

Project Title:

Lead Scientist:

Date:

Name of the Review Session:

Reviewer ID Number:

PANELIST REVIEW OF ARS RESEARCH PROJECT PLAN

The purpose of this review is to judge the technical merit of the planned research and to make constructive comments for improvement. The focus of this research has been deemed essential by ARS and critical to its mission. Funding will be provided to carry out the proposed research upon the plan's certification. Please respond, using the format provided, to the 3 areas of interest below. It is important to state briefly the rationale for suggestions or questions posed. Comments, clarifications and recommendations may include specific questions you believe should be addressed by the research team.

1. **Adequacy of Approach and Procedures:** Are the hypotheses and subobjectives well-conceived? Are the experiments, analytical methods, and approaches and procedures appropriate and sufficient to accomplish the objectives? How could the approach or research procedures be improved?

Objective 1:

Strengths:

Questions or Recommendations:

Objective 2:

Strengths:

Questions or Recommendations:

Project Title:

Lead Scientist:

Date:

Name of the Review Session:

Reviewer ID Number:

2. Probability of Successfully Accomplishing the Project's Objectives: What is the probability of success in light of the investigator or project team's training, research experience, preliminary data, if available, and past accomplishments? Are the objectives both feasible and realistic within the stated timeframe and with the resources discussed? Do the investigators demonstrate having adequate knowledge of the literature and research field as it relates to the proposed project plan?

Project Title:

Lead Scientist:

Date:

Name of the Review Session:

Reviewer ID Number:

3. Merit and Significance: Are the project objectives relevant to the stated research goals and directions of the corresponding National Program? Will the successful completion of the project enhance knowledge of a scientifically relevant problem? Will the project outcomes lead to the development of new knowledge and/or technology? Are you aware of any other data/studies relevant to this research effort? If applied research, comment on the value of the research to its customers and stakeholders.

Project Title:

Lead Scientist:

Date:

Name of the Review Session:

Reviewer ID Number:

Additional Comments or Suggestions:

Public Burden Statement: According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0518-0028. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 5 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Office of Scientific Quality Review
5601 Sunnyside Avenue, Beltsville, Maryland 20705
301-504-3282