Comment #	Public Comments	USCIS Response
Comment 1.	Commenter: USCIS-2008-0028-0085 Anonymous	
	On the current G-639, on page 2, the subject of record under part 3, question 1: asked to state the purpose of their request and on page 3, still under part 3, question 16, again asked the subject of record to describe the records they are seeking. Both questions 1 and 16 are asked differently but basically asking the same thing. If one of these questions could be eliminated that would be helpful.	Response: The field, "State the purpose of your request" was eliminated and does not appear in the draft Form G-639 posted for comment. Instead, requestors are asked to describe the records sought under "Request Specific Documents". See draft Form G-639 at https://www.regulations.gov/document/USCIS-2008-0028-0081 .
Comment	Commenter:	
2.	USCIS-2008-0028-0087	
	Suzanne Hoffman	
	OMB Control Number 1615-0102 USCIS, Docket ID USCIS- 2008-0028 Comment for improvement of the Form G-639; Online FOIA Request	Response: In the new draft Form G-639, USCIS consolidated potential responses and changed phrasing to improve requestors' options to answer the question.
	Clarification is needed for a requestor who may be a professional being hired to acquire records on behalf of Subject of Record or subject's family. Part 2	The kind of requestor the commentor identified could now select only: "B. I am requesting information about someone who is deceased;"
	"Representative Role" is not carried forward in the remainder of the questions in terms of how they relate to the Subject of Record. Answering 2.b. then 3.b. does not make sense, but may if you are hired by a relative of the deceased.	For context, the question now appears as follows: 3. Third-Party Requestor's Relationship to the Subject of Record What is the relationship of the subject of record to the third-party requestor? If you are requesting information or amendment or correction of records on behalf of the subject of record (select only one for Items A. – F.):

G-639; Online FOIA Request Revision 87 FR 19697

4/5/22-5/5/22

Comment	Public Comments	USCIS Response
#		
	To avoid redaction and a subsequent re-request for non-redacted files, having death dates of additional family members and parents for the section "Information about Family Members that May appear on Requested Records," will avoid the second request for a cleaner copy of the initial file. It add a single line to each family member identified. This death date addition will simplify the process of document review.	[] A. I am an attorney or accredited representative, acting on behalf of the subject of record [] B. I am requesting information about someone who is deceased; [] C. I am requesting information on behalf of my child or a minor for whom I am a legal guardian [] D. Other (Explain): [Fillable field] If you are requesting information about a subject of record with whom you have no relationship: [] E. I am requesting as a member of the media [] F. Other (Explain): [Fillable field] Response: USCIS considers the privacy interests of all individuals mentioned in records when reviewing requests made under the Freedom of Information Act. To receive information pertaining to each person mentioned in records, your request must generally provide each person's consent to disclose information to you or evidence that they are deceased. This information should be included as an uploaded document when filing online or as additional information when mailing your G-639. As a result of this comment, USCIS will add additional language to Form G-639 and its corresponding online system, FIRST, to better articulate this process to the public.
Comment	Commenter:	
3.	USCIS-2008-0028-0087	
	ILRC, AILA, American Immigration Council	
3	The undersigned organizations respectfully submit the following comments in connection with Docket ID USCIS-2008-0028; OMB Control Number 1615-0102; Agency	No response required

Comment	Public Comments	USCIS Response
#	Information Collection Activities; Revision of a Currently	
	Approved Collection: Form G-639; Online FOIA Request	
	published in the Federal Register on April 5, 2022. I.	
	Introduction of Stakeholders and Expertise The Immigrant	
	Legal Resource Center (ILRC) is a national non-profit	
	organization that provides legal trainings, educational	
	materials, and advocacy to advance immigrant rights. The	
	ILRC's mission is to work with and educate immigrants,	
	community organizations, and the legal sector to continue	
	to build a democratic society that values diversity and the	
	rights of all people. Since its inception in 1979, the ILRC	
	has provided technical assistance on hundreds of	
	thousands of immigration law issues, trained thousands of	
	advocates and pro bono attorneys annually on	
	immigration law, distributed thousands of practitioner	
	guides, provided expertise to immigrantled advocacy	
	efforts across the country, and supported hundreds of	
	immigration legal non-profit organizations in building	
	their capacity. The ILRC has produced legal trainings,	
	practice advisories, manuals and other materials	
	pertaining to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) as it	
	pertains to the practice of immigration law. We also	
	advocate for expansion of government transparency in all	
	sectors. ILRC operates a national technical assistance line	
	to assist immigration attorneys as they navigate through	
	records requests for their clients and write some of the	
	only national resources on FOIA requests for individual immigration cases. Because of our focus on this complex	
	area of the law, we have a distinct interest in ensuring	
	that Form G-639 and accompanying instructions are clear	
	and understandable to applicants. The American	

