Lender Narrative – Substantial Rehabilitation

Section 232 – 2 Stage, Final Firm Submission

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Office of Residential Care Facilities OMB Approval No. 2502-0605 (exp. 03/31/2018)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 70 hours. This includes the time for collecting, reviewing, and reporting the data. The information is being collected to obtain the supportive documentation that must be submitted to HUD for approval, and is necessary to ensure that viable projects are developed and maintained. The Department will use this information to determine if properties meet HUD requirements with respect to development, operation and/or asset management, as well as ensuring the continued marketability of the properties. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Warning: Any person who knowingly presents a false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or claim in a matter within the jurisdiction of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development is subject to criminal penalties, civil liability, and administrative sanctions.

Privacy Act Notice: The Department of Housing and Urban Development, Federal Housing Administration, is authorized to collect the information requested in this form by virtue of: The National Housing Act, 12 USC 1701 et seq. and the regulations at 24 CFR 5.212 and 24 CFR 200.6; and the Housing and Community Development Act of 1987, 42 USC 3543(a). The information requested is mandatory to receive the mortgage insurance benefits to be derived from the National Housing Act Section 232 Healthcare Facility Insurance Program. No confidentiality is assured.

INSTRUCTIONS:

The narrative is a document critical to the Lean Underwriting process. Each section of the narrative and all questions need to be completed and answered. If the lender's underwriter disagrees and modifies any third-party report conclusions, provide sufficient detail to justify the changes must be provided. This narrative is to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the transactions and demonstrate how the weaknesses are mitigated by the underwriting.

- Charts: The charts contained in this document have been created with versatility in mind; however they will not be able to accommodate all situations. For this reason, you are allowed to alter the charts as the situation demands. Be sure to state how you have altered the charts along with your justification. Include all the information the form calls for. Charts that include blue text indicate names that should be modified by the lender as the situation dictates.
- **Applicability:** If a section is not applicable, state so in that section and provide a reason. Do not delete a section heading that is not applicable. The narrative will be checked to make certain all sections are provided. If a major section is not applicable, add " Not Applicable" to the heading and provide the reason. For instance:

Parent of the Operator – Not Applicable

This section is not applicable because there is no operator.

The rest of the subsections under the inapplicable section can then be deleted. This instruction page may also be deleted.

• **Format:** In addition to submitting the PDF version of the Lender Narrative to HUD, please also submit an electronic Word version.

Instead of pasting large portions of text from third-party reports into the narrative, it is preferred that the lender simply reference the page number and the report. The focus of this document is for lender conclusions, analyses, and summaries.

Italicized text found between these characters << EXAMPLE>> is instructional in nature, and may be deleted from the lender's final version. Please use the gray shaded areas (e.g.,) for your response. Double click on a check box and then change the default value to mark selection (e.g.,).

<<Insert Project Photo>>

Table of Contents

Executive Summary—Substantial Rehabilitation Final Submission	5
Overview	
Summary of Amendment to Initial Firm Commitment	8
Labor Relations	9
Sensitivity Analysis – Update	9
Lender Loan Committee	10
Program Eligibility	10
Waivers	
Identities-of-Interest.	
Third Party Reviewers	11
Market Analyst	
Appraiser	11
Project Description	11
Location/Proximity to Hospitals	11
<< If unchanged from initial submission, state so. Otherwise, provide revised discussion.>>	
Site	
Neighborhood	12
Zoning	12
Utilities	12
Emergency Call System	12
Security, Networking and Other Information Technology Systems	12
Improvement Description	
Buildings	
Landscaping	12
Parking	12
Unit Mix and Features	13
Services	13
Architectural Review	13
Architectural Overview	14
Construction Progress Schedule	15
Conclusion	15
Cost Review	15
Cost Overview	16
Construction Costs (Form HUD-92328-ORCF)	16
General Requirements	
Other Fees – General Contractor	17
Bond Premium/Assurance of Completion	17
Unusual Site Improvements	17
Architect's Fees	17
Other Fees - Borrower	18
Off-Site and Demolition	18
Proposed Major Movable Equipment as part of rehabilitation	18
Contingency Reserve	19
Conclusion	20
Underwritten Reserve for Replacement	20
Market Analysis	21
Appraisal	21
ALTA/ACSM Land Title Survey	21
Environmental	21
Rorrower = < <horrower's here="" name="">></horrower's>	21

Principals of the Borrower - < <pre>rincipal(s) name(s) here>></pre>	21
Operator – < <operator's here="" name="">></operator's>	21
Parent of the Operator – < <pre>parent's name here>></pre>	21
Management Agent – < <management agent's="" here="" name="">></management>	22
General Contractor	
Experience/Qualifications	
Credit History	23
Other Business Concerns	
Financial Statements	
Working Capital Analysis	
Conclusion	
Professional Liability Insurance Coverage (PLI)	
Lawsuits	
Commercial General Liability Insurance	
Property Insurance	
Builder's Risk	
Directors' and Officers' Liability Insurance	
Commercial Auto Liability Coverage	
Additional Insurances	
Recommendation	
Mortgage Loan Determinants	
Overview	
Criterion C: Amount Based on Replacement Cost	
Criterion D: Amount Based on Loan-to-Value	
Criterion E: Amount Based on Debt Service Coverage	
Criterion F: Cost of Rehabilitation Plus	
Criterion L: Deduction of Grants, Loans, LIHTCs, and Gifts	
Existing Indebtedness	32
Sources & Uses – Copied from HUD-92264a-ORCF	
Secondary Sources	
< and discuss all secondary sources, including terms and conditions of each. Secondary sources including terms and conditions of each.	
surplus cash notes, grants/loans, tax credits, and the like.>>	
Source	
Entity Receiving Funds	
Public or Private	
% of Equity Coverage	
% FMV	
Non-mortgageable costs?	
Surviving Debt	
Other Uses	
Circumstances that May Require Additional Information	
Special Commitment Conditions	
Conclusion	
TIVIOUUE)	

