

B. New Business

- Auditors' Report on FCA FY 2008/2007 Financial Statements
- Registration of Loan Originators Under the Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008

C. Reports

- OE Quarterly Report

Closed Session *

- Update on OE Oversight Activities

Dated: January 5, 2009.

Roland E. Smith,

Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board.

[FR Doc. E9-121 Filed 1-5-09; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6705-01-P

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION**Sunshine Act Notices**

AGENCY: Federal Election Commission.

DATE AND TIME: Thursday, January 8, 2009, at 10 a.m.

PLACE: 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC (Ninth Floor).

STATUS: This meeting will be open to the public.

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:

Correction and Approval of Minutes. Management and Administrative Matters.

Individuals who plan to attend and require special assistance, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact Mary Dove, Commission Secretary, at (202) 694-1040, at least 72 hours prior to the hearing date.

DATE AND TIME: Friday, January 9, 2009, at 10 a.m.

PLACE: 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC.

STATUS: This meeting will be closed to the public.

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:

Compliance matters pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 437g.

Audits conducted pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 437g, § 438(b), and Title 26, U.S.C.

Matters concerning participation in civil actions or proceedings or arbitration.

Internal personnel rules and procedures or matters affecting a particular employee.

PERSON TO CONTACT FOR INFORMATION:

Robert Biersack, Press Officer,
Telephone: (202) 694-1220.

Darlene Harris,

Deputy Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. E8-31465 Filed 1-6-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6715-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention****Notice Regarding Revisions to the Laboratory Protocol To Measure the Quantity of Nicotine Contained in Smokeless Tobacco Products Manufactured, Imported, or Packaged in the United States**

AGENCY: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Department of Health and Human Services.

ACTION: Notice and Summary of Public Comments.

SUMMARY: This notice amends the uniform protocol for the analysis of nicotine, total moisture, and pH in smokeless tobacco products ("Protocol"). The Protocol, originally published in the *Federal Register* in 1999 (64 FR 14086) and revised in the *Federal Register* on March 14, 2008 (73 FR 13903), implements the requirement of the Comprehensive Smokeless Tobacco Health Education Act (CSTHEA) of 1986 (15 U.S.C. 4401 *et seq.*, Pub. L. 99-252) that each person manufacturing, packaging, or importing smokeless tobacco products shall annually provide the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) with a specification of the quantity of nicotine contained in each smokeless tobacco product. CDC re-published the notice in the *Federal Register* on June 23, 2008 (73 FR 35395) concerning the revision of the Protocol (1) To make a technical change to correct the date when the first report of information under the revised Protocol is due and (2) to solicit public comments concerning a change in the Protocol that increased the volume of water in the pH determination from 10 mL to 20 mL, and (3) to solicit public comments concerning the addition of the following commercial smokeless tobacco product categories: dry snuff portion packs, snus, snus portion packs, and pellet or compressed. This Notice also includes a summary of public comments and CDC's response to them.

The Protocol as published in the *Federal Register* on March 14, 2008 (73 FR 13903), remains in effect with the technical correction to the date as

described in the *Federal Register* notice published on June 23, 2008 (73 FR 35395).

DATES: First report of information due June 30, 2009, with subsequent submissions due by March 31 of each year.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT:

Matthew McKenna, M.D., Director, Office on Smoking and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Telephone: (770) 488-5701.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Since the implementation of the Protocol in 1999, several smokeless tobacco product categories have entered the U.S. smokeless tobacco market including snus, low moisture snuff sold in portion pouches, and smokeless tobacco sold in a compressed, pellet form. Some of the new smokeless tobacco product categories differ physically from previous smokeless tobacco categories, prompting a revision to the Protocol to reflect the current state of the marketplace.

Through its review of the Protocol, CDC also determined that an increase in volume of deionized, distilled water would facilitate measurements of pH values. After evaluating information that was brought to the attention of CDC regarding low moisture smokeless tobacco products packaged in portion pouches, CDC conducted an independent comparison of pH measurements in a wide variety of low and high moisture smokeless tobacco products. The results of the comparison indicated an acceptable (less than 2%) level of change in pH values when measurements were taken with 20 mL deionized, distilled water compared to the volume of deionized, distilled water specified in the previous Protocol. Increasing the volume of water in the mixture ensured that the matrix was sufficiently fluid to facilitate ease of measure. Thus, it is anticipated that the change in the volume of liquid for pH determination will facilitate the ease of measure of smokeless tobacco pH for all currently marketed smokeless tobacco categories (i.e., plug, twist, moist snuff, dry snuff, snus, loose leaf, chew, moist snuff in portion pouches, smokeless tobacco compressed into a pellet, and dry snuff in portion pouches).

Summary of Public Comments and CDC's Response: On June 23, 2008, a notice (73 FR 35395) was published reflecting the above discussed revisions to the Protocol and to solicit public comment on these specific changes. Six comments were received by the CDC, a majority of which suggested alternative approaches. A summary of the

* Session Closed—Exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(8) and (9).

comments received and CDC's response follows.

One commenter expressed a concern for the Federal funding and overall direction of the "smokeless tobacco program."

The issues raised in this comment were beyond the scope of the Protocol and solicitation of public comment.

One commenter, on behalf of several smokeless tobacco manufacturers, agreed with the proposed revision of Section IV(B) (see below for Protocol) of the Protocol to increase the volume of deionized, distilled water to be used in pH measurements from 10mL to 20mL.

