

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, Bethesda, MD 20892-7974, ATTN: PRA (0925-0766). Do not return the completed form to this address.

Staff Scientists and Staff Clinicians Outstanding Mentor Award

Nomination Form

General Information

Please provide the following general information with your nomination.

*Complete nomination package no later than **Monday, April 1, 2021**.*

1. Nominee Name:

Degree(s):

Title: Staff Scientist

Division:

Lab/Branch/Office:

Campus Address:

Work Email:

Length of time in this position:

Name of Nominee's Supervisor:

Staff Scientists and Staff Clinicians Outstanding Mentor Award

2. Primary Nominator Name:

Degree(s):

Division:

Lab/Branch/Office:

Campus Address:

Work E-mail:

Relationship to the Nominee:

How long has the Nominee been your mentor:

Your nomination is confidential. If your nominee is not selected as a winner, may we share your identity and nomination with them?

3. Secondary Nominator Name:

Degree(s):

Division:

Lab/Branch/Office:

Campus Address:

Work E-mail:

Relationship to the Nominee:

How long has the Nominee been your mentor:

Your nomination is confidential. If your nominee is not selected as a winner, may we share your identity and nomination with them?

Staff Scientists and Staff Clinicians Outstanding Mentor Award

Review Criteria

Please answer ALL the following questions. Please use the full scale range (1-10 scale, 1 is low and 10 is high) to rate your nominee.

Communication (verbal and written):

1. How well does your mentor explain scientific concepts and methods to you?
2. How open is your mentor to answering your questions and encouraging scientific curiosity?

Accessibility:

1. How easily is your mentor available to you?
2. Does your mentor share his/her knowledge with you?

Visibility:

1. Does your mentor provide you an opportunity to present your work at workshops and meetings (internal or external)?
2. Are you encouraged to write and publish your scientific findings?

Mentoring environment:

1. Does your mentor provide a conducive training environment, including intellectual and tangible support for scientific development?
2. How clear is your mentor in his/her expectations of you?

Overall rating:

Please rate your mentor's overall support/helpfulness to prepare for and meet your career objectives and future aspirations/goals.

Staff Scientists and Staff Clinicians Outstanding Mentor Award

1. Please provide **specific** examples that demonstrate the nominee's commitment to mentoring. (*maximum 500 words; more weight will be given to these responses*)

Primary nominator:

2. How has your mentor encouraged you to continue your scientific career? Please describe.

Primary nominator:

Staff Scientists and Staff Clinicians Outstanding Mentor Award

1. Please provide **specific** examples that demonstrate the nominee's commitment to mentoring. *(maximum 500 words; more weight will be given to these responses)*

Secondary nominator:

2. How has your mentor encouraged you to continue your scientific career? Please describe.

Secondary nominator: