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# Abstract

This ICR addresses the information collection activities that are contained in the final rule to add natural gas processing (NGP) facilities to the scope of the industrial sectors covered by the reporting requirements of section 313 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA), 42 U.S.C. section 11023, commonly known as the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI). Estimated burden and costs associated with the final rule are incremental to existing reporting burden for the TRI Program overall, as documented in the TRI Form R and Form A Toxic Chemical Release Reporting ICR Supporting Statement (Docket ID# EPA-HQ-OPPT-2020-0078). Under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq., an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid control number issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The OMB control numbers are displayed either by publication in the Federal Register or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers for certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9. Currently, facilities subject to the TRI reporting requirements may use either the EPA Toxics Release Inventory Form R (EPA Form No. 9350-1), or, if they meet alternate threshold requirements, the EPA Toxics Release Inventory Form A Certification Statement[[1]](#footnote-2) (simply referred to as “Form A” - EPA Form No. 9350-2). With Form R, a facility reports one chemical per form; with Form A, a facility may report multiple chemicals per form.[[2]](#footnote-3)

Pursuant to EPCRA section 313 (and PPA section 6607, because of its linkage to EPCRA), EPA's Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention (OCSPP) collects, processes, and makes available to the public all of the information collected. EPA stores the information gathered under these authorities in a database available through the Internet. EPA, other federal, state, and local government agencies; industry; and the public use TRI extensively. Program offices within EPA and other government agencies have used TRI, along with other sources of data, to establish priorities, evaluate potential exposure scenarios, and conduct enforcement activities. Industries use TRI data to identify pollution prevention opportunities and set goals for emissions reductions. Environmental and public interest groups use TRI data to make the public more aware of releases of chemicals in their communities, as well as to initiate direct negotiation and risk reduction with facilities.

The TRI data are unique in providing a multi-media (air, water, and land) picture of toxic chemical releases, transfers, and other waste management activities by covered facilities on a yearly basis. With a centralized database and electronic data access tools, TRI provides a wide range of capabilities for a variety of users. Communities and governments can access the identities and quantities of listed toxic chemicals that many industrial facilities in their area release, transfer, or otherwise manage as waste. In addition, industries can use TRI as a tool for evaluating progress on their pollution prevention goals.

# 1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information.

Congress provided EPA with explicit statutory authority to expand the categories of facilities required to report under EPCRA section 313. Section 313(b)(1)(A) applies section 313 to facilities that are in SIC codes 20 through 39. Section 313(b)(1)(B) states: “The Administrator may add or delete Standard Industrial Classification Codes for purposes of subparagraph (A), but only to the extent necessary to provide that each Standard Industrial Code to which this section applies is relevant to the purposes of this section.” (Attachment A.) Exercising the authority to add SIC Codes to the scope of TRI in 1997, EPA added (with some limitations) metal mining, coal mining, electric utilities, commercial hazardous waste treatment, chemicals and allied products-wholesale, petroleum bulk plants and terminals-wholesale, and solvent recovery services. 62 FR 23834 (May 1, 1997). When adding these seven sectors, EPA considered three factors:

* Chemical Factor – Whether one or more toxic chemicals are reasonably anticipated to be present at facilities within the candidate industry group.
* Activity Factor – Whether facilities within the candidate industry group “manufacture,” “process,” or “otherwise use” these toxic chemicals.
* Information Factor – Whether facilities within the candidate industry group can reasonably be anticipated to increase the information made available pursuant to EPCRA section 313, or otherwise further the purposes of EPCRA section 313. This factor may include consideration of: (1) whether the addition of the candidate industry group would lead to reporting by facilities within that candidate industry group (e.g., whether facilities within the candidate industry group would conduct activities which exceed the reporting thresholds in section 313(f)); (2) whether facilities within the candidate industry group are likely to be subject to an existing statutory or regulatory exemption from the requirement to file a Form R; (3) whether submitted Form R reports from that industry group could be expected to contain release and waste management data; or (4) whether a significant portion of the facilities in the industry group would be expected to file a Form A. See 61 FR 33588, 33594 (June 27, 1996).

As explained in Units II.D and III.A of the 1997 Final Rule, EPA identified these three factors to consider in determining whether the statutory standard in EPCRA section 313(b)(1)(B) would be met by addition of the candidate facilities. Currently, NGP facilities primarily engaged in sulfur recovery from natural gas are subject to TRI reporting requirements because they are classified in a sector originally covered by TRI, SIC 2819 (Industrial Inorganic Chemicals, Not Elsewhere Classified). To address sector definition discrepancies between SIC 2819 and NAICS 211130 (Natural Gas Extraction)[[3]](#footnote-4), the TRI regulations currently include a limitation for NAICS 211130: “limited to facilities that recover sulfur from natural gas.” 40 CFR 372.23(b). The final rule adds SIC 1321 (Natural Gas Liquids)[[4]](#footnote-5) to the list of industrial sectors covered by TRI and removes the limitation from NAICS 211130. This approach expands TRI coverage to NGP facilities that primarily remove liquid hydrocarbons from oil and gas field. Facilities affected by the final rule will be required to submit a completed TRI form for each listed toxic chemical manufactured, processed, or otherwise used above threshold levels at each regulated facility as described in 40 CFR part 372. For most chemicals, threshold levels are set at 25,000 lbs for manufacturing and processing and 10,000 lbs for otherwise use (40 CFR § 372.25) (Attachment B.).

EPA established an alternate threshold under EPCRA §313(f)(2) for a category of facilities with low amounts of a listed toxic chemical in wastes. A facility with such lower amounts of listed chemicals in wastes may submit an EPA TRI Form A (Attachment C) for the reportable chemicals instead of a Form R (Attachment D.) for each reportable chemical. Note that a Form A may contain multiple chemicals. Form A submissions foster continued attention to chemical management practices and provide important facility identification information. With a Form A, EPA and the general public receive a specific indication annually that a facility has a certain chemical; however, facilities provide less extensive reporting on chemicals when using the alternate threshold.

The Environmental Integrity Project (EIP), together with 18 other organizations, petitioned EPA to add the Oil and Gas Extraction industrial sector to the scope of sectors covered by the reporting requirements of EPCRA section 313. EPA believes that NGP facilities are appropriate for addition to the scope of TRI.

NGP facilities meet the three factors (chemical, activity, and information) that EPA considered in the 1997 TRI sector addition as follows:

* Chemical and Activity factors: TRI-listed chemicals are present at NGP facilities. Using information from Canada's National Pollutant Release Inventory (NPRI), a program analogous to TRI and which covers NGP facilities, EPA estimates that NGP facilities manufacture, process, or otherwise use more than 21 different TRI-listed chemicals, including n-hexane, hydrogen sulfide, toluene, benzene, xylene, and methanol.
* Information factor: The addition of NGP facilities to TRI would meaningfully increase the information available to the public and further the purposes of EPCRA section 313. EPA estimates that at least 321 NGP facilities in the U.S. would meet the TRI employee threshold (10 full-time employees or equivalent) and manufacture, process, or otherwise use at least one TRI-listed chemical in excess of applicable threshold quantities. Furthermore, based upon information submitted to Canada's NPRI and the 2017 EIA-757 survey of NGP facilities, EPA expects that TRI reporting by U.S. NGP facilities would provide significant release and waste management data.

On October 22, 2015, EPA granted, in part, the Petition insofar as it requested that EPA commence the rulemaking process to propose adding NGP facilities to the scope of TRI. EPA denied the remainder of the Petition. EPA’s response to the Petition, including a full explanation of the Agency’s rationale, can be found at regulations.gov in Docket ID No. EPA– HQ–TRI–2013–0281.

This information collection activity is a statutory requirement pursuant to EPCRA section 313 and PPA section 6607. According to EPCRA section 313(h), the purpose of the data collected by the forms is to "inform persons about releases of toxic chemicals to the environment; to assist governmental agencies, researchers, and other persons in the conduct of research and data gathering; to aid in the development of appropriate regulations, guidelines, and standards; and for other similar purposes."

Section 6602 of the PPA establishes a national policy to prevent or reduce pollution at the source whenever feasible. To further this goal, EPA collects and disseminates information intended to fulfill that responsibility in part and to provide a basis for measuring progress in pollution prevention.

EPA’s regulations implementing TRI reporting are codified at 40 CFR part 372. Each covered facility must report on each listed chemical manufactured, processed or otherwise used in excess of the reporting thresholds established in EPCRA section 313(f)(1).

EPA established an alternate threshold[[5]](#footnote-6) under EPCRA section 313(f)(2) for a category of facilities with low amounts of a listed toxic chemical in wastes. A facility with such lower amounts of listed chemicals in wastes may submit an EPA Toxics Release Inventory Form A for the reportable chemicals instead of a Form R for each reportable chemical. Note that a Form A may contain multiple chemicals. Form A submissions foster continued attention to chemical management practices and provide important facility identification information. With a Form A, EPA and the general public receive a specific indication annually that a facility has a certain chemical; however, facilities provide less extensive reporting on chemicals when using the alternate threshold.

The information collected on the Form R, or alternatively on the shorter Form A, fulfills EPA’s responsibilities under EPCRA section 313(f)(2), addressing the statutory mandates and the public's right-to-know. Table 1 summarizes the information reported by facilities on the two types of TRI reporting forms.

**Table 1**

**Form R and Form A Information Collection**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Information Collected** | **Form R** | **Form A** |
| Location of facilities manufacturing, processing or otherwise using these chemicals | √ | √ |
| Indication that the chemicals are being manufactured, processed or otherwise used at current reporting thresholds | √ | √ |
| Certification that the sum of amounts of the chemical in releases and waste did not exceed the appropriate Non-PBT or PBT (lead in stainless steel, brass, or bronze alloy) release and waste annual reportable amounts for that reporting year |  | √ |
| Accounting of quantities of chemicals entering environmental mediums on site | √ |  |
| Disclosure of chemical transfers to off-site locations | √ |  |
| Description of on-site waste treatment, energy recovery, and recycling processes | √ |  |
| Accounting of other disposal, source reduction and recycling activities | √ |  |
| Additional optional information on source reduction, recycling and pollution control activities | √ |  |

# 2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

The overall goal of the TRI Program is to provide communities with information about toxic chemical releases and other waste management activities and to support informed decision making by industry, government, non-governmental organizations, and the public.[[6]](#footnote-7) The Program’s success is due, in large part, to the right-to-know provisions contained in the legislation. By requiring that the resulting data be made publicly available "by electronic and other means," Congress ensured that the general public, the media, environmental advocates, researchers, the business community, and others could evaluate and influence industry's efforts to manage toxic emissions. Consequently, EPA makes available data collected under EPCRA section 313 and PPA section 6607 through access tools such as EPA's Envirofacts, TRI Explorer, and TRI SEARCH.

The TRI Program now provides the TRI Preliminary Dataset within weeks after the annual July 1 TRI reporting deadline. The release consists of downloadable files on the TRI website (also accessible through Data.gov), as well as updated online data access tools (Envirofacts and TRI Explorer).

The EPA generally makes available the annual TRI National Analysis and the final dataset used for that analysis within seven months after the reporting deadline. In addition to providing information to the public via electronic means, EPA also conducts outreach activities to make key groups and the public aware of TRI. Libraries in communities all across the United States (in particular, members of the Federal Depository Library Program) provide public access to TRI data. Environmental agencies, industry, and the public use TRI data. EPA program offices use TRI data, along with other data, to help establish programmatic priorities, evaluate potential hazards to human health and the natural environment, and undertake appropriate regulatory and/or enforcement activities. Environmental and public interest groups use the data to better understand toxic chemical releases at the community level and to work with industry, government agencies, and others to promote reductions in toxic chemical releases. Industrial facilities use the TRI data to evaluate the efficiency of their production processes and to help track and communicate their progress in achieving pollution prevention goals. States use the TRI data to compare toxic chemical releases and other waste management approaches within specific industries and to set environmental priorities at the state level. See EPA’s *The Toxics Release Inventory in Action: Media, Government, Business, Community and Academic Uses of TRI Data* for more detailed descriptions of how these organizations use TRI data.[[7]](#footnote-8) EPA encourages TRI data users to provide feedback on ways to improve TRI products and services.

# 3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden.

Effective January 21, 2014, EPA requires the electronic submission of TRI Form R/Form A through the Internet via EPA’s Central Data Exchange (CDX) by using the Toxics Release Inventory Made Easy Web (TRI-MEweb) reporting software (except for trade secret reports, which must be submitted on hard copy). TRI-MEweb helps facilities prepare high-quality reports more easily than they could by using paper reporting forms due to a number of technology advances, including built-in data quality checks.

# 4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

The basic information requested on Form R/Form A is required to be reported by law. Other statutes, however, also necessitate the reporting of information about releases of chemicals to the environment, as well as transfers, treatment, and source reduction and recycling activities, creating the possibility of overlap or duplication of reporting requirements. EPA anticipates some overlap and notes that section 313(g)(2) of EPCRA specifies that respondents may use readily available data collected pursuant to other provisions of law to complete the EPCRA section 313 reports. Information required by these other statutes might not provide readily accessible multi-media release and transfer, inventory, or pollution prevention data with the same scope, level of detail, chemical coverage, and frequency of collection as data currently included in TRI.

Several existing data sources contain media-specific data on releases and transfers, chemical inventory data, or pollution prevention information. In theory, information from these databases could be combined to form an analog of release and transfer data contained in TRI. However, given the currently available data sources (see Table 2 and Appendix A), this substitution is extremely unlikely. For example, differences exist across the databases in chemical coverage and facility coverage, as well as differences in the level of public access, reporting frequency, and the integration of data from various sources at the facility level. TRI contains information on releases, transfers, and other waste management activities for 770 individually listed chemicals and 33 chemical categories. The following sections describe other sources of chemical releases and transfers, chemical inventory, and pollution prevention data and compare these sources with TRI.

**Chemical Release and Transfer Data**

TRI contains information on toxic chemicals handled by facilities, including details on quantities of chemicals managed through disposal or other release, recycling, energy recovery or treatment. These data include: 1) on-site releases with details on releases by environmental media (e.g., stack or point air emissions, discharges to receiving streams or water bodies, etc.), and 2) off-site transfer data with details on the off-site locations that receive transfers and the disposal, treatment, energy recovery, or recycling methods used to manage the chemicals at the off-site locations. Waste management data include quantities that are treated, used for energy recovery, or recycled and are discussed in the section on pollution prevention below.

Table 2 presents a summary of national databases containing fixed location data on chemical releases and transfers, each of which are discussed in this section. Appendix A provides a comprehensive list of relevant data sources.

