## SUPPORTING STATEMENT Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Return of Excise Tax on Undistributed Income of Regulated Investment Companies (RICS) Form 8613 OMB Control No. 1545-1016

### 1. <u>CIRCUMSTANCES NECESSITATING COLLECTION OF INFORMATION</u>

Section 4982 of the Internal Revenue Code imposes an excise tax on a regulated investment company in the amount of 4 percent of the excess, if any, of the required distribution for a calendar year over the distributed amount for such calendar year. Regulations section 55.6011-1 requires that Form 8613 be filed to report and pay this tax.

Form 8613 is also used to make the section 4982(e)(4) election.

#### 2. <u>USE OF DATA</u>

The IRS may use the information contained on Form 8613 to verify that the tax has been figured correctly.

#### 3. <u>USE OF IMPROVED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO REDUCE BURDEN</u>

The agency has no plans at this time to offer electronic filing because of the low filing volume.

### 4. <u>EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION</u>

The information obtained through this collection is unique and is not already available for use or adaptation from another source.

### 5. <u>METHODS TO MINIMIZE BURDEN ON SMALL BUSINESSES OR OTHER</u> <u>SMALL ENTITIES</u>

The collection of information requirement will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

## 6. <u>CONSEQUENCES OF LESS FREQUENT COLLECTION ON FEDERAL</u> <u>PROGRAMS OR POLICY ACTIVITIES</u>

Consequences of less frequent collection on federal programs or policy activities would result in inaccurate figured and computed excise taxes.

### 7. <u>SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRING DATA COLLECTION TO BE</u> INCONSISTENT WITH GUIDELINES IN 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2)

There are no special circumstances requiring data collection to be inconsistent with

guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2).

# 8. <u>CONSULTATION WITH INDIVIDUALS OUTSIDE OF THE AGENCY ON</u> <u>AVAILABILITY OF DATA, FREQUENCY OF COLLECTION, CLARITY OF</u> <u>INSTRUCTIONS AND FORMS, AND DATA ELEMENTS</u>

In response to the *Federal Register* Notice dated April 20, 2022 (87 FR 23696), one public comment was received from Investment Company Institute (ICI). The summary of the comments and the IRS responses are below:

## Form 8613 Return of Excise Tax on Undistributed Income of Regulated Investment Companies (RICS)

| Page | a (170)   |                                      |
|------|---|--------------------------------------|
| no.  | Summary of ICI comment                          | Response to OMB                      |
| 1    | Information Only Filers. Recommended that       | We have referred the comments to the |
|      | Form 8613 include a check box to indicate       | appropriate area for consideration.  |
|      | when the form is filed only for informational   |                                      |
|      | purposes.                                       |                                      |
| Page | Summary of ICI comment                          | Response to OMB                      |
| no.  |   |                                      |
| 2    | Electronic Filing and Digital Signature Relief. | We have referred the comments to the |
|      | Recommended that the IRS expand and make        | appropriate area for consideration.  |
|      | permanent the ability of RICs and their         |                                      |
|      | officers to sign and file electronically Form   |                                      |
|      | 8613.3 That the Modernized e-File (MeF)         |                                      |
|      | system be updated to process Form 8613 (as      |                                      |
|      | well as filing extension requests on Form       |                                      |
|      | 7004). In the interim, until the MeF system     |                                      |
|      | can process the form, it is recommended that    |                                      |
|      | RICs be permitted to submit PDF copies of       |                                      |
|      | the return to a secure IRS email address.       |                                      |
|      | Recommended to provide digital signature        |                                      |
|      | relief for Form 8613, which is typically        |                                      |
|      | signed by the same individual officers of the   |                                      |
|      | RIC.  |                                      |
| Page | Summary of ICI comment                          | Response to OMB                      |
| no.  |   |                                      |
| 2    | 4982(e)(7) Election. Recommend that the         | We have referred the comments to the |
|      | section 4982(e)(7) election be incorporated as  | appropriate area for consideration.  |
|      | a check box election, with the amount of the    |                                      |
|      | deferral disclosed on an additional line item   |                                      |
|      | on Form 8613.                                   |                                      |
|      |   |                                      |

| Page | Summary of ICI comment  | Response to OMB  |  |  |
|------|---|--|--|--|
| no.  |   |  |  |  |
| 2    | Recommended that Form 8613 include a line<br>for taxpayers to request a refund or<br>carryforward to the next year if there has been<br>an overpayment of excise tax (similar to what<br>is included on corporate tax returns). | We have referred the comments to the appropriate area for consideration. |  |  |
| Page | Summary of ICI comment  | Response to OMB  |  |  |
| no.  |   |  |  |  |
| 2    | Recommended that Form 8613 include a line<br>to indicate if the return relates to the<br>taxpayer's initial or final return.  | We have referred the comments to the appropriate area for consideration. |  |  |

# 9. <u>EXPLANATION OF DECISION TO PROVIDE ANY PAYMENT OR GIFT TO</u> <u>RESPONDENTS</u>

No payment or gift has been provided to any respondents.