One commenter, on behalf of several smokeless tobacco manufacturers, suggested that "some flexibility be incorporated into Section IV(B) of the Protocol by providing that, as long as a minimum of 20 mL of liquid and 2 grams of sample are utilized, then larger amounts of liquid and sample may be utilized provided they are in a 10 to 1 ratio."

CDC appreciated the suggestion that there be flexibility in adjusting the quantity of liquid and sample so long as the ratio of liquid to sample is 10 to 1. In evaluating this suggestion, CDC determined that adopting such a change would deviate from principles of good scientific practice as it does not promote protocol consistency, contrary to the aims of a uniform analytical protocol. According to the Cooperative Centre for Scientific Research Relative to Tobacco (CORESTA), a central organization responsible for promoting tobacco-related cooperative research, "[t]he development of standard methods is critically important in ensuring consistency and comparability of data reported by the association members and as part of regulatory reporting of data." [Further details on CORESTA's viewpoint and its objectives are available online at [http://www.coresta.org/Home_Page/PresentationCORESTA\(Oct08\).pdf](http://www.coresta.org/Home_Page/PresentationCORESTA(Oct08).pdf).] As the fundamental purpose of the Protocol is to implement a multi-site testing protocol, CDC concluded that the development of a uniform analytical protocol is paramount to ensuring sound scientific efforts.

One commenter, on behalf of several smokeless tobacco manufacturers, raised the following point regarding the categorization of smokeless tobacco products in Section I(F) of the Protocol:

"* * * many of these separate product 'categories' are essentially identical smokeless tobacco products for the purposes of sample preparation (e.g., Moist snuff and snus; Moist snuff portion packs and snus portion packs) * * * since a number of smokeless tobacco manufacturers have stated

that they are developing new or 'innovative' smokeless tobacco products, an approach that creates a new 'category' and sample preparation instruction every time a smokeless tobacco product is introduced with a different name or description will result in a proliferation of smokeless tobacco product 'categories' and a need to constantly revise the Protocol to add new sample preparation instructions. Such revisions would trigger a notice and comment process under the Administrative Procedure Act."

CDC made the determination to include the four newly listed categories after having reviewed the number and types of smokeless tobacco products that had entered the market since 1999. In this review, CDC concluded that several new products would benefit from a separate categorization to not only better aid manufacturers in distinguishing their products in this protocol, but also reflect the variety of products being sold to and recognized by consumers. This review also determined that in the years since the implementation of the Protocol in 1999, the quantities of new products introduced to market requiring separate categorization had been fairly limited; thus, CDC did not believe that constant revisions to the Protocol would be necessary. However, CDC will continue to monitor the introduction of new smokeless tobacco products and provide assistance to reporting entities on the application of the Protocol as needed.

One commenter, on behalf of several smokeless tobacco manufacturers, suggested an alternative approach that would "eliminate, or at the least minimize, the need for new 'categories' and sample preparation instructions."

This alternative proposal suggested that:

"The alternative approach would be to define the smokeless tobacco product categories based on physical characteristics relevant to sample preparation (essentially tobacco particle size and whether tobacco particles are in a pouch), rather than on a manufacturer's package label statement or description * * *"

Three product categories were thus proposed.

If any products did not fall into the three categories, the proposal suggested that:

"* * * in the event that a smokeless tobacco manufacturer or importer believes that a newly marketed smokeless tobacco product does not fit within any of the above categories, then samples should be prepared in a manner compatible with the above sample preparation instructions and the manufacturer or importer should describe the sample preparation procedures used when making its submissions to CDC."

After an evaluation of this alternative approach, CDC concluded that the

current method of categorization is more appropriate for several reasons. First, the current method has been in place since 1999, with no noted difficulties associated with this product categorization. Second, CDC noted that other Federal agencies, such as the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), receive and review information on smokeless tobacco, not on the basis of physical size characteristics, but on these commonly accepted types of categories. Examples can be found in the FTC's "Federal Trade Commission Smokeless Tobacco Report for the Years 2002-2005," available online at <http://www.ftc.gov/reports/tobacco/02-05smokeless0623105.pdf>, or in the USDA Economic Research Service's "Tobacco Situation and Outlook Yearbook", available online at <http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/usda/ers/TBS-yearbook//2000s/2007/TBS-yearbook-01-12-2007.pdf>.

Furthermore, CDC viewed the existing categorization of products by traditional "consumer-oriented" descriptions as useful in easily identifying issues that concern the general consumer and the overall public's health. In contrast, adopting a method of categorization based solely on physical product characteristics would not be beneficial towards that goal.

Finally, during its review of this alternate approach, CDC noted that there are only three existing methods to prepare smokeless tobacco products for analysis in this protocol, despite the varied physical characteristics of currently marketed smokeless tobacco products.

One commenter, on behalf of several smokeless tobacco manufacturers, suggested that "the reporting provision of the FRN be amended to provide the following: (i) The revised Protocol shall take effect January 1, 2009, and (ii) the first report of information pursuant to the revised Protocol is due March 31, 2010, with subsequent submissions due by March 31 of each year. This amendment would afford smokeless tobacco manufacturers a reasonable amount of time to prepare for the implementation of the revised Protocol, and would continue the current practice of manufacturers submitting a full year of data based on a consistent methodology."