# Table 2. Federal Databases with Air Release, Water Discharge, and Waste Management Data

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Data Source** | **Media and Chemical Coverage** | **Relevant Release Statistics Available** | **Ease of Database Substitution for TRI Dataa** |
| National Emissions Inventory (NEI) | Contains annual emissions of 8 criteria air pollutants (CAPs) and 187 hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) for facilities. | Total annual releases. | Includes air releases only. Data are updated only every 3 years. Coverage of TRI chemicals is limited. TRI is the source of the NEI record for 62% of the 43,372 facility-chemical records (2011). |
| Integrated Compliance Information System–National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (ICIS-NPDES) | Contains monthly discharge monitoring data for selected water parameters/pollutants and flow rates for all CWA major and many minor sources. | Concentration data; EPA now derives annual releases of pollutants for which concentrations and flows are reported as part of periodic discharge monitoring reports. | Includes a limited number of indicator parameters for which a monitoring requirement or discharge limit has been set. Many discharge parameters are not specific to an individual Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) number. Very limited monitoring data for minor dischargers. There were 3,196 facilities in TRI with water discharges greater than zero. 2,367 (74%) also have NPDES permit IDs. |
| Biennial Reporting System (BRS) | Contains annual volumes of RCRA wastes and how they are managed (offsite in the case of Large Quantity Generator and on-site in the case of treatment storage and disposal Facilities, TSDFs). Each waste stream is characterized by all applicable waste codes but volumes of each are not broken out. Data are reported once every two years. | Total annual off-site transfers of hazardous waste for land disposal; releases to publicly owned treatment works (POTWs). | Many RCRA waste codes are not specific to an individual CAS number. Quantities of chemicals in waste cannot be determined from BRS. Of about 25,900 facilities in Reporting Year (RY) 2013, 24% reported hazardous waste generation to RCRA BR and also reported to TRI. Of about 21,600 TRI filers in RY2013, 29% also reported hazardous waste generation to RCRA BR. |
| a “Ease of substitution” refers only to the potential of the information in the database to substitute for TRI reporting. It does not imply that the database is not adequate for the purposes for which it was designed. |

**Air Releases**

The 1990 amendments to the Clean Air Act require EPA to monitor and regulate the emissions of criteria air pollutants (CAPs) and hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), requiring EPA to identify the sources of these pollutants, quantify the sources by category, develop regulations, and then assess public health and environmental impacts. To facilitate this process, the Agency created two emissions inventories: the National Toxics Inventory (NTI) for HAPs and the National Emission Trends (NET) for CAPs. In 1999, the EPA combined these two databases to form the National Emissions Inventory (NEI).

NEI is EPA's compilation of estimates of air pollutants discharged on an annual basis and their sources. Five main categories organize NEI data: point sources (stationary), nonpoint sources (stationary), on-road sources (mobile), non-road sources (mobile), and events (fires). The compilation includes emissions estimates submitted by state, local and tribal air pollution control agencies, emissions estimates calculated by EPA, and emissions obtained from other sources. EPA uses the NEI to track emissions trends over time, develop regional pollutant reduction strategies, set and analyze regulations, perform air toxics risk assessments including inhalation risks and multi-pathway exposure, model air pollutant dispersion and deposition, and measure environmental performance as required by the Government Performance and Results Act.

Since 1996, EPA has compiled the NEI every three years. For 2008, the Agency reengineered the NEI business process to shorten the period between collecting data for a given inventory year and publication of those data. The most recent inventory is the 2017 NEI, which EPA last released in 2020.

While both datasets contain facility-chemical records with annual release estimates, there are a number of differences between NEI and TRI, including, which chemicals are in scope, the industrial sectors included in the inventory, and the type of information collected (e.g., for which environmental media releases are collected, and what other information besides environmental releases are collected).

* TRI reporting is required for 765 chemicals and 33 chemical categories known or reasonably anticipated to cause acute or chronic health effects or significant adverse environmental effects. NEI covers 8 Criteria Air Pollutants (CAPs) (i.e., carbon monoxide, volatile organic compounds, oxides of nitrogen, sulfur dioxide, particulate matter ≤ 2.5 microns, particulate matter ≤ 10 microns, ammonia, and lead) and 187 Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs). TRI covers two of the CAPs (ammonia and lead) and 181 of the 187 HAPs covered by NEI.
* NEI covers all sources of CAP and HAP emissions, including a number of sectors that are not included in TRI (e.g., agriculture, oil extraction and construction).
* NEI includes county-level emissions estimates for area, mobile and other sources not found in TRI.
* TRI includes information on releases, including air emissions and other types of releases (e.g., surface water discharges, underground injection, and landfill disposal of toxic chemicals). NEI focuses entirely on air emissions.
* TRI includes source reduction and waste management data that can be used to assess pollution prevention trends on a facility basis. For some records, NEI provides more detailed emission source-specific data about releases, such as process descriptions, throughput and stack height. The different information captured by the data systems largely reflects the different goals behind the development of the inventories. TRI’s main purpose is to provide the public with information about potential chemical hazards, whereas NEI, among other purposes, seeks to produce data that would support modeling and risk assessment needs.
* TRI has reporting thresholds such as employment on site and chemical use/manufacture. NEI does not have such thresholds and includes smaller facilities as point sources or area sources. For example, a facility must report to TRI only if it has 10 or more full-time employee equivalents and manufactures, processes or otherwise uses any TRI-listed chemical in quantities greater than the established threshold (typically 25,000 pounds for manufacturing and processing and 10,000 pounds for otherwise use). Chemicals of special concern and per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) have lower thresholds for reporting to TRI. Organizations contributing to NEI are expected to submit release estimates for all CAA major facilities, defined as having the potential to emit ten or more tons per year of one HAP or 25 tons per year or more of any combination of HAPs.
* TRI data are reported by individual facilities, certified by facility officials, NEI data are compiled from a variety of sources and methods.

**Water Discharges**

The Integrated Compliance Information System–National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (ICIS-NPDES)[[8]](#footnote-9) is the repository of wastewater discharge monitoring and other CWA compliance activities (e.g., construction plans) required by CWA permits. The system also contains information about the compliance status, inspections, and enforcement actions related to facilities that discharge to surface waters ([www.epa.gov/enviro/facts/pcs-icis/search.html](http://www.epa.gov/enviro/facts/pcs-icis/search.html)). For entities permitted to discharge wastewater into navigable waters, ICIS-NPDES contains information on permit issuance and expiration dates, quantities of wastewater and concentrations of pollutants facilities are permitted to discharge, and monitoring data measuring facilities’ discharges. ICIS-NPDES data are not directly comparable to TRI:

* Permit compliance data in ICIS-NPDES typically include monthly monitoring measures of pollutant concentrations in effluent discharges and measured flow, while TRI includes estimates of the total amount (in pounds) of a pollutant discharged to water. EPA now derives annual loadings from ICIS-NPDES, which is comparable to TRI releases to water.
* Monitoring required by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) covers only parameters the permit writer deems necessary to ensure compliance with permit limits set for the protection of the receiving water. The selected chemicals in wastewater do not comprehensively cover all TRI chemicals discharged to surface water at specific facilities.

**Waste Disposal**

Under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), large quantity generators (LQGs) and treatment, storage, and disposal facilities (TSDFs) must submit information on the generation, management, and final disposition of RCRA-defined hazardous wastes every other year. Biennial Reporters submit the following information about each waste generated or managed in the preceding calendar year: constituent waste codes; amounts generated; on- and off-site treatment, storage, and management; wastes received; and the identification of off-site shipment recipients. Facilities submit these biennial Hazardous Waste Reports to the state or EPA Regional office. Following processing by the states and EPA Regions, these biennial reports (BR) are transferred into the BR module of EPA’s RCRAInfo system. The Biennial Reporting data do not duplicate the information contained within TRI, as: (1) hazardous waste codes do not map to unique chemicals; (2) quantities of specific chemicals in the waste stream cannot be determined; and (3) reporting occurs every other year, as opposed to annually for TRI.

# On-site Chemical Inventory Data

In addition to data pertaining to releases, on-site management and transfers, TRI Form R requires reporting of the maximum amount of a qualifying chemical that is on site at any one time during the reporting year. There are a number of federal programs that also require disclosure of the presence or handling of chemicals and some that also require reporting of maximum amount on-site.

# Under EPCRA section 312, the Emergency Response Program requires regulated facilities to submit annual inventory reports of hazardous chemicals stored on-site to their Local Emergency Planning Commission (LEPC) and the State Emergency Response Commission (SERC). The information contained in the Tier II reports surpasses the chemical inventory data requested on TRI Form R in terms of the chemicals covered, absence of thresholds, and level of detail. As Tier II information is currently not submitted by the state SERCs or LEPCs to EPA (due to level of effort) and is not made publicly available due to homeland security concerns, this information source is not considered a ready substitute for the portion of TRI concerning maximum amount of chemicals stored on site.

