**Supporting Statement for the FERC 1005 Collection as Required by Proposed Rule RM22-13-000 (Credit-Related Information Sharing)**

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission or FERC) requests that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approve, for three years, the information collection requirements in FERC 1005 as directed by the proposed rule RM22-13-000 (Credit-Related Information Sharing).

1. **CIRCUMSTANCES THAT MAKE THE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION NECESSARY**

Pursuant to section 206 of the Federal Power Act (FPA),**[[1]](#footnote-2)** the Commission is proposing to revise section 35.47 of Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations to permit credit-related information sharing between organized wholesale electric markets.**[[2]](#footnote-3)** The ability of regional transmission organizations (RTOs) and independent system operators (ISOs) to share credit-related information with each other is intended to improve their ability to accurately assess market participants’ credit exposure and risks related to their activities across organized wholesale electric markets. The sharing of such information could also allow RTOs/ISOs to respond to credit events more quickly and effectively, minimizing the overall credit-related risks of unexpected defaults by market participants in organized wholesale electric markets.

To ensure that RTOs’/ISOs’ credit policies remain just and reasonable, the Commission proposes to revise its regulations to require each RTO/ISO to adopt tariff provisions that permit it to share market participants’ credit-related information with other RTOs/ISOs to enhance credit risk assessment efforts.

1. **HOW, BY WHOM, AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE THE INFORMATION IS TO BE USED AND THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT COLLECTING THE INFORMATION**

The Commission proposes to revise its regulations to:

* Require each RTO/ISO to adopt tariff provisions that permit it to share market participants’ credit-related information with other RTOs/ISOs to enhance credit risk assessment effort; and
* Permit RTOs and ISO to share credit-related information with each other.

The information-sharing aspect of FERC-1005 is intended to apply to credit-related information including: (1) lists of market participants with positions in that market; (2) reports and metrics around risk and credit exposures; (3) disclosure that a market participant or affiliate has defaulted on any of its financial or contractual obligations, failed to pay invoices on a timely basis, or failed to meet a collateral call; (4) information regarding a market participant’s or its affiliate’s unresolved credit/collateral issues; (5) information indicating that a market participant or its affiliate has an increased risk of default, such as instances where a market participant or its affiliate has experienced a material adverse condition or material adverse change under an RTO/ISO Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) or related agreement; and (6) any other information on a market participant or its affiliate that indicates a possible material adverse change in creditworthiness or financial status or an unreasonable credit risk.

The purpose of collecting this information is to reduce the risk of credit failures and liquidity challenges in wholesale electric markets, in particular for entities operating in multiple wholesale markets. The information collection would allow RTOs/ISOs to gain additional visibility into their market participants’ financial condition and to administer organized wholesale electric markets more effectively both as part of ongoing “business-as-usual” credit risk management practices and during market or credit events. An RTO/ISO may benefit simply from the ability to request that other RTOs/ISOs verify that a market participant has participated appropriately in another organized wholesale electric market.

If this information was not collected the Commission would not be able to fulfill its statutory responsibilities to ensure that markets remain reliable and rates remain just and reasonable. A lack of access to credit-related information can hinder an RTO/ISO’s ability to evaluate a market participant’s creditworthiness and respond to credit events, which can hinder its ability to prevent or mitigate default by market participants. Because the costs of such defaults are typically borne by non-defaulting members, an RTO/ISO’s lack of access to credit-related information could lead to unjust and unreasonable rates for its market participants.

1. **DESCRIBE ANY CONSIDERATION OF THE USE OF IMPROVED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO REDUCE THE BURDEN AND TECHNICAL OR LEGAL OBSTACLES TO REDUCING BURDEN**

With this collection, the Commission would not receive credit-related information since the proposed rule is requiring RTOs/ISOs to modify their tariff provisions to share with each other, not the Commission. However, the Commission supports the use of electronic transfer of the information and supports electronic submissions for the one-time compliance filing through FERC Online eFiling.