For the purposes of this comment, CDC took into consideration a **Federal Register** Notice published in March 2008 (73 FR 13903), which served as public notice about the changes in the Protocol. CDC regarded this duration of notice as sufficient for the first report of information to be due June 30, 2009,

with subsequent submissions due by March 31 of each year, as laid out in the June 23, 2008 **Federal Register** (73 FR 35395).

Collection of Information

This proposed amendment does not call for any new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Dated: December 29, 2008.

James D. Seligman,

Chief Information Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Revised Protocol for Analysis of Nicotine, Total Moisture, and pH in Smokeless Tobacco Products

I. Requirements^{1 2}

A. Reagents³

1. Sodium hydroxide (NaOH), 2N
2. Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)
3. (–)-Nicotine (Fluka 72290) >99% purity^{4 5}
4. Quinoline (Aldrich)
5. Standard pH buffers; 4.01, 7.00, and 10.00
6. Deionized distilled water

B. Glassware and Supplies

1. Volumetric flasks, class A
2. Culture tubes, 25 mm x 200 mm, with Teflon-lined screw caps
3. Pasteur pipettes
4. Repipettors (10 mL and 50 mL)
5. Linear shaker (configured to hold tubes in horizontal position)^{6 7}
6. Weighing dishes, aluminum
7. Teflon-coated magnetic stirring bars
8. Polypropylene containers, 50 mL

C. Instrumentation

1. Robot Coupe Model RSI 2V Scientific Batch Processor
2. Capillary gas chromatograph, Hewlett Packard, Model 6890, with split/splitless injector capability, flame ionization detector, and a capillary column (Hewlett Packard HP–5, Crosslinked 5% PH ME Siloxane, 30 m length x 0.32 mm ID, film thickness 0.25 or 0.52 μm)
3. Orion Model EA 940 pH meter equipped with Orion 8103 Ross combination pH electrode

D. Additional Equipment

Forced-air oven, Fisher Isotemp®, regulated to 99 ± 1.0°C. Suggested dimensions: 18 x 18 x 20 inches.

E. Chromatographic Conditions^{8 9}

1. Detector temperature: 250°C
2. Injector temperature: 250°C
3. Flow rate at 100°C—1.7 mL/min; with split ratio of 40:1¹⁰
4. Injection volume: 2 μl

5. Column conditions: 110–185°C at 10°C min⁻¹; 185–240°C at 6°C min⁻¹, hold at final temperature for 10 min.

F. Sample Preparation¹¹

There are ten different categories of commercial smokeless tobacco products:

1. Dry snuff;
2. Moist (wet) snuff;
3. Moist (wet) snuff portion packs;
4. Plug;
5. Twist;
6. Loose leaf;
7. Dry snuff portion packs;
8. Snus;
9. Snus portion packs; and
10. Pellet or Compressed.

Because of their physical characteristics, some of the ten product categories must be ground (whole or in part) before nicotine, total moisture, and pH analyses can be conducted. The objective of grinding the samples is to obtain a homogeneous sample with particles measuring approximately 4 mm. Grinding to achieve this particle size should take no more than 3 minutes. To ensure proper grinding and an adequate amount of the ground sample for analysis, the minimum sample size of all commercial products to be ground should not be less than 100 grams.

To ensure precision of analyses for nicotine, total moisture, and pH, the samples that require grinding should be ground using a Robot Coupe Model RSI 2V Scientific Batch Processor or its equivalent. This is a variable speed (0 to 3000 RPM) processor. The variable speed motor is required to ensure proper grinding of the tobacco tissues (and in the case of pH determination, the portion pack). Elevated temperatures can result in moisture loss and an underestimated value for moisture content. Hence, care must be taken during grinding to avoid elevated temperatures. The bowl should be cleaned after each grinding to obtain accurate results. Freeze- or cryo-grinding is also an acceptable grinding method.

1. *Dry snuff*: Dry snuff samples do not need to be ground since the product is a powder. The sample must be thoroughly mixed before weighing for nicotine, total moisture, and pH analysis.

2. *Moist (wet) snuff*: Moist (wet) snuff samples do not need to be ground. The sample must be thoroughly mixed before weighing for nicotine, total moisture, and pH analysis.

3. *Moist (wet) snuff portion packs*: The tobacco contents of the moist (wet) snuff portion packs do not need to be ground for nicotine, total moisture, or

pH analysis. The tobacco packaging material (the “pouch”) should be separated from the tobacco and ground to obtain particles measuring approximately 4 mm for pH analysis. The tobacco of the moist (wet) snuff portion pack and the ground pouch are combined and thoroughly mixed before pH analysis.

4. *Plug tobacco*: Break or cut apart plugs and add in portions to grinder at 2000 RPM. Reduce RPM or stop grinding if sample bowl becomes warm. Pulse the Robot Coupe, when needed, to complete grinding. Grind samples until approximately 4 mm in size. The total grinding time should be no more than 3 minutes.

5. *Twist tobacco*: Separate twists, add to grinder and grind at 2000 RPM. Reduce RPM or stop grinding if sample bowl becomes warm. Continue grinding until sample particles are approximately 4 mm in size. The total time for grinding should be no more than 3 minutes.

6. *Loose leaf*: Grind in the same manner as described in 4 and 5 to obtain product with particle size of approximately 4 mm.

7. *Dry snuff portion packs*: The tobacco contents of the dry snuff portion packs do not need to be ground for nicotine, total moisture, or pH analysis. The tobacco packaging material (the “pouch”) should be separated from the tobacco and ground to obtain particles measuring approximately 4 mm for pH analysis. The tobacco of the dry snuff portion pack and the ground pouch are combined and thoroughly mixed before pH analysis.