Under section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act, facilities with processes that use or store more than a specified amount of certain flammable and toxic substances must develop and implement a risk management program and submit to EPA a summary of their program—called a Risk Management Plan (RMP). These plans include the amounts (in pounds) of each substance that are processed or used, hazard assessments of the potential effects of hypothetical accident scenarios, a five-year history of accidental releases involving regulated substances at the facility, and information about the facility’s accident prevention and emergency response programs. Facilities with processes that use or store more than a threshold amount (500–20,000 pounds) of a listed substance must file an RMP, including following a significant accidental release. Facilities must update and resubmit RMPs in events of operational changes, an accident, or every five years. RMP inventory data (i.e., identification of chemicals used and maximum amount on-site) do not substitute for TRI as: (1) RMP covers only 54 of the 683 TRI chemical and chemical compound categories[[9]](#footnote-10) and (2) RMP reporting occurs every five years, as opposed to annually for TRI.[[10]](#footnote-11)

Under section 8(a) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), chemical manufacturers and importers must report to EPA’s Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) every four years. Facilities must report chemical production amounts for sites that produce (including imported) 25,000 pounds or more of a TSCA inventory chemical substance during any one calendar year between submission periods. Facilities must also report downstream uses of their chemicals as well as characterizing end uses of the chemical. CDR includes annual production volume, chemical concentration, and physical form data not found in TRI. CDR also contains a broader range of chemicals than TRI by covering the entire TSCA list. However, CDR reporting is applicable only to chemical manufacturers (including importers).

**Pollution Prevention Data**

TRI also collects pollution prevention data from reporting facilities. These data include quantities of chemicals managed by waste management practice (e.g., recycling, energy recovery, etc.) and source reduction activities implemented at the facility.

Under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), facilities must report some pollution prevention data in their Biennial Hazardous Waste Reports (described above). While these biennial reports provide qualitative and quantitative pollution prevention information, facility and chemical coverage is not directly comparable to data required for TRI reporting. Biennial reports contain data on generation, transfer, and management of hazardous wastes; TRI reporting requires data on toxic chemicals in waste streams or process by-products (all production phases and media).

Under various state regulations, at least fourteen states[[11]](#footnote-12) implement mandatory pollution prevention programs for TRI filers, facilities that use toxic chemicals, or generators of hazardous waste. Pollution prevention data are collected under these programs and stored in databases administered by state environmental agencies. The types of pollution prevention data collected vary by state, and may include both data similar to that collected by TRI (e.g., quantities of waste managed, source reduction activities) and details not found in TRI (e.g., pollution prevention plans, costs associated with waste management, etc.). However, no federal or state program collects all of the pollution prevention data currently required by TRI.

# 5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden.

Under EPCRA section 313 (b)(1)(A), facilities with fewer than 10 full-time employees (or the equivalent) do not have to report. Two particular provisions that apply to TRI reporters universally: 1) the optional range reporting provision[[12]](#footnote-13) and 2) an alternate threshold allowing Form A eligibility, are particularly beneficial to non-exempt smaller facilities with small releases and wastes. In addition, EPA has taken several steps to minimize the burden for covered small businesses. EPA added a range reporting option to the Final Rule (53 FR 4500, February 16, 1988), which codified the EPCRA section 313 reporting requirements. Range reporting was the preferred option from the Regulatory Flexibility Act analysis to provide burden reduction for small businesses. Range reporting provides an option for releases of less than 1,000 pounds to be recorded as a code representing one of three ranges (1 to 10 pounds, 11 to 499 pounds, or 500 to 999 pounds) rather than as a specific estimate of the release amount. The benefit is not, however, limited to small businesses. Note that facilities may not use range reporting on Form Rs for chemicals of special concern.

In response to a petition from the Small Business Administration, EPA promulgated the alternate threshold (59 FR 61488, November 30, 1994), manifested in Form A reporting, as discussed in Section 1. Although any reporting facility meeting the criteria may use the alternate threshold, this alternate threshold may be particularly advantageous to small entities.

# 6. Describe the consequence to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

Section 313 requires annual reporting. Section 313(i) permits EPA to modify the reporting frequency by rulemaking; however, EPA must first notify Congress and then delay the initiation of such a rulemaking for at least 12 months, but no more than 24 months, from the date of the notification. In addition, EPA must find: that the modification is consistent with the provisions of subsection (h) of section 313 based on:

(i) experience from previously submitted toxic chemical release forms; and

(ii) determinations made under paragraph (3).

Paragraph (3), in turn, provides that EPA must determine:

1. The extent to which information relating to the proposed modification provided on the toxic chemical release forms has been used by the Administrator or other agencies of the federal government, states, local governments, health professionals and the public.
2. The extent to which information is (i) readily available to potential users from other sources, such as state reporting programs, and (ii) provided to the Administrator under another federal law or through a state program.
3. The extent to which the modification would impose additional and unreasonable burdens on facilities subject to the reporting requirements under this section.

Since TRI represents the best available multi-media database for tracking toxic chemical releases in the United States, a change in the reporting frequency to less than once a year could have a significant impact on the availability of timely toxic chemical data and affect data users, particularly at the community level. Additionally, public access to the most current toxic chemical release data and other waste management information would become more difficult.

# 7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner: requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;

# requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;

# requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;

# requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records, for more than three years;

# in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results that can be generalized to the universe of study;

# requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;

# that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or

# requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

Not applicable.

# 8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency's notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

# Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

# Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years - even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

There was a public comment period for this proposed rule. EPA has addressed the comments received during the comment period in the final rule. Copies of the proposed rule, ICRs, comments received, and EPA’s responses to comments are available in the docket.

Under 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(3), agencies are not required to consult with potential ICR respondents and information users about specific aspects of ICRs before submitting an ICR to OMB for review and approval.

# 9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

This collection does provide any payment or gift to respondents.

# 10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy. If the collection requires a system of records notice (SORN) or privacy impact assessment (PIA), those should be cited and described here.

Respondents may designate the specific chemical identity of a substance as a trade secret according to EPCRA section 322. Procedures for submission and review of trade secret claims under EPCRA section 313 are set forth in 40 CFR 350. Trade secret submissions are only accepted on hard copy and must adhere to the requirements provided in 40 CFR Parts 350 and 372.85(c)(3) and in the Reporting Forms and Instructions. When a facility claims the chemical identity to be a trade secret and properly substantiates the claim, EPA will not disclose the identity of the chemical to the public. EPA securely stores forms with trade secret information and allows access to those documents only to persons with Trade Secret clearance. Data made available to the public through any means do not include trade secret information.

# 11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

This collection does not request any sensitive information.

# 12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:

# Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.

# If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens.

# Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included under ‘Annual Cost to Federal Government’.

The final rule will require a facility that is primarily engaged in the recovery of liquid hydrocarbons from oil and gas field gases to report chemical releases to the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) Program if it has ten or more full-time employees (or the hourly equivalent of 20,000 hours); and it manufactures, processes, or otherwise uses any of the listed toxic chemicals or chemical categories above the applicable reporting threshold.

**Form R[[13]](#footnote-14):** Facilities reporting to TRI report releases and other waste management of listed chemicals on Form R. The required data items, summarized below, are specified in 40 CFR 372.85. Form R consists of two sections. In **Part I**, respondents report facility identification information including: facility identification number; facility name and address; NAICS code; facility Dun and Bradstreet (D&B) number; parent company name; parent company D&B number; name, email address, and phone of the technical contact; and name, email address, and phone of the public contact. In **Part II**, respondents report on the toxic chemical identity, mixture component identity, activities and uses of the toxic chemical at the facility, maximum amount of the toxic chemical on site at any time during the calendar year, quantity of the toxic chemical entering each environmental medium on site, transfers of the toxic chemical in wastes to off-site locations, on-site waste treatment methods and efficiency, and source reduction and recycling activities.