1. **DESCRIBE EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION AND SHOW SPECIFICALLY WHY ANY SIMILAR INFORMATION ALREADY AVAILABLE CANNOT BE USED OR MODIFIED FOR USE FOR THE PURPOSE(S) DESCRIBED IN INSTRUCTION SECTION NO. 2**

Credit-related information is generally regarded as private information and it is not collected by the Commission. The NOPR RM22-13-000 proposes that this information remains within the RTOs/ISOs and each respective entity. The Commission does not know of any other places where this information is collected or maintained accessible to all RTOs/ISOs. Certain elements of a market participants’ credit information may be available from financial market entities or regulators, such as credit scores and posted collaterals, however details of a market participant’s standing in any given RTO/ISO is generally only available to that RTO/ISO.

1. **METHODS USED TO MINIMIZE THE BURDEN IN COLLECTION OF INFORMATION INVOLVING SMALL ENTITIES**

The Commission seeks to pose the least possible burden on all entities. However, the entities subject to the NOPR RM22-13-000 are the 6 Commission-jurisdictional RTOs/ISOs that operate in the United States. These entities are not considered small entities.

1. **CONSEQUENCE TO FEDERAL PROGRAM IF COLLECTION WERE CONDUCTED LESS FREQUENTLY**

The one time compliance filing to update the tariff provisions will only be collected at the effective date. Then, no data will be collected by the Commission, rather the information will be shared, as described in an RTOs/ISOs tariff provisions with one another. The Commission believes that this is the minimum requirement to have this collection be effective in preserving just and reasonable rates. The frequency of the ongoing information collection and sharing is to be determined by the RTOs/ISOs at their discretion.

1. **EXPLAIN ANY SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES RELATING TO THE INFORMATION COLLECTION**

There are no special circumstances relating to this information collection.

1. **DESCRIBE EFFORTS TO CONSULT OUTSIDE THE AGENCY: SUMMARIZE PUBLIC COMMENTS AND THE AGENCY’S RESPONSE TO THESE COMMENTS**

Prior to the NOPR, in 2019, Energy Trading Institute (ETI) submitted a petition requesting that the Commission convene a technical conference and consider a potential rulemaking to improve RTO/ISO credit practices.**[[3]](#footnote-4)** In response to ETI’s petition, the Commission received comments suggesting that the industry would benefit from a discussion about best practices and the differences among RTO/ISO credit policies.

Following this petition, the Commission organized a technical conference on February 25 and 26, 2021. During the conference, Commission staff and panelists discussed principles and best practices for credit risk management in organized wholesale electric markets. Panelists at the technical conference included credit risk experts, market participants with experience in RTO/ISO credit policy compliance, and RTO/ISO risk officers. Panelists also emphasized that there could be risk management benefits from sharing market participants’ credit-related information among RTO/ISO credit departments.**[[4]](#footnote-5)**

The Commission further solicited post-technical conference comments and received several on the topic of information sharing between RTOS/ISOs. The Inter-RTO Council (IRC) advocated for the Commission to require RTOs/ISOs to adopt tariff revisions implementing a permissive credit-related information sharing policy and provide a list of the kinds of credit-related information that could be shared.**[[5]](#footnote-6)** ETI commented that one potential risk with information sharing across RTOs/ISOs is that it would trigger the RTOs/ISOs to limit or suspend market activity to the detriment of that market participant.**[[6]](#footnote-7)** NEPOOL comments that, while the general proposal to allow for the sharing of confidential information among RTOs/ISOs might be reasonable, the details to accomplish that proposal need to be considered within each RTO/ISO prior to any Commission action.**[[7]](#footnote-8)**

1. **EXPLAIN ANY PAYMENT OR GIFTS TO RESPONDENTS**

The Commission does not make payments or provide gifts to respondents related to these collections.

1. **DESCRIBE ANY ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY PROVIDED TO RESPONDENTS**

The information is not intended to be shared with the Commission.