8. *Snus*: Snus samples do not need to be ground since the product is a powder. The sample must be thoroughly mixed before weighing for nicotine, total moisture, and pH analysis.

9. *Snus portion packs*: The tobacco contents of the snus portion packs do not need to be ground for nicotine, total moisture, or pH analysis. The tobacco packaging material (the “pouch”) should be separated from the tobacco and ground to obtain particles measuring approximately 4 mm for pH analysis. The tobacco of the snus portion pack and the ground pouch are combined and thoroughly mixed before pH analysis.

10. *Pellet or compressed*: Break apart compressed tobacco pellets and add in portions to grinder at 2000 RPM. Reduce RPM or stop grinding if sample bowl becomes warm. Pulse the Robot Coupe, when needed, to complete grinding. Grind samples until approximately 4 mm in size. The total grinding time should be no more than 3 minutes.

II. Nicotine Analysis¹²

A. Calibration Standards

1. Internal Standard (IS)

Weigh 10.00 grams of quinoline, transfer to a 250 mL volumetric flask and dilute to volume with MTBE. This solution will be used for calibration of the instrument for the nicotine calibration curve (II.A.2), for the standards addition assay (II.B), and for preparation of the extracting solution (II.D).

2. Nicotine Calibration Curve

a. Weigh 1.0000 gram of nicotine into a clean, dry 100 mL volumetric flask and dilute to volume with MTBE. This gives a nicotine concentration of 10 mg/mL for the stock solution.

b. Accurately pipette 0.5 mL of IS from stock solution (II.A.1) to five clean, dry 50 mL volumetric flasks. To prepare a nicotine standard corresponding to a concentration of 0.8 mg/mL, pipette exactly 4.0 mL of the nicotine standard (II.A.2.a) to a 50 mL volumetric flask containing the internal standard and dilute to volume with MTBE. To obtain nicotine concentrations equivalent to 0.6, 0.4, 0.2, and 0.1 mg/mL, pipette precisely 3.0, 2.0, 1.0, and 0.5 mL, respectively, of the nicotine standard into the four remaining flasks and dilute to volume with MTBE.

c. Transfer aliquots of the five standards to auto sampler vials and determine the detector response for each standard using gas chromatographic conditions described in I.E.

d. Calculate least squares line for linear equation from these standards by obtaining the ratio of $\text{Area}_{\text{nicotine}} / \text{Area}_{\text{IS}}$. This ratio will be the Y value and the concentration of nicotine will be the X value for determining the linear equation of the line (Equation 1):

Equation 1:

$$Y = a + bX;$$

Where:

X = Concentration of nicotine in mg

Y = $\text{Area}_{\text{nicotine}} / \text{Area}_{\text{IS}}$

a = intercept on the ordinate (y axis)

b = slope of the curve

The final result will be reported in the following units:

Concentration of nicotine = mg of nicotine/gram of tobacco sample.

e. Determine the recovery of nicotine by pipetting 10 mL of the 0.4 mg/mL nicotine standard to a screw capped tube containing 1.0 mL of 2 N NaOH. Cap the tube. Shake the contents vigorously and allow the phases to separate. Transfer an aliquot of the organic phase to an injection vial and

inject. Calculate the concentration of nicotine using the equation of the line in II.A.2.d above. This should be repeated two more times to obtain an average of the three values. The recovery of nicotine can be obtained by using the following equation:

Equation 2:

$$\text{Recovery} = \text{Nicotine}_{\text{calculated}} / \text{Nicotine}_{\text{actual}}$$

B. Standards Addition Assay

Prior to analyzing a smokeless tobacco product for nicotine content, the testing facility must validate the system to verify that matrix bias is not occurring during nicotine extraction. This is done by analyzing the nicotine calibration standards in the same vegetable matrix as the smokeless tobacco. The first time each smokeless tobacco product is tested and whenever a change is made to the product formulation (including a change to the tobacco blend or cultivar), the Standards Addition Assay will be performed, and documentation of its performance and of the nicotine concentrations selected for the standard curve (II.B.2) will be submitted to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

1. Using an analytical balance, accurately weigh 1.000 ± 0.020 gram of the homogeneous, prepared tobacco sample into a culture tube. Repeat this five times for a total of 6 culture tubes containing the smokeless tobacco product. Record the weight of each sample.

2. Prepare a five-point standard curve for the Standards Addition Assay. The standard curve must consist of nicotine concentrations that encompass the range of values expected from adding known concentrations of the nicotine standard (II.A.2.a) to a measured quantity of the smokeless tobacco product (1.000 ± 0.020 gram, described in II.B.1). The sixth culture tube is not supplemented with nicotine and serves as an analytical blank. Allow the samples to equilibrate for 10 minutes.

3. Pipette 5 mL of 2 N NaOH into each tube. Cap each tube. Swirl to wet sample and allow to stand 15 minutes.¹³

4. Pipette 50 mL of extraction solution (II.D.1) into each tube. Cap each tube and tighten.¹⁴

5. Place tubes in rack(s), place racks in linear shaker in horizontal position and shake for two hours.

6. Remove rack(s) from shaker and place in vertical position to allow the phases to separate.

7. Allow the solvent and nicotine supplemented samples and the blank to separate (maximum 2 hours).

8. Transfer aliquots of the five standards and the blank from the extraction tubes to sample vials and determine the detector response for each using gas chromatographic conditions described in I.E.