On Form R **Schedule 1**, facilities reporting on dioxin and dioxin-like compounds report the individual grams data for each member of the dioxin and dioxin-like compounds category present. Form R Schedule 1 is a four-page form that mirrors the data elements from Form R Part II Chemical-Specific Information sections 5, 6, and 8 (current year only).

**Form A:** Form A also consists of two sections. **Part I** solicits the same information as Part I of Form R (see list above) but requires a different certification statement that represents a signed statement by a facility owner/operator or senior management official. In contrast to Form R where reduced threshold eligibility is not an issue, the Form As signed statement certifies that the annual reportable amount as defined by 40 CFR 372.27(a) did not exceed 500 pounds for the reporting year, and that the amounts manufactured, or processed, or otherwise used did not exceed 1 million pounds for that year. In most instances, PBT chemicals may not be reported using Form A.[[14]](#footnote-15) In **Part II**, a facility may report multiple chemicals on a single Form A. Specifically Form A solicits the toxic chemical identity, and the mixture component identity.

**Recordkeeping**: In addition to annual reporting requirements, facilities must maintain records used to provide the information required on the form according to 40 CFR section 372.10. Those records may include estimation methodology and calculations; engineering reports; inventory, incident, and operating logs; and other supporting materials. Facilities must keep a copy of each report filed for at least three years.

**Non-Form Activities**

**Supplier Notification:** Certain suppliers of mixtures or trade name products containing reportable substances must annually notify their customers of the product's composition, if the customer is subject to EPCRA section 313 reporting. This activity includes the time required to inform customers, either by letter or through the safety data sheet (SDS) for the product. Given the relatively small additional number of reporters expected due to the final rule (444 NGP facilities vs more than 21,000 total TRI reporters in RY2019) as well as the fact that Nominal Form R burden already accounts for typical program level supplier notifications, EPA assumes that the number of facilities that might be required to provide supplier notifications would only be a small fraction of potential supplier notifications in connection with the TRI program overall. Therefore, no additional supplier notification burden is estimated in connection with the final rule.

**Non-Reporter Compliance Determination:** In any given reporting year, a group of eligible facilities will complete compliance determination but will not file a Form R or Form A. The process for determining whether reporting is required is the same as described above under Form Activities; however, given that compliance determination applies to all other facilities in NAICS-code-eligible sectors (with ten or more employees)—including those that ultimately do not report to TRI—this separate activity accounts for the latter category. For this final rule, it is assumed that the addition of the NGP facilities constitutes a modest change when compared to the total number of chemicals subject to the program. Thus, it is assumed that non-reporter compliance determination burden is already included in the existing compliance determination burden estimates.

**Petitions:**  While petitions are considered in the overall burden/cost of the TRI program, they are not considered in this rulemaking as they are not related to the addition of NGP facilities to the list of TRI-reportable industries.

EPA provides the reporting community with instructions, guidance documents, training materials, and toll-free hotlines to assist them in completing and submitting their reporting forms to EPA. These materials are accessible online: <https://ofmpub.epa.gov/apex/guideme_ext/f?p=guideme:home>.

**Radio-Based Burden Methodology**

As was done in a recent ICR renewal, this ICR uses EPA’s new Ratio-Based Burden Methodology (RBBM), to estimate TRI respondent burden for both Form R and Form A reporting.[[15]](#footnote-16)

Ratio-Based Burden Methodology simplifies calculations, supports internal consistency, and sharpens transparency while retaining the components of the previous methodology and maintaining its overall total burden estimate as a starting point.[[16]](#footnote-17) For activities associated with filing TRI chemical reports, RBBM burden estimates include rule familiarization, reporter compliance determination, calculations and form completion, and recordkeeping. Similarly, for activities unrelated to form reporting (non-form burden) RBBM estimates include supplier notification, non-reporter compliance determination, and preparation and submission of petitions.

Figure 1 presents the equations of RBBM’s primary method: Steady State Total Burden Calculation. With RBBM’s calculation of form burden, the only variables/inputs required are total counts for Form R Chemicals and Form A Chemicals. The factors/constants of the equations include: 1) Nominal Form R unit burden, in units of burden hours per Form R Chemical and 2) A/R,[[17]](#footnote-18) a model for the ratio of Form A (single-chemical)[[18]](#footnote-19) to Form R burden.

As shown in Figure 1, multiplying the Nominal Form R unit burden by the number of Form R Chemicals provides an estimate of the total Form R burden. Similarly, multiplying the Form A unit burden (formulated as the product of A/R and Nominal Form R unit burden) by the number of Form A Chemicals provides an estimate of the total Form A burden.

**Figure 1**

**Ratio-Based Burden Methodology a**

**Two Burden Factors; Two Chemical Counts; One Wage Rate**

Steady State Total Burden Calculation

*(1) Steady State Total Burden = Form R Burden + Form A Burden + Non-Form Burden*

*Where:*

*Form R Burden = (Number of Forms R) × (Nominal Form R Unit Burden)*

*Form A Burden = (Number of Forms A) × (A/R) × (Nominal Form R Unit Burden)*

*Non-Form Burden = Supplier Notifications + Non-Reporter Compliance Determination*

*And:*

*A/R, Ratio of Form A Burden to Form R Burden = 0.615*

*Nominal Form R Unit Burden = 35.70516* *hours per Form R Chemical*

*Form A Unit Burden (derived) = 21.95867 hours per Form A Chemical*

*(2) Steady State Total Cost = Steady State Total Burden × (WAWR)*

*And:*

*WAWR, Weighted Average Wage Rate = $65.47/hrb*

a For a complete description of the Ratio-Based Burden Methodology, see “Revising TRI Burden to Ratio-Based Methodology” (RBBM Reference Document -Docket #EPA-HQ-OEI-2010-0835, EPA, 2011).

b Based on June 2016 wage data (as of September 8, 2016). Source: <https://www.bls.gov/ncs/ect/#tables>.

EPA considers the burden estimates it uses to be average values for the reporting community overall. As with any average, some facilities will be above the average, and others will be below it. Large, complex facilities may require more than the average time to comply; however, many other facilities subject to the rule are not large or complex. Overall, EPA considers the TRI Program burden estimates to be reasonably representative of the reporting community overall, on average.

Table 3 presents the average annual burden hour estimates by form type.

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 3Reporter Average Annual Burden Estimate by Form Type** |
| **Form Type** | **Unit Burden (Hours) per Form** |
| Form R | 35.70516 |
| Form A | 21.95867 |
| Notes: 1) Unit burdens include burden for all activities associated with filing a form, whether incurred at the facility level or the form level, including reporter compliance determination, rule familiarization, calculations and report completion, and recordkeeping and submission.2) Form A unit burden is set at 61.5% of nominal Form R unit burden.3) Burden per form does not include non-form burden (supplier notification, petitions, and non-reporter compliance determination). |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 4****Estimated Number of Facilities Expected to Incur Incremental Reporting Burden, Total First Year Burden, and Total Steady State Burden under the Final Rule**

| **Scenario** | **Facilities** | **Total Number of Forms** | **First Year Burden** | **Steady State Burden** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **R** | **A** | **Ra** | **Ab** | **Total** | **Rc** | **Ad** | **Total** |
| Lower Bound | 321 | 2,263 | 244 | 169,682 | 11,252 | 180,934 | 80,801 | 5,358 | 86,159 |
| Upper Bound  | 489 | 3,447 | 372 | 258,459 | 17,154 | 275,613 | 123,076 | 8,169 | 131,245 |
| aCalculated as the product of: (1) the total number of Form R’s, (2) the *Nominal Form R Unit Burden* of 35.70516, and (3) the *First-Time Filer Factor* of 2.1.bCalculated as the product of: (1) the total number of Form R’s, (2) the *Nominal Form R Unit Burden* of 35.70516, (3) the *A/R* ratio of 0.615, and (4) the *First-Time Filer Factor* of 2.1.cCalculated as the product of: (1) the total number of Form R’s and (2) the *Nominal Form R Unit Burden* of 35.70516.dCalculated as the product of: (1) the total number of Form R’s, (2) the *Nominal Form R Unit Burden* of 35.70516, and (3) the *A/R* ratio of 0.615. |

 |

**Estimating Labor Costs**

EPA estimates labor burden for three separate labor categories (management, technical, and clerical) across multiple activities; it is necessary to obtain wage rates for each labor category in order to estimate labor costs and compute WAWR, as shown in Table 5.

