Public Comments (regulations.gov): <u>Docket (USCIS-2008-0037)</u>

30-day FRN Citation (federalregister.gov): <u>86 FR 57439</u>

Publish Dates: October 15 – November 15, 2021

Matrix ID Number	Commenter	Comment	USCIS Response
1.1	John Flanagan	Comment ID USCIS-2008-0037-0126: In addition to reiterating my comments below, I want to suggest that there should be a mechanism for attorneys who are verified members of a state or territorial bar to enter their appearance on DHS's systems electronically without needing a client signature. The current electronically G-28 system doesn't work for all forms, is buggy, and requires the client to be tech-savvy. **ORIGINAL COMMENT** I am an attorney in private practice who has represented over 100 individuals in DHS, EOIR, and consular proceedings, both in detained and non-detained settings. I have had to use Form G-28 for a range of tasks, from filing affirmative benefits to getting access to clients in detention. At four pages, the current Form G-28 is far too long. The equivalent Form in EOIR proceedings - Form EOIR-28 - is two pages long. Indeed, Form G-28 used to only be two pages (see OMB No. 1615-0105, expiry date 02/29/2016). Also, Form EOIR-28 does not require the client's signature, and the same should be true of Form G-28. As officers of the court, attorneys should be able to make a good faith representation that they represent a client in administrative proceedings, as they are permitted to do in courts and administrative tribunals throughout the United States.	USCIS continues to enhance online filing capabilities and make more of our forms available for online filing. Regarding the client signature requirement, USCIS reiterates that 8 CFR 292.4(a) requires that Form G-28 be "signed by the petitioner, applicant, or respondent to authorize representation in order for the appearance to be recognized by DHS." DHS does accept "photocopied, scanned, faxed, or similarly reproduced" signatures. USCIS Policy Manual, Volume 1, Part B, Chapter 2.A.

Public Comments (regulations.gov): <u>Docket (USCIS-2008-0037)</u>

30-day FRN Citation (federalregister.gov): <u>86 FR 57439</u> **Publish Dates**: October 15 – November 15, 2021

Matrix ID Number	Commenter	Comment	USCIS Response
		In the alternative, there should be a provision for an attorney to enter an appearance for a detained individual without the need for an original signature. Historically, ICE and the Asylum Office have used the signature requirement in bad faith to obstruct access to clients in detention. For example, I have many colleagues who have wanted to present credible fear information on behalf of clients who provided verbal consent to representation but were unable to sign paperwork because they were apprehended and shipped off to a remote detention center. In this context, the signature requirement allows bad-faith gamesmanship by officials who want to obstruct access to counsel and should be eliminated by some sort of explicit exception on the form.	
2.1	Jeremy Rosenberg	Comment ID USCIS-2008-0037-0124: Create a 1-page G-28 that does not require client signature. The requirement is prejudicial to detained individuals and a 4-page entry form is not necessary.	8 CFR 292.4(a) requires that Form G-28 be "signed by the petitioner, applicant, or respondent to authorize representation in order for the appearance to be recognized by DHS." DHS does accept "photocopied, scanned, faxed, or similarly reproduced" signatures. USCIS Policy Manual, Volume 1, Part B, Chapter 2.A.
3.1	Law Office of Christina Brown	Comment ID USCIS-2008-0037-0125: I am an attorney in private practice who has represented over 100 individuals in DHS, EOIR, and consular proceedings, both in detained and non-detained settings. I have had to use Form G-28 for a range of tasks, from filing affirmative benefits to getting access to clients in detention. At four pages, the current Form G-28 is far too long. The equivalent Form in EOIR proceedings - Form EOIR-28 - is two pages long. Indeed, Form G-28 used to only be two pages	8 CFR 292.4(a) requires that Form G-28 be "signed by the petitioner, applicant, or respondent to authorize representation in order for the appearance to be recognized by DHS." DHS does accept "photocopied, scanned, faxed, or similarly reproduced" signatures. USCIS Policy Manual, Volume 1, Part B, Chapter 2.A.

Public Comments (regulations.gov): <u>Docket (USCIS-2008-0037)</u>

30-day FRN Citation (federalregister.gov): <u>86 FR 57439</u> **Publish Dates**: October 15 – November 15, 2021

Matrix ID Number	Commenter	Comment	USCIS Response
		(see OMB No. 1615-0105, expiry date 02/29/2016). Also,	
		Form EOIR-28 does not require the client's signature, and	
		the same should be true of Form G-28. As officers of the	
		court, attorneys should be able to make a good faith	
		representation that they represent a client in administrative	
		proceedings, as they are permitted to do in courts and	
		administrative tribunals throughout the United States.	
		In the alternative, there should be a provision for an	
		attorney to enter an appearance for a detained individual	
		without the need for an original signature. Historically, ICE	
		and the Asylum Office have used the signature requirement	
		in bad faith to obstruct access to clients in detention. For	
		example, I have many colleagues who have wanted to	
		present credible fear information on behalf of clients who	
		provided verbal consent to representation but were unable	
		to sign paperwork because they were apprehended and	
		shipped off to a remote detention center. In this context, the	
		signature requirement allows bad-faith gamesmanship by	
		officials who want to obstruct access to counsel and should	
		be eliminated by some sort of explicit exception on the	
		form. The alleged purpose of the signature by USCIS to	
		protect private information of individuals seeking protection	
		is disingenuous. Practitioners can represent asylum seekers	
		before EOIR without a signature. The same mechanism for	
		confirming representation is available in all administrative	
		proceedings: the officer confirms that the applicant wants to	
		be represented by the attorney at the time of the interview,	
		hearing, etc. The original signature requirement serves only	
		the purpose of limiting access to counsel.	

Public Comments (regulations.gov): <u>Docket (USCIS-2008-0037)</u>

30-day FRN Citation (federalregister.gov): <u>86 FR 57439</u> **Publish Dates**: October 15 – November 15, 2021

Matrix ID Number	Commenter	Comment	USCIS Response
4.1	Shelly Marshall	Comment ID USCIS-2008-0037-0127: Regarding the letter sent from Samantha L. Deshommes, on Agency Information Collection Activities that will transfer adjudicative authority of defensive asylum away from immigration judges and give it to asylum officersI am so very NOT in favor of this.	[Unrelated comment]
		Aaron Stevenson, DHS Insider and Intelligence Research Specialist for the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services said that an email sent out by the Director of USCIS, which notified everyone about a rule change coming forward, is going to shift the adjudicative authority of defensive asylum away from immigration judges and give it to asylum officers, which are USCIS.	
		Ur Jaddou, Director of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services wrote "The proposed system seeks to reduce processing times by transferring the initial responsibility for adjudicating certain protection claims from immigration judges to USCIS asylum officers." He also wrote, "This rule would simplify the adjudication process for certain individuals who are encountered at or near the border, placed into expedited removal proceedings"	
		We all know that is a loop hole (and will not simplify anything!) and that going to a fee-based program to by pass judges, means they bypass any oversight, congressional or legal, and we will get another massive influx of people playing the system and putting a burden on the American taxpayer to support them!!!	

Public Comments (regulations.gov): <u>Docket (USCIS-2008-0037)</u>

30-day FRN Citation (federalregister.gov): <u>86 FR 57439</u>

Publish Dates: October 15 – November 15, 2021

Matrix ID Number	Commenter	Comment	USCIS Response
		Please stop this travestyit will allow criminals, traffickers, drug cartels and gang members to enter our country with a fast track to citizenshipand it will bring massive numbers of people who want a free ride, I am sick to death of these measures to destroy what I have built up all my lifeSTOP THIS PLEASE!	