Comments

FMCSA published a notice of the application in the **Federal Register** on August 12, 2021 and asked for public comment (86 FR 44467). The Agency received no comments.

FMCSA Decision

FMCSA has evaluated the ZF CVCS exemption application. The ADAS camera system housing for both models are approximately 4.30 and 5.6 inches tall and are mounted near the top of the center of the windshield, with the bottom of the technology housing located approximately 8 inches below the top of the area swept by the windshield wipers. The camera needs to be mounted in this location for optimal functionality of the ADAS system. The desired functionality and the relative size of the device precludes mounting it (1) higher in the windshield, and (2) within 4 inches from the top of the area swept by the windshield wipers to comply with section 393.60(e)(1)(ii)(A).

The Agency believes that allowing placement of the ADAS camera lower than currently permitted by Agency regulations will likely provide a level of safety that is equivalent to, or greater than, the level of safety achieved without the exemption because (1) based on the information available, there is no indication that the ADAS camera would obstruct drivers' views of the roadway, highway signs and signals, and surrounding traffic; (2) generally, trucks and buses have an elevated seating position that greatly improves the forward visual field of the driver and any impairment of available sight lines would be minimal; and (3) the mounting location where the bottom of the ADAS camera housing does not extend more than 8 inches below the upper edge of the area swept by the windshield wipers outside the driver's and passenger's normal sight lines to the road ahead, highway signs and signals, and all mirrors, will be reasonable and enforceable at roadside. In addition, the Agency believes the use of the ADAS camera by fleets is likely to improve the overall level of safety for the motoring public.

This action is consistent with the following previously issued Agency actions permitting the placement of similarly-sized devices on CMVs outside the driver's sight lines to the road and highway signs and signals: Bendix Commercial Vehicle Systems, LLC 86 FR 17877 (April 6, 2021), Netradyne, Inc. 85 FR 82575 (Dec 18, 2020), J.J. Keller & Associates, Inc. 85 FR 75106 (November 24, 2020), Samsara Networks, Inc. 85 FR 68409 (Oct. 28, 2020), Nauto Inc. 85 FR 64220 (Oct. 9, 2020), Lytx Inc. 85 FR 30121 (May 21, 2020), and Navistar Inc. 84 FR 64952 (Nov. 25, 2019). FMCSA is unaware of any evidence showing that installation of other vehicle safety technologies mounted on the interior of the windshield has resulted in any degradation in safety.

Terms and Conditions for the Exemption

The Agency hereby grants the exemption for a 5-year period, beginning November 8, 2021 and ending November 9, 2026. During the temporary exemption period, motor carriers are allowed to operate CMVs equipped with ZF CVCS' ADAS camera in the approximate center of the top of the windshield where the bottom edge of the technology housing is approximately 8 inches below the upper edge of the area swept by the windshield wipers, outside of the driver's and passenger's normal sight lines to the road ahead, highway signs and signals, and all mirrors. The exemption is valid for 5 years unless rescinded earlier by FMCSA. The exemption will be rescinded if: (1) Motor carriers and/or commercial motor vehicles fail to comply with the terms and conditions of the exemption; (2) the exemption has resulted in a lower level of safety than was maintained before it was granted; or (3) continuation of the exemption would not be consistent with the goals and objectives of 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315(b).

Interested parties possessing information that would demonstrate that motor carriers operating CMVs equipped with ZF CVCS' ADAS camera are not achieving the requisite statutory level of safety should immediately notify FMCSA. The Agency will evaluate any such information and, if safety is being compromised or if continuation of the exemption is not consistent with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315(b), will take immediate steps to revoke the exemption.

Preemption

In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31315(d), as implemented by 49 CFR 381.600, during the period this exemption is in effect, no State shall enforce any law or regulation applicable to interstate commerce that conflicts with or is inconsistent with this exemption with respect to a firm or person operating under the exemption. States may, but are not required to, adopt the same exemption with respect to operations in intrastate commerce.