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 5Derivation of the Weighted Average Wage Rate (WAWR)(2021 Dollars)** |
| **Wage Type****(Burden Proportion)** | **Managerial****(0.03)** | **Technical (0.89)** | **Clerical****(0.08)** | **WAWR Composite** |
| **Occupational Type** | Management, business, and financial | Professional and related | Office and administrative support | Weighted hourly wage rate |
| Wages and Salaries | $50.46 | $39.22 | $19.43 |  |
| Total benefits | $22.42 | $17.06 | $8.63 |  |
| Total Compensation | $72.88 | $56.29 | $28.06 |  |
| Overhead | $14.58 | $11.26 | $5.61 |  |
| **Total Loaded Rate** | **$87.46** | **$67.55** | **$33.67** |  |
| **WAWR Contribution** | **$2.62** | **$60.12** | **$2.69** | **$65.47** |

To estimate the incremental cost of the final rule, total first year and total steady state industry incremental reporting burden is multiplied by the *WAWR* (see Table 5); the first year and steady state incremental costs are presented in Table 6 for the lower and upper bound estimates.

**Table 6**

**Total First Year and Steady State Incremental Industry Cost under the Final Rule**

| **Scenario** | **Facilities** | **Total Number of Forms** | **First Year Cost** | **Steady State Cost** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **R** | **A** | **R** | **A** | **Total** | **R** | **A** | **Total** |
| Lower Bound | 321 | 2,263 | 244 | $11,109,081 | $733,668 | $11,842,749 | $5,290,041 | $350,788 | $5,640,830 |
| Upper Bound | 489 | 3,447 | 372 | $16,921,311 | $1,123,072 | $18,044,383 | $8,057,786 | $534,824 | $8,589,610 |

EPA determined the total annual reporting cost for all respondent NGP facilities by multiplying the WAWR by the steady state total burden. Table 7 presents the total annual reporting cost for Form R and Form A.

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 7Total Annual Cost Estimate (2021 dollars)** |
| **Form Type** | **WAWR** | **Steady State Total Burden** | **Steady State Total Cost** |
| Form R (Upper Bound) | $65.47 | 123,076 | $8,057,786 |
| Form A (Upper Bound | $65.47 | 8,169 | $534,824 |
| **Total (Upper Bound)** |  |  | **$8,592,610** |
| Form R (Lower Bound) | $65.47 | 80,801 | $5,290,041 |
| Form A (Lower Bound) | $65.47 | 5,358 | $350,788 |
| **Total (Lower Bound)** |  |  | **$5,640,830** |
| Note: WAWR is based on BLS ECEC data from March 2021 and an overhead rate of 20 percent applied to total compensation. |
| Under the final rule, industry is estimated to incur incremental costs between approximately $11,846,000 and $18,044,000 in the first year and between approximately $5,641,000 and $8,593,000 in the steady state. |

**Table 8**

**Summary of Total Incremental Costs of the Final Rule**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Cost Category** | **Lower Bound**  | **Upper Bound** |
| **Total Cost (3% Discount Rate,** **2021 Dollars)** | **Total Cost (7% Discount Rate,** **2021 Dollars)** | **Total Cost (3% Discount Rate,** **2021 Dollars)** | **Total Cost (7% Discount Rate,** **2021 Dollars)** |
|  Industry Costs | $6,347,048 | $6,466,474 | $9,668,373 | $9,850,292 |
|  EPA Processing Costs | $17,248 | $17,248 | $26,275 | $26,275 |
|  **Total Costs** | **$6,364,296** | **$6,483,722** | **$9,694,648** | **$9,876,567** |

# 13. Provide an estimate for the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden already reflected on the burden worksheet).

# The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life) and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and record storage facilities.

# If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of purchasing or contracting out information collections services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.

# Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government, or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.

There are no specific capital and operation and maintenance costs associated directly with this information collection activity. There may be some small additional costs for mailing and supplies, although with the recent promulgation of the electronic reporting rule, these costs are minimized.

# 14. Provide estimates of annualized costs to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies may also aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.

For the TRI program in general, EPA incurs burden and costs to process TRI forms in five categories of activities: data processing, outreach and training, information dissemination, policy and petitions, and compliance and enforcement. The estimate of EPA burden and costs is separated into a fixed component and a variable component. Activities and expenses that are not greatly affected by marginal changes in reporting quantities are considered fixed costs. These activities and expenses include rent for the EPCRA Reporting Center, development costs for data access tools, compliance assistance measures, and other activities and expenses listed above. There are no additional fixed costs to the Agency associated with the final rule. Activities and expenses that vary with marginal changes in the number of reports submitted are considered variable costs. The primary variable cost analyzed in this analysis is the cost of processing forms.

As of January 21, 2014, all non-trade secret forms must be submitted electronically. After adjusting for inflation to be 2021 dollars, EPA estimates that the processing cost for *TRI-MEweb* submissions is $6.88 per form ([E-mail communication with the TRI Data Processing Center, 2014](#_ENREF_9)). Therefore, the total form processing burden for EPA associated with Form R and Form A chemical submissions under the rule ranges from estimates of $17,248 to $26,275 depending on the scenario.

# 15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments in hour or cost burden.

This collection adds TRI reporting from a new industry sector, natural gas processing (NGP) facilities, to existing reporting requirements. Therefore, existing TRI reporting burden is increased by up to 275,613 hours in the first year that NGP facilities report to TRI and up to 131,245 hours every subsequent year.

# 16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

Facilities must report their information on a calendar-year basis and submit Form Rs or Form As to EPA by July 1 of the following year. In response to public requests to shorten the time frame for release of TRI information, TRI began a modernization effort in 2007 that included transition to TRI-MEweb from desktop software, and a number of streamlining initiatives. One of the resultant improvements was the Preliminary Data Release that provides TRI database information as quickly as possible after the reporting deadline. Since 2010, EPA has released data less than one month after the reporting deadline in the TRI preliminary dataset with downloadable data files and access via TRI Explorer and Envirofacts. Following the annual July 1 submittal deadline, EPA performs data quality checks and contacts facilities EPA believes may have errors in their reports, inviting them to resubmit with corrections as necessary. Following these checks, EPA freezes the dataset in mid-October. The EPA then performs trend analyses by industry, EPA region, chemicals of specific interest, and other data elements which it publishes in its TRI National Analysis in the first quarter of the following calendar year (e.g., the National Analysis for reporting year 2019 was published in January 2021).

# 17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

Not applicable.

# 18. Explain each exception to the topics of the certification statement identified in “Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions.”

Not applicable. This request complies with 5 CFR 1320.9 and no exceptions are taken.

# Supplemental Information

The annual public burden for this collection of information is estimated to average approximately 367 hours annually per respondent over the three-year period. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act, “burden” means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. For this collection it includes the time needed to review and understand instructions; prepare and submit reports (including searching data sources); complete and review the collection of information; transmit the information; and keep records.