Comment	Public Comments	USCIS Response
#		
	Immigration Council is a not-for-profit educational and	
	charitable organization. The Council was established to	
	increase public understanding of immigration law and	
	policy, advocate for the fair and just administration of U.S.	
	immigration laws, protect the legal rights of noncitizens	
	and citizens, and educate the public about the enduring	
	contributions of immigrants. Through research, analysis,	
	litigation and transparency work, the Council seeks to	
	hold the government accountable for unlawful conduct	
	and restrictive interpretations of the law and for failing to	
	ensure that the immigration laws are implemented and	
	executed in a manner that comports with due process.	
	The Council publishes practice materials and conducts	
	numerous trainings each year explaining the process for	
	requesting information under FOIA from immigration	
	agencies. Clarity regarding the process for requesting	
	information from U.S. Citizenship and Immigration	
	Services (USCIS)—an agency with one of the largest FOIA	
	caseloads of any agency within the federal government—	
	is critical to the Council's ability to provide sound advice	
	to immigration practitioners. The American Immigration	
	Lawyers Association (AILA), established in 1946, is a	
	voluntary bar association of more than 16,000 attorneys	
	and law professors practicing, researching, and teaching in	
	the field of immigration and nationality law. Our mission	
	includes the advancement of the law pertaining to	
	immigration and nationality and the facilitation of justice	
	in the field. AILA members regularly advise and represent	
	businesses, U.S. citizens, U.S. lawful permanent residents,	
	and foreign nationals regarding the application and	
	interpretation of U.S. immigration laws. Our members'	

Comment	Public Comments	USCIS Response
#		
	collective expertise and experience makes us particularly	
	well-qualified to offer views that will benefit the public	
	and the government.	
	II. Comments on the Proposed Form/Instructions We note	No response required
	that USCIS has added language to the Form G-639	
	Instructions that advises applicants that there is an	
	alternative method for filing FOIA requests through an	
	online system—Freedom of Information Records System	
	(FIRST). Some of the new instructions are repeated on the	
	USCIS web page. See USCIS website, Request Records	
	through the Freedom of Information Act or Privacy Act,	
	https://www.uscis.gov/records/request-records-through-	
	the-freedom-ofinformation-act-or-privacy-act. To ensure	
	the instructions accurately reflect the requirements under	
	the FOIA statute and are clear to FOIA requesters, we	
	suggest changes to the proposed revisions to the Form G-	
	639 Instructions.	
	 The Instructions should lessen language 	Response:
	advocating the use of FIRST.	As stated on the draft Form G-639, requests for records may be
	USCIS' suggested changes to the Instructions include	submitted online at <u>www.uscis.gov/foia</u> , using Form G-639, or in
	language that heavily promotes the use of FIRST. While	writing in accordance with the requirements of the Freedom of
	there are advantages to a more efficient and streamlined	Information Act. However, the most efficient way to submit a
	process for submitting FOIA requests, FIRST is still a	request is online. Online requests allow requestors to submit
	relatively new program and there is no publicly available	requests instantly, track their progress, receive responses as soon as
	assessment or data explaining FIRST's efficiencies in	they are ready, and download records whenever they are needed.
	detail. While it is understandable that USCIS would like to	USCIS is committed to processing requests in all forms received, and
	inform FOIA requesters and their representatives that	we feel it is important to educate the public about how they can
	FIRST is an available alternative to the Form G-639, we	submit their requests as efficiently as possible.
	suggest that language lauding FIRST not be the focus of	
	Instructions for the Form G-639—a Form intended for	
	FOIA requests when an individual chooses not to use	