Meera Joshi,

Deputy Administrator. [FR Doc. 2021–24364 Filed 11–5–21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–EX–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket No. FRA-2021-0006-N-15]

Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Notice of information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) and its implementing regulations, FRA seeks approval of the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below. Before submitting this ICR to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval, FRA is soliciting public comment on specific aspects of the activities identified in the ICR. **DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before January 7, 2022.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed ICR should be submitted on regulations.gov to the docket, Docket No. FRA–2021–0006. All comments received will be posted without change to the docket, including any personal information provided. Please refer to the assigned OMB control number in any correspondence submitted. FRA will summarize comments received in response to this notice in a subsequent notice and include them in its information collection submission to OMB for approval.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Hodan Wells, Information Collection Clearance Officer, at email: *hodan.wells@dot.gov* or telephone: (202) 493–0440.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520, and its implementing regulations, 5 CFR part 1320, require Federal agencies to provide 60-days' notice to the public to allow comment on information collection activities before seeking OMB approval of the activities. *See* 44 U.S.C. 3506, 3507; 5 CFR 1320.8 through 1320.12. Specifically, FRA invites interested parties to comment on the following ICR regarding: (1) Whether the

information collection activities are necessary for FRA to properly execute its functions, including whether the activities will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of FRA's estimates of the burden of the information collection activities, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used to determine the estimates; (3) ways for FRA to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information being collected; and (4) ways for FRA to minimize the burden of information collection activities on the public, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. See 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A); 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1).

FRA believes that soliciting public comment may reduce the administrative and paperwork burdens associated with the collection of information that Federal regulations mandate. In summary, FRA reasons that comments received will advance three objectives: (1) Reduce reporting burdens; (2) organize information collection requirements in a "user-friendly" format to improve the use of such information; and (3) accurately assess the resources expended to retrieve and produce information requested. *See* 44 U.S.C. 3501.

The summary below describes the ICR that FRA will submit for OMB clearance as the PRA requires:

Title: Passenger Equipment Safety Standards.

OMB Control Number: 2130-0544. Abstract: The information collection under 49 CFR part 238 is used by FRA to promote passenger train safety by ensuring requirements are met for railroad equipment design and performance, fire safety, emergency systems, inspection, testing, and maintenance, and other provisions for the safe operation of railroad passenger equipment. For instance, the information collected from daily inspections is used to detect and correct equipment problems in order to prevent, to the extent that they can be prevented, collisions, derailments, and other occurrences involving railroad passenger equipment that cause injury

or death to railroad employees, railroad passengers, or to the general public.

Upon detailed review of part 238, FRA made several adjustments to its estimated paperwork burdens in this ICR extension.¹ As noted in the PRA table below, FRA determined that many estimated paperwork burdens were either outdated or accounted for in other regulatory sections. Additionally, FRA found the associated burdens related to train equipment inspection and testing, as well as employee training and job briefings have been addressed previously when FRA calculated the economic costs of the regulation. FRA also notes below where it anticipates zero railroad submissions during this 3vear ICR period.

Type of Request: Extension without change (with changes in estimates) of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Businesses. Form(s): N/A.

Respondent Universe: 34 railroads and manufacturers.

Frequency of Submission: On occasion.