Executive Summary—Substantial Rehabilitation Final Submission

< <stree< th=""><th>t address. (</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></stree<>	t address. (
< <stree< th=""><th>t address. (</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></stree<>	t address. (
< <stree< td=""><td>t address. (</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></stree<>	t address. (
		city, county,	state, a	ınd zip>>		
			UW	V trainee:		
Borro	ower	Operat	or	Man	agement ag	ent
	<entity td="" wi<=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></entity>					
	Licensed	Operating		Licensed	Operating	
SNF):			beds			units
ng (AL):			beds			units
re (AL):			beds			units
			beds			units
						units
Total:			beds			units
ated:						
tated:	Licensed	Operating		Licensed	Operating	
snF):	Licensed	Operating	beds	Licensed	Operating	units
	Licensed	Operating	beds beds	Licensed	Operating	units
SNF):	Licensed	Operating		Licensed	Operating	
SNF):	Licensed	Operating	beds	Licensed	Operating	units
SNF): ng (AL): re (AL):	Licensed	Operating	beds beds	Licensed	Operating	units
	ory Agr	Licensed SNF): ng (AL): re (AL): (B&C): ng (IL):	<pre> </pre>			< <entity <="" agreement="" and="" apply="" contract="" following="" for="" individuals="" ory="" p="" residents="" service="" shall="" the="" to="" whom="" will="" with=""> Licensed Operating Licensed Operating SNF): beds beds re (AL): beds (B&C): beds beds</entity>

Total project cost:	\$		al project cost per bed/unit*:	\$		
UW Net operating income:	\$		UW Expense per bed/unit*:	_\$		
UW Expenses & repl. res.:	\$		UW Expense ratio:	%		
UW Effective gross income:						
UW Gross income:			UW occupancy rate:			
As-Rehabilitated:						
UW Net operating income:			UW Expense per bed/unit*:	\$		
UW Expenses & repl. res.:	\$ 		UW Expense ratio:	%		
UW Effective gross income:	\$					
UW Gross income:	\$		UW occupancy rate:	%		
As-Is:						
		\$				
Criterion D: Amount based on loan-to-value: Criterion E: Amount based on debt service coverage: Criterion L: Amount based on deduction of grant(s), loan(s), LIHTCs, and gift(s) for mortgageable items:			(e) Medicaid Rate decreases \$ %. (f) Medicaid Census drops by			
		\$ \$	per year.(d) Annual net operatingdecreases \$ or			
		(c) Oper				
<u>Criterion C</u> : Amount base replacement cost:	rion C: Amount based on cement cost: \$		(a) Average rental drops (b) Occupancy rate decr			
				ce coverage is still realized if:		
Mortgage Criteria:			Sensitivity Analysis:			
Underwritten market value:	5	Cap rate:	amount per % bed/unit*:			
			Mortgage			

Initial Operating Deficit:				
	Number of Preleased units:			
	Absorption 1	rate/no. units per	month:	
	No. months	to cover shortfall	s:	
	Breakeven C	Occupancy %:		
Working Capital:	\$			
Cash Investment:	\$			
Debt Service Reserve Escrow:	\$			
	No. months	of principal & in	terest payme	nts:
Offsite Escrow:	\$			
Minor Movable Equipment Escrow:	\$			
Demolition:	\$			
Other:	\$			
TOTAL Equity Without Land:	\$		of total ject cost:	% *
TOTAL Equity With Land:	\$	%	of total eject cost:	% *
*Total project cost is the total uses on the	Form HUD-922	64a-ORCF.		
Land Equity (Calculation of Warranted acquired, purchase price, date of purchase, Front Money Escrow (Total Cash Require	part of larger pa	arcel or planned uni		
Cash requirement will be met by: <- pre-paids, letter of credit, sponsor, etc. Example: "Borrower's cash and letters of credit.">>>				
Based on a review of the principals << their liquidity meets/exceeds \$.	identify princi	pal(s)>> their net	worth is esti	mated at \$;

Construction contract:	\$ Offsites	\$	Demolition	\$
Total construction costs: As reported on HUD-92328- ORCF, Line 53 plus Offsites and Demolition Costs	\$			
Major Movable Equipment Budget:	\$ Construction	on Period:	# of months:	
Architectural contract:	\$ Multiple AIA Agreements			

	Year	FTE's As reported on Form HUD-91125- ORCF	Operating Revenues	SWB As reported on Form HUD-91125- ORCF
Operations – Base year			\$	\$

Operations – Post construction			\$ \$	
Third Party Reports provided: Architecture/Cost Review	Conclusion is:	Accepted as is	odified by lender.	
Market Study (if required) Appraisal (if required)	Conclusion is: Conclusion is:	Accepted as is Accepted as is	odified by lender. odified by lender.	
Other < <identify>></identify>	Conclusion is:	Accepted as is	odified by lender.	

Overview

<< Provide brief Summary/Overview of project.>>

Summary of Amendment to Initial Firm Commitment

Program Guidance: Noteworthy modifications to Initial Firm Commitment should include any material changes such as the proposed land, building (design, units, use), underwriting, title, litigation, environmental, shared costs/expenses, licensing, lender underwriter from the initial submission application. Discuss risks and mitigation of any material changes.

