OMB Control No. XXXX-XXXX Expiration Date: XX/XX/XXXX

APPENDIX 3:

Federal Independent Dispute Resolution (IDR) Process Notice of Offer Data Elements

The Departments of the Treasury, Labor, and Health and Human Services (Departments) and the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) have issued interim final rules establishing a Federal independent dispute resolution process (Federal IDR process) that nonparticipating providers or facilities, nonparticipating providers of air ambulance services, and group health plans and health insurance issuers in the group and individual market, and Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB) carriers may use following the end of an unsuccessful open negotiation period to determine the out-of-network rate for certain services. More specifically, the Federal IDR process may be used to determine the out-of-network rate for certain emergency services, nonemergency items and services furnished by nonparticipating providers at participating health care facilities, and for air ambulance services furnished by nonparticipating providers of air ambulance services where an All-Payer Model Agreement or specified state law does not apply. Additionally, a party may not initiate the Federal IDR process if, with respect to an item or service, the party knows or reasonably should have known that the provider or facility provided notice and obtained consent from a participant, beneficiary, or enrollee to waive surprise billing protections consistent with PHS Act sections 2799B-1(a) and 2799B-2(a) and the implementing regulations at 45 CFR 149.410(b) and 149.420(c)-(i).

The table below identifies data elements that group health plans, health insurance issuers offering group and individual health insurance coverage, or FEHB carriers and out-of-network or nonparticipating health care providers, facilities, and providers of air ambulance services must submit not later than 10 days after the date of selection of the certified IDR entity.

DATA ELEMENT	DESCRIPTION
Offers of Payment from Each	Final offer of payment expressed as both a dollar amount
Party	and as a percentage of the corresponding Qualifying
	Payment Amount (QPA).
QPA for Applicable Year	QPA for the applicable year for the same or similar items
	or services.
	Where batched items and services have different QPAs,
	the parties should provide these different QPAs and may
	provide different offers for these items and services,
	provided that the same offer should apply for all items and
	services with the same QPA.
The Size of the Provider Practice	Specify whether the provider practice or organization has
or Facility (applicable to	fewer than 20 employees, 20 to 50 employees, 51 to 100
providers and facilities)	employees, 101 to 500 employees, or more than 500
	employees. For facilities, the facility must specify whether

	the facility has 50 or for you employees. 51 to 100 employees
	the facility has 50 or fewer employees, 51 to 100 employees, 101 to 500 employees
	101 to 500 employees, or more than 500 employees.
Provider or Facility Practice	Specify the practice specialty of the provider or facility
Specialty (applicable to providers	named in the dispute, such as anesthesiologist, plastic
and facilities)	surgeon, etc.
Coverage Area (applicable to	Information on the coverage area of the plan or issuer, the
plans and issuers)	relevant geographic region for purposes of the QPA, and,
	for group health plans, whether the coverage is fully-
	insured or fully or partially self-insured (or a FEHB
	carrier if the item or service relates to FEHB coverage).
Additional Required Information	Any information requested by the certified IDR entity
	related to the offer, as long as it does not relate to usual
	and customary charges, the billed amount, or payment or
	reimbursement rate for the items and services furnished
	by the provider or facility payable by a public payor.
Additional Optional Information	Additional information related to the offer that the
	certified IDR entity must consider in making a payment
	determination, to the extent credible information that
	clearly demonstrates that the QPA is materially different
	from the appropriate out-of-network rate is submitted by a
	party. Such information may include:
	 Credible information about the level of training,
	experience, and quality and outcome
	measurements (such as those endorsed by the
	consensus-based entity authorized under section
	1890 of the Social Security Act) of the provider or
	facility that furnished the qualified IDR item or
	service clearly demonstrates that the QPA is
	materially different from the appropriate out-of-
	network rate for the qualified IDR item or service.
	• Credible information about the market share held
	by the nonparticipating provider, or facility or the
	plan (including, for self-insured plans, the market
	share of their third-party administrator (TPA) in
	instances where the self-insured plan relies on the
	TPA's networks) or issuer in the geographic
	region in which the qualified IDR item or service
	was provided, clearly demonstrates that the QPA
	is materially different from the appropriate out-of-
	network rate for such item or service.
	Credible information about patient acuity or the
	complexity of furnishing the item or service to the
	participant, beneficiary, or enrollee clearly
	demonstrates that the QPA is materially different
	from the appropriate out-of-network rate for the
	qualified IDR item or service.