1. **PROVIDE ADDITIONAL JUSTIFICATION FOR ANY QUESTIONS OF A SENSITIVE NATURE, SUCH AS SEXUAL BEHAVIOR AND ATTITUDES, RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, AND OTHER MATTERS THAT ARE COMMONLY CONSIDERED PRIVATE.**

These collections are not delivered to the Commission. This collection is a requirement for RTOs/ISOs to share credit-related information as it pertains to entities within their scope with other RTOs/ISOs. Although credit-related information may be considered private, the sharing of information will be agreed upon between the entities and each respective RTO and ISO.

1. **ESTIMATED BURDEN OF COLLECTION OF INFORMATION**

The FERC-1005 collection is separated into two activities. The first activity relates to the one-time compliance filing with the Commission after an RTO/ISO updates its tariff provisions. The second activity is the ongoing burden related to information sharing.

The following tables provide the estimated annual burden[[8]](#footnote-9) and cost[[9]](#footnote-10) related to the FERC-1005 information collection requirements:

| **A.**  **Collection** | **B.**  **Number of Respondents** | **C.**  **Annual Number of Responses per Respondent** | **D.**  **Total Number of Responses**  **(Column B x Column C)** | **E.**  **Average Burden Hrs. &**  **Cost per  Response** | **F.**  **Total Annual Hr. Burdens & Total Annual Cost**  **(Column D x Column E)** | **G.**  **Cost per  Respondent**  **(Column F ÷ Column B)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RTO/ISOs (one-time compliance filing)**[[10]](#footnote-11)** | 6 | 1 | 6 | 25 hrs.; $2,175 | 150 hrs.; $13,050 | $2,175 |
| RTO/ISOs (ongoing information sharing)**[[11]](#footnote-12)** | 6 | 2 | 12 | 4 hrs.; $348 | 48 hrs.; $4,176 | $696 |
| Totals |  | 3 | 18 | ― | 198 hrs.; $17,226 | $2,871 |

1. **ESTIMATE OF THE TOTAL ANNUAL COST BURDEN TO RESPONDENTS**

The total annual cost to respondents is related to burden hours and the Commission does not estimate any additional costs for FERC-1005.

1. **ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED COST TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT**

The estimate of the cost for ‘analysis and processing of filings’[[12]](#footnote-13) is based on salaries and benefits for professional and clerical support. This estimated cost represents staff analysis, decision-making, and review of any actual filings submitted in response to the information collections. The corresponding annual and hourly FERC costs use the figures for 2021, as noted.

The Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Administrative Cost (estimate of $8,279 per collection annually) is the average annual FERC cost associated with preparing, issuing, and submitting materials necessary to comply with the PRA of 1995 for rulemakings, orders, or any other vehicle used to create, modify, extend, or discontinue an information collection. It also includes the cost of publishing the necessary notices in the Federal Register.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Number of Employees (FTE)** | **Estimated Annual Federal Cost** |
| Analysis and Processing of filings[[13]](#footnote-14) for FERC 1005 | 0.06 (rounded)[[14]](#footnote-15) | $3,480[[15]](#footnote-16) |
| PRA Administrative Cost |  | $8,279 |
| **FERC Total** |  | $11,759 |

1. **REASONS FOR CHANGES IN BURDEN INCLUDING THE NEED FOR ANY INCREASE**

The following table shows the total burden of the collection of information. The format, labels, and definitions of the table follow the ROCIS submission system’s “Information Collection Request Summary of Burden” for the metadata.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Total Request** | **Previously Approved** | **Change due to Adjustment in Estimate** | **Change Due to Agency Discretion** |
| Annual Number of Responses | 18 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Annual Time Burden (Hr.) | 198 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Annual Cost Burden ($) | $0 | - | $0 | $0 |

1. **TIME SCHEDULE FOR PUBLICATION OF DATA**

There are no data publications.

1. **DISPLAY OF EXPIRATION DATE**

FERC provides the OMB Control Numbers of the information collections along with their expiration dates on this site at [Information Collections | Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (ferc.gov)](https://www.ferc.gov/media/information-collections).

1. **EXCEPTIONS TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

There are no exceptions.
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