REPORTING BURDEN

CFR Section ²	Respondent universe	Total annual responses	Average time per responses	Total annual burden hours	Total cost equivalent ³	
229.47(a)–(b)—Emergency Brake Valve— Marking brake pipe valve as such.	FRA anticipates zero submissions for stencils and markings.					
238.7—Waivers	34 railroads 34 railroads	12 waivers 1,000 tags	6 hours 3 minutes	72.00 50.00	\$5,575.68 3,872.00	
 (c) Limitations on movement of passenger equipment in passenger service that becomes defective en route after a Class I or IA brake test—Tagging of defective equipment. (c)(4) Conditional requirement—Notice between employees. 	34 railroads		3 minutes ed paperwork burden fr under §238.15(a)–(b).	14.40 or this regulatory	1,115.14 requirement is	
 238.17—Movement of passenger equipment with other than power brake defects—Tagging of defective equipment. (e) Special requisites for movement of passenger equipment with safety appliance defects. 	34 railroads 200 tags 3 minutes 10.00 Duplicate estimate removed. The estimated paperwork burden for this regulatory recovered under §238.17.					
—(e)(4) Crew member notifications	Duplicate estimate removed. The estimated paperwork burden for this regulatory requirement is covered under § 238.17.					
238.19(b)–(c)—Reporting and tracking defective passenger equipment— Retention or availability of records.	FRA determined, since the 1990s, retention and availability of records for reporting and tracking defective passenger equipment are handled by the railroad industry as part of their normal business operations.					

¹ The public can view any and all estimate adjustments to FRA's active ICRs in the Supporting Statements published at *https://www.reginfo.gov/ public/*. The Supporting Statement for this ICR will be available after the 30-Day **Federal Register** notice is published in *reginfo.gov*.

-

CFR Section ²	Respondent universe	Total annual responses	Average time per responses	Total annual burden hours	Total cost equivalent 3			
—(d) List of power brake repair points	FRA does not an	This ICR only affects Amtrak, which has submitted the necessary list of power brake repair points FRA does not anticipate any changes or updates to this list over the next few years. Cor sequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.						
238.21(b)—Special approval procedure— Petitions for special approval of alter- native standard.	34 railroads	1 petition	16 hours	16.00	1,239.04			
 —(c) Petitions for special approval of alternative compliance. 	34 railroads	1 petition	40 hours	40.00	3,097.60			
—(f) Comments on petitions	Manufacturers	2 comments	1 hour	2.00	154.88			
238.103(c)—Fire safety analysis for pro- curing new passenger cars and loco- motives.	1 new railroad	1 analysis	150 hours	150.00	11,616.00			
—(d) Fire safety analysis for existing passenger cars and locomotives— Revised Fire Safety Analysis for leased or transferred equipment.	34 railroads	1 revised analysis	10 hours	10.00	774.40			
238.105—Train electronic hardware and software safety—New railroads.	1 new railroad	1 program plan	150 hours	150.00	11,616.00			
238.107—Inspection, testing, and mainte- nance plan—Development of mainte- nance plan for new railroads.	1 new railroad	1 maintenance plan	150 hours	150.00	0.00			
 (c) Inspection, testing, and mainte- nance plan for existing railroads— Maintenance plan review. 	34 railroads	34 maintenance plan reviews.	20 hours	680.00	52,659.20			
238.109(b)—Training, qualification, and designation program—Develop- ment of training program/curriculum for new railroads.	1 new railroad	1 training program	160 hours	160.00	0.00			
—(b) Training employees and super- visors.			raining of employees a alculated the economic					
—(b)(13) Recordkeeping—Employees and trainers—Training qualifications.	34 railroads	488 records	3 minutes	24.40	1,889.54			
238.111(a)—Pre-revenue service accept- ance testing plan: Passenger equipment that has previously been used in service in the U.S	34 railroads	1 plan	16 hours	16.00	1,239.04			
—(b) Passenger equipment that has not been previously used in revenue service in the U.S.	34 railroads	1 plan	192 hours	192.00	14,868.48			
—(b) Subsequent equipment orders	Duplicate estimate	removed. The estimate covered above	ed paperwork burden f under §238.111(a) an	or this regulatory i d (b).	requirement is			
—(b)(4) Tier II & Tier III passenger equipment: Report of test results to FRA.	1 railroad	1 letter	4 hours	4.00	309.76			
—(b)(7) and (c) Plan submitted to FRA for Tier II or Tier III equipment be- fore being placed in service.	In the past 20 years		modification plan. Thu the next three years.	s, FRA anticipates	s zero modified			
238.131—Exterior side door safety sys- tems—New passenger cars/locomotives used in passenger service—Failure Modes, Effects, Criticality Analysis (FMECA).	1 new railroad	1 analysis	80 hours	80.00	6,195.20			
238.133(a)—Exterior side door safety sys- tems—Passenger cars and locomotives used in a passenger service—By-pass device verification—Functional test plans.	1 new railroad	1 plan	4 hours	4.00	309.76			
—(b) Unsealed door by-pass device— Notification to railroad's designated authority by train crewmember of un- sealed door by-pass device.	The associated burd		bb briefings have been onomic costs of the reg		usly when FRA			

