OMB Control # xxxx-xxxx and Expiration Date: xx/xx/xxxx

#### JCAMP CIP DATA CAPACITY SURVEY

**TITLE:** Judicial, Court, and Attorney Measures of Performance (JCAMP) Study

PROTOCOL NO.: None

IRB Protocol #

**SPONSOR:** Children's Bureau, ACF DHHS

**INVESTIGATORS:** Alicia Summers, PhD, MS

682 Talus Way Reno, Nevada 89503

**United States** 

Sophia Gatowski, PhD, MA

2-6988 177 Street Surrey, BC V3S 2K1

Canada

STUDY-RELATED

**PHONE NUMBER(S):** Alicia Summers, Ph.D.

(775) 686-8545

Sophia Gatowski, Ph.D.

(604) 807-4617

The Capacity Building Center for Courts has received Children's Bureau funding to review existing child welfare court performance measures, with the goal of developing a set of measures which not only builds on lessons learned from past performance measurement, but also incorporates the best and latest thinking on judicial, attorney and court performance measures for child welfare cases.

Determining the extent to which different measurement categories are currently being captured will be extremely important to this project, as it will assist in defining and prioritizing measures, and indicate areas where courts may be challenged in collecting data and require more guidance. To obtain the most up-to-date information about performance measurement, you have been invited to complete a survey about the collection of judicial, attorney and court performance measures in child welfare cases in your jurisdiction. The survey asks you about your current court performance measurement capacities.

The survey should take 50 minutes to complete. Your participation in the survey is voluntary. You may refuse to take part in the research or exit the survey at any time without penalty or loss of otherwise entitled benefits. You may decline to answer any question you do not wish to answer for any reason. There are no foreseeable risks involved in participating in the survey. Your alternative is to not participate. You will receive no direct benefits from participating in this research study. However, your responses will help us learn more about child welfare court data capacity in your state.

Your survey responses will be sent to a link on the Qualtrics survey platform where data will be stored in a password protected electronic format. People overseeing the study such as the IRB may review records. Survey responses you provide may be associated with the name of your state and included in a report shared within the Children's Bureau and the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) and may be

shared in public reports, articles, and presentations. Information from this study may be securely shared with qualified researchers to help guide future research and support program improvement.

Your responses to this survey will remain private to the extent permitted by law.

If you have questions or concerns, or complaints about the survey or study, or to report a research-related problem, you may contact Co-Principal Investigators Dr. Alicia Summers and Dr. Sophia Gatowski.

#### **Co-Principal Investigator**

Alicia Summers, Ph.D. Data Savvy Consulting 682 Talus Way, Reno, NV 89503 (775) 686-8545

#### **Co-Principal Investigator**

Sophia Gatowski, Ph.D. Systems Change Solutions Inc. 2-6988 177 Street, Surrey, BC., Canada, V3S 2K1 (604) 807-4617

This research is being overseen by WCG IRB. An IRB is a group of people who perform independent review of research studies. You may talk to them at 855-818-2289 or <a href="mailto:researchquestions@wcgirb.com">researchquestions@wcgirb.com</a> if:

0	You have questions, concerns, or complaints that are not being answered by the
research team.	

O You are not getting answers from the research team.

O You cannot reach the research team.

O You want to talk to someone else about the research.

O You have questions about your rights as a research subject.

estimated to average 50 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB number and expiration date for the described collection are OMB #: xxxx-xxxx, Exp: xx/xx/20xx. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Dr. Alicia Summers; <a href="mailto:alicia.d.summers@gmail.com">alicia.d.summers@gmail.com</a> and Dr. Sophia Gatwoski; <a href="mailto:sgatowski@ymail.com">sgatowski@ymail.com</a>.

Please select "agree" or "disagree" below. You may print a copy of this consent form for your records. Clicking on the "Agree" button indicates that:

- You have read the above information;
- You voluntarily agree to participate;
- You are 18 years of age or older

□Agre	e □Disagree
Thank :	you in advance for your participation and input!
1.	Capacity For CIPs, in what state or U.S. territory do you currently work?  For title IV-E tribes or Tribal Court Improvement Programs, in what tribe do you work?
3.	Do you have a statewide court case management system? A statewide court case management system means you have an electronic case management system operated by the courts that is the same in all the jurisdictionsYes No

5. How easy is it to change or add data fields to your system? That is, if you wanted to add a variable to the system (like ICWA applicability yes/no), how simple or hard is that process to complete. Very easy might mean that the system is flexible and adding fields is inexpensive and

4. Do you have localized or jurisdiction specific electronic court case management systems? *This* 

some sites have different court software than others. \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_ No

would include if jurisdictions all run the same program but they are not connected statewide or if

easy for IT to do. Very difficult may mean that the system is expensive to make changes to and that all changes take lengthy amount of time to complete.

