# MTSS-R Team Leader Interview

# **Treatment School Opening Script and Consent**

| Interviewer name:  | District ID: |
|--------------------|--------------|
| Date of interview: | School ID:   |
|                    | Staff ID:    |

Thank you for taking the time to speak with us today. My name is [name], and I'm joined by my colleague [name]. We are conducting interviews with each MTSS-R team leader in a school implementing [ECRI/CORE Partners] in order to learn how MTSS-R implementation is going and to get your perceptions on the training and support you and your school received from the [PROVIDER] and your assigned MTSS-R coach. We hope you will participate because your perspective is essential to understanding how to support MTSS-R implementation in schools across the country.

Both [name] and I work as part of the evaluation team—that is, we do not work for [PROVIDER], and we will not share any information you give us with [PROVIDER], the MTSS-R coach, or district leadership. We are interested in knowing about your experiences with MTSS-R trainings and ongoing technical assistance and implementation of MTSS-R in Grades 1 and 2 to inform the field. Therefore, please feel free to be candid in your response.

We anticipate that the interview will take approximately 40 minutes, but we scheduled an hour to make sure we will have enough time.

Before we ask you to participate, we want you to know that participation in this interview is voluntary. All your responses will be kept private and will only be used for this study and related research. All data that we collected will be reported in aggregate form only and will not identify individuals, schools, or districts. Information collected for this study falls under the confidentiality and data protection requirements of the Institute of Education Sciences. All information from this study will be kept confidential as required by the Education Sciences Reform Act of 2002 (Title I, Part E, Section 183). Responses to this interview will be used only for statistical purposes. Personally identifiable information about individual respondents will not be reported. The study team will not provide information that identifies you, your school, or your district to anyone outside the study team, except as required by law.

| Do you agree to participate in this interview?  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| ☐ Interviewee agrees to participate in the interview  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Interviewee   | does <b>not</b> agree to participate in the interview. |  |  |  |  |
| If interviewee agrees to participate, say: I'd like to record the rest of this call, as a backup for my notes. You can ask me to stop recording at any time. Do I have your permission to record the interview? |  |  |  |  |  |
| □ Yes   | □ No   |  |  |  |  |

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is XXXX-XXXX. The time to complete this interview is estimated to average 40 minutes, including time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. The obligation to respond to this collection is voluntary. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, application, or survey, please write directly to Lauren Angelo, U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, 550 12th Street, SW, Room 4104, Washington, DC 20004 or email <a href="mailto:lauren.angelo@ed.gov">lauren.angelo@ed.gov</a>.

Note: All interviews will involve one interviewer and one note taker. The note taker will take notes within the word document, and notes will be transferred to database for analysis. The interviews will be conducted through a video/phone interview. The interviewer will read the item options out for the interviewee.

# I. Professional Development: Provider Training and Ongoing Support

First, we'd like to ask how helpful the trainings and supports have been for implementing MTSS-R in Grades 1 and 2, by having you rank each training activity on a scale of 0 to 4, with 0 being 'I don't know/don't recall', 1 being not at all helpful and 4 being very helpful. Also, please describe why you chose that rating.

- 1) Please rate and then describe how helpful you found the following components of training by [PROVIDER]:
  - a) How helpful were the meetings held in late spring or early summer of 2021 by the [PROVIDER] in ensuring that the school has adequate infrastructure for MTSS-R implementation (e.g., the MTSS-R team and an adequate screening and progress monitoring system in place).

| I don't know/<br>don't recall | Not at all<br>helpful |   |   | Very helpful |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--------------|
| 0                             | 1                     | 2 | 3 | 4            |

#### Explanation:

b) How helpful were the summer or early fall of 2021/2022/2023 teacher trainings by [PROVIDER] to improve Tier I instruction (e.g., explicit instruction and data-based differentiated instruction)

| I don't know/<br>don't recall | Not at all<br>helpful |   |   | Very helpful |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--------------|
| 0                             | 1                     | 2 | 3 | 4            |

#### Explanation:

c) How helpful were the summer or early fall of 2021/2022/2023 interventionist/school staff member training by [PROVIDER] to support delivery of Tier II intervention as intended.

| I don't know/<br>don't recall | Not at all<br>helpful |   |   | Very helpful |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--------------|
| 0                             | 1                     | 2 | 3 | 4            |

#### Explanation:

d) How helpful were the summer or early fall of 2021/2022/2023 MTSS-R team training by [PROVIDER] to lead and monitor MTSS-R implementation.

| I don't know/<br>don't recall | Not at all<br>helpful |   |   | Very helpful |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--------------|
| 0                             | 1                     | 2 | 3 | 4            |

#### Explanation:

e)How helpful were the site visits this past year by [PROVIDER] to help MTSS-R teams, teachers, and interventionist to problem solve challenges and foster MTSS-R model implementation as intended.

| I don't know/<br>don't recall | Not at all<br>helpful |   |   | Very helpful |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--------------|
| 0                             | 1                     | 2 | 3 | 4            |

## Explanation:

f)How helpful were the monthly support events (e.g., webinars, or consultation sessions) by [PROVIDER] to support MTSS-R implementation.