REPORTING BURDEN—Continued

CFR Section ²	Respondent universe	Total annual responses	Average time per responses	Total annual burden hours	Total cost equivalent ³
—(c) En route failure—Safety briefing by train crew when door by-pass de- vice is activated.	34 railroads	100 topic-specific briefings and no- tifications.	2 minutes	3.33	257.88
—(c) Notification to designated RR au- thority by train crewmember that door by-pass device has been acti- vated.	Duplicate estimate re		d paperwork burden for I above under §238.13		quirement is al-
—(c)(1) On-site qualified person (QP) description to a qualified mainte- nance person (QMP) off-site that equipment is safe to move for re- pairs.	Duplicate estimate re		d paperwork burden for I above under §238.13		quirement is al-
—(c)(2) QP/QMP notification to crew- member in charge that door by-pass has been activated and safety brief- ing by train crew.	Duplicate estimate re		d paperwork burden for I above under §238.13		quirement is al-
—(d) Records	34 railroads	100 records	2 minutes	3.33	257.88
 —(d) Records of unintended opening of a powered exterior side door. 	Duplicate estimate re		d paperwork burden for above under §238.13		quirement is al-
—(g)(2) RR record of by-pass activa- tions found unsealed.	Duplicate estimate removed. The burden for this requirement is already covered above under §238.133(d).				
238.135(a)(1)—Operating practices for ex- terior side door safety systems—Daily job briefings.	The associated bure		b briefings have been onomic costs of the rec		isly when FRA
—(c) Railroads' request to FRA for special consideration to operate pas- senger trains with exterior side doors or trap doors, or both, open between stations.	Duplicate estimate re ready cover	emoved. The estimated ed above under §238.	d paperwork burden for 7 or §238.21 for purpc	r this regulatory re ses of this analys	quirement is al- s only.
—(c)(4) Railroads' response to FRA request for additional information concerning special consideration re- quest.			d paperwork burden for 7 or §238.21 for purpc		
—(d) Operating rules on how to safely override a door summary circuit or no-motion system, or both, in the event of an en route exterior side door failure or malfunction on a pas- senger train (Note: Includes burden under §238.137).	1 new railroad	1 operating rule	8 hours	8.00	619.52
—(d) Railroads to provide a copy of written operating rules to train crew members and control center per- sonnel.	Railroads were requ		equirements of this sub nated burden is zero.	section by Decem	ber 6, 2018, so
—(e) Railroads' training of train crew members on requirements of this section.	The associated burdens relating to the training of train crew members have been addressed pre- viously when FRA calculated the economic costs of the regulation. FRA estimates the paperwork burdens associated with training recordkeeping under §238.109 or under the OMB control num- bers 2130–0596 or 2130–0533.				
—(e) Railroads' training of new em- ployees.	The associated burdens relating to the training of new employees have been addressed previously when FRA calculated the economic costs of the regulation. FRA estimates the burdens associated with training recordkeeping under §238.109 or under the OMB control numbers 2130–0596 or 2130–0533.				ourdens associ-
 —(g) RR operational/efficiency tests of train crew members & control center employees. 	and control center	The associated burdens relating to operational testing or observation of operating crewmembers and control center personnel have been previously addressed when FRA calculated the eco- nomic costs of the regulation.			