9. Subtract the $\text{Area}_{\text{nicotine}} / \text{Area}_{\text{IS}}$ of the blank from the $\text{Area}_{\text{nicotine}} / \text{Area}_{\text{IS}}$ of each of the standards.

10. Calculate least squares line for linear equation from the corrected standards as described above (Equation 1) in II.A.2.d. The final corrected result will be reported in the following units: Concentration of nicotine = mg of nicotine/gram of tobacco sample.

11. Determine the recovery of nicotine by pipetting 10 mL of the 0.4 mg/mL nicotine standard to a screw capped tube containing 1.0 mL of 2 N NaOH and 10 mL of extraction solution (II.D.1). Cap the tube and tighten. Shake the contents vigorously and allow the phases to separate. Transfer an aliquot of the organic phase to an injection vial and inject. Calculate the concentration of nicotine using the equation of the line above in II.A.2.d. This should be repeated two more times to obtain an average of the three values. The recovery of nicotine can be obtained by using Equation 2: $\text{Recovery} = \text{Nicotine}_{\text{calculated}} / \text{Nicotine}_{\text{actual}}$.

12. Compare the results of steps II.A.2 and II.B. If they differ by a factor of 10% or more, the recovery of nicotine from the aqueous matrix is not equivalent to recovery from the vegetable matrix of the smokeless tobacco product. In this instance, the nicotine concentration of the smokeless tobacco product must be determined from a nicotine calibration curve prepared from nicotine standards in a vegetable-based matrix.

C. Quality Control Pools

At least two quality control pools at the high and low ends of the expected nicotine values are recommended to be included in each analytical run. The pools should be analyzed in duplicate in every run. The quality control pools should be available in sufficient quantity to last for all analyses of a product.

D. Sample Extraction Procedure¹²

1. Extraction solution is prepared by pipetting 10 mL of the IS from the stock solution (II.A.1) to a 1000 mL volumetric flask and diluting to volume with MTBE.

2. Using an analytical balance, accurately weigh 1.000 ± 0.020 gram of prepared tobacco sample into culture tube and record weight.¹⁵ Sample each smokeless tobacco brand name according to the provided testing frequency schedule.¹⁹ The number of

products sampled should reflect an acceptable level of precision.¹⁶ The test material is to be representative of the product that is sold to the public and therefore should consist of sealed, packaged samples of finished product that is ready for commercial distribution. Samples are to be analyzed in duplicate.

3. Pipette 5 mL of 2 N NaOH into the tube. Cap the tube. Swirl to wet sample and allow to stand 15 minutes.¹³

4. Pipette 50 mL of extraction solution into tube, cap tube and tighten.¹⁴

5. Place tubes in rack(s), place racks in linear shaker in horizontal position and shake for two hours.

6. Remove rack(s) from shaker and place in vertical position to allow the phases to separate.

7. Allow the solvent and sample to separate (maximum 2 hours). Transfer an aliquot from the extraction tube to a sample vial and cap.

8. Analyze the extract using GC conditions as described above (I.E) and calculate the concentration of nicotine using the linear calibration equation. Correct percent nicotine values for both recovery and weight of sample by using Equation 3.¹⁷

Equation 3:¹⁸

$$\text{Nicotine (mg/g)} = \frac{(\text{Area}_{\text{nicotine}}/\text{Area}_{\text{IS}}) - a}{b \times \text{Sample Wt} \times \text{Recovery}}$$

9. Report the final nicotine determination as mg of nicotine per gram of the tobacco product (mg nicotine/gram), to an accuracy level of two decimal places for each brand name (e.g., Skoal Bandits Wintergreen, Skoal Long Cut Cherry, Skoal Long Cut Wintergreen, etc.). All data should include the mean value with a 95% confidence interval, the range of values, the number of samples tested, the number of lots per brand name, and the estimated precision of the mean. Information will be reported for each manufacturer and variety (including brand families and brand variations) and brand name (e.g., Skoal Bandits Wintergreen, Skoal Long Cut Cherry, Skoal Long Cut Wintergreen, etc.).

III. Total Moisture Determination

A. This procedure is a modification of AOAC Method 966.02 (1990) and is referred to as "Total Moisture Determination" because it determines water and tobacco constituents that are volatile at temperatures of $99 \pm 1.0^\circ\text{C}$.

B. Accurately weigh 5.00 grams of the sample (ground to pass ≤ 4 mm screen)²⁰ into a weighed moisture dish and place uncovered dish in oven.²¹ Sample each smokeless tobacco brand name according to the provided testing frequency schedule.¹⁹ The number of products sampled should reflect an acceptable level of precision.¹⁶ The test material is to be representative of the product that is sold to the public and therefore should consist of sealed, packaged samples of finished product that is ready for commercial distribution. Samples are to be analyzed in duplicate.

C. Do not exceed 1 sample/10 sq in (650 sq cm) shelf space, and use only 1 shelf. Dry 3 hr at $99 \pm 1.0^\circ\text{C}$. Remove from oven, cover, and cool in desiccator to room temperature (about 30 min). Reweigh and calculate percent moisture.