Based on the updated processing of the loan application, the following is a summary of amendments to the initial firm commitment:

	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Same</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
Mortgage amount:	\$	\$	\$
Underwritten value:	\$	\$	\$
Loan-to-value:	\$	\$	\$
Debt service coverage:	\$	\$	\$
Net operating income:	\$	\$	\$
Total for all improvements:	\$	\$	\$
Total development costs:	\$	\$	\$
Land value:	\$	\$	\$
Operating deficit:	\$	\$	\$
Operating deficit.		Ψ	

<<Please provide an explanation of all changes below.>>

- Mortgage amount increase/decrease:
- Underwritten value:
- Loan-to-value:
- Debt service coverage:
- Net operating income:

<u>ements</u> :	
costs:	
<u>cicit</u> :	
nodifications to firm c	<u>ommitment</u> :
Desidential	Duilding (commonsial)
Residential	Building (commercial)
	No. of buildings: No. of stories:
	No. of units:
	No. of self-contained units*:
ects whether the construct	tchen/kitchenette and a bathroom. This criterion, in addition ion type will be "residential" or "building." ordinator Information:
ens and bathrooms (e., om includes a commo sis – Update Sensitivity Analysis.	n of Wage Decision specified. Be specific about g., kitchenette includes a sink, microwave, and ode, sink, and shower, etc.).>> At a minimum, the analysis is to answer the
	rationale for selection common includes a common sis - Update

	everything else under consideration remains the same (ceteris paribus), a 1.0 del verage is still realized if:	ot service	
(h) (i) (j) (k)	Average rental drops \$ per month. Occupancy rate decreases %. Operating expenses increase % per year. Annual net operating income (NOI) decreases \$ or %. Medicaid Rate decreases \$ or %. Medicaid Census drops by %.		
Le	ender Loan Committee		
pro	Provide brief narrative summary of loan committee, including: date held; informovided; any pertinent requirements/conditions of the loan committee to gain the commendation.>>		e's
<u>P</u> 1	rogram Eligibility		
<<	Indicate if any changes have occurred that would affect the eligibility of the pr	oject.>>	
W	<u>aivers</u>		
<<	Identify and discuss any waivers received or requested as part of the Final Sub	mission.>	·>
<u>ld</u>	entities-of-Interest		
Ke	y Questions	Yes	No
1.	Have you, as the lender, identified any identities of interest on your certification?		
2.	Does the general contractor's certification indicate any identities of interest?		
3.	Does the HUD Addendum to the AIA B108 of the Design Architect identify any identities of interest?		
4.	Does the lender know, or have any reason to believe, that any of the assertions in the other Consolidated Certifications submitted herewith, are inaccurate or incomplete?		
	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding the to plicable, describe the risk and how it will be mitigated. For example: The borro	•	

general contractor are related parties – John Doe has ownership in both entities. A Cost Plus Construction will be utilized.>>

Third Party Reviewers

		Yes	No
1.	Does the architectural reviewer have experience with construction within the healthcare field?		
2.	Is the architectural reviewer knowledgeable and experienced with local building standards and construction methods for the type of project proposed, including the design and construction requirements under the Fair Housing Act, the Federal Fair Housing Accessibility Guidelines and the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards?		
3.	Is the architectural reviewer a registered architect or engineer?		
Ke	y Questions – Cost Analyst	Yes	No
	Does the cost analyst have experience in the healthcare field?		
2.	Is the cost analyst knowledgeable and experienced with local building standards and construction costs for the type of project proposed?		

Market Analyst

<< If unchanged from initial submission, state so. Otherwise, provide revised discussion.>>

Appraiser

<< If unchanged from initial submission, state so. Otherwise, provide revised discussion.>>

Project Description

Location/Proximity to Hospitals

<< If unchanged from initial submission, state so. Otherwise, provide revised discussion.>>

Site

<< If unchanged from initial submission, state so. Otherwise, provide revised discussion.>>

Neighborhood
< <if discussion.="" from="" initial="" otherwise,="" provide="" revised="" so.="" state="" submission,="" unchanged="">></if>
Zoning Legal Conforming Legal Non-Conforming Other
<< Provide narrative description: identify local jurisdiction; zoning designation; results of Zoning Letter provided in application submission; and discuss any variances, conditional uses, non-conformance or other pertinent issues affecting zoning.>>
Utilities
<< If unchanged from initial submission, state so. Otherwise, provide revised discussion.>>
Emergency Call System << Identify whether emergency call system proposed is included in construction contract, major movable equipment and/or borrower other fees.>>
Security, Networking and Other Information Technology Systems
<< Identify whether these systems proposed are included in construction contract, major movable equipment and/or borrower other fees.>>
Improvement Description
Buildings << If unchanged from initial submission, state so. Otherwise, provide revised discussion.>>
Landscaping (If we have and from initial submission, state so, Otherwise, provide revised discussion >>
<< If unchanged from initial submission, state so. Otherwise, provide revised discussion.>>
Parking
< <if discussion.="" from="" initial="" otherwise,="" provide="" revised="" so.="" state="" submission,="" unchanged="">></if>

Unit Mix and Features

<<Complete table or provide equivalent detail>>

	Unit	Bed			Unit	Care
Line	Qty	Qty	Bdrms	Baths	Sqft	Туре
A						
В						
С						
D						
E						
F						
G						
Н						
I						
T						
Totals:	-	-				

Living Unit Description:

<< <u>Brief</u> narrative description of the units including: bathrooms, appliances, flooring, included furnishings, hook-ups, patios, etc. >>

Services

<<If unchanged from initial submission, state so. Otherwise, provide revised discussion.>>

Architectural Review

Da	te of report:		
Re	view firm:		
Re	viewer:		
Ke	ey Questions	Yes	No
1.	Are any drawings or specifications to be "deferred submissions?" If yes, explain below and include special condition requiring that they be submitted prior to initial closing.		
2.	Does the architectural reviewer recommend any commitment conditions?		
3.	Are the plans and specification incomplete?		
4.	Is there an identity of interest between the design architect and any other project participant (i.e., borrower, principal of borrower, operator, and/or		

		Yes	No
	general contractor)?		
5.	Are there any architectural review comments that have not been incorporated into the plans and specifications?		
6.	Are there any architectural drawings and specifications that do <u>not</u> comply with local building code standards, minimum property standards, or any other HUD requirements?		
7.	After reviewing the plans, did the architectural reviewer confirm that the plans are <u>not</u> in conformance with FHAG and UFAS requirements?		
8.	Is the design architect different from the supervisory architect?		
9.	After reviewing the AIA agreement, did the architectural reviewer find the agreement was <u>not</u> complete?		
10.	After reviewing the Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation Report, did the architectural reviewer find the report <u>unacceptable</u> showing an <u>insufficient</u> number of borings provided?		
11.	After reviewing the soils report, did the architectural reviewer find the structural design <u>not</u> in compliance with the findings of the report?		
12.	After reviewing the survey, did the architectural reviewer find the survey <u>not</u> in compliance with HUD requirements?		
13.	Did the architectural reviewer <u>not</u> find the construction progress schedule and construction period to be acceptable?		