_

-

		I	1	1	
CFR Section ²	Respondent universe	Total annual responses	Average time per responses	Total annual burden hours	Total cost equivalent ³
238.201(b)—Scope/alternative compli- ance—Supporting documentation demonstrating compliance.	Duplicate estimate re		d paperwork burden fo ed above under §238.		quirement is al-
—(b) Notice of tests sent to FRA 30 days prior to commencement of op- erations.	Duplicate estimate removed. The estimated paperwork burden for this regulatory requirement is ready covered above under §238.111(b)(4).				
238.229(c)—Safety appliances—Welded safety appliances—Written lists sub- mitted to FRA by the railroads.	1 new railroad	1 list	1 hour	1.00	77.44
 (d) Defective welded safety appli- ance or welded safety appliance bracket or support—Tagging. 	34 railroads	4 tags	3 minutes	.20	11.98
 (d) Notification to crewmembers about non-compliant equipment. 	34 railroads	2 notices	1 minute	.03	2.32
—(g) Inspection plans	1 new railroad	1 plan	16 hours	16.00	1,239.04
—(h) Inspection personnel—Training	viously when FRA	calculated the econor	ng of inspection pers nic costs of the regulat training records under	tion. FRA estimate	
—(j)(1)(iv) Remedial action: Defect/ crack in weld—A record of the weld- ed repair.	culated the econor		ctions have been add ation. FRA estimates t nder §238.229(k).		
—(j)(2)(iv) Petitions for special ap- proval of alternative compliance— Impractical equipment design.	Duplicate estimate removed. The estimated paperwork burden for this regulatory requirement is a ready covered above under §238.21.				
—(k) Records of the inspection and re- pair of the welded safety appliance brackets.			ed burden for this regu er the OMB control nu		
238.230(b)(1)—Safety Appliances— New equipment—Inspection record of welded equipment by qualified employee.	FRA anticipates zero records.				
—(b)(3) Welded safety appliances: Documentation for equipment impractically designed to mechani- cally fasten safety appliance support.	FRA anticipates zero plans.				
238.231—Brake System—Inspection and repair of hand/parking brake: Records (under FRA Form 6180.49A).	The paperwork burde		t is covered under §23 nber 2130–0004.	38.303 and under t	he OMB control
—(h) Procedures verifying hold of hand/parking brakes.	1 new railroad	1 procedure	2 hours	2.00	154.88
238.237(a)-(b)-Automated monitoring- Documentation for alerter/deadman con-	1 new railroad	1 document	2 hours	2.00	154.88
trol timing. —(d) Defective alerter/deadman con- trol: Tagging.	34 railroads	25 tags	3 minutes	1.25	74.86
238.303—Exterior calendar day mechanical inspection of passenger equipment: Notice of previous inspection.					
—(e)(15) Dynamic brakes not in oper- ating mode: Tag.	34 railroads	50 tags	3 minutes	2.50	149.73
—(e)(15)(ii) Conventional locomotives equipped with inoperative dynamic brakes: Tagging.	Duplicate estimate removed. The estimated paperwork burden for this regulatory requirement is al- ready covered above under §238.303(e)(15).				

