remain eligible for the survey, they must indicate they are a Department of Defense (DoD) civilian employee at the time of the survey. OPA uses a sampling tool developed by the Research Triangle Institute (RTI) to determine the sample size needed to achieve 95% confidence and an associated precision of 5% or less on each reporting domain. We select a single-stage, non-proportional stratified random sample to ensure statistically adequate expected number of responses for the reporting categories (i.e., domains). For WGRC, OPA uses agency, pay plan/grade, gender, and age to define the initial strata for APF civilian employees. No stratification is needed for the NAF civilian employee sample given it is a census.

Anticipated eligibility for APF civilian employees on the 2021 WGRC will be based on the 2018 WGRC APF sample sizes provided in Table 1. However, the sample size for APF civilian employees on the 2021 WGRC will be approximately 343,000 APF civilian employees, an increase from 251,924 APF civilian employees on the 2018 WGRC (Table 1) to improve precision around estimates for men. Since we will continue to do a census with NAF employees due to their small size, the anticipated eligibility for NAF civilian employees on the 2021 WGRC will be based on the 2018 WGRC NAF sample sizes provided in Table 2. The expected weighted response rate for this survey is about 27%, which is the same as the weighted response rate for this survey in 2018 and consistent with other federal surveys that include DoD civilian employees (e.g., Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey).

Table 1.
2018 APF Sample Size by Key Estimation Domains

Variables	Total	Army	Navy	USMC	Air Force	Other DoD
Sample	251,924	87,454	48,024	10,804	44,675	60,967
Gender						
Men	140,553	52,201	29,500	6,951	26,008	25,893
Women	111,371	35,253	18,524	3,853	18,667	35,074
Pay Plan/Grade						
GS 1-8	62,629	24,198	5,221	2,318	10,575	20,317
GS 9-13	78,271	36,036	13,317	4,353	14,887	9,678
GS 14-15/SES	10,223	4,296	2,261	594	818	2,254
WG 1-8	25,092	6,966	4,236	913	4,252	8,725
WG 9-15	18,142	6,237	3,667	1,098	7,045	95
WS/WL 1-19	7,716	2,195	2,536	302	2,250	433
Demo/APS Pay Plan	49,851	7,526	16,786	1,226	4,848	19,465
Race/Ethnicity						
Non-Minority	165,711	58,711	32,489	6,880	31,191	36,440
Minority	86,213	28,743	15,535	3,924	13,484	24,527
Age						
29 Years Old or Less	33,864	10,391	8,677	534	9,295	4,967
30 to 44 Years Old	78,932	24,522	16,112	3,581	14,945	19,772
45 to 59 Years Old	92,100	28,677	16,700	5,111	15,673	25,939
60 Years Old or More	47,028	23,864	6,535	1,578	4,762	10,289

Table 1.
2018 NAF Sample Size by Key Estimation Domains

Variables	Total	Army	Navy	USMC	Air Force	Other DoD
Sample	107,271	23,132	27,736	10,194	19,040	27,169
Gender						
Male	35,891	7,237	9,129	3,218	6,216	10,091
Female	71,380	15,895	18,607	6,976	12,824	17,078
Pay Plan/Grade						
Pay Band: NF 1-3	44,511	8,459	12,905	4,335	6,737	12,075
Pay Band: NF 4	10,800	2,777	2,696	1,972	1,004	2,351
Pay Band: NF 5-6	1,978	500	433	299	29	717
Child & Youth: CY 1-5	17,714	6,519	4,420	1,689	5,001	85
Craft & Trades: Worker (NA 1-15)	28,338	4,581	6,606	1,674	5,629	9,848
Craft & Trades: Leader (NA 1-15)/ Supervisory (NS 1-19)	3,930	296	676	225	640	2,093
Age						
29 Years Old or Less	32,475	6,693	7,290	3,057	6,783	8,652
30 to 44 Years Old	29,691	7,415	7,476	3,167	4,779	6,854
45 to 59 Years Old	31,236	6,428	8,941	2,861	4,864	8,142
60 Years Old or More	13,869	2,596	4,029	1,109	2,614	3,521

2. Procedures for the Collection of Information

Describe any of the following if they are used in the collection of information:

- a. Statistical methodologies for stratification and sample selection;

As described above, OPA uses a sampling tool developed by RTI to determine the sample size needed to achieve 95% confidence and an associated precision of 5% or less on each reporting category domain. We use a single-stage, non-proportional stratified random sample to ensure statistically adequate expected number of responses for the reporting domains. For WGRC, OPA uses agency, pay plan/grade, gender, and age to define the initial strata for APF civilian employees. Once OPA determines the stratum-level sample sizes, a random number is assigned to every member of the population and the population is sorted by stratum and random number prior to sampling, which results in a randomly-ordered population within each stratum. We then select the appropriate number of APF civilian employees from each stratum. For NAF civilian employees, a census is conducted.

b. Estimation procedures;

OPA weights the eligible respondents in order to make inferences about the entire DoD civilian employee population. The weighting methodology utilizes standard weighting processes. First, we assign a base weight to the sampled member based on the reciprocal of the selection probability. Second, OPA uses 20-30 administrative variables in the XGBoost application of Generalized Boosted Model (GBM) to predict survey eligibility and completion. OPA's accurate and detailed administrative data on both survey respondents and nonrespondents provides confidence in our survey estimates. Finally, the current weights will be post-stratified to known population totals to reduce bias associated with the estimates.

c. Degree of accuracy needed for the Purpose discussed in the justification;

OPA creates variance strata so precision measures can be associated with each estimate. We produce precision measures for reporting categories using 95% confidence intervals with the goal of achieving a precision of 5% or less.

d. Unusual problems requiring specialized sampling procedures; and

None.

e. Use of periodic or cyclical data collections to reduce respondent burden.