<<If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, please address below. For example, Item 1 – Fire sprinkler system engineering will be completed by XXX, Item 3 – The completed plans and specifications will be submitted prior to closing. The architectural reviewer's inspector has identified minor revisions to the plans and specifications that will be completed and submitted to HUD prior to closing. A list of the minor revisions includes XXX. The contractor has provided confirmation acknowledging the required revisions and confirms that they do not result in changes to the costs reflected on the HUD 92328-ORCF submitted with this application package. We (the lender) recommend a Special Condition to the Firm Commitment requiring that completed acceptable plans and specifications will be submitted prior to closing.</p>

Item 4 – There is an identity of interest between the design architect and the borrower. The design architect is a principal of the borrower entity. Therefore, to meet HUD requirements, a separate AIA B108 is submitted with this package for an unrelated architect to provide the supervision services. Provide narrative describing the supervising architect's name, experience, etc. >>

Architectural Overview

<< Provide narrative describing the architectural reviewer's report and conclusions and if the lender's underwriter concurs with the conclusions. Identify any modifications to the report conclusions and provide justification. Confirm if the review complies with the LEAN statement</p>

of work. Identify deliverables included in the application package. Include a narrative concerning key elements of the reviews, the appropriate HUD forms, and their correspondence with the design architect.>>

Construction Progress Schedule

<< Provide narrative discussion of the construction period as projected by the general contractor and project architect. Indicate if architectural reviewer agrees. Typically, an updated Construction Progress Schedule that accurately reflects the month and date of construction start and completion will be needed prior to closing.>>

Conclusion

<< Indicate if the review architect has appropriately addressed all architectural aspects of the development and the firm commitment application.>>

Co	st Review_		
	e of report:		
Revi	iew firm:		
Cost	analyst:		
Key	Questions	Yes	No
1.	Are there any variances in excess of 10% between the general contractor's form HUD-92328-ORCF line items and the cost analyst's form HUD-92326?		No
2.	Is the total reflected on the cost analyst's form HUD-92326 more than 10% higher or lower than the total cost breakdown on form HUD-92328-ORCF?		
3.	Will any one subcontractor, material supplier, or equipment lessor be awarded more than 50% of the construction contract?		
4.	Will three or fewer subcontractors, material suppliers, or equipment lessors be awarded more than 75% of the construction contract in aggregate?		
5.	Does or will the contractor have any identities of interest with any subcontractors, material suppliers, or equipment lessors?		
6.	Did the cost analyst find any evidence of front-loading in the contractor's cost estimate?		
7.	Is the builder's overhead more than 2% of the total land improvements, total structures and general requirements?	al 🔲	
8.	Did the third party cost reviewer not find the form HUD-92328-ORCF to b acceptable?	e	
9.	Are the form HUD-92328-ORCF, B108 and form HUD-92264a-ORCF inconsistent?		

				Yes	No
	nstruction contract is utilized, is a C l on the form HUD-92328-ORCF?	General Contracto	or's N/A		
<>For each "yes" an provide justification.>	swer above, provide a narrative dis	scussion regardir	ıg the top	ic and	
Cost Overview					
deliverables in the app appropriate HUD forn comparison analysis a	viewer performed the cost review polication package include a narrations, and cost data. For example, "I and compared them to the contractor The cost analyst ultimately concluder concurs.">>	ve concerning the The cost analyst p or's final schedule	e cost and performed es of valu	ilysis, the l a es (form	
Construction Co	osts (Form HUD-92328-OR	PCE)			
no front-loading in the analyst completed the included in the approp Provide a breakdown Borrower's Cost Brea	after completing an independent core final costs reflected in the HUD-9 HUD 9236 in accordance with HUD oriate section of the application pactor of the costs from the form HUD-92 kdown, included in the application of complete the following table or pactors.	2328-ORCF subi TD guidelines and ckage. 328-ORCF, Cont package. The fo	mitted. Ir I those for tractor's o	ndicate the rms are and/or \$XXX ar	ne
	Description	Cost			
	Structures		1		
	Accessory structures		1		
	Land improvements		1		
	General requirements		1		
	Builder's overhead		1		
	Builder's profit				
	Other fees				
	Bond premium				
	Total construction contract				
Construction C Type:	Contract Cost Plus	Lump) Sum		

General Requirements

<<The contractor's estimate of general requirements totals \$XXX. The cost analyst has determined that the proposed cost of the general requirements and the sub-items included in it are reasonable. The underwriter concurs.>>

Other Fees - General Contractor

The form HUD-92328-ORCF includes other fees to be paid the general contractor totaling \$\). The other fees to be paid by the general contractor include the following:

Schedule of Other Fees included in Construction Contract

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Line	Description	Am ount
А	Survey	
В	Cost Certification	
С	Municipal Inspections	
D	Special Engineering Tests/Fees	
Е	Sp ecial Taxes	
F	Permits	
G		
Н		
I		
J		
TO TAL		\$ -

<< The cost analyst has reviewed the schedule of other fees and determined the items and the total cost to be reasonable. The underwriter concurs.>>

Bond Premium/Assurance of Completion

<<Provide narrative discussion of either construction bond (bonding company, contractor's bond capacity, etc.) or the Assurance of Completion escrow (15% or 25% of contract, cash or letter of credit, etc. Also, address whether the surety is listed on the Treasury Circular and is authorized to issue bonds in the state for the required amount.>>

Unusual Site Improvements

<< Describe unusual site improvements and applicable costs, if any.>>

Architect's Fees

Program Guidance: In situations where there are multiple architects, submit each B108 as a separate exhibit in the firm application that corresponds to the below table (a, b, c, etc.).