Very easy
Easy
Somewhat easy
Neither easy nor
difficult
Somewhat difficult
Difficult
Very difficult

6. What is the approval process like for making changes for the case management system?

It is fairly quick and easy.
It takes a moderate amount of time to get
approval
It is challenging and lengthy to get
approval for changes.
Don't know
Other (please specify)

7.	Can you query your court case n	nanagement syst	em? That is, are	you abl	e to build	l reports	fron
	the case management system on	some (or all) da	ta of interest? _		_Yes		No
8.	Does your system track whether	the case is an IC	CWA case?		_Yes		No
	Other:						
9.	Does your system track race/eth	nicity of the pare	ents?	_Yes		No	
10.	Does your system track race/eth	nicity of the chil	d?	_Yes		No	
11.	Do you have a system or process	s in place for you	ır court case ma	nagemei	nt system	to share	data
	with the agency?	Yes	No				

## Court Performance Measures- Toolkit

We are interested in learning more about whether you currently collect data on the court performance measures identified in the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Child Abuse and

Neglect Court Performance Measures (Toolkit). The Toolkit was released in 2008 and has recommended court performance measures related to safety, permanency, timeliness, and due process.

For each measure, please indicate if your statewide court case management systems collects the data, if the statewide system can report on it, if your local systems collect the data (if any can do it, mark yes), your local systems can report (if any can, mark yes), if you collect this data in another method (e.g., through file review, court observation, surveys, etc.) and if the agency reports this type of data to you. For all items EXCEPT the agency provides data to the court, mark ONLY if the court collects the data in some way. Please check all that apply.

Please note: We are most interested in data that is collected as a field or value in your system. If your system allows you to scan in entire documents and the information would be in the document, that is not the same as if your system has a field where the data are entered. We are looking for the data field specifically.

#### 12. Safety

	statewide	statewide	local	local	data	agency	no data
	system	system can	system	system can	collected	provides	collection
	collects	report	collects	report	from	data to	of this
					other	court	item
					court		
					methods		
Maltreatment							
while under							
court							
jurisdiction							
Maltreatment							
after exiting							
court							
jurisdiction							
(Reentry into							
care)							

#### 13. Permanency

	statewide	statewide	local	local	data	agency	no data
	system	system can	system	system can	collected	provides	collection
	collects	report	collects	report	from	data to	of this
					other	court	item
					court		
					methods		
Achievement of							
Permanency; %							
Discharged to							
Specific							
Permanency							
Outcomes (e.g.,							

reunification)				
Children Not				
Achieving				
Permanency (%				
of children aging				
out of foster				
care)				
Children Moved				
While Under				
Court				
Jurisdiction				
(e.g., number of				
placement				
moves)				
Re-entry into				
foster care after				
return home				
Re-entry into				
foster care after				
adoption or				
guardianship				

#### 14. Due Process

	statewide system collects	statewide system can report	local system collects	local system can report	data collected from	agency provides data to	no data collection of this
	Concess	report	Conceis	Тероге	other	court	item
					court methods		
Number of							
Judges Per Case							
Service of							
Process to							
Parties							
Early							
Appointment of							
Advocates for							
Children							
Early							
Appointment of							
Counsel for							
Parents (e.g.,							
when attorneys							
appointed)							
Advance Notice							
of Hearings to							
Parties							
Advance Written							
Notice of							

Hearings to				
Foster Parents,				
Preadoptive				
Parents, and				
Relative				
Caregivers				
Presence of				
Advocates				
During Hearings				
Presence of				
Parties During				
Hearings				
Continuity of				
Advocates for				
Children				
Continuity of				
Counsel for				
Parents				

### 15. Timeliness

			, ,	1 1	1 .		1.
	statewide	statewide	local	local	data	agency	no data
	system	system	system	system can	collected	provides	collection
	collects	can	collects	report	from	data to	of this
		report			other	court	item
					court		
					methods		
Time to Permanency							
Placement/Permanency							
(e.g., average median							
days to from petition							
filing to case closure)							
Time to Adjudication							
Time to Disposition							
Hearing							
Timeliness of							
Disposition Hearing							
(e.g., percent held							
within statutory							
requirements)							
Timeliness of Case							
Review Hearings (e.g.,							
percent held within							
statutory requirements)							
Time to First							
Permanency Hearing							
Time to Termination							
of Parental Rights							
Petition Filing							
Time to Termination							

of Parental Rights				
(e.g., final orders for				
both parents)				
Timeliness of				
Termination of				
Parental Rights				
Proceedings (e.g., TPR				
hearings)				
Time from Disposition				
Hearing to				
Termination of				
Parental Rights				
Petition				
Timeliness of				
Adoption Petition				
Timeliness of				
Adoption Proceedings				

### New Categories of Performance of Measurement

A comprehensive literature review of federal child welfare laws, standards of practice for courts and court professionals, and the research literature helped us to identify multiple categories of potential performance measurement in the field. Please tell us if you collect data on any of the following.