CFR Section ²	Respondent universe	Total annual responses	Average time per responses	Total annual burden hours	Total cost equivalent ³		
—(e)(17) MU passenger equipment found with inoperative/ineffective air compressors at exterior calendar day inspection: Documents.		FRA anticij	bates zero submissions	5.			
—(e)(17)(v) Written notice to train crew about inoperative/ineffective air com- pressors.	Duplicate estimate removed. The estimated paperwork burden for this regulatory requirement is al ready covered above under §238.303(e)(15).						
 —(e)(18)(iv) Records of inoperative air compressors. 	Duplicate estimate re	Duplicate estimate removed. The estimated paperwork burden for this regulatory requirement is al- ready covered below under §238.303(g).					
—(g) Record of exterior calendar day mechanical inspection (Other than locomotives) (*Note: Includes burden for records of inoperative air com- pressors under §238.303(e)(18)(iv)).	34 railroads	1,734,115 daily in- spection records.	1 minute	28,901.92	2,238,164.68		
238.305—Interior calendar day mechanical inspection of passenger cars—Tagging of defective end/side doors.	34 railroads	540 tags	3 minutes	27.00	2,090.88		
-(f) Records of interior calendar day inspection.	34 railroads	3,102,865 daily in- spection records.	1 minute	51,714.42	4,004,764.68		
238.307(a)(2)—Periodic mechanical in- spection of passenger cars and unpowered vehicles—Alternative inspec- tion intervals: Notifications.	34 railroads	2 notices	5 hours	10.00	774.40		
	34 railroads	200 notices	2 minutes	6.67	399.47		
 —(e)(1) Records of each periodic me- chanical inspection. 	34 railroads	5,184 inspection records.	1 hour	5,184.00	310,469.76		
—(e)(2) Detailed documentation of reli- ability assessments as basis for al- ternative inspection interval.	34 railroads	2 documents	100 hours	200.00	15,488.00		
238.311—Single car test—Tagging to indi- cate need for single car test.	34 railroads	50 tags	3 minutes	2.50	149.73		
238.313(h)—Class I Brake Test—Record for additional inspection for passenger equipment that does not comply with §238.231(b)(1).	34 railroads	15,600 records	30 minutes	7,800.00	467,142.00		
238.315(a)(1)—Class IA brake test —Notice to train crew that test has been performed (verbal notice).	The associated burd		s have been addressed ic costs of the regulation		FRA calculated		
—(f)(5) Communicating signal tested and operating as intended.	The associated burd		s have been addressed ic costs of the regulation	• •	FRA calculated		
238.317—Class II brake test—Commu- nicating signal tested and operating as intended.	The associated burdens related to briefings have been addressed previously when FRA calculate the economic costs of the regulation.						
238.321—Out-of-service credit—Passenger car: Out-of-use notation.	Duplicate estimate removed. The estimated paperwork burden for this regulatory requirement is covered under §238.307 and under OMB control number 2130–0004 under 229.23(d)–(g).						
238.445(a)—Automated Monitoring —Performance monitoring: alerters/ alarms.	There are no paperwork burdens associated with this subsection. FRA corrects its previous over- inclusion.						
—(c) Monitoring system: Self-test fea- ture: Notifications.	There are no paperwork burdens associated with this subsection. FRA corrects its previous over- inclusion.						
238.703—Quasi-static compression load requirements—Document to FRA on Tier III trainsets.	1 new railroad	.33 document	40 hours	13.20	1,022.21		
238.705—Dynamic collision scenario— Model validation document to FRA for re- view and approval.	1 new railroad	.33 validation docu- ment.	40 hours	13.20	1,022.21		
238.707—Override protection—Anti-climb- ing performance evaluation for Tier III trainsets.	1 new railroad	.33 evaluation	40 hours	13.20	1,022.21		