| I don't know/<br>don't recall | Not at all<br>helpful |   |   | Very helpful |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--------------|
| 0                             | 1                     | 2 | 3 | 4            |

# Explanation:

g)How helpful were the other videoconferences, phone calls, and e-mails you participated in with [PROVIDER] to address specific MTSS-R implementation issues/challenges.

| Not applicable | Not at all<br>helpful |   |   | Very helpful |
|----------------|-----------------------|---|---|--------------|
| 0              | 1                     | 2 | 3 | 4            |

# Explanation:

| 2) | Do you think that any of the following [PROVIDER] activities would have helped your school to more effectively address MTSS-R implementation challenges? |
|----|--|
|    | $\square$ Trainings that were more customized to match the needs of my school  |
|    | $\square$ Practice and planning time during and after the training events  |
|    | ☐ More engaging, active learning tasks during the trainings  |
|    | ☐ The [PROVIDER] visiting my school more often   |
|    | ☐ The [PROVIDER] focusing more on working with teachers  |
|    | $\square$ The [PROVIDER] focusing more on working with school staff delivering Tier II intervention  |
|    | $\square$ The [PROVIDER] focusing more on working with the MTSS-R team   |
|    | ☐ The [PROVIDER] focusing more on how to support students at risk for reading difficulty (e.g., students receiving Tier II intervention)                 |
|    | ☐ The [PROVIDER] focusing more on how to support students with a learning disability in reading  |
|    | ☐ The [PROVIDER] focusing more on how to support English learners  |
|    | ☐ More focus on another topic (specify):   |
|    | Other (specify)  |

# II. Tier I Instruction

We would now like to ask how well you think classroom teachers are implementing strategies that they were trained to use by [PROVIDER] to implement Tier I classroom reading instruction.

| 3) | imp<br>ade<br>tha | Thinking across all the 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> grade teachers who teach reading, we'd like to know how many are implementing explicit instruction strategies and data-based differentiated instruction strategies at an adequate level or higher. Please select one of the following six responses: all, most, about half, less than half, few, or none. Let's start with 'explicit instruction strategies' and then move to 'differentiated instruction strategies'. |   |  |  |  |  |
|----|-------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|    | a)                | adequate level or higher? By 6   | chers are implementing explicit ins<br>explicit instruction strategies, we m<br>ecise language, having students p<br>espond.                    | ean modeling, providing                                    |  |  |  |
|    |                   | All<br>Less than half  | □ Most □ Few  | ☐ About half<br>☐ None                                     |  |  |  |
| b) |                   | How many 1st or 2nd grade teachers are implementing data-based differentiated instruction strategies at an adequate level or higher? By data-based differentiated instruction strategies we mean using data on a regular basis to identify students who need to work on particular aspects or reading or who excel with reading, and grouping students based on data and tailoring instruction to each group.  |   |  |  |  |  |
|    |                   | □ All □ Most □ About half □ Less than half □ Few □ None  |   |  |  |  |  |
|    | c)                | How do you, or how does the differentiation?   | MTSS-R team, monitor teachers' e  | explicit instruction and data-based                        |  |  |  |
|    |                   | Describe:  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) |                   | w many 1st or 2nd grade teache<br>h level of fidelity?   | ers are implementing the core read  | ding program as intended, with a                           |  |  |  |
|    |                   | All<br>  Less than half  | □ Most □ Few  | ☐ About half☐ None   |  |  |  |
| 5) | as<br>par         | intended, with a high level of fid<br>ts of the core reading program   | ers are implementing the [PROVID<br>lelity? [For ECRI this means using<br>lessons; for CORE Partners this mession and materials from the CORE 1 | ECRI lessons plans to replace neans enhancing core reading |  |  |  |
|    |                   | All<br>Less than half  | □ Most □ Few  | ☐ About half☐ None   |  |  |  |

| reç | _   | school expressed any challenges, or have you witnessed any challenges, tion of explicit instruction, data-based differentiated instruction, or the core       |  |  |  |
|-----|---|---|--|--|--|
|     | ☐ Yes<br>☐ No → Skip  | to next section (Tier II Supplemental Reading Intervention)   |  |  |  |
| a)  |   | ne greatest challenges teachers expressed or that you've witnessed (i.e., up to s. (For probes and instant coding, see challenge categories below).           |  |  |  |
|     | Challenge #   | Challenge Description (list below)  |  |  |  |
|     | 1   |   |  |  |  |
|     | 2   |   |  |  |  |
|     | 3   |   |  |  |  |
|     |   |   |  |  |  |
|     | Challenge catego  | ries (to be assigned by interviewer):   |  |  |  |
|     |   | acked sufficient reading content foundational reading content knowledge to  |  |  |  |
|     |   | explicit phonemic awareness, phonics or fluency instruction acked sufficient reading content knowledge to implement explicit vocabulary or                    |  |  |  |
|     |   | mprehension instruction   |  |  |  |
|     | Teachers I lessons  | acked understanding of how to apply differentiated instruction strategies to their  |  |  |  |
|     | ☐ Teachers lacked understand how to implement [PROVIDER's] instructional strategies |   |  |  |  |
|     |   | acked understanding of how to use data to group students for ed/small group instruction   |  |  |  |
|     |   | struggled to deliver differentiated instruction due to large differences in students ills (e.g., some students were more than one grade level below or above) |  |  |  |
|     | ☐ Teachers s<br>problems  | struggled to deliver differentiated instruction due to classroom management   |  |  |  |
|     | ☐ Teachers I  | acked access to the right data to inform their instruction  |  |  |  |
|     | ☐ Teachers I scope and  | acked understanding of the core reading program components and expected sequence  |  |  |  |
|     | ☐ Teachers I  | acked access to needed core reading program materials   |  |  |  |
|     |   | ure of the reading block did not allow for sufficient time to implement the<br>R's] model as intended   |  |  |  |
|     | Teachers v  | were resistant to [the PROVIDER's] model  |  |  |  |
|     |   | expressed that their students' needs were too great and they could not meet s by following the [PROVIDER's] model   |  |  |  |
|     |   | struggled to implement these strategies with SWDs   |  |  |  |
|     |   | struggled to implement these strategies with ELs  |  |  |  |
|     | ■ Other, spe  | cify:   |  |  |  |