## 10. ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY OF RESPONSES

Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential as required by 26 USC 6103.

## 11. JUSTIFICATION OF SENSITIVE QUESTIONS

A privacy impact assessment (PIA) has been conducted for information collected under this request as part of the "Business Master file (BMF)", Excise Filing Information Retrieval System (Ex-FIRS) and a Privacy Act System of Records notice (SORN) has been issued for these systems under IRS 22.062 – Electronic Filing Records; IRS 24.030 – Customer Account Data Engine (CADE) Individual Master File; IRS 24.046 - CADE Business Master File (BMF);IRS 34.037 - IRS Audit Trail and Security Records System. The Internal Revenue Service PIA's can be found at <u>https://www.irs.gov/uac/Privacy-Impact-Assessments-PIA</u>.

Title 26 USC 6109 requires inclusion of identifying numbers in returns, statements, or other documents for securing proper identification of persons required to make such returns, statements, or documents and is the authority for social security numbers (SSNs) in IRS systems.

## 12. ESTIMATED BURDEN OF INFORMATION COLLECTION

| Authority | Document     | # Respondents | # Responses<br>Per<br>Respondent | Annual<br>Responses | Time Per<br>Response | Total<br>Burden |
|-----------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| IRC 4982  | Form<br>8613 | 1,500         | 1                                | 1,500               | 11.88 hrs.           | 17,820 hrs.     |

## 13. ESTIMATED TOTAL ANNUAL COST BURDEN TO RESPONDENTS

To ensure more accuracy and consistency across its information collections, IRS is currently in the process of revising the methodology it uses to estimate burden and costs. Once this methodology is complete, IRS will update this information collection to reflect a more precise estimate of burden and costs.

## 14. ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

The Federal government cost estimate is based on a model that considers the following three cost factors for each information product: aggregate labor costs for development, including annualized start-up expenses, operating and maintenance expenses, and distribution of the product that collects the information.

The government computes cost using a multi-step process. First, the government creates a weighted factor for the level of effort to create each information collection product based on variables such as; complexity, number of pages, type of product and frequency of revision. Second, the total costs associated with developing the product such as labor cost, and operating expenses associated with the downstream impact such as support functions, are added together to obtain the aggregated total cost. Then, the aggregated total cost and factor are multiplied together to obtain the aggregated cost per product. Lastly, the aggregated cost per product is added to the cost of shipping and printing each product to IRS offices, National Distribution Center, libraries, and other outlets. The result is the Government cost estimate per product.

The government cost estimate for this collection is summarized in the table below.

| 15. | REASONS FOR CHA   | NGE IN BURDEN            |     | Printing and      |       | Government Cost<br>Estimate per |             |
|-----|---|--------------------------|-----|-------------------|-------|---------------------------------|-------------|
|     | Product   | Product (factor applied) |     | Distribution      |       | Product                         |             |
|     | Formesarse no changes to  | the previotify approv    | veo | l burden hours. T | his d | collect10569s being             | g submitted |
|     | Grand Total   | 17,560                   |     | 0                 |       | 17,560                          | as          |
|     | Table costs are based on 2021 actuals obtained from IRS Chief Financial Office and Media and Publications |                          |     |                   |       |                                 |             |
|     | * New product costs will be included in the next collection update.                                       |                          |     |                   |       |                                 | an          |
|     | OMD systemation to an already approved callestion   |                          |     |                   |       |                                 |             |

OMB extension to an already approved collection.

## 16. PLANS FOR TABULATION, STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND PUBLICATION

There are no plans for tabulation, statistical analysis, and publication.

## 17. <u>REASONS WHY DISPLAYING THE OMB EXPIRATION DATE IS</u> <u>INAPPROPRIATE</u>

IRS believes that displaying the OMB expiration date is inappropriate because it could cause confusion by leading taxpayers to believe that the regulation sunsets as of the expiration date. Taxpayers are not likely to be aware that the IRS intends to request renewal of the OMB approval and obtain a new expiration date before the old one expires.

## 18. EXCEPTIONS TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

There are no exceptions to the certification statement.

<u>Note:</u> The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information in this submission:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.