D. Report the final moisture determination as a percentage (%), to an accuracy level of one decimal place for each brand name (e.g., Skoal Bandits Wintergreen, Skoal Long Cut Cherry, Skoal Long Cut Wintergreen, etc.). All data should include the mean value with a 95% confidence interval, the range of values, the number of samples tested, the number of lots per brand name, and the estimated precision of the mean. Information will be reported for each manufacturer and variety (including brand families and brand variations) and brand name (e.g., Skoal Bandits Wintergreen, Skoal Long Cut Cherry, Skoal Long Cut Wintergreen, etc.).

IV. pH Measurement^{12 22}

A. Test samples as soon as possible after they are received. Sample each smokeless tobacco brand name according to the provided testing frequency schedule.¹⁹ The number of products sampled should reflect an acceptable level of precision.¹⁶ The test material is to be representative of the

product that is sold to the public and therefore should consist of sealed, packaged samples of finished product that is ready for commercial distribution. Samples are to be analyzed in duplicate.

B. Accurately weigh 2.00 grams of the sample. Place in a 50 mL polypropylene container with 20 mL deionized distilled water.

C. Place Teflon-coated magnetic stirring bar in container and stir mixture continuously throughout testing.

D. Measure pH of sample after a two-point calibration of the pH meter to an accuracy of two decimal places using standard pH buffers (4.01 and 7.00 or 7.00 and 10.00) that will encompass the expected pH value of the smokeless tobacco product.


E. The first time pH values are determined for a smokeless tobacco product, measure the pH of the smokeless tobacco product at 5, 15, and 30 minutes. If there is no systematic variation in pH values with time, all subsequent pH determinations are made at 5 minutes. If there is systematic variation in pH values, continue to measure the pH of the smokeless tobacco product until the pH value is stable and does not vary more than 10% over 15 minutes. Report the final pH value.

F. Report the final pH determination to an accuracy level of two decimal places for each brand name (e.g., Skoal Bandits Wintergreen, Skoal Long Cut Cherry, Skoal Long Cut Wintergreen, etc.). All data should include the mean value with a 95% confidence interval, the range of values, the number of samples tested, the number of lots per brand name, and the estimated precision of the mean. Information will be reported for each manufacturer and variety (including brand families and brand variations) and brand name (e.g., Skoal Bandits Wintergreen, Skoal Long Cut Cherry, Skoal Long Cut Wintergreen, etc.).

G. Estimate the un-ionized (free) nicotine content with the Henderson-Hassel Balch equation (Equation 4), based on measured pH and nicotine content.

Equation 4:

$$\text{pH} = \text{pKa} + \log \frac{[\text{B}]}{[\text{BH}^+]}$$



$$\% \text{ un-ionized (free) nicotine} = \frac{\frac{[\text{B}]}{[\text{BH}^+]}}{\frac{[\text{B}]}{[\text{BH}^+]} + 1} \times 100$$

pKa = 8.02 (CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 1989-1990)

[B] = amount of un-ionized (free) nicotine

[BH⁺] = amount of ionized nicotine

H. Report the final estimated un-ionized (free) nicotine as a percentage (%) of the total nicotine content, to an accuracy level of two decimal places and as mg of un-ionized (free) nicotine per gram of the tobacco product (mg un-ionized (free) nicotine/gram), to an accuracy level of two decimal places for

each brand name (e.g., Skoal Bandits Wintergreen, Skoal Long Cut Cherry, Skoal Long Cut Wintergreen, etc.). All data should include the mean value with a 95% confidence interval, the range of values, the number of samples tested, the number of lots per brand name, and the estimated precision of the

mean. Information will be reported for each manufacturer and variety (including brand families and brand variations) and brand name (e.g., Skoal Bandits Wintergreen, Skoal Long Cut Cherry, Skoal Long Cut Wintergreen, etc.).

Sample calculation:

$$\text{Mean total nicotine} = 10.30 \text{ (mg/g)}$$

$$\text{Mean pH} = 7.50$$

$$\text{pKa} = 8.02$$

$$\text{pH} = \text{pKa} + \log \frac{[\text{B}]}{[\text{BH}^+]}$$

$$7.50 = 8.02 + \log \frac{[\text{un-ionized (free) nicotine}]}{[\text{ionized nicotine}]}$$

$$-0.52 = \log \frac{[\text{un-ionized (free) nicotine}]}{[\text{ionized nicotine}]}$$

$$0.302 = \frac{[\text{un-ionized (free) nicotine}]}{[\text{ionized nicotine}]}$$

$$\% \text{ un-ionized (free) nicotine} = \frac{\frac{[\text{B}]}{[\text{BH}^+]}}{\frac{[\text{B}]}{[\text{BH}^+]} + 1} \times 100$$

$$\% \text{ un-ionized (free) nicotine} = \frac{0.302}{0.302+1} \times 100$$

$$\% \text{ un-ionized (free) nicotine} = 23.20$$

$$\text{Total free nicotine (mg/g)} = \text{total nicotine} \times \frac{\% \text{ un-ionized (free) nicotine}}{100}$$

$$\text{Total free nicotine (mg/g)} = 10.30 \times \frac{23.20}{100}$$

$$\text{Total free nicotine (mg/g)} = 2.39$$

V. Assay Criteria for Quality Assurance

A. Establishing Limits for Quality Control Parameters

All quality control parameters must be determined within the laboratory in which they are to be used. At least 10 within-laboratory runs must be performed to establish temporary confidence intervals for the quality control parameters. Permanent limits should be established after 20 runs and should be reestablished after each additional 20 runs.