6)

b) You mentioned that [fill in the blank] was a challenge. I will read a list of activities that the [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R coach may have engaged in to address the challenge. After I have read aloud each activity, could you let me know whether the [PROVIDER], the MTSS-R coach or both conducted the activity to address the challenge, [fill in the blank]?

|    | Activities   |                                      |                                 | ddressed by<br>PROVIDER]<br>MTSS-R Co | or the |  |
|----|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|--|
| 1  | Delivered additional training to teachers or the MTSS-R team about [CHALLENGE]   |                                      | about                           | □ Yes<br>□ No                         |        |  |
| 2  | Delivered additional individualized supports to [CHALLENGE]  | teachers about                       |                                 | □ Yes<br>□ No                         |        |  |
| 3  | Modelled delivery of [PROVIDER's] Tier I inst  | ructional strateg                    | ies                             | □ Yes<br>□ No                         |        |  |
| 4  | Provided more materials (e.g., example video templates for data-based decision making) re  |                                      |                                 | □ Yes<br>□ No                         |        |  |
| 5  | Worked with the school leadership and teach<br>[PROVIDER] model for fit the school's need  | ers to ensure tha                    | at the                          | ☐ Yes<br>☐ No                         |        |  |
| 6  | Worked with the school and district leadership instructional structures and schedules to ensumodel could be implemented  | ure that [PROVID                     | DER]                            | □ Yes<br>□ No                         |        |  |
| 7  | Describe other activities that the provider or N conducted to address the challenge. Write down:   | MTSS-R coach                         |                                 | □ Yes<br>□ No                         |        |  |
| d) | activities conducted by both the [PROVIDER] and the MTSS-R coach?  The activities were mostly conducted by the [PROVIDER]  The activities were mostly conducted by the MTSS-R coach  Most of the activities were conducted both by the [PROVIDER] and the MTSS-R coach  [If the interviewee listed more than one major challenge, questions 4b and 4c will be repeated for each listed major challenge (up to three challenges)]  d) How helpful was the [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R coach in addressing the [up to three] major challenges you mentioned, [fill in the blank], using the scale of very helpful, somewhat helpful, or not at all helpful? |                                      |                                 |                                       |        |  |
|    |  | Level                                | of helpfulne                    | ess                                   | ]      |  |
|    |  | Very<br>helpful                      | Somewhat<br>helpful             | Not at all<br>helpful                 |        |  |
|    | Challenge #1: fill in challenge, activities #1-7 selected above  |                                      |                                 |                                       |        |  |
|    | Challenge #2: fill in challenge, activities #1-7 selected above  |                                      |                                 |                                       |        |  |
|    | Challenge #3: fill in challenge, activities #1-7 selected above  |                                      |                                 |                                       |        |  |
| e) | Other than activities from [PROVIDER] or the your school do to overcome the challenges rebased differentiated instruction or the core real   | lated to impleme<br>ading program in | enting explicit<br>Grade 1 or 2 | t instruction, c<br>2 classrooms?     | lata-  |  |

| <ul> <li>☐ Addressed the challenges with district personnel/leadership</li> <li>☐ District/School provided other trainings on these topics to teachers</li> <li>☐ Other, specify:</li> </ul>   |  |  |   |   |  |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| f) Would you say that your school addressing these challenges?   |  |  |   | ewhat successful, or not at all successful in                         |  |
|  | □ Suc  | ccessful   | ☐ Somewhat successful   | ☐ Not at all successful   |  |
|  | Tier II  | Supplemental R   | eading Intervention   |   |  |
|  |  |  |   |   |  |
| 7) How many of the staff that provide supplemental reading intervention to 1 <sup>st</sup> or 2 <sup>nd</sup> grade studer delivering intervention at an adequate level or higher? Again, please respond using one of the following six options: all, most, about half, less than half, few, or none |  |  |   |   |  |
|  |  | han half   | ☐ Most<br>☐ Few   | ☐ About half<br>☐ None  |  |
| a)   | How d  | o you, or how doe  | es the MTSS-R team, monitor   | interventionists' performance?  |  |
|  | Descri   | be:  |   |   |  |
| you  | ı witnes                                       | sed any challeng   | • •   |   |  |
|  | _  |  | xt section (MTSS-R Infrastruc   | ture: Team Meetings)  |  |
|  | e woo<br>r II ii<br>Hov<br>deli<br>follo<br>a) | f) Would address  Such  Tier II  would now ar II interven  How many delivering following so  All  Less the control of the cont | District/School production of the production of the staff that production of the staff who proving unit the | ☐ District/School provided other trainings on these ☐ Other, specify: |  |