For each measure, please indicate if your statewide court case management systems collects the data, if the statewide system can report on it, if your local systems collect the data (if any can do it, mark yes), your local systems can report (if any can, mark yes), if you collect this data in another method (e.g., through file review, court observation, surveys, etc.) and if the agency reports this type of data to you. For all items EXCEPT the agency provides data to the court, mark ONLY if the court collects the data in some way. Please check all that apply.

The categories are broad. The definitions in parenthesis below are meant to give an idea of what the category contains. If you collect something that you would classify in this category, please mark it as a yes.

#### 16. Court Judicial Processes

	statewide	statewide	local	local	data	agency	no data
	system	system can	system	system can	collected	provides	collection
	collects	report	collects	report	from	data to	of this
					other	court	item
					court		
					methods		
Judicial							
appointment							
(Structures used							
to appoint judges							
who will hear							
child welfare							

cases)				
Judicial				
assignment				
(Following				
appointment,				
processes used				
to assign a judge				
to hear child				
welfare cases)				
Judicial				
workload				
(Judicial				
resources				
directed towards				
hearing child				
welfare cases)				
Judicial training				
(Training or				
knowledge				
prerequisites for				
judges as well as				
other training				
resources)				
Judicial support				
(Resources to				
help judges				
complete case-				
related activities)				

## 17. Court Attorney Processes

	statewide system collects	statewide system can report	local system collects	local system can report	data collected from other court methods	agency provides data to court	no data collection of this item
Attorney appointment (Processes used to appoint an attorney to a case)							
Attorney workload (Attorney resources directed towards hearing child welfare cases)							

Attorney				
compensation				
(Amount an				
attorney is paid)				
Attorney training				
(Training or				
knowledge				
prerequisites for				
attorneys as well				
as other training				
resources)				

## **18. Court Structure**

	statewide system collects	statewide system can report	local system collects	local system can report	data collected from other court methods	agency provides data to court	no data collection of this item
Court docketing/calendaring (Processes used to schedule court hearings)							
Court environment (Facilities and conditions at the court hearings)							
Data transparency/CQI processes (Activities by the court to collect and analyze operational data to assess their own performance)							
Court planning (Preparation and activities to ensure continuity of court)							
Additional legal/advocacy supports (Appointment of supports outside judge and attorney framework)							

## 19. Court Collaboration with Child Welfare System Stakeholders

	statewide system collects	statewide system can report	local system collects	local system can report	data collected from other court methods	agency provides data to court	no data collection of this item
Cross-system							
communication							
(Communication							
involving court							
and system							
partners )							
Cross-system							
activities (Joint							
activities							
between court							
and system							
partners, e.g.,							
training,							
designing							
interventions) Information							
sharing (Court							
and system partners							
collaboration							
regarding							
aggregate data							
on system							
performance and							
child wellbeing)							
Shared							
accountability							
(Court and							
system partners							
identification of							
shared goals and							
the means to							
measure them)							

#### **Practices**

This page focuses on practices of judges and attorneys both inside and outside of court. For these measures, we are very interested if you have collected data on them to explain how you measured/defined the construct.

## **20.** Judge Activities (Outside of Hearings)

	statewide	statewide	local	local	data	agency	no data
	system	system can	system	system can	collected	provides	collection
	collects	report	collects	report	from	data to	of this
	Concess	report	Concess	report	other	court	item
					court	court	Item
					methods		
Activities							
outside of							
hearings (Case-							
related activities							
the judge does to							
prepare for, and							
follow-up on							
hearings)							
Collaborative							
activities							
(Activities by							
judge involving							
collaboration							
with system							
partners)							
Administrative							
activities							
(Activities to							
ensure the							
efficient court							
operation)							
Judicial training							
(Training							
received by the							
judge)							

1. If you answered YES, that you collect data on Judicial Activities (Outside of Hear)	<b>ngs)</b> , can
you please identify how you have measured this.	