Comment	Public Comments	USCIS Response
#		
	FIRST. It is also important to note that statutory	
	timeframes for making a determination on a FOIA request	
	are the same for FOIA requests submitted 1) with a G-639,	
	2) in writing without use of the Form, and 3) through	
	FIRST. Language in the Instructions that states the FIRST	
	system processes FOIA requests more quickly and	
	efficiently is problematic to the extent it implies a person	
	may not receive a response to a FOIA request in the	
	relatively short statutory timeframe—twenty or thirty	
	days—using other methods. See Instructions, pp. 1-2 X	
	("Our online FOIA and PA services are a more efficient	
	way to request and receive records than by using Form G-	
	639 to make a request."). We recommend deleting the	
	five paragraphs of additions to the instructions at p. 2 X	
	that begin with "Our online FOIA and PA services are a	
	more efficient way".	
	2. The Form G-639 should not require country of	Response:
	birth information; FIRST should not require	
	mandatory information verifying identity to	If you are requesting records about a person with whom you have
	complete an online FOIA request.	no relationship, we will no longer require country of birth in FIRST or
	The revision to the Form G-639 should remove the field	on Form G-639. However, we will continue to require country of
	"Country of Birth." At a minimum, the Form G-639 and	birth in other instances, in accordance with the verification of
	Instructions should explicitly state that an individual's	identity and verification of guardianship provisions of 6 CFR § 5.21.
	country of birth is not required to process a FOIA request.	
	An individual might not want to share their country of	Please note, the more information we receive in a FOIA request,
	birth with a government agency because this admission	including country of birth, the better we may locate the records and
	may seriously impact their immigration case. In removal	information you request.
	proceedings, the government has the burden of proving	
	an individual's alienage. 1 Practitioners report that the	
	government sometimes uses FOIA requests as evidence of	
	alienage when country of birth is provided in the Form G-	

Comment	Public Comments	USCIS Response
#	639. Because a person's country of birth is only one means of verifying identity and sharing country of birth information could be damaging to a person's immigration case, the request for country of birth should be removed	
	from the Form G-639. Though the Form G-639 could be more explicit that country of birth information is not required, immigration practitioners report that USCIS often will process a FOIA request even when the "Country of Birth" field is not completed. In contrast, the FIRST system does not permit an individual to submit a FOIA request without providing this information.	
	USCIS released FIRST—a digital FOIA submission and tracking system for USCIS records—in June 2019.2 USCIS claims that it allows FOIA requesters to submit their requests faster than physical mail submissions.3 This online system requires the user to set up an online MyUSCIS account to track requests and receive requested documents digitally. It also requires the following information: purpose of request, name, aliases, mailing address, country of birth, and information about family members.4 The system does not allow an individual to complete an online FOIA submission without providing this information.	
	Many individuals may not want to provide all of this information because they do not want to enter their country of birth and concede alienage or provide information about their family members.	

Comment	Public Comments	USCIS Response
Comment #	By creating and promoting an online form that only can be submitted when all requested information is provided, the agency is compelling individuals to concede alienage. In order to submit a FOIA request to obtain information necessary to defend against removal or assess eligibility for immigration relief without conceding alienage, a person would need to ignore USCIS' strong recommendation to use the FIRST system and 1) complete a Form G-639 without providing country of birth, or 2) submit a request in writing without a Form G-639. We suggest FIRST eliminate the field requesting country of birth information or, at a minimum, not require that information to submit the form online. The Instructions should clearly state FIRST is one option among others for submitting a FOIA request FOIA requires that requests for agency records be reasonably described and in writing and the agency has outlined acceptable methods for submission, including 1) with a G-639, 2) in writing without use of the Form G-639, and 3) through FIRST. Though FIRST may provide some	Response: The draft Form G-639 begins by explaining its purpose: to enable the public to request access to U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) records under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). It then lists the options to make a FOIA or PA Request with USCIS as: Online at www.uscis.gov/foia; Using this Form G-639; or
	efficiencies, not all individuals will want to create a MyUSCIS account and submit a FOIA request online, either because of personal preference, access to	 In writing and in accordance with the requirements of the FOIA and PA.
	computers, or inability to deal with challenges associated with creating an online account.	As a means of guiding requestors to the best possible experience, the draft Form G-639 and the uscis.gov/foia webpage explain that our online FOIA and PA services are the most efficient way to
	The USCIS webpage already heavily discourages applicants from using a Form G-639. Under the listing for the Form	request and receive records among other options. They in no way indicate that a requestor may jeopardize their immigration case
	G-639, the first line directs requesters to use the FIRST	using Form G-639, Freedom of Information/Privacy Act Request.

Comment	Public Comments	USCIS Response
#		
	process.5 Only after scrolling through a page of	
	information does an applicant arrive at the Form G-639	
	with information about where to file it by mail. 6	
	The agency's failure to clearly communicate the methods	
	for accessing and completing the Form G-639 on its	
	website is regrettable and the extensive discussion about	
	the FIRST process in the Form G-639 Instructions is	
	confusing and unnecessary. Though USCIS may prefer	
	FIRST, it is the decision of the requester and their	
	representative how to approach the FOIA submission	
	process. Where critical decisions regarding an immigration	
	case will be informed by the records obtained through a	
	FOIA, an individual should clearly understand their	
	options and proceed with confidence that they will not	
	jeopardize their immigration case in the process of trying	
	to resolve it.	
	Sincerely, Peggy Gleason Senior Staff Attorney, on behalf	
	of ILRC	