| a) | Please describe the biggest challenges teachers expressed or that you've witnessed (i.e., up to 3  |
|----|--|
|    | major challenges) related to delivering supplemental Tier II reading intervention. (For probes and |
|    | instant coding, see challenge categories below).   |

| Challenge # | Challenge Description |
|-------------|-----------------------|
| 1           |                       |
| 2           |                       |
| 3           |                       |

Challenge categories (to be assigned by interviewer):

|        | Adjusting instructional schedules to deliver Tier II intervention was difficult                              |
|--------|--|
|        | Aligning content of Tier II intervention with the core reading program scope and sequence was difficult      |
| $\Box$ | Not enough staff to deliver Tier II intervention   |
|        | Staff delivering Tier II intervention did not have access to needed materials                                |
|        | School staff delivering Tier II intervention were resistant to provider's Tier II intervention model/program |
|        | Staff delivering Tier II were overwhelmed with the large number of students to serve                         |
|        | Staff struggled implementing Tier II intervention with ELs   |
|        | Staff struggled implementing Tier II intervention with SWDs  |
|        | Other, specify:  |

|   | Activities  | Addressed by either [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R |
|---|---|--|
| 1 | Delivered additional training to school staff delivering Tier II intervention about [CHALLENGE]   | Coach  ☐ Yes ☐ No                            |
| 2 | Delivered additional individualized supports to school staff delivering Tier II intervention about [CHALLENGE]  | □ Yes<br>□ No                                |
| 3 | Modelled delivery of [PROVIDER's] Tier II intervention  | □ Yes<br>□ No                                |
| 4 | Provided more materials (e.g., example videos of implementation)  | □ Yes<br>□ No                                |
| 5 | Worked with the school leadership and staff to ensure that the [PROVIDER] Tier II intervention fit the school's need  | □ Yes<br>□ No                                |
| 6 | Worked with the school and district leadership to change instructional structures, schedules and staffing to ensure that Tier II intervention could be implemented as intended  | □ Yes<br>□ No                                |
| 7 | Describe other activities that the provider or MTSS-R coach conducted to address the challenge.  Write down:  | □ Yes<br>□ No                                |
| ( | Were the activities mostly conducted by the [PROVIDER], the MTSS-R activities conducted by both the [PROVIDER] and the MTSS-R coach?  The activities were mostly conducted by the [PROVIDER]  The activities were mostly conducted by the MTSS-R coach  Most of the activities were conducted both by the [PROVIDER] and the MTSS-R coach |  |

for each listed major challenge (up to three challenges)]

d) How helpful was the [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R coach in addressing the [up to three] major challenges you mentioned, [fill in the blank], using the scale of very helpful, somewhat helpful, or not at all helpful?

|   | Level of helpfulness |                  |                       |
|---|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
|   | Very<br>helpful      | Somewhat helpful | Not at all<br>helpful |
| Challenge #1: fill in the challenge, activities #1-7 selected above | Ū                    | Ū                |                       |
| Challenge #2: fill in the challenge, activities #1-7 selected above |                      |                  |                       |
| Challenge #3: fill in the challenge, activities #1-7 selected above |                      |                  |                       |

|     | e)   | Other than activities from [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R coach that we have discussed, what did your school do to overcome the challenges related to implementing Tier II intervention?  |
|-----|------|---|
|     |      | <ul> <li>□ Addressed staffing issues with district personnel</li> <li>□ Changed instructional schedules</li> <li>□ Provided additional trainings or support to teachers or interventionists delivering Tier II intervention</li> <li>□ Other, specify:</li> </ul> |
|     | f)   | Would you say that your school was successful, somewhat successful, or not at all successful in addressing these challenges?  |
|     |      | ☐ Successful ☐ Somewhat successful ☐ Not at all successful  |
| IV. |      | MTSS-R Infrastructure: Team Meetings  |
| 9)  | by   | uld you say that your school fully implemented the MTSS-R team meeting process recommended [PROVIDER], mostly implemented this process, partially implemented this process, or did not blement this process at all?   |
|     |      | Fully implemented  Mostly implemented  D Partially implemented  Not at all implemented  |
| 10) | foll | s your team encountered any challenges fulfilling the key responsibilities of the MTSS-R team or owing the meeting procedures (e.g., creating agendas, documenting meetings, informing other tool staff about decisions) that they were trained in by [PROVIDER]? |
|     |      | <ul><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐ No → Skip to next section (Screening)</li></ul>   |
|     |      |   |