-

CFR Section ²	Respondent universe	Total annual responses	Average time per responses	Total annual burden hours	Total cost equivalent ³
238.709—Fluid entry inhibition—Informa- tion to demonstrate compliance with this section of a Tier III trainset.	1 new railroad	.33 analysis	20 hours	6.60	511.10
238.721—Glazing—Cab glazing; end fac- ing—Documentation containing technical justification.	3 glass manufactur- ers.	.33 technical docu- mentation.	60 hours	19.80	1,533.31
—(a)(6) Marking of end-facing exterior windows for Tier III trainsets.	Windows are, custo		narked during the prod al burden to mark the v		nerefore, there
—(b) Cab Glazing; side-facing exterior windows in Tier III cab—Each end- facing exterior window in a cab shall, at a minimum, provide ballistic penetration resistance that meets the requirements of appendix A to part 223 (Certification of Glazing Materials).	3 glass manufactur- ers.	.33 analysis	10 hours	3.30	255.55
—(b) Marking of side-facing exterior windows in Tier III Trainsets.	Windows are, custo	marily, automatically r will be no addition	narked during the prod al burden to mark the v	uction process. Th vindows.	nerefore, there
—(c) Non-Cab Glazing; Side-facing ex- terior windows—Tier III—compliance document for Type II glazing.	3 glass manufactur- ers.	.33 analysis	20 hours	6.60	511.10
—(c) Marking of side-facing exterior windows—Tier III Trainsets—non- cab cars.	Windows are, customarily, automatically marked during the production process. Therefore, the will be no additional burden to mark the windows.				
—(c)(2) Alternative standard to FRA for side-facing exterior window in- tended to be breakable and serve as an emergency window exit (option to comply with an alternative standard).	3 glass manufactur- ers.	.67 alternative anal- ysis.	5 hours	3.35	259.42
238.731(a)—Brake Systems—RR analysis and testing Tier III trainsets' maximum safe operating speed.	Duplicate estimate		ed paperwork burden fo I under §238.111(b).	or this regulatory r	requirement is
—(d) Tier III trainsets' passenger brake alarm—legible stenciling/mark- ing of devices with words "Pas- senger Brake Alarm" (Including the design of the sticker).	1 new railroad	53.33 stencilings	1 hour (design) + 2 minutes (mark- ing).	55.11	3,300.54
 —(f) Main reservoir test/certification —(h) Main reservoir tests—Inspection, testing and maintenance (ITM) plan. 	1 new railroad 1 railroad	.33 certification .33 ITM plan	6 hours 10 hours	1.98 3.30	118.58 255.55
 (j) Brake application/release—Brake actuator design with approved brake cylinder pressure as part of design review process. 	1 railroad	.33 design	40 hours	13.20	1,022.21
 (o) Train securement—Tier III equip- ment: demonstrated securement procedure. 	1 railroad	.33 procedure	8 hours	2.64	204.44
238.733—Interior fixture attachment—Anal- ysis for FRA approval (Tier III).	1 railroad	.33 analysis/docu- ment.	20 hours	6.60	511.10
238.735—Seat crashworthiness standard (passenger & cab crew)—Analysis for FRA approval (Tier III).	1 railroad	.33 analysis/docu- ment.	40 hours	13.20	1,022.21
238.737—Luggage racks—Analysis for FRA approval (Tier III).	1 railroad	.33 analysis/docu- ment.	20 hours	6.60	511.10
238.741—Emergency window egress and rescue access—Plan to FRA for pas- senger cars in Tier III trainsets not in compliance with sections 238.113 or 238.114.	1 railroad	.33 plan	60 hours	19.80	1,533.31
238.743—Emergency Lighting—Analysis for FRA approval (Tier III).	1 railroad	.33 analysis/test	60 hours	19.80	1,533.31

REPORTING BURDEN—Continued

CFR Section ²	Respondent universe	Total annual responses	Average time per responses	Total annual burden hours	Total cost equivalent ³
238.751—Alerters—Alternate technology— Analysis for FRA approval (Tier III).	1 railroad	.33 analysis/test	40 hours	13.20	1,022.21
Total	34 railroads	4,860,940 Re- sponses.	N/A	95,946	7,149,477

Total Estimated Annual Responses: 4,860,940.

Total Estimated Annual Burden: 95,946 hours.

Total Estimated Annual Burden Hour Dollar Cost Equivalent: \$7,149,477.

Under 44 U.S.C. 3507(a) and 5 CFR 1320.5(b) and 1320.8(b)(3)(vi), FRA informs all interested parties that a respondent is not required to respond to, conduct, or sponsor a collection of information that does not display a currently valid OMB control number. *Authority:* 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520.

Brett A. Jortland,

Deputy Chief Counsel. [FR Doc. 2021–24300 Filed 11–5–21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration

[Docket No. MARAD-2019-0011]

Deepwater Port License Application: SPOT Terminal Services LLC; Correction

AGENCY: Maritime Administration, Department of Transportation, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security.