Architect Name Function Amount of Fee Percent of Total Exhibit

(Design, Supervision, Other)	Architect's Fees	Number (a, b, c, etc.)

<<Confirm there is not an identity of interest between the borrower and the architect or if there is, discuss the separate supervising architect and his/her B108. Confirm if the cost analyst and underwriter find the architectural fees to be reasonable in total and for the cost of design/supervision.>>

Other Fees - Borrower

Schedule of Other Fees to be paid by Borrower (Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Line	Description	Amount
А	Survey - Land and Final "As Built"	
В	Building Permits	
С	Soils Report	
D	Traffic Study	
E	Impact Fees	
F	Hook-up Fees	
G		
Н		
I		
J		
TO TAL		\$ -

<< The cost analyst has reviewed the schedule of other fees to be paid by the borrower and determined the items and the total cost to be reasonable. The underwriter concurs.>>

Off-Site and Demolition

<<Describe any off-site work to be accomplished and who will be performing the work. If the general contractor is responsible, describe the cost attributed to it and the cost reviewer's conclusions about the work and the cost. If the city will be performing the work, describe any cost or hookup fee related.</p>

Describe any demolition that may apply; discuss costs and any other requirements or issues.>>

Proposed Major Movable Equipment as part of rehabilitation

The borrower has provided a major movable	
list and budget totaling:	\$

Ke	y Questions		
		Yes	No
	The cost analyst found the list acceptable and the budget is reasonable.		
2.	The underwriter concurs with the analyst's conclusion or has provided justification for any differences.		
3.	The underwriter notes that a copy of the major movable list is included as an		
	Exhibit to the Draft Firm Commitment submitted with this package matches the Form HUD-92264a-ORCF and Firm Commitment Draft.		
	For each "no" answer above, provide a narrative explanation and justification ic.>>	regardin	g the
Th	e borrower has provided a major movable		
list	and budget totaling: \$		
Ke	y Questions		
		Yes	No
4.	The cost analyst found the list acceptable and the budget is reasonable.		
5.	The underwriter concurs with the analyst's conclusion or has provided justification for any differences.		
6.	The underwriter notes that a copy of the major movable list is included as an		
	Exhibit to the Draft Firm Commitment submitted with this package matches the Form HUD-92264a-ORCF and Firm Commitment Draft.		
	For each "no" answer above, provide a narrative explanation and justification ic.>>	regardin	g the
Co	ontingency Reserve		
and and the	ogram Guidance: The contingency reserve amount is based on available data for a condition of structure. Calculate as percentage of the sum of structures, land it is general requirements. Percentage ranges from 1% to 10%, depending on the compression of rehabilitation, and experience and financial capacity of the bountractor.	improvem condition	ents, of
ext	e contingency reserve can only be used to cover unanticipated costs, such as disc ensive dry rot than was expected. The contingency reserve is not available for it increase in cost of carpet.		
suf	The architectural and cost reviewer concluded that a contingency reserve of ficient based on the site visit, the type of construction of the existing buildings, a veloper's knowledge of the existing buildings. The lender agrees (explain modifi		·>

Conclusion

<< Provide lender's conclusions and wrap-up of the cost review. Reiterate if any of the cost analyst's conclusions were modified and justified in the lender's underwriting.>>

Underwritten Reserve for Replacement

In the analysis below, the underwriter spreads the anticipated replacements by year based on the needs assessor's replacement reserve analysis and assumes an interest of % and an inflation rate of %.

Reserve for Replacement Fund Schedule

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

			Enect Tuble to u	,		
Year	0	1	2	3	4	5
Interest Earned	1.5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Annual Deposit		\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Initial Deposit	\$0					
Total Deposits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Claims		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cumulative Claims		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Balance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Year		6	7	8	9	10
Interest Earned		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Annual Deposit		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Initial Deposit						
Total Deposits		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Claims		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cumulative Claims		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Balance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Year		11	12	13	14	15
Interest Earned		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Annual Deposit		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Initial Deposit						,
Total Deposits		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Claims		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cumulative Claims		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Balance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Claims		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Cumulative Claims		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Balance		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Market Analysis

<< If unchanged from initial submission, state so. If a revised market study is provided, insert the Market Analysis section required for the Initial Submission narrative here. >>

Appraisal

<< If a revised appraisal is provided, substitute the Appraisal section required for the Initial Submission narrative here.>>

ALTA/ACSM Land Title Survey

<< If revised title/survey documentation is provided, substitute the ALTA/ACSM Land Title Survey section for the Initial Submission narrative here.>>

Environmental

<< Discuss any modifications/updates to the previous underwriting.>>

**Borrower – <
borrower's name here>>**

<< Discuss any modifications/updates to the previous underwriting.>>

Principals of the Borrower - << principal(s) name(s) here>>

<<Discuss any modifications/updates to the previous underwriting.>>

Operator - <<operator's name here>>

<<Discuss any modifications/updates to the previous underwriting.>>

Parent of the Operator - <<pre>parent's name here>>

<<Discuss any modifications/updates to the previous underwriting.>>

Management Agent - << management agent's name here>>

<<Discuss any modifications/updates to the previous underwriting.>>

Na	me:		
Sta	ate of organization:		
Li	cense number/state:		
Su	rety:		
Κe	y Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Is or has the general contractor been delinquent on any federal debt?		
2.	Is or has the general contractor been a defendant in any suit or legal action?		
3.	Has the general contractor ever filed for bankruptcy or made compromised settlements with creditors?		
4.	Are there judgments recorded against the general contractor?		
5.	Are there any unsatisfied tax liens?		
6.	Is the general contractor a joint-venture?		
7.	If the general contractor is a subsidiary of another entity, are they relying upon the parent to demonstrate financial capacity? (If yes, provide financial analysis of parent.)		
8.	Did the third party architectural reviewer find the contractor to have insufficient experience?		
	If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, identify the risk factor and tigated below.>>	how it is	