 Credible information about the teaching status, case mix, and scope of services of the nonparticipating facility, clearly demonstrates that the QPA is materially different from the appropriate out-of-network rate for the qualified IDR item or service. Credible information about any demonstrations of good faith efforts (or lack thereof) made by the nonparticipating provider, nonparticipating facility, or nonparticipating provider of air ambulance services or the plan or issuer, as applicable, to enter into network agreements and, if applicable, contracted rates between the provider or facility and the plan or issuer, as applicable during the previous 4 plan years, clearly demonstrates that the QPA is materially different from the appropriate out-of-network rate for the qualified IDR item or service. For services that are air ambulance services, credible information about the quality and outcomes measurements of the provider of air ambulance services that are air ambulance services, credible information about the quility and outcomes measurements of the provider of air ambulance services that are air ambulance services, credible information about the acuity of the condition of the participant, beneficiary, or enrollee, clearly demonstrate. For services that are air ambulance services, credible information about the apartices, credible information about the participant, beneficiary, or enrollee, clearly demonstrate. For services that are air ambulance services, credible information about the acuity of the condition of the participant, beneficiary, about whether the level of training, experience, and quality of medical personnel that furnished the air ambulance services, credible information submitted by a party about whether the level of training, experience, and quality of medical personnel that furnished the air ambulance services, credible information about the appropriate out-of-network rate. For services that are air ambulance servi	 case mix, and scope of services of the nonparticipating facility, clearly demonstrates that the QPA is materially different from the appropriate out-of-network rate for the qualified IDR item or service. Credible information about any demonstrations of good faith efforts (or lack thereof) made by the nonparticipating provider, nonparticipating facility, or nonparticipating provider of air ambulance services or the plan or issuer, as applicable, to enter into network agreements and, if applicable, contracted rates between the provider or facility and the plan or issuer, as applicable during the previous 4 plan years, clearly demonstrates that the QPA is materially different from the appropriate out-of-network rate for the qualified IDR item or service. For services that are air ambulance services, credible information about the quality and outcomes measurements of the provider of air ambulance services that the QPA is materially different from the appropriate out-of-network rate. For services that are air ambulance services, credible information about the quality of the condition of the participant, beneficiary, or enrollee, clearly demonstrates that the QPA is materially different from the appropriate out-of-network rate. For services that are air ambulance services, credible information submitted by a party about whether the level of raining, experience, and quality of medical personnel that furnished the air ambulance services clearly demonstrates that the QPA is materially different from the appropriate out-of-network rate. For services that are air ambulance services, credible information submitted by a party about whether the level of raining, experience, and quality of medical personnel that furnished the air ambulance services (clearly demonstrates that the QPA is materially different from the appropriate out-of-network rate for the air ambulance services, credible information about the ambulance services, credible information about the ambulance serv	
		 case mix, and scope of services of the nonparticipating facility, clearly demonstrates that the QPA is materially different from the appropriate out-of-network rate for the qualified IDR item or service. Credible information about any demonstrations of good faith efforts (or lack thereof) made by the nonparticipating provider, nonparticipating facility, or nonparticipating provider of air ambulance services or the plan or issuer, as applicable, to enter into network agreements and, if applicable, contracted rates between the provider or facility and the plan or issuer, as applicable during the previous 4 plan years, clearly demonstrates that the QPA is materially different from the appropriate out-of-network rate for the qualified IDR item or service. For services that are air ambulance services, credible information about the quality and outcomes measurements of the provider of air ambulance services that furnished the services clearly demonstrates that the QPA is materially different from the appropriate out-of-network rate. For services that are air ambulance services, credible information about the acuity of the condition of the participant, beneficiary, or enrollee receiving the services, or the complexity of providing the services to the participant, beneficiary, or enrollee, clearly demonstrates that the QPA is materially different from the appropriate out-of-network rate. For services that are air ambulance services, credible information submitted by a party about whether the level of training, experience, and quality of medical personnel that furnished the air ambulance services clearly demonstrates that the QPA is materially different from the appropriate out-of-network rate. For services that are air ambulance services. For services that are air ambulance services, credible information submitted by a party about whether the level of training, experience, and quality of medical personnel that furnished the air ambulance services clearly de

credible information about the population density of the point of pick-up (as defined in 42 CFR 414.605) for the air ambulance (such as urban, suburban, rural, or frontier), clearly demonstrates that the QPA is materially different from the appropriate out of network rate for a particular air
appropriate out-of-network rate for a particular air
ambulance service.

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) (PRA), no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The Departments and OPM note that a Federal agency cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it is approved by OMB under the PRA, and displays a currently valid OMB control number, and the public is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. See 44 U.S.C. 3507. Also, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if the collection of information does not display a currently valid OMB control number. See 44 U.S.C. 3512.

The public reporting burden for this voluntary collection of information is estimated to be between 2.25 hours and 3 hours per response, including time for reviewing general information about requesting assistance, gathering information, completing and reviewing the collection of information, and uploading attachments if applicable. Interested parties are encouraged to send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employee Benefits Security Administration, Office of Regulations and Interpretations, Attention: PRA Clearance Officer, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N-5718, Washington, DC 20210 or email <u>ebsa.opr@dol.gov</u> and reference the OMB Control Number XXXX-XXXX. Note: Please do not return the completed request for assistance to this address.