

Administration for Children and Families Uniform Project Description (UPD)

**OMB Information Collection Request
0970 - 0139**

Supporting Statement Part A - Justification

February 2022

Submitted By:
Administration for Children and Families
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Summary

This request is for an extension with no changes to the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) Uniform Project Description (UPD), which is standard language used to collect application information in response to notices of funding opportunities. ACF is working on updates to the UPD, with input from relevant stakeholders, and will submit a revision request within the next few months.

1. Circumstances Making the Collection of Information Necessary

The Uniform Project Description (UPD) is standard language and sections available for use by Administration for Children and Families (ACF) program offices to solicit the required project description and project budget information from applicants for specific funding opportunities. Applications are required for project grants and cooperative agreements as prescribed by HHS regulations 45 CFR § 75.203. The UPD provides a selection of text options for the program offices to choose from, which are used to communicate the application requirements for a specific grant or cooperative agreement notice of funding opportunity (NOFO), as required by 45 C.F.R. § 75.203, and Appendix I to Part 75 – Full Text of Notice of Funding Opportunity.

The UPD is fundamental to ACF's competitive award process. It ensures the provision of adequate information to support award decisions. The ability to select the appropriate standard language for a given NOFO reduces the burden of application preparation, by eliminating unnecessary portions of the application for a given announcement. In addition, it provides for consistency and objectivity in the application and review processes required by 45 C.F.R. § 75.204. Attachment A includes relevant excerpts from 45 CFR 75.

ACF is requesting an extension with no changes to the current UPD. The full UPD is included as Attachment B. A list of ACF statutorily mandated programs is provided as Attachment C.

2. Purpose and Use of the Information Collection

ACF program offices, grants management officials, and expert non-federal and federal panel reviewers use the information provided through grant applications to select and award discretionary grants and cooperative agreements. Program offices use the information to ensure the Congressional intent of the authorizing legislation will be implemented through funded grant projects, and that applicant entities are eligible to receive grant funds.

Expert non-federal and federal objective review panelists score the information provided in applications as they evaluate applications in the context of the NOFOs' published criteria to ensure that the best proposed projects are funded.

Grants management officials use the information collected to ensure appropriate federal stewardship of federal grant funds. This includes review of compliance audits, information provided by OMB-designated websites, and, when available, certifications by a Certified Public Accountant that appropriate financial systems are in place and that proposed budgeted project costs are allowable, allocable and reasonable.

3. Use of Improved Information Technology and Burden Reduction

In October 2011 (FY 2012), ACF published a notice in the *Federal Register* (76 Fed. Reg. 6671) requiring electronic submission of grant applications for discretionary FOAs through [the OMB-designated website, Grants.gov](http://www.Grants.gov)¹. The electronic grant application submission process reduces the time and financial burden to the applicant, making the application process more efficient by eliminating delays and costs inherent in a paper-based manual process.

The electronic grant application process involves four functions. First, an interested party uses the *Search Grants* function at Grants.gov to identify a particular public assistance funding opportunity. Second, the application package is downloaded under *Applicants* at Grants.gov. Next, the applicant prepares the application package off-line, or using the Grants.gov *Workspace*, and submits the application package electronically. During this step, the applicant follows the instructions found in the UPD, which is found in *Section IV.2. Content and Form of Application Submission, The Project Description* of the FOA. Last, Grants.gov allows the applicant to track the status of the submitted application using *Track Your Application*.

4. Efforts to Identify Duplication and Use of Similar Information

This is not applicable. Competitive applications are funding opportunity and applicant specific. The UPD provides a common way in which this information is collected to avoid duplicative efforts.

5. Impact on Small Businesses or Other Small Entities

The information requested is selected from the available text options in the UPD and assures the minimum amount needed to comply with program requirements. It cannot be reduced for small entities.

6. Consequences of Collecting the Information Less Frequently

¹ Electronic application submission through Grants.gov is required per 2 CFR Part 200 at 45 CFR Part 75, § 75.206.

Information is submitted once by applicants, in response to a NOFO. NOFOs are issued by ACF annually. If this information is not collected, ACF program offices will not have adequate data to evaluate the proposed projects and select the appropriate grantees. Reduced frequency is not possible as the annual frequency to solicit applications and make grant awards coincides with the annual appropriation of funds by Congress. Furthermore, not collecting applications for competitive projects would be inconsistent with Departmental policy and other authorities.

7. Special Circumstances Relating to the Guidelines of 5 CFR 1320.5

Proprietary trade secrets or other confidential information are addressed at element 10 with excerpts from the HHS Grants Policy Statement.

8. Comments in Response to the Federal Register Notice and Efforts to Consult Outside the Agency

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regulations at 5 CFR Part 1320 (60 FR 44978, August 29, 1995), ACF published a notice in the Federal Register announcing the agency's intention to request an OMB review of this information collection activity. This notice was published on December 23, Volume 86, Number 244, page 72969, and provided a sixty-day period for public comment. No comments were received during the notice and comment period.