<< Provide narrative description of general contractor's experience and qualifications. Discussion should highlight the contractor's experience constructing similar type and size projects. It should discuss the architectural and cost reviewer's analysis of the contractor's experience, bonding capacity, financial capacity, etc.>>

Cı	redit History			
Re	port date:	< <within 60="" days="" of="" submission="">></within>		
Re	porting firm:			
Sc	ore:			
Als	<u> </u>	nation of the credit score in terms of risk level (i.e., low, med evaluated numerically, explain what value the credit agency		-
Κŧ	ey Questions		Vac	Nia
1.	Does the credit r	report identify any material derogatory information not ssed?	Yes	No
2.	Does the underwreport?	riter have any concerns related to their review of the credit		
	tigated below.>> ther Busines	s Concerns		
Κŧ	ey Questions			
1			Yes	No
1.	•	contractor identify any other business concerns?		
	legal actions	e other business concerns have pending judgments, /suits, or bankruptcy claims? (If so, a credit report must be business concern.)		
	b. If so, was a c	credit report obtained on the business concern?		
2.	_	oorts on the 10% sampling of the other business concerns erial derogatory information?		
	As applicable, a k <u>and</u> how it will l	"yes" answer requires a narrative discussion on the topic d be mitigated.>>	escribing (the
<< oth oth dea	Provide narrative her business conce her business conce	Other Business Concerns: e discussion on other business concerns. For example, "XXX erns. The underwriter reviewed Dunn and Bradstreet credit erns identified by XXXX. {Discuss each report}. No reports it ion that would prohibit XXXXX from participation in this loc	reports foi indicated	

Name of Entity	Report Type (Commercial, etc.)	Report Date	Comments (i.e., any derogatory	informatio	n, etc.)
Financial Statem					
Гhe application include	s the following General	Contractor finar	icial statements:		
Year to date:	< <dates for<="" td=""><td>start and end o</td><td>f period>></td><td></td><td></td></dates>	start and end o	f period>>		
Fiscal year ending: < <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>					
Fiscal year ending:	< <date end<="" td="" –=""><td>d of period>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></date>	d of period>>			
Fiscal year ending:	< <date end<="" td="" –=""><td>d of period>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></date>	d of period>>			
Key Questions					
contractor? 2. Are the financial sta	s of historical financial	quired informa	tion or schedules?	Yes	No.
-	significant downward i trated in the general co	-	•		
payables (amount in	counts Payable schedul excess of 5% effective	e gross income)	over 90 days?		
receivables (amoun	counts Receivable sche s in excess of 2% of gr	oss income) ov	er 120 days?		
	d analysis of the financi r weaknesses that need				
7. Does the general co capital?	ntractor have less than	the required 5%	adjusted working		
mitigated below. For e Contractor may hypoth	to any of the above que xample: Item 7 – Contr ecate fixed assets. The ted. Lender will provid	actor has less t contractor has	han 5% working cap a sale pending on a	oital. nother bu	ıildin

General Review

available to meet the 5% working capital.>>

<< Provide narrative and analysis of financial statements as appropriate. In addition to the Key Questions above, net working capital should be discussed along with the general financial stability and strength of the entity.>>

Working Capital Analysis

<< Provide narrative and analysis of contractor's working capital. Analysis should discuss appropriate adjustments to current assets and liabilities; how you account for work-in-progress; lines-of-credit; verifications of deposit; etc.</p>

Example: XXXX current balance sheet is summarized below.

		Financial Statement As of	Working Capital
)	XXXXXXX	Analysis
Current Assets			
Cash Accounts	\$	1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
Retainage Receivable		3,600,000	3,600,000
Accounts Receivable		4,900,000	4,700,000
Accounts Receivable - Employees		110,000	-
Accounts Receivable - RELATED		5,000	-
Accounts Receivable - RELATED		25,000	-
Cost & Profit in Excess of Bill		650,000	650,000
Prepaid Insurance		150,000	-
Total Current Assets	\$	10,640,000	\$ 10,150,000
Current Liabilities			
Retainage Payable	\$	2,680,000	\$ 2,680,000
Accounts Payable		4,720,000	4,720,000
Profit Sharing Payable		-	-
Current Portion of Notes Payable		66,000	66,000
Accrued Payables		445,000	445,000
Total Current Liabilities	\$	7,911,000	\$ 7,911,000

The underwriter has made the following modification for the working capital analysis:

Example:

- Only used accounts receivable less than 90 days old
- Did not use accounts receivable from related parties.
- Did not include prepaid expenses.

The underwriter's analysis of Work in Progress is as follows:

Contract Amount	% Compl ete	Contract Balance	Used for Work In Progress
\$ 309.875	87.0%	\$ 40 284	\$ 40,284
	07.070	70,207	Ψ 40,204
25,790,007	92.6%	1,908,461	-
11,050,619	99.6%	44,202	-
1,673,600	66.5%	560,656	560,656
5,935,000	77.0%	1,365,050	1,365,050
8,807,800	61.0%	3,435,042	3,435,042
196,200	42.2%	113,404	113,404
244,429	39.2%	148,613	148,613
833,806	98.0%	16,676	-
100,164	16.8%	83,336	83,336
2,063,500	4.6%	1,968,579	1,968,579
74,434	36.5%	47,266	47,266
922,400	25.7%	685,343	685,343
Ŧ		T	\$ 8.447.572
	% of Worl		\$ 8,447,572 = 422,379
	\$ 309,875 25,790,007 11,050,619 1,673,600 5,935,000 8,807,800 196,200 244,429 833,806 100,164 2,063,500 74,434 922,400 \$ 58,001,834	Contract Amount Complete \$ 309,875 87.0% 25,790,007 92.6% 11,050,619 99.6% 1,673,600 66.5% 5,935,000 77.0% 8,807,800 61.0% 196,200 42.2% 244,429 39.2% 833,806 98.0% 100,164 16.8% 2,063,500 4.6% 74,434 36.5% 922,400 25.7% \$ 58,001,834 *	Contract Amount Complete Contract Balance \$ 309,875 87.0% 40,284 25,790,007 92.6% 1,908,461 11,050,619 99.6% 44,202 1,673,600 66.5% 560,656 5,935,000 77.0% 1,365,050 8,807,800 61.0% 3,435,042 196,200 42.2% 113,404 244,429 39.2% 148,613 833,806 98.0% 16,676 100,164 16.8% 83,336 2,063,500 4.6% 1,968,579 74,434 36.5% 47,266 922,400 25.7% 685,343 \$ \$

The underwriter calculated the working capital necessary for the work in progress as 5% of the contract balances for all work that was less than 90% complete. The working capital for the planned sister facility in XXXXX is 5% of the contract amount of \$6,356,426. The working capital for the subject is 5% of the contract amount of \$6,502,743.

