STUDY: Drivers' Use of Camera-Based Rear Visibility Systems Versus Traditional Mirrors

STERLING IRB ID: 7786-EMazzae

DATE OF IRB REVIEW: 02/03/20

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act, a Federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a current valid OMB Control number. The OMB Control Number for this information collection is 2127-NEW (expiration date: MM/DD/YYYY). The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) has proposed to perform research involving the collection of information from the public as part of a multi-year effort to learn about drivers' use of camera-based rear visibility systems as compared to their use of traditional vehicle outside mirrors. This research will support NHTSA in evaluating whether to pursue a regulation modification that would permit technologies other than mirrors, such as camera-based visibility systems (sometimes referred to as camera monitor systems (CMS)), for compliance with FMVSS No. 111. The average amount of time to complete the survey is 20 minutes. All responses to this collection of information are voluntary. If you have comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden send them to Information Collection Clearance Officer, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave, S.E., Washington, DC, 20590.

Post-drive Questionnaire: Drive with Camera-Monitoring System

Answer the following questions thinking about the rear visibility technology that you just experienced. For each question, please respond using the rating of a scale of 1 to 7, in which 1 = Strongly Disagree and 7 = Strongly Agree.

1. It was e	easy to use the o	camera-based s	system while dri	ving.		
$\overset{1}{\bigcirc}$	2	3	4	5	6	7
Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Somewhat Agree	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree
2. I was co	omfortable with	n the physical l	ocation of the ca	amera-based d	isplays.	
$\overset{1}{\bigcirc}$	²	$\overset{3}{\bigcirc}$	4	5	6	7
Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Somewhat Agree	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree
3. The phy	ysical size of th	e cameras was	acceptable.			
$\overset{1}{\bigcirc}$	2	3	4	5	6	7
Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neither Disagree nor	Somewhat Agree	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree

STUDY: Drivers' Use of Camera-Based Rear Visibility Systems Versus Traditional Mirrors

STERLING IRB ID: 7786-EMazzae

DATE OF IRB REVIEW: 02/03/20

Agree

	size of the came or too small)?	ra-based displa	ays was not acce	ptable, what w	as wrong with it	(e.g., was it
$\overset{1}{\bigcirc}$	2	3	4	5	6	7
Far too small	Moderately too small	Slightly too small	Neither too small nor too large	Slightly too large	Moderately too large	Far too large
4. The si	ze of the field of	f view displaye	ed by the camera	-based system	was acceptable.	
$\overset{1}{\bigcirc}$	2	3	4	5	6	7
Strongly Diagree	Moderately Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Somewhat Agree	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree
4a. If the stoo small)		of view was no	ot acceptable, wh	nat was wrong	with it (e.g., was	s it too large or
$\overset{1}{\bigcirc}$	2	3	4	5	6	7
Far too small	Moderately too small	Slightly too small	Neither too small nor too large	Slightly too large	Moderately too large	Far too large
5. It was	easy to incorpor	rate the camera	a-based displays	into my norma	al driving eye gla	ance patterns.
$\overset{1}{\bigcirc}$	2	3	4	5	6	7
Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Somewhat Agree	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree
6. The in	nage displayed (image quality)	by the camera-l	oased system w	vas clear.	
$\overset{1}{\bigcirc}$	$\stackrel{2}{\bigcirc}$	$\overset{3}{\bigcirc}$	4	5	6	7
Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neither Disagree nor	Somewhat Agree	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree

STUDY: Drivers' Use of Camera-Based Rear Visibility Systems Versus Traditional Mirrors

STERLING IRB ID: 7786-EMazzae

DATE OF IRB REVIEW: 02/03/20

Agree

7. Objects displayed by the camera-based system appeared distorted.

$\overset{1}{\bigcirc}$	$\overset{2}{\bigcirc}$	$\overset{3}{\bigcirc}$	$\overset{4}{\bigcirc}$	$\overset{5}{\bigcirc}$	$\overset{6}{\bigcirc}$	$\overset{7}{\bigcirc}$
Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Somewhat Agree	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree

8. I could easily visually focus on objects displayed by the camera-based system.

$\stackrel{1}{\bigcirc}$	$\overset{2}{\circ}$	\circ	$\overset{4}{\bigcirc}$	\circ	0	Ó
Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Somewhat Agree	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree

STUDY: Drivers' Use of Camera-Based Rear Visibility Systems Versus Traditional Mirrors

STERLING IRB ID: 7786-EMazzae

DATE OF IRB REVIEW: 02/03/20

9.	I could easily discern	other vehicles within the	cameras' displayed images.
----	------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------

$\overset{1}{\bigcirc}$	$\overset{2}{\bigcirc}$	\bigcirc^3	$\overset{4}{\bigcirc}$	$\overset{5}{\bigcirc}$	$\overset{6}{\bigcirc}$	7
Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Somewhat Agree	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree
10. I was	comfortable witl	n the brightnes	s of the camera-	based system's	s visual display.	
$\overset{1}{\bigcirc}$	2	$\overset{3}{\bigcirc}$	4	5	6	7
Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neither Disagree nor	Somewhat	Moderately	Strongly

Agree

11. Outside light conditions negatively affected the quality of the image displayed by the camera- based system.

\bigcap^{1}	$\stackrel{2}{\bigcirc}$	$\stackrel{3}{\bigcirc}$	4	5	6	7 O
Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Somewhat Agree	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree

12. Environmental conditions (e.g., sun, clouds) made it difficult to use the camera-based system.

$\stackrel{1}{\bigcirc}$	$\overset{2}{\bigcirc}$	$\overset{3}{\bigcirc}$	4	5 ○	$\overset{6}{\bigcirc}$	7
Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Somewhat Agree	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree

OMB Control Number: 2127-NEW

Expiration Date: STUDY: Drivers' Use of Camera-Based Rear Visibility Systems Versus Traditional Mirrors STERLING IRB ID: 7786-EMazzae DATE OF IRB REVIEW: 02/03/20 13. I felt comfortable using the camera-based system to make lane changes to the left. 6 \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc 0 Strongly Moderately Somewhat Neither Somewhat Moderately Strongly Disagree Disagree Disagree Disagree nor Agree Agree Agree Agree 14. I felt comfortable using the camera-based system to make lane changes to the right. 6 1 \bigcirc Moderately Somewhat Neither Somewhat Moderately Strongly Strongly Disagree Disagree Disagree Disagree nor Agree Agree Agree Agree 15. I could accurately judge the distance to objects behind me on the left using the camerabased system. 1 Strongly Moderately Somewhat Neither Somewhat Moderately Strongly Disagree Disagree Disagree Disagree nor Agree Agree Agree Agree 16. I could accurately judge the distance to objects behind me on the right using the camerabased system. 3 4 5 6 1 Strongly Moderately Somewhat Neither Somewhat Moderately Strongly Disagree Disagree Disagree Disagree nor Agree Agree Agree

$\overset{1}{\bigcirc}$	$\overset{2}{\bigcirc}$	$\overset{3}{\bigcirc}$	4	5 O	6	7
Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Somewhat Agree	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree

Agree