| a) | Please describe the biggest challenges MTSS-R team members expressed or that you've                 |
|----|---|
|    | witnessed (i.e., up to 3 major challenges) related to fulfilling the responsibilities of the MTSS-R |
|    | team or following the meeting procedures (e.g., creating agendas, documenting meetings,             |
|    | informing other school staff about decisions) that they were trained in by [PROVIDER]. (For         |
|    | probes and instant coding, see challenge categories below).   |
|    |   |

| Challenge # | Challenge Description |
|-------------|-----------------------|
| 1           |                       |
| 2           |                       |
| 3           |                       |

| Challenge categories (to be assigned by interviewer):  |
|--|
| ☐ Team struggled fulfilling team positions   |
| $oldsymbol{arDelta}$ There was not enough time to hold meetings during school days   |
| $oldsymbol{arDelta}$ The team members wanted to meet less often  |
| ☐ The team meeting processes as laid out by [PROVIDER] did not align with the typical meeting procedures staff have been used to using in the past |
| lacktriangledus  Team struggled with making instructional or tier movement decisions based on data   |
| ☐ Team struggled with collecting, interpreting and/or using MTSS-R fidelity data to guide implementation   |
| ☐ Other, specify:  |

| b) | You mentioned that [fill in the blank] was a challenge. I will read a list of activities that the [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R coach may have engaged in to address the challenge. After I have read aloud each activity, could you let me know whether the [PROVIDER], the MTSS-R coach or both conducted the activity to address the challenge, [fill in the blank], you mentioned above. |                 |                     |  |              |  |
|----|---|-----------------|---------------------|--|--------------|--|
|    | Activities  |                 |                     | Addresse<br>either<br>[PROVIDE<br>the MTS<br>Coach | R] or<br>S-R |  |
| 1  | Delivered additional training or support about [C   | HALLENGE]       |                     | □ Yes  | <b>I</b>     |  |
| 2  | Participated in /helped the MTSS-R team to run  | meetings        |                     | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |              |  |
| 3  | Assisted with analyzing data (screening, fidelity data)   |                 |                     | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |              |  |
| 4  | Provided more materials (e.g., example videos, agenda templates)  |                 |                     | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      | <b>I</b>     |  |
| 5  | Worked with the school leadership and teachers to ensure that the [PROVIDER] model fit the school's need  |                 |                     | ☐ Yes<br>☐ No                                      |              |  |
| 6  | Worked with the school and district leadership to change instructional structures and schedules to ensure that [PROVIDER] MTSS-R team can meet during school hours  |                 |                     | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |              |  |
| 7  | Describe other activities that the provider or MTSS-R coach conducted to address the challenge.  Write down:  |                 |                     | □ Yes  |              |  |
| c) | c) Were the activities mostly conducted by the [PROVIDER], the MTSS-R coach or were most of the activities conducted by both the [PROVIDER] and the MTSS-R coach?   |                 |                     |  |              |  |
|    | The activities were mostly conducted by   | -               | -                   |  |              |  |
|    | ☐ The activities were mostly conducted by   |                 |                     | H- MTOO D  |              |  |
|    | ☐ Most of the activities were conducted bo  | tn by the [PRC  | DVIDERJ and         | the MTSS-R   | coacn        |  |
|    | [If the interviewee listed more than one major challenge, questions 8b and 8c will be repeated for each listed major challenge (up to three challenges)]  |                 |                     |  |              |  |
| d) | How helpful was the [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R coach in addressing the [up to three] major challenges you mentioned, [fill in the blank], using the scale of very helpful, somewhat helpful, or not at all helpful?   |                 |                     |  |              |  |
|    | Level of helpfulness  |                 |                     |  |              |  |
|    |   | Very<br>helpful | Somewhat<br>helpful | Not at all helpful                                 |              |  |
|    | Challenge #1: fill in the challenge, activities #1-7 selected above   |                 |                     |  |              |  |
|    | Challenge #2: fill in the challenge, activities #1-7 selected above   |                 |                     |  |              |  |

Challenge #3: fill in the challenge, activities #1-7 selected above

| e)                                 | Other than the activities from [PROVIDER] or the did your school do to overcome the challenges remeeting process?   |   |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
|                                    | ☐ Found a way to hold meetings during scho ☐ Shortened or reduced frequency of meetin ☐ Change of MTSS-R team members/roles ☐ Adapted the meeting process to better su ☐ Participated in additional trainings organize roles and meetings ☐ Other, specify:   | ngs it the school's needs zed by the school/district about MTSS-R team  |
| f)                                 | Would you say that your school was successful, addressing these challenges?   | somewhat successful, or not at all successful in  |
|                                    | ☐ Successful ☐ Somewhat successful  | □ Not at all successful   |
| V.                                 | Screening   |   |
| We'd no                            | now like to turn to your school's use of screening d  | ata to identify students for Tier II intervention.  |
| Tier<br>pro<br>mea<br>time<br>ider | ould you say that your school fully implemented the er II recommended by [PROVIDER], mostly implements, or did not implement this process at all? For eans that "screening is administered at multiple timely manner, the team reviews the screening data, entification of students for Tier II intervention, and the ecision rules, and additional data sources used in desired. | nented this process, partially implemented this<br>r the purpose of this interview full implementation<br>tes a year, staff enter the screening data in a<br>the team uses a common cutoff point for<br>the team documents all exceptions, alternative- |
|                                    | · ·   | □ Partially implemented<br>□ Not at all implemented   |
| 12) Has                            | as your school encountered any challenges in using  | g the screening process?  |
|                                    | <ul><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐ No → Skip to next section (Progress Mon</li></ul>   | itoring)  |