Based on the above adjustments and analysis, the underwriter concludes to the following working capital analysis:

Current Assets	10,150,000
Current Liabilities	(7,911,000)
Working Capital	\$ 2,239,000
Working Capital for Other Work in Progress	(422,379)
Working Capital for planned SISTER Facility	(317,821)
Working Capital for Subject	(325,137)
Excess Working Capital	\$ 1,173,663

The contractor clearly demonstrates sufficient working capital for the current work in progress and the planned sister facility and the subject facility. In addition to the above working capital, the contractor also has a \$XXXXM revolving line of credit that currently has no balance. The line of credit is available to supplement the above working capital, if necessary, during construction. >>

Conclusion

<< Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommendation. For example, "The general contractor has demonstrated an acceptable financial and credit history. The general contractor has the experience to continue to complete the construction. The underwriter recommends this general contractor for approval as an acceptable participant in this transaction." >>

Professional Liability Insurance Coverage (PLI)

Program Guidance: Handbook	4232.1, Section II Production, Appendix 14.1
Name(s) of Insured:	
Insurance company:	
Rating:	Rater:
Insurance company is licensed in the United States:	Yes No
Statute of limitations:	
Current coverage:	Per occurrence:
	Aggregate:
	Deductible:
Policy Basis:	Per occurrence Claims made
Current Expiration:	
Retroactive Date:	
Policy Premium:	

Summary of Six-Year Loss History for Operator or its Parent of Operator							
	Year	Total claims paid under this policy (dollars)	Total claims paid under this policy (no. of claims)	Total bed count covered under the policy	Dollars paid in claims per bed		
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
Total/a	average						

Key Questions

		Yes	No
1.	Does the insurance policy cover multiple properties?		
2.	Is less than 6 years of lost history available?		
3.	Does the loss history indicate any professional liability claims over \$35,000?		
4.	Does the loss history or potential claims certification indicate any uncovered claims?		
5.	Does the loss history or potential claims certification indicate any claims that would exceed the per occurrence or aggregate coverage limits at the facility?		
6.	Has the facility been covered by a "claims made" policy at any time during the statute of limitations for the State in which the facility is located?		
7.	Is the policy funded on a "cash front" basis?		
8.	Is an actuarial study applicable (self-insurance)? (<i>If yes, discuss results below.</i>)		
9.	For all facilities Owned, Operated or Managed by the operator and/or parent of the operator, are there any surveys/reports that have open G-level or higher citations outstanding? (As appropriate, provide a complete analysis of the surveys.)		
10	Are any entities that provide resident care (as discussed in the Provider Agreements and Resident Care Agreements/Rental Agreements) not covered by the PLI policy?		
11.	. Are there any PLI issues that require special consideration?		
< <	For each "ves" answer above, provide a parrative discussion on the topic desc	rihina tl	ne risk

and how it will be mitigated.

Example: **1.**<u>Multiple properties</u>: The underwriter notes that the professional liability policy is a 'blanket' policy covering XXX facilities, including the subject...{address potential impact of other facilities on the subject's coverage}

Example: **2.**<u>Less than 6-year loss history</u>: The claims history reports were examined for the period XX through XX. The underwriter determined that there were no professional liability XX claims during that period... {Address claims and sufficiency of coverage, etc. based on history}.

Example: Claims made coverage: The project's previous professional liability insurance coverage was a "claims made" form policy with XXXX, which expired XXXX, when the current policy was put in place. In XXXX the borrower purchased a "nose coverage" policy which is the coverage needed when going from a "claims made" form of insurance to a "per occurrence" form of insurance. The premium for this "nose" coverage liability was a one-time charge and was paid in XXX. Because of that additional insurance coverage, the insurance expense for XXXX was substantially higher than the current expense. The current "per occurrence basis" insurance policy covers the entire statute of limitations. The project's professional liability insurance is in compliance with HUD's requirements. >>

Lawsuits

<<Identify all potential or expected professional liability insurance (PLI) claims in excess of \$35,000 that have been or may be filed for all periods within the statute of limitations for the state where the claim occurred. Identify any reserves held for potential claims. Discuss the risk associate with each potential PLI claim. Discuss how that risk is mitigated. Describe the circumstances, identify the potential award amount, provide evidence and analysis showing that the suits are covered by PLI insurance, and if the insurance is not sufficient, does the insured demonstrate adequate funds to cover the potential excess? Describe any other information that mitigates the risk.