B. Exclusion of Outliers from the Calibration Curve¹⁸

The coefficient of determination between $\text{Area}_{\text{nicotine}}/\text{Area}_{\text{IS}}$ and nicotine concentration should be equal to 0.99 or higher. Any calibration standard having an estimated concentration computed from the regression equation (Equation 1) which is different from its actual concentration by a factor of 10% can be excluded from the calibration curve. Up to two concentrations may be excluded, but caution should be used in eliminating values, since bias may be increased in the calibration curve. If an outlier value is eliminated, its duplicate value must also be discarded to avoid producing a new bias. All unknowns must fall within the calibration curve; therefore, duplicate values excluded at either end of the calibration curve will restrict the useful range of the assay.

C. Quality Control Pools and Run Rejection Rules

The mean estimated nicotine concentration in a pool should be compared with the established limits for that pool based on at least 20 consecutive runs. An analytical run should be accepted or rejected based upon the following set of rules adapted from Westgard *et al.* (1981).

1. When the mean of one QC pool exceeds the limit of $\bar{x} \pm 3$ standard deviations (SD), then the run is rejected as out of control. Here, \bar{x} and SD represent the overall mean and standard deviation of all estimated nicotine concentrations for a particular pool in the runs which were used to establish the control limits.

2. When the mean nicotine concentrations in two QC pools in the same run exceed the same direction, then the run must be rejected. The same direction is the condition in which both pools exceed either the $\bar{x} + 2$ SD or the $\bar{x} - 2$ SD limits.

3. When the mean nicotine concentrations in one or two QC pools exceed their $\bar{x} \pm 2$ SD limits in the same direction in two consecutive runs, then both runs must be rejected.

4. When the mean nicotine concentrations in two QC pools are different by more than a total of 4 SD, then the run must be rejected. This condition may occur, for example, when one QC pool is 2 SD greater than the mean, and another is 2 SD less than the mean.

Endnotes

The comments and notes listed below can be described as Good Laboratory Practice guidelines; they are described in detail in this protocol to ensure minimal interlaboratory variability in the determination of nicotine, total moisture, and pH in smokeless tobacco.

¹ This protocol assumes that the testing facility will implement and maintain a stringent Quality Assurance/Quality Control program to include, but not be limited to, regular interlaboratory comparisons, determination of the quality and purity of purchased products, and proper storage and handling of all reagents and samples.

² When a specific product or instrument is listed, it is the product or instrument that was used in the development of this method. Equivalent products or instruments may also be used. Use of trade names is for identification only and does not constitute endorsement by the Public Health Service or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

³ All chemicals, solvents, and gases are to be of the highest purity.

⁴ Companies must ensure that the purity of the nicotine base is certified by the vendor and that the chemical is properly stored. However, nicotine base oxidizes with storage, as reflected by the liquid turning brown. If oxidation has occurred, the nicotine base should be distilled prior to use in making a standard solution.

⁵ A suggested method for the determination of nicotine purity is CORESTA Recommended Method No. 39.

⁶ Horizontal shaking will allow more intimate contact of this three phase extraction. There is a minimal dead volume in the tube due to the large sample size and extraction volume. This necessitates horizontal shaking.

⁷ If a linear shaker is not available, a wrist action shaker using 250 mL stoppered Erlenmeyer flasks can be substituted. Values for nicotine are equivalent to those obtained from the linear shaker.

⁸ After installing a new column, condition the column by injecting a tobacco sample extract on the column, using the described column conditions. Injections should be repeated until areas of IS and nicotine are reproducible. This

will require approximately four injections. Recondition column when instrument has been used infrequently and after replacing glass liner.

⁹ Glass liner and septum should be replaced after every 100 injections.

¹⁰ Most older instruments operate at constant pressure. To reduce confusion, it is suggested that the carrier gas flow through the column be measured at the initial column temperature.

¹¹ The testing facility must ensure that samples are obtained through the use of a survey design protocol for sampling "at one point in time" at the factory or warehouse. The survey design protocol must address short-, medium-, and long-term smokeless tobacco product variability (*e.g.*, variability over time and from container to container of the tobacco product) in a manner equivalent to that described for cigarette sampling in Annex C of ISO Protocol 8243. Information accompanying results for each sample should include, but not be limited to:

For each product—manufacturer and variety (including brand families and brand variations) and brand name (*e.g.*, Skoal Bandits, Skoal Long Cut Cherry, Skoal Long Cut Wintergreen, etc.):

1. Product "category," *e.g.*, loose leaf, plug, twist, dry snuff, moist (wet) snuff, etc.

2. Lot number.

3. Lot size.

4. Number of randomly sampled, sealed, packaged (so as to be representative of the product that is sold to the public) smokeless tobacco products selected (sampling fraction) for nicotine, moisture, and pH determination.

5. Documentation of method used for random sample selection.

6. "Age" of product when received by testing facility and storage conditions prior to analysis.

¹² Extraction of nicotine and pH determination must be performed with reagents and samples at a room temperature of 22–25°C. Room temperature should not vary more than 1°C during extraction of nicotine or pH determination.

¹³ Use non-glass 10 mL repipette for transferring NaOH solution.

¹⁴ Use 50 mL repipette for transferring MTBE.

¹⁵ For dry snuff, use 0.500 ± 0.010 gram sample.

¹⁶ The testing facility is referred to ISO Procedure 8243 for a discussion of sample size and the effect of variability on the precision of the mean of the sample (ISO 8243, 1991).