The active pool of ACF discretionary grantees includes approximately 3,800 State, Local or Tribal Governments, nonprofit and for-profit organizations, and institutions of higher education.

Because the grant establishes a relationship between ACF and applicants and grantees, consultation with the community is a necessary and ongoing process. Through this relationship, feedback from applicants and grantees on the availability of data, frequency of collection, and clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, reporting format, and the data elements to recorded, disclosed or reported is routinely obtained. This typically occurs through routine inquiries, pre- and post-award activities, and grant closeout and through continuous dialogue between ACF and applicants and grantees.

9. Explanation of Any Payment or Gift to Respondents

There are no payments or gifts to applicants. The only remuneration is the grant payment dispersed to those entities awarded a grant or cooperative agreement.

10. Assurance of Confidentiality Provided to Respondents

Assurances of confidentiality necessary to inform the applicant of project grants and cooperative agreements are located in three specific places, Grants.gov, GrantSolutions.gov (grants management system), and in HHS policy, which is incorporated in the HHS Grants Policy Statement (GPS).

In obtaining a grantee user account, GrantSolutions.gov requires submission of a formal request form that includes a US Government Data Access Request/Security Compliance Statement and the HHS Rules of Behavior for Use of HHS Information Resources.

The HHS GPS provides the consolidated terms and conditions for HHS discretionary awards, including requirements for submitting applications in response to HHS notices of funding opportunities.

Privacy information can be found in the following locations:

- Grants.gov: <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/privacy.html>
- GrantsSolutions.gov: https://www.hhs.gov/web/governance/digital-strategy/it-policy-archive/hhs-rules-of-behavior-for-the-use-of-hhs-information-and-it-resources-policy.html#_ftn1
- HHS Grants Policy Statement:
<https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/grants/grants/policies-regulations/hsgps107.pdf>
 - o See page I-27 for *Use of Application Information*
 - o See page I-29 for *Privacy Act Information*

NOTE: Upon receiving paper-format applications, ACF scans the documents into .PDF format and attaches them to the appropriate Grantsolutions.gov file, after which the original copies are destroyed.

11. Justification for Sensitive Questions

Questions of a sensitive nature are not asked.

12. Estimates of Annualized Burden Hours and Costs

This request is to continue to use the UPD for 46 statutorily mandated ACF programs for project grants and cooperative agreements.

Based on previous experience with applications in response to ACF NOFOs, we estimate an average of 4,170 applications will be submitted annually. On average the burden hours per response is 60 hours. The frequency is once, when the applications are solicited through the NOFO. Therefore, the total hourly burden annually is expected to be 250,200 hours.

Information Collection Title	Annual Number of Respondents	Annual Number of Responses Per Respondent	Average Burden Hours Per Response	Annual Burden Hours	Average Hourly Wage	Total Annual Cost
Uniform Project Description (UPD)	4,170	1	60	250,200	50.18	\$12,555,036

Attachment C displays a list of programs with their associated burden totals.

The respondents to the UPD include a variety of program staff at ACF-related programs. As such, we have based the estimated annualized cost using the wage rate for Community and Social Service Occupations (Bureau of Labor Statistics Occupational Employment and Wage Statistics Job Code 21-0000²). The mean hourly wage is \$25.09. To account for fringe benefits and overhead the rate was multiplied by two which is \$50.18.

13. Estimates of Other Total Annual Cost Burden to Respondents and Record Keepers

There are no direct (incremental) monetary costs to respondents other than their time to prepare the applications.

14. Annualized Cost to the Federal Government

The competitive objective review is performed by non-federal reviewers and/or federal reviewers, which incurs costs to the Federal Government with, or without, the UPD. The UPD reduces the amount of time for federal employees to develop NOFOs, and therefore provides a savings to the federal government. It is estimated that, on average, there are 20 hours of labor on the part of government employees to develop the request package (NOFO). The average annual number of applications is 4,170, which equates to 83,400 hours of staff time. Based on an average of \$50 per hour, in monetary terms this equates to \$4,170,000.

15. Explanation for Program Changes or Adjustments

There are no changes proposed to the UPD at this time. ACF is working on updates and plans to submit a revision request this year (FY22).

16. Plans for Tabulation and Publication and Project Time Schedule

² <https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes210000.htm>

Information in grant applications will not be published. The disclosure of information in grant applications is regulated by, and subject to, The Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and The Privacy Act of 1974.

The most significant exemptions from disclosure of grant application information are 4 and 5 in the FOIA. Exemption 4 protects from public disclosure of two types of information: trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained that is privileged or confidential. Exemption 5 applies to internal government documents and permits the withholding of internal recommendations, advisory opinions, and materials used for evaluation.

17. Reason(s) Display of OMB Expiration Date is Inappropriate

There will be no exceptions to the practice of displaying the expiration date.

18. Exceptions to Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

There are no exceptions.