To meet the Congressional requirements, OPA conducts the WGRC survey biennially. To reduce burden, we continue to refine survey questions, delete unnecessary questions, and examine the sample size needed to achieve precision around estimates.

3. Maximization of Response Rates, Non-response, and Reliability

Discuss methods used to maximize response rates and to deal with instances of non-response. Describe any techniques used to ensure the accuracy and reliability of responses is adequate for intended purposes. Additionally, if the collection is based on sampling, ensure that the data can be generalized to the universe under study. If not, provide special justification.

To maximize response rates, OPA offers the survey via the web and uses reminder emails to maximize response rates. For the hard to reach NAF population, OPA also uses a mailed letter to announce the survey to the employee's address of record. To reduce respondent burden, web-based surveys use "smart skip" technology to ensure respondents only answer questions that are applicable to them. Multiple reminders will be sent to eligible DoD civilian employees during the survey field period to help increase response rates. E-mail communications will include text highlighting the importance of the surveys and be sent from senior DoD leadership. With the sampling procedures employed, OPA predicts enough responses will be received within all important reporting categories to make estimates that meet confidence and precision goals.

To deal with instances of nonresponse, OPA adjusts for nonresponse in the weighting methodology. To ensure the accuracy and reliability of responses, OPA conducts a nonresponse bias (NRB) analysis every third survey cycle and will conduct one in 2022. Historically OPA has found little evidence of significant NRB during these studies; however, OPA statisticians consider the risk of NRB high and consider it likely the largest source of error in OPA surveys. OPA uses probability sampling and appropriate weighting to ensure the survey data can be generalized to the universe under study.

4. Tests of Procedures

Describe any tests of procedures or methods to be undertaken. Testing of potential respondents (9 or fewer) is encouraged as a means of refining proposed collections to reduce respondent burden, as well as to improve the collection instrument utility. These tests check for internal consistency and the effectiveness of previous similar collection activities.

Items on this survey are used with all DoD Workplace and Gender Relations Surveys. Although they were initially developed with military populations, they were tested with DoD civilian employees within a large, diverse DoD agency to ensure proper translation to civilian employee populations. The metrics and associated questions have since been used on the 2016 and 2018 WGRC surveys. The metrics to assess sexual harassment, gender discrimination, and sexual assault were approved by the Secretary of Defense as the only metrics to be used within the DoD in a May 2015 signed memorandum (Secretary of Defense, 2015). The amount of survey items on the 2021 WGRC is less than the 2018 WGRC survey. Additionally, because this is only the third administration of the survey, OPA is still learning about gender-related issues in the civilian employee population; therefore, the new content is needed for additional analyses and to provide insight for potential edits moving forward. Finally, the current survey is anticipated to take 20-30 minutes for most participants to complete as it uses complex skip logic throughout to only show questions directly applicable to respondents based on their answers to previous questions.

5. Statistical Consultation and Information Analysis

a. Provide names and telephone number of individual(s) consulted on statistical aspects of the design.

- Mr. David McGrath, Branch Chief, Statistical Methods Branch – OPA; david.e.mcgrath.civ@mail.mil
- Ms. Wendy Barboza, Team Lead, Statistical Methods Branch – OPA; wendy.j.barboza.civ@mail.mil
- Mr. Alex McMillan, Researcher – Fors Marsh Group; alex.j.mcmillan.ctr@mail.mil
- Mr. Stephen Busselberg, Researcher – Fors Marsh Group; stephen.m.busselberg.ctr@mail.mil

b. Provide name and organization of person(s) who will actually collect and analyze the collected information.

The data will be collected by Data Recognition Corporation (DRC), which is OPA's operations contractor.

- Ms. Valerie Waller, Senior Managing Director – DRC; valerie.waller@datarecognitioncorps.com

The data will be analyzed by OPA analysts. Contact information is listed below.

- Dr. Samantha Daniel, Chief of Diversity & Inclusion Research, Health & Resilience Research Division – OPA; samantha.m.daniel3.civ@mail.mil
- Dr. Ashlea Klahr, Director, Health & Resilience Research Division – OPA; ashlea.m.klahr.civ@mail.mil
- Ms. Lisa Davis, Deputy Director, Health & Resilience Research Division – OPA; elizabeth.h.davis18.civ@mail.mil
- Ms. Margaret H. Coffey, Senior Researcher – Fors Marsh Group; margaret.h.coffey.ctr@mail.mil
- Ms. Kimberly Hylton, Senior Researcher – Fors Marsh Group; kimberly.r.hylton.ctr@mail.mil
- Ms. Ariel Hill, Operations Analyst – Fors Marsh Group; ariel.e.hill.ctr@mail.mil
- Ms. Kasmita Mirani, Operations Analyst – Fors Marsh Group; kmirani@forsmarshgroup.com
- Ms. Jess Tercha, Senior Researcher – Fors Marsh Group; jessica.m.tercha.ctr@mail.mil
- Ms. Amanda Barry, Director, Military Workplace Climate Research – Fors Marsh Group; amanda.j.barry2.ctr@mail.mil