U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)

RAPID ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK (OHWM) FIELD IDENTIFICATION DATA SHEET

The proponent agency is Headquarters USACE CECW-CO-R.

From Approved -OMB No. 0710-OHWM

Expires: xx-xx-xxxx

AGENCY DISCLOSURE NOTICE

The public reporting burden for this collection of information, 0710-OHWM, is estimated to average 30 **minutes** per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or burden reduction suggestions to the Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, at https://www.mbc.nd-ado-information-collections@mail.mil. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

Project ID #:	Site Name:		Date and Time:					
Location (lat/long):	gator(s):							
Step 1 Site overview from remote and online resources Check boxes for online resources used to evaluate site:			Describe land use and flow conditions from online resources. Were there any recent extreme events (floods or drought)?					
gage data LiDAR	geologic maps							
climatic data satellite imagery	land use maps							
aerial photos topographic maps	Other:							
Step 2 Site conditions during field assessment. First look for changes in channel shape, depositional and erosional features, and changes in vegetation and sediment type, size, density, and distribution. Make note of natural or man-made disturbances that would affect flow and channel form, such as bridges, riprap, landslides, rockfalls etc.								
the drop-down menu next to e just above `a' the OHWM.	fore some indicators that are cach indicator, select the appro	used to o priate lo	the OHWM. determine location may be just below and above the OHWM. From scation of the indicator by selecting either just below `b', at `x', or rite any additional observations, and to attach a photo log.					
Break in slope:	Channel bar:		erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour,					
on the bank:	shelving (bern	ns) on b	1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
undercut bank:	unvegetated:		Secondary channels:					
	vegetation tra		Sediment indicators					
valley bottom:	(go to veg. inc		Soil development:					
Other:	(go to sed. inc							
Shelving:	on bar:		Mudcracks:					
shelf at top of bank:	Instream bedform bedload transport		Changes in particle-sized					
natural levee:	deposition bed		1 1					
man-made berms or levees:	gravel sheets,	etc.)	unner limit of sand-sized narticles					
other	bedforms (e.g riffles, steps, e		silt deposits:					
Vegetation Indicators			Siit deposits.					
Change in vegetation type			Exposed roots below					
and/or density:	forbs to:		intact soil layer:					
Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g.,	t graminoids to	D:	Ancillary indicators					
graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe	woody		Wracking/presence of organic litter:					
the vegetation transition looking from	m shrubs to: deciduous		Presence of large wood:					
the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain.	trees to:		Leaf litter disturbed or					
•	coniferous		washed away:					
vegetation absent to:	trees to: Vegetation matte	d down	Water staining:					
moss to:	and/or bent:		Weathered clasts or bedrock:					
Other observed indicators? Describe:								

Project ID #:								
Step 4 Is additio	nal information nee	eded to suppo	ort this determination?	Yes	No	If yes, describe and attach information to datasheet:		
Step 5 Describe	rationale for locati	on of OHWM						
Additional observations or notes								
A44-ab-a-ab-a4-1-	n of the cite liber	4h a 4ahla h ala						
	log attached?	Yes	w, or attach separately. No If no, explain					
			n the table below.					
				otographs a	and includ	de annotations of features.		
Photo Number	Photograph description							

ENG FORM 6250, SEP 2021 Page of

OHWM Field Identification Datasheet Instructions and Field Procedure

Step 1 Site overview from remote and online resources

Complete Step 1 prior to site visit.

Online Resources: Identify what information is available for the site. Check boxes on datasheet next to the resources used to assess this site.

a. gage data
b. aerial photos
c. satellite imagery
e. topographic maps
f. geologic maps
g. land use maps

d. LiDAR h. climatic data (precipitation and temperature)

Landscape context: Use the online resources to put the site in the context of the surrounding landscape.

a. Note on the datasheet under Step 1:

- i. Overall land use and change if known
- ii. Recent extreme events if known (e.g., flood, drought, landslides, debris flows, wildfires)
- b. Consider the following to inform weighting of evidence observed during field visit.
 - i. What physical characteristics are likely to be observed in specific environments?
 - ii. Was there a recent flood or drought? Are you expecting to see recently formed or obscured indicators?
 - iii. How will land use affect specific stream characteristics? How natural is the hydrologic regime? How stable has the landscape been over the last year, decade, century?