# 22. Attorney Activities (Outside of Hearings)

stat syst		ntewide stem can	local system	local system can	data collected	agency provides	no data collection
coll	lects rep	port	collects	report	from	data to	of this
				_	other	court	item
					court		

	I		.1 1	
			methods	
Attorney pre-				
petition legal				
practice (pre-				
petition legal				
representation)				
Activities				
outside of				
hearings				
(Activities the				
attorney does to				
prepare for, and				
follow-up on				
hearing)				
Collaborative				
activities				
(Activities by				
attorney				
involving				
collaboration				
with system				
partners )				
Attorney training				
(Training				
received by the				
attorney)				

23. If you answered <b>YES</b> , that you collect data on <b>Attorney Practices (Outside of Hearings</b> ), can
you please identify how you have measured this

# 24. Judge Activities (During Hearings)

	statewide	statewide	local	local	data	agency	no data
	system	system can	system	system can	collected	provides	collection
	collects	report	collects	report	from	data to	of this
					other	court	item
					court		
					methods		
Judicial							
engagement/inquiry							
(Judge's							
interactions with							

parties,							
professionals, and							
other stakeholders							
present at the							
hearing)							
Legal requirements							
met (The judge							
makes required							
findings and orders							
that federal laws							
require)							
Safety decision-							
making (Discussion							
and decisions made							
regarding child							
safety)							
Court decorum							
(Judge conducts an							
orderly and							
efficient hearing)							
Orders Made to							
CW Agency and							
Partners (Orders							
made by the judge							
to child welfare							
agency and partner							
agencies (e.g.,							
schools, juvenile							
justice)							
Discussion of Key							
Issues (The topics							
discussed and level							
at which they are							
discussed in							
hearings)							
25. If you ansv	vered <b>VFS</b> ti	hat vou collec	rt data on 1	Iudge Activiti	es (During)	Hearings)	can vou
25. 11 you allsv	vercu IIIO, li	inat you confec	.c uuta OH J	auge Activiti	cs (During l	i i cui iligo),	cuii you

25.	If you answered <b>YES</b> , that you collect data on <b>Judge Activities (During Hearings),</b> can you
	please identify how you have measured this

## **26.** Attorney Activities (During Hearings)

	statewide system collects	statewide system can report	local system collects	local system can report	data collected from other court methods	agency provides data to court	no data collection of this item
Attorney presence (Attorney is present at the hearing)							
Attorney advocacy (Attorney activities during the hearing to presence evidence and advocate for the client)							
Professional requirements met (Adherence to standards of practice)							

27. If you answered YES, that you collect data on <b>Attorney Activities (During Hearings)</b> , can y	you
please identify how you have measured this	

## 28. Family Experience (During Hearings)

	statewide system collects	statewide system can report	local system collects	local system can report	data collected from other court methods	agency provides data to court	no data collection of this item
Parent and youth access/presence (whether parents and youth attend							
the hearing)							

Family understanding of hearing (Whether family members understand the				
purpose and				
results of the				
hearing)				
Family/				
community				
presence and				
participation				
(whether family				
members,				
including tribal				
representatives,				
attend the hearing)				

## **Intermediate Outcomes During Case**

For each measure, please indicate if your statewide court case management systems collects the data, if the statewide system can report on it, if your local systems collect the data (if any can do it, mark yes), your local systems can report (if any can, mark yes), if you collect this data in another method (e.g., through file review, court observation, surveys, etc.) and if the agency reports this type of data to you. For all items EXCEPT the agency provides data to the court, mark ONLY if the court collects the data in some way. Please check all that apply.

#### 29. Intermediate outcomes during case

	statewide system collects	statewide system can report	local system collects	local system can report	data collected from other court	agency provides data to court	no data collection of this item
					methods		
Visitation/family time (Amount and type of time children spend with parents, siblings, and other relatives )							
Child placement during case (Type and continuity of the child's temporary placements							

during the case)				
Family				
engagement				
during case				
(Family's				
communication				
with the court,				
participation in				
decision-making,				
and participation				
in services and				
in the court				
process during				
the case)				
Child safety				
during case (The				
absence of child				
maltreatment				
during the case )				
Child well-being				
(The child is				
able to grow and				
thrive during the				
case)				

## 30. Prevention

	statewide system collects	statewide system can report	local system collects	local system can report	data collected from other court methods	agency provides data to court	no data collection of this item
Prevention/							
Family							
Preservation							
(Families can							
safely care for							
their children)							

31.	. Do you collect any data (does not have to be statewide) on parent experience with the child
	welfare system?YesNo
32.	. If yes to question 31, please describe

Do you collect any data (does not have to be statewide) on the child's experience with the child
welfare system?YesNo
If yes to question 33, please describe.
Do you collect data on system legitimacy (i.e., the extent to which clients and professionals
believe the system has the right and justification to operate)?YesNo
Do you track and report any of your performances by race and ethnicity? If yes, please explain.
Do you track anything else related to equity in your system (e.g., disability, sexual orientation,
etc.)? If yes, please describe
etc.)? If yes, please describe.
etc.)? If yes, please describe
Those are all of the questions we have for you. Thank you so much for your time. If you would
Those are all of the questions we have for you. Thank you so much for your time. If you would like to make any additional comments about your jurisdiction's capacity to collect and report on