2015 IHS CHR NET Plenary Evaluation Form

(Title of Plenary Session and Speaker Name(s) GO HERE and will be included prior to online survey release)

1. Please rate the following areas:

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
The presenter was knowledgeable about the subject.	○	○	○	○	○
The information presented was clear and easy to understand.	○	○	○	○	○
The presenter was organized and prepared.	○	○	○	○	○
The session goals listed in the program booklet were met by the presenter.	○	○	○	○	○
My knowledge has increased after attending the session.	○	○	○	○	○
I can use this information in my community.	○	○	○	○	○
My personal behavior/lifestyle will change as a result of this session.	○	○	○	○	○

2. My knowledge, skills or abilities have been improved as a result of this session (check all that apply):

☐ Advocacy	☐ Supervision	☐ Monitoring Patients
☐ Evaluation	☐ Role of IHS	☐ CHR Role Identification
☐ Administration	☐ Collaboration	☐ Program Development
☐ Leadership	☐ Communication	☐ Monitoring Patients
☐ Access to Care	☐ Patient Care	☐ CHR Role Identification

3. Please describe the most important thing you learned at this session, how you intend to use it in your work or life, and how you will share it with others who did not attend this session; or feel free to make any other comments.

2013 IHS CHR NET Overall Evaluation Form

1. I am attending the training as a(n):

   ○ Tribal Employee

   ○ Urban Employee

   ○ IHS Employee

   ○ Other Federal Employee

   ○ Contractor

   ○ Other (please specify):

2. How did you learn about the training? (Check all that apply):

   ○ CHR Program Website

   ○ Email

   ○ Colleague

   ○ Listserv

   ○ U.S. Postal Mail

   ○ Fax

   ○ Search Engine

3. How did you register for the meeting?

   ○ Online Registration

   ○ Fax Registration

   ○ Onsite

   ○ Registration

4. The process to register was:

   ○ Easy

   ○ Okay

   ○ Hard

5. The training website was:

   ○ Useful and easy to navigate

   ○ Not that helpful and hard to navigate

   ○ Not applicable

6. The final program booklet was:

   ○ Well organized and easy to use

○ Fragmented and hard to use

○ About right

7. The staff in the registration area was:

○ Pleasant and helpful

○ Unpleasant and not helpful

○ Okay

8. Overall, the meeting session topics presented were:

○ Relevant to my job

○ Not relevant to my job

9. The sessions were:

○ Too long

○ Just about right

○ Too short

10. The general session topics and speakers were:

○ Appropriate and informative

○ Inappropriate and unhelpful

11. The general sessions were:

○ Too long

○ Just about right

○ Too short

12. Overall, the meeting exhibitors/vendors were:

○ Appropriate and informative

○ Inappropriate and unhelpful

13. I had opportunities to network

○ Yes

○ No

14. The meeting theme and design were:

○ Culturally appropriate and conveyed the importance of CHRs

○ Culturally questionable and disregarded the importance of CHRs

○ Somewhere in-between

15. What did you like best about the meeting?

16. What did you like least about this meeting?

17. The meeting signs were:

○ Adequate and helpful

○ Need work for next time

18. Overall, the size and seating of session rooms were:

○ Appropriate and comfortable

○ Needed improvement

19. Overall, the meeting facilities were:

○ Satisfactory

○ Unsatisfactory

20. What specific ways could the meeting be improved?

21. List at least one item you would like to see produced, completed or addressed by the IHS HQ CHR program by the next national meeting.

22. Optional: If you would like a direct response from the CHR Meeting Planning Committee, please feel free to provide your name, employer, and contact information (phone, e-mail, address):

Thanks for helping us identify ways to improve training efforts!