To comment on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including the use of automated collection techniques, EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-TRI-2016-0390, which is available at [http://www.regulations.gov](https://gcc01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.regulations.gov%2F&data=02%7C01%7CJohnson.Amaris%40epa.gov%7C65c78ba73b1c4704fa3b08d83d5ce864%7C88b378b367484867acf976aacbeca6a7%7C0%7C0%7C637326816523141399&sdata=WOWgcU%2By8oJt6418QKqXD04axE1uaiohF6TecHDjyrE%3D&reserved=0). This site can be used to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. When in the system, select “search,” then key in the Docket ID Number identified above.

You can also provide comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget via <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>. Find this particular information collection by selecting ‘‘Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments’’ or by using the search function.

All comments received by EPA will be included in the docket without change, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes profanity, threats, information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI), or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

Notice: Due to public health concerns related to COVID-19, the EPA Docket Center and Reading Room are open to the public by appointment only. [Read more about the operating status](https://www.epa.gov/dockets/epa-docket-center-and-reading-room-open-public-appointment-only).

# List of Attachments

The attachments listed below can be found in the docket for this ICR or by using the hyperlink that is provided in the list below. The docket for this ICR is accessible electronically through <http://www.regulations.gov> using Docket ID Number: EPA-HQ-OPPT-2020-0617.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Ref.** | **Title**  |
| A  | [Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act EPCRA section 313, 42 USC 11023](https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/USCODE-2011-title42/html/USCODE-2011-title42-chap116.htm)   |
| B | [Toxic Chemical Release Reporting: Community Right-to-Know 40 CFR § 372.25](https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-40/chapter-I/subchapter-J/part-372/subpart-B/section-372.25)   |
| C | [Form A](https://ordspub.epa.gov/ords/guideme_ext/guideme_ext/guideme/file/ry_2020_form_a.pdf) – EPA Form Number 9350-2 |
| D | [Form R](https://ordspub.epa.gov/ords/guideme_ext/guideme_ext/guideme/file/ry_2020_form_r.pdf) – EPA Form Number 9350-1 |
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**APPENDICES**

## Appendix A: Information Sources Containing Data Subsets, but not Comprehensively

## Comparable Alternatives to TRI

## **Appendix A: Information Sources Containing Data Subsets, But Not Comprehensively Comparable Alternatives to TRI Data**

In this appendix, data elements available from several information sources are compared to those reported to TRI. The analysis is broken down by the specific types of data collected under TRI. While some sources may appear to be substitutes for TRI, they do not adequately address the entire scope of TRI, even in combination. For example, a given source may:

* Not include all toxic chemicals covered by TRI,
* Be compiled less frequently than TRI, and/or
* Not be as easily accessible (if at all) to the general public.

**Table F-1: Relevant Information Sources**

(TRI Included for Comparison)

| **Description** | **Chemical Coverage** | **Industry/Facility Coverage** | **Reporting Frequency** | **Public Access** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **TRI DATA** |
| EPCRA §313 requires facilities to submit reports on releases (including disposal) of particular toxic chemicals exceeding a given threshold. The reports provide information on the quantity of chemical released into the environment and to which medium (air, land, water) the disposal took place, as well as information about waste management and the amount of chemicals stored on-site. | The current TRI toxic chemical list contains 765 individually-listed chemicals and 33 chemical categories.  | NAICS codes corresponding to SIC codes 20-39, 10; 12; 4911, 4931, 4939; 4953; 5169; 5171; and 7389.A facility need only report if it has 10 or more Full Time Equivalents (FTEs). | Annual. | EPA compiles the TRI data and makes them available through several data access tools, including TRI Explorer and Envirofacts. Other organizations also make the data available to the public through their own data access tools. |
| **AIR EMISSIONS (SECTIONS 5.1 AND 5.2)** |
| **National Emissions Inventory (NEI)** |
| NEI provides estimates of anthropogenic pollutant emissions from stationary sources, as well as area sources and mobile sources. These estimates, submitted to EPA by delegated authorities (state or county), electric utilities, and/or generated by EPA from various sources, differ in estimation methodology used. | 8 CAPs and 187 HAPs. | No NAICS limitations. | Triennial. | CSV files can be downloaded from EPA’s Web site. |
| **Air Facility System (AFS)** |
| AFS contains compliance and permit data for stationary sources of air pollution regulated by U.S. EPA, and state and local air pollution agencies. | N/A  | No NAICS limitations. | Annual. | Can be accessed on a facility-by-facility basis through EPA data access tools, including Envirofacts or the Enforcement and Compliance History Online (ECHO). |
| **State Air Emissions Inventories** |
| Several states and regional agencies maintain their own air emissions inventories. However, the amount of data as well as the types of data elements collected vary widely from state to state. | Varies widely (e.g., the California Air Resources Board maintains its own list of about 400 toxic air pollutants). | Varies.  | Varies. | Most of these data are submitted to NEI. Some data are available on the Web on a state-by-state basis. |
| **Title V Part 70 Operating Permits** |
| Under the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments, facilities designated as "major sources" and facilities otherwise subject to §112 and Title V must apply for a Title V Part 70 Operating Permit. As part of the application for a Title V permit, some facilities may have to report emissions of air toxics. | 187 HAPs. | No NAICS limitations. | At the time of permit application, renewal, and modification—permits are typically renewed every 5 years. | No central repository for the information. |
| **DIRECT DISCHARGES TO WATER (SECTION 5.3)** |
| **Integrated Compliance Information System–National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (ICIS-NPDES)** |
| ICIS-NPDES is a national information management system that tracks implementation of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program, authorized by the Clean Water Act. ICIS-NPDES tracks permit issuance, permit limits, self-monitoring data, compliance data and other data pertaining to facilities regulated under NPDES.  | Contains monthly discharge monitoring data for selected water parameters/pollutants and flow rates for all CWA major and many minor sources. | No NAICS limitations. | Major permittees must submit Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) monthly or quarterly; non-major permittees must submit at least annually. | Can be accessed on a facility-by-facility basis through EPA data access tools, including Envirofacts, and ECHO. |
| **UNDERGROUND INJECTION AND LAND DISPOSAL ON-SITE (SECTIONS 5.4 AND 5.5)** |
| **RCRA Biennial Reports** |
| Section 3002(a)(6) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)requires EPA to develop a program for hazardous waste generators to report thenature, quantities, and disposition of hazardous waste generated at least once every two years. In addition, section 3004(a)(2) of RCRA requires treatment, storage and disposal facilities (TSDFs) to submit a report on the wastes that they receive from off-site. The biennial Hazardous Waste Report (also known as the“Biennial Report”) was implemented in 1985 to comply with these requirements.The Biennial Report form (8700-13A/B) must be submitted to the authorized state agency or the EPA Regional Office by March 1st of every even-numbered year.The form includes information such as the facility's RCRA ID number, the nameand address of the facility, the quantity of hazardous waste sent to each TSDF inthe United States and the manner in which the waste was treated during the previous year. | Contains annual volumes of RCRA wastes and how they are managed (offsite in the case of Large Quantity Generator and on-site in the case of treatment storage and disposal Facilities, TSDFs). Each waste stream is characterized by all applicable waste codes but volumes of each are not broken out. | No NAICS limitations; however, certain waste categories are excluded (e.g., mining and agriculture). | Biennial. | Can be accessed on a facility-by-facility basis through EPA data access tools, including Envirofacts. Text files can be downloaded from EPA’s Web site. |
| **DISCHARGES TO A POTW (SECTION 6.1)** |
| **RCRA Biennial Reports (BR)** |
| Biennial Reports require some reporting of discharges to POTWs. See above for more details. | See above. | See above. | See above. | See above. |
| **ICIS-NPDES** |
| ICIS-NPDES allows for reporting of indirect discharges to water. See above for more details. | See above. | See above. | See above. | See above. |
| **TRANSFERS TO OTHER OFF-SITE LOCATIONS (SECTION 6.2)** |
| **RCRA Biennial Reports (BR)** |
| Biennial Reports contain hazardous waste data from large quantity generators and TSDFs. Biennial Reports also require reporting of off-site transfers on Form GM. Information includes the RCRA ID of the facility to which the waste was shipped, the processes used to treat, recycle, or dispose of the waste at the off-site facility, the off-site availability code, and the total quantity of waste shipped during the report year. The reports also provide data on the volume of hazardous waste shipped off-site for land disposal, a release end-point of relevance to TRI. See above for more details. | See above. | See above. | See above. | See above. |
| **CHEMICAL STORAGE AND INVENTORY DATA (SECTION 4.1)** |
| **EPCRA** §**312 Tier I and II Reports** |
| EPCRA §312 requires that states establish plans for local chemical emergency preparedness and that inventory information on hazardous chemicals be reported by facilities to state and local authorities. | Hazardous or extremely hazardous substances (essentially any substance that poses a health or physical hazard). | No NAICS exemptions for facilities that are covered under the reporting threshold requirements, but facilities not included under OSHA’s Hazard Communication Standard (e.g., mines) do not have to file. | Annual. | On a facility-by-facility basis, by forwarding a written request. |
| **Risk Management Plan (RMP)** |
| Under the authority of section 112(r) of the [Clean Air Act](http://www.epa.gov/osweroe1/content/lawsregs/caaover.htm), the [Chemical Accident Prevention Provisions](http://www.epa.gov/osweroe1/content/lawsregs/rmpover.htm) require facilities that produce, handle, process, distribute, or store certain chemicals to prepare a Risk Management Plan (RMP) and submit the RMP to EPA. These plans include information about chemical amounts stored and processed at RMP facilities. | Certain flammable and toxic substances. | No NAICS limitations. | At least every five years, or within six months of an incident. | Restricted access: RMP information may be accessed via the Federal Reading Rooms. |
| **Chemical Data Reporting (CDR)** |
| Under TSCA Section 8(a), chemical manufacturers (including importers) are required to report manufacturing-related information to EPA for sites that manufactured (including imported) 25,000 pounds or more of a reportable chemical substance any one calendar year between submission periods. Industrial processing and use information and commercial and consumer use information must also be reported for these sites. | Varies.  | Limited to manufacturers, including importers, of subject chemicals. Certain manufacturers are exempt, including small manufacturers (sales <$40 million), those manufacturing a chemical for research and development, those manufacturing chemicals as impurities, and those submitting information under another TSCA Section 8a rule. | Every four years. | Data claimed as Confidential Business Information (CBI) are not available to the public. Non-CBI data downloads are available from EPA’s CDR website. |
| **POLLUTION PREVENTION DATA (SECTIONS 8.1-8.7; 8.10)** |
| **RCRA Biennial Reports (BR)** |
| Biennial Reports contain pollution prevention information on hazardous waste from large quantity generators and TSDFs. Data are collected primarily by states, and are collated by EPA. See above for more details. | See above. | See above. | See above. | See above. |
| **State Environmental Agency Databases** |
| At least fourteen states[[19]](#footnote-20) implement mandatory pollution prevention programs. Pollution prevention data collected under these programs varies by state, and may include both data similar to that collected by TRI (e.g., quantities of waste managed, source reduction activities, etc.) and details not found in TRI (e.g., pollution prevention plans, costs associated with waste management, etc.).  | Varies. | Varies. May include TRI filers, facilities that use toxic chemicals, and generators of hazardous waste. | Varies. | There is no central source for state collected pollution prevention data. Accessibility varies by state. |
| **EMERGENCY RELEASE DATA (SECTION 8.8)** |
| **National Response Center (NRC)** |
| NRC collects real-time information about virtually all oil and chemical spills throughout the United States to identify spills for which to coordinate emergency response. | Oils and chemicals. | No source exemptions. | Real-time. | Historical information about spills can be retrieved through the NRC online query system: www.nrc.uscg.mil/foia.html. |
| **Risk Management Plan (RMP)** |
| RMP contains a five-year accident history for each facility with details on releases of regulated substances from covered processes with 1) on-site deaths, injuries, or significant property damage; or 2) known off-site deaths, injuries, property damage, environmental damage, evacuations, or sheltering in place. See above for more details. | See above. | See above. | See above. | See above. |
| **STATE RIGHT-TO-KNOW PROGRAMS** |
| Several states require expanded state TRI reporting to include industries or facilities not covered by TRI or to report information beyond that required by the federal TRI Program (e.g., Arizona, Massachusetts, and Wisconsin). | Varies. Often identical to TRI. | Varies. May include more industries than TRI. | Annual. | There is no central source for state collected data. Accessibility varies by state. |