| •                            | ed or that you've witnessed (i.e., up to 3 major challenges) related to screening.   |
|------------------------------|--|
| (For probes a                | nd instant coding, see challenge categories below).  |
|                              |  |
| Challenge #                  | Challenge Description  |
|                              | Challenge Description  |
| 1                            |  |
| 2                            |  |
| 3                            |  |
|                              | ries (to be assigned by interviewer): ough trained staff to conduct screenings efficiently   |
| _                            |  |
| ☐ The sc                     | reening was delayed  |
| ☐ There s<br>analyz          | was a long lag between screening students and when the data were available to e  |
| ☐ The de                     | cision-making rules for identifying students for Tier II supplemental reading ntion were not clear   |
|                              | cision-making rules for identifying students for Tier II supplemental reading ntion identified more students than could be served given staff capacity and lle |
| $oldsymbol{arDelta}$ Staff w | anted to use a different screening tool  |
| $\square$ The sc             | reening process did not work for EL students   |

 $\square$  Challenge specific to SWDs

Other, specify:

a) Please describe the biggest challenges MTSS-R team members, teachers and staff members in

| b) | You mentioned that [fill in the blank] was a challenge. I will read a list of activities that the |
|----|---|
|    | [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R coach may have engaged in to address the challenge. After I have         |
|    | read aloud each activity, could you let me know whether the [PROVIDER], the MTSS-R coach or       |
|    | both conducted the activity to address the challenge, [fill in the blank], you mentioned above.   |

|   | Activities   | Addressed by either [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R Coach |
|---|--|--|
| 1 | Delivered additional training about [CHALLENGE]  | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |
| 2 | Helped to collect the screening data   | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |
| 3 | Helped to identify students eligible for Tier II intervention  | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |
| 4 | Helped to place students in the correct starting point for Tier II program   | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |
| 5 | Provided more materials (e.g., example videos)   | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |
| 6 | Worked with the school leadership and teachers to ensure that the [PROVIDER] model for screening fit the school's need | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |
| 7 | Describe other activities that the provider or MTSS-R coach conducted to address the challenge.  Write down:           | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |

| c) | Were the activities mostly conducted by the [PROVIDER], the MTSS-R coach or were most of the activities conducted by both the [PROVIDER] and the MTSS-R coach? |
|----|--|
|    | ☐ The activities were mostly conducted by the [PROVIDER]   |
|    | ☐ The activities were mostly conducted by the MTSS-R coach   |
|    | lacksquare Most of the activities were conducted both by the [PROVIDER] and the MTSS-R coach   |

[If the interviewee listed more than one major challenge, questions 10b and 10c will be repeated for each listed major challenge (up to three challenges)]

| d) | How helpful was the [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R coach in addressing the [up to three] major             |
|----|--|
|    | challenges you mentioned, [fill in the blank], using the scale of very helpful, somewhat helpful, or |
|    | not at all helpful?  |

|   | Level of helpfulness |                     |                       |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|   | Very<br>helpful      | Somewhat<br>helpful | Not at all<br>helpful |
| Challenge #1: fill in the challenge, activities |                      |                     |                       |
| #1-7 selected above                             |                      |                     |                       |
| Challenge #2: fill in the challenge, activities |                      |                     |                       |
| #1-7 selected above                             |                      |                     |                       |
| Challenge #3: fill in the challenge, activities |                      |                     |                       |
| #1-7 selected above                             |                      |                     |                       |

| e)  |                                    | -   | e MTSS-R coach that we have discussed, what did related to the screening process?                          |
|-----|------------------------------------|---|--|
|     | students for Worked will Added qua | or Tier II intervention th the district to make the d ality control step(s) to the da         | rules to be more relevant for my school's context  |
| f)  | Would you say the addressing these | -   | sful, somewhat successful, or not at all successful in   |
|     | ☐ Successful ☐                     | Somewhat successful   | ☐ Not at all successful  |
| VI. | Progress Monito                    | ring  |  |
|     |                                    |   | s monitoring data to identify students to exit the erred to other or more intensive Tier III intervention. |
| ,   |                                    | our school typically admini<br>Tier II intervention?  | ister the progress monitoring assessment to each   |
|     | □ A<br>□ A<br>□ N                  | few times per year bout once a month bout twice a month learly every week t least once a week |  |

14) How often does the MTSS-R team review or analyze the progress monitoring data?