As applicable, discuss other types of lawsuits (non-PLI) and describe the potential risk related to the party's participation in the proposed project. Discuss how that risk is mitigated. If the suit is closed, does it contribute to a pattern? Does it materially affect the party's ability to participate in the project? If not closed, describe the circumstances, identify the potential award amount, provide evidence and analysis showing that the suits are covered by insurance (general liability), and if the insurance is not sufficient, do they demonstrate adequate funds to cover the potential excess? Describe any other information that mitigates the risk.>>

Commercial General Liability Insurance

<<Provide narrative discussion of policy coverage for bodily injury, property damage and personal injury. For example: General liability insurance will be provided by XX. The underwriter has confirmed estimates of the cost and coverage for underwriting. The insurance coverage will comply with HUD requirements prior to permission to occupy.>>

Property Insurance

<< Provide narrative discussion of review. For example, "Hazard and Liability insurance has been and/or will be provided by XX. The underwriter has confirmed estimates of the cost and coverage for underwriting and that it complies with HUD requirements.">>>

Builder's Risk

<< If contractor is paying, show in contractor's other fees. If borrower is paying, show in borrower's other fees.>>

Directors' and Officers' Liability Insurance

<<When applicable, provide narrative discussion of policy coverages.>>

Commercial Auto Liability Coverage

<< Provide narrative discussion of policy coverages.>>

Additional Insurances

<< Provide narrative discussion of business income coverage insurance, including amount of coverage and deductible.

Provide narrative discussion of earthquake insurance coverage, when applicable, including amount of coverage and deductible.

Provide narrative discussion of fidelity bond / crime insurance coverage. For example: "A fidelity (crime) insurance with the limit of \$XX and \$XX deductible will be put into place prior to Permission to Occupy. The HUD requirement for at least two months **potential** gross income receipts would total \$XX.

Provide narrative discussion of sinkhole / mine subsidence insurance, when applicable, including amount of coverage and deductible.

Provide narrative discussion of windstorm coverage insurance, when applicable, including amount of coverage and deductible.

Provide narrative discussion of windstorm coverage insurance, when applicable, including amount of coverage and deductible.

Provide narrative discussion of flood insurance, when applicable, including amount of coverage and deductible.>>

Recommendation

<<Provide narrative recommendation regarding acceptability of professional and general liability insurance. For example: "The borrower's professional and general liability insurance was analyzed in accordance with Handbook 4232.1, Section II Production, Chapter 14 and Appendix 14.1.). The property has XX current potential (threatened) insurance claims at this time as reflected on the certification provided by the borrower. It is {lender's} opinion that the information provided above and in the application sufficiently demonstrates that the existing professional liability coverage meets HUD's requirements and that the risk from professional liability issues is sufficiently addressed. No modifications to the current coverage are recommended.">>>

Mortgage Loan Determinants

Overview

The mortgage criteria shown on the form HUD-92264a-ORCF are summarized as follows:

	Initial	Final
Request amount:	\$	\$
Replacement Cost:	\$	\$
Loan-to-value:	\$	\$
Debt service coverage:	\$	\$
Cost of rehabilitation plus:	\$	\$
Amount based on deduction of loan(s), grant(s), LIHTCs, and gift(s) for mortgageable items:	\$	\$

The proposed mortgage is \$ and is constrained by

Criterion C: Amount Based on Replacement Cost

The amount based on replacement cost limit is \$. This is based on a replacement cost of \$.

Criterion D: Amount Based on Loan-to-Value

The \$ value of improvement limit was calculated in accordance with HUD guidelines. This is based on a value of \$.

Criterion E: Amount Based on Debt Service Coverage

The \$ debt service limit was calculated using the underwritten NOI of \$

Criterion F: Cost of Rehabilitation Plus

The estimated cost of rehabilitation limit is \$. This amount is based on % of the total estimated rehabilitation cost of \$ plus the offsite costs of \$ plus the lesser of 90.0% of as-is value of \$ or the allowable existing debt \$.

Criterion L: Deduction of Grants, Loans, LIHTCs, and Gifts

The limit was calculated in accordance with HUD guidelines as follows:

a.	Amount based on estimated cost of rehabilitation	\$
b.	 (1) Grants/loans/gifts (2) Tax credits (3) Value of leased fee (4) Excess unusual land improvement cost (5) Unpaid balance of special assessment (6) Sum of lines (1) through (5) 	\$
c.	Line a minus line b (6)	\$

The secondary sources are discussed in detail below in the Sources & Uses section of the narrative.

Existing Indebtedness

<< Discuss any modifications/updates to the previous underwriting.>>

Sources & Uses - Copied from HUD-92264a-ORCF

Program Guidance: In the case of tax credit transactions, the individual sources must be spelled out, as well as any non-mortgageable costs. Details regarding the requirements of those sources and uses should be discussed in the tax credit section, or under Secondary Sources, as applicable.

<<Provide a statement of Sources and Uses of actual estimated cost at closing. Include all
eligible and ineligible costs.>>

Secondary Sources

<and discuss all secondary sources, including terms and conditions of each. Secondary sources include surplus cash notes, grants/loans, tax credits, and the like.>>

Source	Entity Receiving Funds	Public or Private	% of Equity Coverage	% FMV	Non- mortgageable costs?

Surviving Debt

<tand discuss all existing long-term debt that will survive closing.>>

Other Uses

<<Discuss any uses not previously discussed in this narrative.>>

Circumstances that May Require Additional Information

In addition to the information required in this narrative, depending upon the facility for which mortgage insurance is to be provided, the mortgagor, operator, management agent and such other parties involved in the operation of the facility, current economic conditions, or other factors or conditions as identified by HUD, HUD may require additional information from the lender to accurately determine the strengths and weaknesses of the transaction. If additional information is required, the questions will be included in an appendix that accompanies the narrative.

Special Commitment Conditions

1.

2.

Conclusion

<< Provide narrative conclusion and recommendation.>>

Signatures

Lender hereby certifies that the statements and representations of fact contained in this instrument and all documents submitted and executed by lender in connection with this transaction are, to the best of lender's knowledge, true, accurate, and complete. This instrument has been made, presented, and delivered for the purpose of influencing an official action of HUD in insuring the loan and may be relied upon by HUD as a true statement of the facts contained therein.

Lender: HUD Mortgagee/Lender No.:			
This report was prepared by:	Date	This report was reviewed by:	Date
< <name>> <<title>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<Phone>></td><td></td><td><<Phone>></td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<Email>></td><td></td><td><<Email>></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>This report was reviewed and the site inspected by:</td><td>Date</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<Name>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<Title>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<Phone>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<Email>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></tbody></table></title></name>			