¹⁷ When analyzing new smokeless tobacco products, extract product without IS to determine if any

components co-elute with the IS or impurities in the IS. This interference could artificially lower calculated values for nicotine.

¹⁸ The calculated nicotine values for all samples must fall within the low and high nicotine values used for the calibration curve. If not, prepare a fresh nicotine standard solution and an appropriate series of standard nicotine dilutions. Determine the detector response for each standard using chromatographic conditions described in I.E.

¹⁹ The testing frequency for each smokeless tobacco brand name (e.g., Skoal Bandits Wintergreen, Skoal Long Cut Cherry, Skoal Long Cut Wintergreen, etc.) is based on the manufacturing duration (refer to table below). Each smokeless tobacco brand name will be sampled and tested for nicotine, total moisture, and pH no fewer than twice and no more than four times during a calendar year.

Manufacturing duration in weeks	Test frequency*
up to and including 4	2
up to and including 28	3
up to and including 52	4

*Use a statistical program to determine random sampling dates based on the total manufacturing duration during a calendar year. Sampling dates should fall on actual manufacturing days for the product when test material that is representative of the product that is sold to the public (consisting of sealed, packaged samples) is available. If a statistically determined sampling date falls on a day that does not meet this criterion, sample the product on the next date that does meet the criteria.

For smokeless tobacco brand names with episodic production during a calendar year, the total number of sampling dates is determined by the sum of the individual test frequencies, not to exceed four. For the purpose of the Protocol, episodic production is defined as manufacturing intervals separated by periods of 30 or more days when the smokeless tobacco brand name is not manufactured.

Example 1: Within a single calendar year a smokeless tobacco brand name is manufactured from January 1 to March 31 and from September 1 to December 15. The testing frequency for the first manufacturing interval is 3 and for the second manufacturing interval is 3. The Protocol allows that each smokeless tobacco brand name be tested for nicotine, total moisture, and pH no more than four times during a calendar year. Therefore, 4 random sampling dates, as described in the footnote to the above table, are determined for the smokeless tobacco brand name. The values for nicotine, moisture, and pH determinations, and unionized (free) nicotine calculations and the

mean of the 4 data points for that smokeless tobacco brand name are reported.

Example 2: Within a single calendar year a smokeless tobacco brand name is manufactured from April 5 to May 3 and from September 1 to December 15. The testing frequency for the first manufacturing interval is 2 and for the second manufacturing interval is 3. The values for nicotine, moisture, and pH determinations, and unionized (free) nicotine calculations and the mean of the 4 data points for that smokeless tobacco brand name are reported.

Example 3: Within a single calendar year a smokeless tobacco brand name is manufactured from January 1 to January 15 and from September 1 to September 22. The testing frequency for the first manufacturing interval is 2 and for the second manufacturing interval is 2. Four random sampling dates are selected to fall within the 6 weeks of manufacturing for the smokeless tobacco brand name. The values for nicotine, moisture, and pH determinations, and unionized (free) nicotine calculations and the mean of the 4 data points for that smokeless tobacco brand name are reported.

²⁰ The method is a modification of AOAC Method 966.02 (1990) in that the ground tobacco passes through a 4 mm screen rather than a 1 mm screen.

²¹ When drying samples, do not dry different products (e.g., moist (wet) snuff, dry snuff, loose leaf) in the oven at the same time since this will produce errors in the moisture determinations.

²² The method is a modification of a method published by Henningfield *et al.* (1995).

References

AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists). Official Methods of Analysis. 966.02: Moisture in Tobacco. (1990) Fifth Edition. K. Helrich (ed). Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Inc., Suite 400, 2200 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22201 USA.

CORESTA (Centre de Coopération pour les Recherches Scientifiques relatives au Tabac). Recommended Method No. 39: Determination of the purity of nicotine and nicotine salts by gravimetric analysis—Tungstosilic acid method. November, 1994. 87–90.

CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. R.C. Weast, D.R. Lide, M.J. Astle, and W.H. Beyer (eds). 70th ed. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press (1989–1990) D–162.

Henningfield, J.E., Radzius A., Cone E.J. (1995). Estimation of available nicotine content of six smokeless tobacco products. Tobacco Control 4:57–61.

ISO (International Organization for Standardization). IOS 8243: Cigarettes—Sampling. (1991). Second Edition. Prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 126, Tobacco and tobacco products.

International Organization for Standardization, Case Postale 56, CH–1211 Genve 20, Switzerland.

Westgard J.O., Barry P., Hunt M., and Groth T. (1981). A multi-rule Shewhart chart for quality control in clinical chemistry. Clinical Chemistry 27:493. [FR Doc. E9–19 Filed 1–6–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

Center for Scientific Review; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2), notice is hereby given of the following meetings.

The meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review, Special Emphasis Panel, Member Conflict: Auditory Neuroscience.

Date: January 22–23, 2009.

Time: 6 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892. (Virtual Meeting)

Contact Person: John Bishop, PhD, Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 5180, MSC 7844, Bethesda, MD 20892, (301) 435–1250, bishopj@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review, Special Emphasis Panel, Epidemiology and Genetics of Aging and Neurodegenerative Diseases.

Date: January 23, 2009.

Time: 12 p.m. to 3 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892. (Telephone Conference Call)

Contact Person: Fungai F. Chanetsa, PhD, Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 3135, MSC 7770, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301–435–1262, chanetsaf@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review, Special Emphasis Panel, Member Conflicts: Alcohol and Toxicology.