

OMB Control Number: 1910-5160

Expiration: 02/28/2026

Paperwork Reduction Act Burden Disclosure Statement

This data is being collected to gather feedback from attendees about offshore wind transmission topics as part of the Department of Energy's West Coast Offshore Wind Transmission Convening Series. The data you supply will be used for shaping conversation during today's workshop and helping DOE and BOEM think about these topics as they develop recommendations. This data will be collected through Slido, Menti, or Mural (online tools), which are free for users.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3-5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Office of the Chief Information Officer, Enterprise Policy Development & Implementation Office, IM-22, Information Collection Management Program (1910-5160), U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave SW, Washington, DC 20585; and to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), OIRA, Paperwork Reduction Project (1910-5160), Washington, DC 20503.

Notwithstanding any other provision of the law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Submission of this data is voluntary.

Questions for Menti/Slido

1. How does FERC Order 1920 change transmission planning? Do any of the new metrics allow people to plan ahead?
2. How does FERC Order 1920 change cost allocation? If we are thinking about an offshore network or new interregional connections onshore, how does cost allocation apply?
3. How should we think about future offshore transmission backbone or network options?
4. How is the West Coast approaching issues of transmission planning and cost allocation in light of FERC Order 1920?
5. How are Northeast State Collaborative members approaching issues of transmission planning and cost allocation in light of FERC Order 1920?
6. How does OSW get incorporated into planning processes for each entity?
7. What challenges are there to implementing the 20-year outlook?
8. Given FERC Order 1920 and what FERC outlines about cost allocation, how would you modify your regional or interregional planning processes?
9. What is needed to initiate planning?
10. How would you evaluate various West Coast Offshore Wind Transmission Study scenarios under FERC Order 1920? (NOTE: the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory team presenting their preliminary study findings will show some maps to help participants think about this question).
11. What sort of state or regional collaboration is needed?