|  | A few times per year  |
|--|---|
|  | About once a month  |
|  | About twice a month   |
|  | Nearly every week   |
|  | At least once a week  |
| 15) Have staff in you<br>instructional dec | r school experienced any challenges in using progress-monitoring data to inform sion making?  |
| ☐ Yes                                      |   |
| □ No →                                     | Skip to next section (MTSS-R Infrastructure: Fidelity Data)   |
| members in                                 | ribe the biggest challenges MTSS-R team members, teachers and other school staff have expressed or that you've witnessed (i.e., up to 3 major challenges) related to nitoring. (For probes and instant coding, see challenge categories below). |
| Challenge #                                | Challenge Description   |
| 1  |   |
| 2  |   |
| 3  |   |
|  |   |
| Challenge categories                       | s (to be assigned by interviewer):  |
| ☐ Not e                                    | nough trained staff to conduct progress monitoring as intended  |
| ☐ The d                                    | lata were not collected with intended frequency   |
| ☐ The d                                    | lata were not always recorded by teachers/interventionists  |
|  | ata was not available in a timely manner for school staff to use it to make decisions discontinuing Tier II intervention  |
| _  | lecision-making rules for data-based decision making were not clear   |
|  | enge specific to ELs  |
|  | enge specific to SWDs   |
| ☐ Other                                    | •   |

| b) | You mentioned that [fill in the blank] was a challenge. I will read a list of activities that the |
|----|---|
|    | [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R coach may have engaged in to address the challenge. After I have         |
|    | read aloud each activity, could you let me know whether the [PROVIDER], the MTSS-R coach or       |
|    | both conducted the activity to address the challenge, [fill in the blank], you mentioned above.   |
|    |   |

|   | Activities  | Addressed by either [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R Coach |
|---|---|--|
| 1 | Delivered additional training about [CHALLENGE]   | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |
| 2 | Helped to collect progress monitoring data  | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |
| 3 | Helped to analyze the progress monitoring data  | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |
| 4 | Helped to create or clarify decision making rules to move student back to Tier I instruction or to more intensified Tier III intervention | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |
| 5 | Provided more materials (e.g., example videos)  | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |
| 6 | Worked with the school leadership and teachers to ensure that the [PROVIDER] model for progress monitoring fit the school's need          | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |
| 7 | Describe other activities that the provider or MTSS-R coach conducted to address the challenge.  Write down:                              | □ Yes<br>□ No                                      |

| c) | Were the activities mostly conducted by the [PROVIDER], the MTSS-R coach or were most of the activities conducted by both the [PROVIDER] and the MTSS-R coach?  |
|----|---|
|    | <ul> <li>☐ The activities were mostly conducted by the [PROVIDER]</li> <li>☐ The activities were mostly conducted by the MTSS-R coach</li> <li>☐ Most of the activities were conducted both by the [PROVIDER] and the MTSS-R coach</li> </ul> |
|    | [If the interviewee listed more than one major challenge, questions 13b and 13c will be repeated  |

[If the interviewee listed more than one major challenge, questions 13b and 13c will be repeated for each listed major challenge (up to three challenges)]

d) How helpful was the [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R coach in addressing the [up to three] major challenges you mentioned, [fill in the blank], using the scale of very helpful, somewhat helpful, or not at all helpful?

|   | Level of helpfulness |                  |                       |
|---|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
|   | Very<br>helpful      | Somewhat helpful | Not at all<br>helpful |
| Challenge #1: fill in the challenge, activities |                      |                  |                       |
| #1-7 selected above                             |                      |                  |                       |
| Challenge #2: fill in the challenge, activities |                      |                  |                       |
| #1-7 selected above                             |                      |                  |                       |
| Challenge #3: fill in the challenge, activities |                      |                  |                       |
| #1-7 selected above                             |                      |                  |                       |

| e)  | Other than activities from [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R coach that we have discussed, what did your school do to overcome the challenges related to using progress-monitoring data to inform instructional decision making? |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
|   | Received more training or support from the district to conduct progress monitoring  |  |  |  |
|   | ☐ Discussed the decision-making rules with district personnel to adjust them to better fit school needs   |  |  |  |
|   | lacktriangle Revamped the schedule so that staff had adequate time to collect and analyze data  |  |  |  |
|   | Provided additional training and support to interventionists and teachers on how to use data to inform instruction and student movement between tiers   |  |  |  |
|   | ☐ Provide more materials  |  |  |  |
|   | Other, specify:   |  |  |  |
| f)  | Would you say that your school was successful in addressing these challenges, somewhat successful, or not at all successful in addressing these challenges?  □ Successful □ Somewhat successful □ Not at all successful |  |  |  |
| VII.  | MTSS-R Infrastructure: Fidelity Data  |  |  |  |
| 16) How often did you and the MTSS-R team members review fidelity data that [PROVIDER] had you collect to monitor MTSS-R? |   |  |  |  |
|   | ☐ A few times this year   |  |  |  |
|   | About once a month  |  |  |  |
|   | About twice a month   |  |  |  |
|   | ☐ Nearly every week   |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |

17) How did you use the fidelity data that [PROVIDER] had you collect to monitor MTSS-R?

|     | _                            | rstand how well the different components of MTSS-R were being implemented                                   |
|-----|------------------------------|---|
|     | _                            | e changes made to MTSS-R implementation   |
|     |                              | additional trainings and support with the [PROVIDER]  |
|     |                              | additional trainings and support with the MTSS-R coach  |
|     | ☐ Otner, s                   | pecify:   |
|     |                              |   |
| 18) | Could you please d           | escribe, briefly, how your team assesses overall implementation fidelity?                                   |
|     | Description:                 |   |
|     |                              |   |
| 19) |                              | owing among the three biggest challenges that MTSS-R team encountered any eting or analyzing fidelity data? |
|     | ☐ Yes                        |   |
|     | $\square$ No $\rightarrow$ E | nd interview.   |
|     |                              |   |
|     | •                            | e the biggest challenges MTSS-R team members have expressed or that you've                                  |
|     | •                            | up to 3 major challenges) related to fidelity of MTSS-R. (For probes and instant allenge categories below). |
|     | county, see one              | menge categories below).  |
|     |                              |   |
|     | Challenge #                  | Challenge Description   |
|     | 1                            |   |
|     | 2                            |   |
|     | 3                            |   |
|     |                              |   |
|     | Challenge cate               | egories (to be assigned by interviewer):  |
|     | ☐ There w                    | as not enough time to collect fidelity data   |
|     |                              | as not enough time to analyze fidelity data   |
|     |                              | staff found the [PROVIDER] fidelity protocols confusing   |
|     | _                            | staff didn't receive enough training on how to analyze or interpret the data                                |
|     | ☐ School s                   | staff did not know how to adjust MTSS-R implementation based on the fidelity data                           |
|     | Teacher level of             | s found it difficult to change their core reading program delivery to attain higher fidelity                |
|     | ☐ Staff de                   | livering Tier II intervention found it difficult to change their program delivery to gher level of fidelity |
|     |                              | pecify:   |
|     |                              |   |

| b) | You mentioned that [fill in the blank] was a challenge. I will read a list of activities that the |
|----|---|
|    | [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R coach may have engaged in to address the challenge. After I have read    |
|    | aloud each activity, could you let me know whether the [PROVIDER], the MTSS-R coach or both       |
|    | conducted the activity to address the challenge, [fill in the blank], you mentioned above.        |
|    |   |

|   | Activities  | Addressed by either<br>[PROVIDER] or the<br>MTSS-R Coach |
|---|---|--|
| 1 | Delivered additional training to MTSS-R team about [CHALLENGE]  | □ Yes<br>□ No  |
| 2 | Provided more materials (e.g., example videos)  | □ Yes<br>□ No  |
| 3 | Collected the fidelity data for the MTSS-R team   | □ Yes<br>□ No  |
| 4 | Analyzed the fidelity data for the MTSS-R team  | □ Yes<br>□ No  |
| 5 | Worked with the school leadership and teachers to ensure that the [PROVIDER] model for fidelity data collection and use fit the school's need | □ Yes<br>□ No  |
| 6 | Describe other activities that the provider or MTSS-R coach conducted to address the challenge.  Write down:                                  | □ Yes<br>□ No  |

| c) | Were the activities mostly conducted by the [PROVIDER], the MTSS-R coach or were most of the activities conducted by both the [PROVIDER] and the MTSS-R coach?  |
|----|---|
|    | <ul> <li>☐ The activities were mostly conducted by the [PROVIDER]</li> <li>☐ The activities were mostly conducted by the MTSS-R coach</li> <li>☐ Most of the activities were conducted both by the [PROVIDER] and the MTSS-R coach</li> </ul> |
|    | [If the interviewee listed more than one major challenge, questions 17b, and 17c will be repeated for each listed major challenge (up to three challenges)]   |

d) How helpful was the [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R coach in addressing the [up to three] major challenges you mentioned, [fill in the blank], using the scale of very helpful, somewhat helpful, or not at all helpful?

|   | Level of helpfulness |                  |                       |
|---|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
|   | Very<br>helpful      | Somewhat helpful | Not at all<br>helpful |
| Challenge #1: fill in the challenge, activities |                      |                  |                       |
| #1-6 selected above                             |                      |                  |                       |
| Challenge #2: fill in the challenge, activities |                      |                  |                       |
| #1-6 selected above                             |                      |                  |                       |
| Challenge #3: fill in the challenge, activities |                      |                  |                       |
| #1-6 selected above                             |                      |                  |                       |

| е | Other than activities from [PROVIDER] or the MTSS-R coach that we have discussed, what your school do to overcome the challenges related to collecting and using fidelity data?   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
|   | <ul> <li>□ The MTSS-R team adjusted the process of collecting fidelity data</li> <li>□ The MTSS-R team collected data less frequently</li> <li>□ The MTSS-R team decided to use another protocol to measure fidelity</li> <li>□ The MTSS-R team requested more support from the district or other schools on how to analyze or interpret fidelity data</li> <li>□ The MTSS-R team requested more support from the district or other schools on how to change implementation of MTSS-R based on data</li> <li>□ Other, specify:</li> </ul> |  |  |
| f | Would you say that your school was successful, somewhat successful, or not at all successful ir addressing these challenges?  |  |  |
|   | ☐ Successful ☐ Somewhat successful ☐ Not at all successful  |  |  |

# **Ending**

These are all the questions we have. Do you have any additional feedback to the study team about the MTSS-R model or the training and supports that you were provided as a part of the study? Thank you so much for your time.