1. The Form A submission requires a Certification Statement confirming that the sum of amounts of the chemical in releases and waste does not exceed the appropriate release and waste annual reportable amounts for that reporting year. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. For the full set of instructions and Forms, refer to [https://ofmpub.epa.gov/apex/guideme\_ext/f?p= guideme\_ext:41](https://ofmpub.epa.gov/apex/guideme_ext/f?p=guideme_ext:41). [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. NAICS 211130 (Natural Gas Extraction): This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in the recovery of liquid hydrocarbons from oil and gas field gases. Establishments primarily engaged in sulfur recovery from natural gas are included in this industry [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. SIC 1321 (Natural Gas Liquids): Establishments primarily engaged in producing liquid hydrocarbons from oil and gas field gases [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. EPA has authority to revise the threshold amounts pursuant to EPCRA section 313(f)(2) provided that revised threshold amounts still result in reporting on a substantial majority of total releases of the chemical at all facilities subject to EPCRA section 313. A revised threshold may be based on classes of chemicals or categories of facilities. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. U.S. EPA Toxics Release Inventory Program. <https://www.epa.gov/tri/> [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
7. <https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/documents/tri_in_action_final_report_july_2013.pdf> [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
8. ICIS-NPDES is the Clean Water Act (CWA) data system of record, replacing the Permit Compliance System (PCS). [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
9. <https://www.epa.gov/rmp/list-regulated-substances-under-risk-management-plan-rmp-program> [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
10. <https://www.epa.gov/rmp/risk-management-plan-rmp-rule-overview> [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
11. Arizona, California, Georgia, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Oregon, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, and Washington. [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
12. Range reporting provides an option for releases of less than 1,000 pounds to be recorded as a code representing one of three ranges (1 to 10 pounds, 11 to 499 pounds, or 500 to 999 pounds) rather than as a specific estimate of the release amount. Range reporting is not permitted on Form Rs for chemicals of special concern. [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
13. Attachments C and D provide copies of the Form Aand Form Rrespectively. To access existing TRI Reporting Forms and Instructions, see <https://ofmpub.epa.gov/apex/guideme_ext/f?p=guideme:rfi-home>. [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
14. The exception is lead in stainless steel, brass, or bronze alloys, which are not excluded from Form A eligibility. [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
15. For references on methodology development, see RBBM Reference Document (Docket #EPA-HQ-OEI-2010-0835), EPA, 2011. [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
16. At the time of transition (the start of the 2008 ICR), the comparison between totals is exact. Later, in an interim spot-check (April 2010), totals were within 2%. [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
17. In A/R, Form A unit burden is derived using the activities associated with the subset of elements from Form R that a reporter would complete in order to determine TRI reporting eligibility and file a Form A, ensuring internal consistency. For further details, see RBBM Reference Document (Docket #EPA-HQ-OEI-2010-0835), EPA, 2011. [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
18. Although Form A permits multiple chemical reports on the same form (on average 2.26 Chemicals per Form A), for purposes of methods development and modeling, EPA works with chemical counts, referring to “Form R Chemicals” and “Form A Chemicals.” [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
19. Arizona, California, Georgia, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Oregon, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, and Washington. [↑](#footnote-ref-20)