ACTION: Correcting amendment; notice of availability; notice of public meeting and request for comments.

SUMMARY: On October 29, 2021, the Maritime Administration (MARAD) and the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) announced

³ The dollar equivalent cost is derived from the Surface Transportation Board's 2020 Full Year Wage A&B data series using the appropriate employee group hourly wage rate that includes a 75-percent overhead charge.

the availability of the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS) for the SPOT Terminal Services LLC (SPOT) Deepwater port license application for the export of oil from the United States to nations abroad, announced a virtual public meeting for the SDEIS, and the October 29 notice began a 45-day comment period seeking public participation in the environmental impact review process, provided information on how to participate in the environmental impact review process, directed interested parties to a Notice of Application that summarized the SPOT Deepwater Port License Application published in the Federal Register on March 4, 2019, a Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Notice of Public Meetings that were published in the Federal Register on March 7, 2019. This notice restates the same information and serves only to correct the email address Efrain.Lopez@ dot.gov in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION **CONTACT** section.

DATES: The public meeting will be held virtually, on November 16, 2021, from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. Central Standard Time (CST).

Additionally, materials submitted in response to this request for comments on the SDEIS must be submitted to the *www.regulations.gov* website or the Federal Docket Management Facility as detailed in the **ADDRESSES** section below no later than 45 days after the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) publishes its notice of availability of the SDEIS for the SPOT Deepwater Port License Application in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: The public docket for the SPOT Deepwater Port License Application is maintained by the U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Management Facility, West Building, Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590. Comments on the SDEIS may be submitted to this address and must include the docket number for this project, which is MARAD–2019–0011. The Federal Docket Management Facility's telephone number is 202–366–

9317 or 202–366–9826, the fax number is 202–493–2251.

We encourage you to submit comments electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http:// www.regulations.gov. If you submit your comments electronically, it is not necessary to also submit a hard copy by mail. If you cannot submit material using http://www.regulations.gov, please contact either Mr. Matthew Layman, USCG, or Dr. Efrain Lopez, MARAD, as listed in the following FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Matthew Layman, U.S. Coast Guard, telephone: 202-372-1421, email: Matthew.D.Layman@uscg.mil, or Dr. Efrain Lopez, Maritime Administration, telephone: 202–366–9761, email: *Efrain.Lopez@dot.gov.* For questions regarding viewing the Docket, call Docket Operations, telephone: 202-366-9317 or 202-366-9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: MARAD and USCG will hold one virtual public meeting in connection with the SPOT SDEIS. The virtual public meeting will be held remotely due to the nationwide impacts of the existing public health emergency under Section 319 of the Public Health Service Act in response to Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Further, the President's declaration of a national emergency due to the COVID-19 outbreak, and state and local actions in response to COVID-19, have impacted the public's ability to assemble and provide feedback on the SPOT deepwater port license application through in-person public meetings. The public meeting will be held virtually, on November 16, 2021, from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. Central Standard Time (CST). The public meeting may end later than the stated time, depending on the number of persons who wish to make a comment on the record. Anyone that is interested in attending the virtual public meeting or speaking during the virtual public meeting must register. Registration information is provided in the Virtual Public Meeting and Registration sections of this Notice. Additionally, materials submitted in response to this request for comments on the SDEIS

² The current inventory exhibits a total burden of 4,600,273 hours while the total burden of this notice is 95,946 hours. As part of its review of this ICR renewal, FRA determined some of the previous estimates were initial estimates, outdated, duplicative, or outside the scope of the PRA. For instance, the burdens previously associated with 49 CFR 238.303(g), 238.305(f), and 238.307(e) were significantly adjusted after removing the inspection times from the burden hours. This adjustment is correct because the burden is imposed by the underlying regulation, thus times for the inspection did not arise from this information collection requirement, so it was incorrect to quantify them as costs related to the information collection.