Step 2 Site conditions during the field assessment (assemble evidence)

- a. Identify the assessment area.
- b. Walk up and down the assessment area noting all the potential OHWM indicators.
- Note broad trends in channel shape, vegetation, and sediment characteristics.
 - i. Is this a single thread or multi-thread system? Is this a stream-wetland complex?
 - ii. Are there any secondary and/or floodplain channels?
 - iii. Are there obvious man-made alterations to the system?
 - iv. Are there man-made (e.g., bridges, dams, culverts) or natural structures (e.g., bedrock outcrops, Large Wood iams) that will influence or control flow?

- d. Look for signs of recurring fluvial action.
 - i. Where does the flow converge on the landscape?
 - ii. Are there signs of fluvial action (sediment sorting, bedforms, etc.) at the convergence zone?
- e. Look for indicators on both banks. If the opposite bank is not accessible, then look across the channel at the bank.
- f. In Step 2 of the datasheet describe any adjacent land use or flow conditions that may influence interpretation of each line of evidence.
 - i. What land use and flow conditions may be affecting your ability to observe indicators at the site?
 - ii. What recent extreme events may have caused changes to the site and affected your ability to observe indicators?

Step 3a List evidence

Assemble evidence by checking the boxes next to each line of evidence:

- a. If needed, use a separate scratch datasheet to check boxes next to possible indicators, or check boxes of possible indicators in pencil and use pen for final decision.
- b. If using fillable form, then follow the instructions for filling in the fillable form.

Context is important when assembling evidence. For instance, pool development may be an indicator of interest on the bed of a dry stream, but may not be a useful indicator to take note of in a flowing stream. On the other hand, if the pool is found in a secondary channel adjacent to the main channel, it could provide a line of evidence for a minimum elevation of high flows. Therefore, consider the site context when deciding which indicators provide evidence for identifying the OHWM. Explain reasoning in Step 5.

Questions to consider while making observations and listing evidence at a site:

Geomorphic indicators

Where are the breaks in slope? Are there identifiable banks? Is there an easily identifiable top of bank?

Are the banks actively eroding? Are the banks undercut? Are the banks armored? Is the channel confined by the surrounding hillslopes? Are there natural or man-made

Are there fluvial terraces? Are there channel bars?

berms and levees?

Sediment and soil indicators

Where does evidence of soil formation appear?

Are there mudcracks present?

Is there evidence of sediment sorting by grain size?

Vegetation Indicators

Where are the significant transitions in vegetation species, density, and age?

Is there vegetation growing on the channel bed?

If no, how long does it take for the non-tolerant vegetation to establish relative to how often flows occur in the channel?

Where are the significant transitions in vegetation?

Is the vegetation tolerant of flowing water?

Has any vegetation been flattened by flowing water?

Ancillary indicators

Is there organic litter present?

Is there any leaf litter disturbed or washed away?

Is there large wood deposition?

Is there evidence of water staining?

Are the following features of fluvial transport present?

Evidence of erosion: obstacle marks, scour, armoring Bedforms; riffles, pools, steps, knickpoints/headcuts

Evidence of deposition: imbricated clasts, gravel sheets, etc.

In some cases, it may be helpful to explain why an indicator was NOT at the OHWM elevation, but found above or below. It can also be useful to note if specific indicators (e.g., vegetation) are NOT present. For instance, note if the site has no clear vegetation zonation.

ENG FORM 6250, SEP 2021 Page of

OHWM Field Identification Datasheet Instructions and Field Procedure

Step 3b Weight each line of evidence and weigh body of evidence

Weight each indicator by considering its importance based upon:

a. Relevance:

i. Is this indicator left by low, high, or extreme flows?

Tips on how to assess the indicator relative to type of flow:

Consider the elevation of the indicator relative to the channel bed. What is the current flow level based on season or nearby gages? Consider the elevation of the indicator relative to the current flow. If the stream is currently at baseflow and indicator is adjacent to that, relevance, strength, and reliability. then it is likely a low flow indicator. The difference between high and extreme flow indicators can sometimes be difficult to determine.

*Landscape context from Step 1 can help determine the relevance, strength, and reliability of the indicators observed in the field.

*Information in Chapter 2 of the OHWM field manual provides information on specific indicators which can assist in putting these in context and determining

- ii. Did recent extreme events and/or land use affect this indicator?
 - 1. Recent floods may have left many extreme flow indicators, or temporarily altered channel form. Other resources will likely be needed to support any OHWM identification at this site. Field evidence of the OHWM may have to wait for the site to recover from the recent flood.
 - 2. Droughts may cause field evidence of OHWM to be obscured, because there has been an extended time since the last high flow event. There can be overgrowth of vegetation or deposition of material from surrounding landscape that can obscure indicators.
 - 3. Both man-made (e.g., dams, construction, mining activities, urbanization, agriculture, grazing) and natural (e.g., fires, floods, debris flows, beaver dams) disturbances can all alter how indicators are expected to appear at a site. Chapter 6 and Chapter 7 of the OHWM field manual provides specific case-studies that can help in interpreting evidence at these sites.

b. Strength:

- i. Is this indicator persistent across the landscape?
 - 1. Look up and downstream and across the channel to see if you see the same indicator at multiple locations.
 - 2. Does the indicator occur at the same elevation as other indicators?

c. Reliability:

- i. Is this indicator persistent on the landscape over time? Will this indicator still persist across seasons?
 - 1. This can be difficult to determine for some indicators and may be specific to climatic region (in terms of persistence of vegetation) and history of land use or other natural disturbances.
- 2. Chapter 2, Chapter 6, and Chapter 7 of the OHWM field manual describes each indicator in detail and provides examples of areas where indicators are difficult to interpret.

d. Weigh body of evidence:

- i. Combine weights: integrate the weighted line of evidence (relevance, strength, reliability) of each indicator.
- ii. For each of the observed indicators, which are more heavily weighted? Where do high value indicators co-occur along the stream reach? Do they co-occur at a similar elevation along the banks relative to water surface (or channel bed if there is no water).
- iii. On datasheet, select the indicators used to identify the OHWM. Information in Chapter 2 of the OHWM field manual provides descriptions of specific indicators which can assist in putting these in context and determining relevance, strength, and relieability.
- e. Take photographs of indicators and attach a log using either page 2 of datasheet or another method of logging photos.
 - i. Annotate photos with descriptions of indicators.

Step 4 Is additional information needed? Are other resources needed to support the lines of evidence observed in the field?

- a. If additional resources are needed, then repeat steps 3a and 3b for the resources selected in Step 1 of assembling, weighting, and weighing evidence collected from online resources. Chapter 5 of the OHWM field manual provides information on using online resources.
- b. Any data collected from online tools have strengths and weaknesses. Make sure these are clear when determining relevance, strength, and reliability of the remotely collected data. Clearly describe why other resources were needed to support the lines of evidence observed in the field, as well as the relevance, strength, and reliability of the supporting data and/or resources.
- c. Attach any remote data and data analysis to the datasheet.

Step 5 Describe rationale for location of OHWM:

- a. Why do the combination of indicators represent the OHWM?
- b. If there are multiple possibilities for the OHWM, explain why there are two (or more) possibilities. Include any relevant discussion on why specific indicators were not included in the final decision.
- c. If needed, add additional site notes on page 2 of the datasheet under Step 5.

ENG FORM 6250, SEP 2021 Page of